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Abstract

\textbf{Background:} In patients with suspected or proven lung cancer, assessment of regional nodal and distant metastases is key before treatment planning. By introducing the endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)-guided scope into the esophagus and stomach (EUS-B), liver lesions and celiac nodes can be visualized. To date, the utility of EUS-B in diagnosing liver lesions and retroperitoneal lymph nodes is unknown. \textbf{Objectives:} To assess the feasibility, safety, and diagnostic yield of sampling of liver lesions and retroperitoneal nodes by EUS-B fine-needle aspiration (FNA) in a lung cancer staging setting. \textbf{Method:} Consecutive patients suspected of lung cancer in 2 Danish centers between 1 January 2015 and 31 December 2017 were included retrospectively when a lesion in the liver or a retroperitoneal lymph node was visualized and biopsied with EUS-B-FNA. \textbf{Results:} 23 left liver lobe lesions and 19 retroperitoneal lymph nodes were sampled by EUS-B-FNA. Sensitivity and diagnostic yield of sampled liver lesions were 86 and 83\%, respectively. In 19/23 patients, there was a cytopathological diagnosis of malignancy. Sensitivity and diagnostic yield from retroperitoneal lymph node samples were 83 and 63\%, respectively. In 10/19 patients, the diagnosis was malignancy. No complications were observed. \textbf{Conclusion:} EUS-B-FNA enables safe sampling of left liver lobe lesions and retroperitoneal lymph nodes. EUS-B should be considered as a minimally invasive technique to provide tissue proof of distant metastases lung cancer patients.

Introduction

In patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), accurate staging [1] is crucial for treatment allocation, especially for surgical lung tumor resection which is curative only in cases of localized disease. Therefore, it is mandatory to exclude metastases both in the mediastinum and below the diaphragm before the treatment deci-
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Methods

In 2 centers (the Unit of Respiratory Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Zealand University Hospital, Roskilde, and the Department of Respiratory Medicine, Næstved Hospital, Næstved, in Denmark), we performed a retrospective endosonography database search with the following inclusion criteria: (a) known or suspected lung cancer, (b) referral between 1 January 2015 and 31 December 2017, and (c) a lesion in the liver or a retroperitoneal lymph node was visualized and sampled by EUS-B-FNA in a lung cancer staging setting.

Standard of Reference

EUS-B fine-needle aspirates were considered adequate when cells from target tissue (liver: hepatocytes; celiac nodes: lymphocytes) or malignant cells were demonstrated in the sample. Samples with malignant cells were considered as true-positive.

For adequate samples with nonmalignant diagnoses at cytological evaluation, the results were considered true-negative if verified at a follow-up of at least 6 months (clinical course possibly supplemented with computed tomography (CT)).

Diagnostic yield was defined as the number of samples in which EUS-B-FNA provided a specific diagnosis (malignant or nonmalignant) relative to the total number of EUS-B-FNA samples [11]. Sensitivity was defined as the number of samples in which EUS-B-FNA provided a diagnosis of any malignancy relative to the total number of targeted lesions that turned out to be malignant [11]. Sensitivity was calculated as the “worst-case” scenario in which all patients with inconclusive samples or no follow-up were considered as suffering from malignant disease.

Patients in whom the suspicion of lung cancer was invalidated after complete workup were followed for 6 months to identify false-negative cases. Data were nonparametric and presented as median and range. Data were processed using SPSS v25 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

The EUS-B Procedure

Initially, a bronchoscopy and an EBUS procedure according to a structured protocol as described by Konge et al. [12] and Jørgensen et al. [13] were performed with the patient lying on their back under conscious sedation. Either a nasal or an oral approach was used. Thereafter, the EBUS endoscope was retracted from the trachea to a level just above the vocal cords, and from this position turned slightly to the left and the back of the patient and advanced into the esophagus under gentle pressure while the patient was encouraged to swallow. Continuous ultrasound imaging was performed, and the endoscope was advanced very carefully without pressure to a level below the diaphragm. Five milliliters of 4% Lidocaine was applied to the pharynx and larynx prior to the EUS-B procedure to make it easier to introduce the endoscope in the esophagus. The left liver lobe was searched for by turning the transducer to the right side of the patient below the diaphragm. The hepatic veins were visualized with Doppler and the left liver lobe was swept for suspicious lesions. The abdominal aorta and the celiac trunk were identified and the search was expanded to detect enlarged retroperitoneal lymph nodes.

A structured protocol was followed using an EUS assessment tool (EUS-AT) [12–14] with 6 landmarks identified in this order: the liver, abdominal aorta, left adrenal gland, lymph node station 7, and stations 4L and 4R. This validated and systematic tool is specifically developed for the examination of lung cancer patients. Furthermore, structures not included in the EUS-AT were searched for and visualized when CT and/or positron emission tomography (PET)-CT showed these to be abnormal [12–14].

When a target lesion was located, the needle was introduced through the biopsy channel of the endoscope. Power Doppler was used to prevent the puncture of vessels. The needle was placed in the lesion under real-time ultrasonic guidance and the stylet was then removed. Suction was applied with a syringe and the needle was moved back and forth inside the lesion. At least 2 samples were taken from each structure biopsied and the aspirates were processed for both cytological smears and cell block analysis.
After the procedure, all patients were observed for 2 h before discharge. They were instructed to contact the departments if they experienced any kind of complications. Occurrence of complications would be noted in the patient record.

The pulmonologists were trained according to the apprenticeship principle and instructed by 2 experienced operators in how to search for structures both above and below the diaphragm [13]. No EUS-B simulator is available on the market yet.

**Equipment**

EUS-B was performed with a flexible ultrasound bronchoscope (Olympus BF-UC180F or UC 180F, Olympus Medical Systems Europe, Ltd., Hamburg, Germany) in combination with a Hitachi ultrasound scanner with a linear scanning transducer (EUB 6500 or Hivision Preirus, model E2U-MT28-S1) with the patient in the supine position during the investigation. A 19-gauge or 22-gauge needle was used for the aspirations (ViziShot Flex 19-gauge and 22-gauge Olympus ViziShot and ViziShot 2; Olympus Medical Systems Europe, Ltd. and Cook, Limerick, Ireland).

**Results**

A total of 23 samples from liver lesions and 19 from retroperitoneal lymph nodes were identified in 42 patients. Demographics and final diagnosis after complete workup are shown Table 1. No patients with a final nonmalignant diagnosis developed cancer during the 6-month follow-up period. No complications linked to EUS-B procedures were observed. Of the 23 patients with samples from liver lesions, 1 had a final diagnosis of nonmalignant origin (sarcoidosis) and underwent a 6-month clinical follow-up without a diagnosis of cancer. Of the 19 patients with samples from retroperitoneal lymph nodes, 7 had a nonmalignant diagnosis (followed with clinical course: \( n = 4 \); clinical course and CT: \( n = 3 \)) of: unspecific changes (\( n = 3 \)), infectious (\( n = 2 \)), and sarcoidosis (\( n = 2 \)).

It was feasible to sample the liver lesion under real-time ultrasound control. Twenty-one of 23 (91%) were adequate (contained hepatocytes) at cytopathological evaluation (Table 2). Malignancy was diagnosed in 19 samples, i.e., there was a diagnostic yield of 83% (19/23). Mostly, ultrasound characteristics of liver metastases showed hypoechoic round lesions. Of the 2 nonmalignant lesions, 1 was followed for 6 months and the nonmalignant result was confirmed. Follow-up of the lesions in the other patient with a nonmalignant finding and the 2 patients with inadequate samples was not possible due to disseminated malignancy and subsequent systemic oncological therapy. Thus, sensitivity of malignancy was 86% in the worst-case scenario (19 malignant/19 malignant nonmalignant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Demographic data and diagnosis after complete workup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range), years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final diagnosis after complete workup</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary pulmonary cancer:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis from extrapulmonary tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmalignant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values express \( n \) (%), unless otherwise indicated. \( a \) Upper gastrointestinal tract: 2; colon: 1; pancreas: 1. \( b \) Malignant melanoma: 1, pancreas: 1. NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC, small cell lung cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Cytopathological results of samples from 23 liver lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of lesion on CT(^a), mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate samples(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis from adequate samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmalignant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values express \( n \) (%) or median (range). \( a \) Long-axis diameter. \( b \) Samples were considered adequate when they displayed liver cells or malignant cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Cytopathological results of samples from 19 retroperitoneal lymph nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of lesion on CT(^a), mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate samples(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis from adequate samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmalignant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values express \( n \) (%) or median (range). \( a \) Long-axis diameter. \( b \) Samples were considered adequate when they displayed lymphocytes or malignant cells.
and 3 samples without follow-up). All aspirated lesions were located in the left liver lobe.

All 19 samples (100%) from the retroperitoneal lymph nodes were adequate for cytopathological evaluation (Table 3). Ten samples received a diagnosis of malignancy and 2 showed nonnecrotizing granulomas consistent with sarcoidosis. There was a diagnostic yield of 63% (12/19).

For the 9 samples with a final nonmalignant diagnosis, 6-month follow-up was performed in 7 patients (clinical course: \( n = 3 \), clinical course and CT: \( n = 4 \)) without a diagnosis of cancer. Follow-up was not possible in the other 2 due to disseminated malignancy and subsequent systemic oncological therapy. Thus, sensitivity of malignancy was 83% in the worst-case scenario (10 malignant/[10 malignant and 2 samples without follow-up]).

See Figure 1 and Figure 2 for case examples.

**Discussion**

We present the first patient cohort showing that it is feasible and safe to sample live lesions and celiac nodes by EUS-B-FNA. These findings are important as we demonstrate that, with the EBUS scope routinely used for mediastinal nodal staging for lung cancer, lesions suspected for
left live lobe metastases can be analyzed. In the hands of a skilled operator, mediastinal, liver, and celiac staging can be performed in a single endoscopy session.

So far, the use of EUS-B below the diaphragm has almost exclusively been used for the analysis of the left adrenal gland. Only very limited literature addresses the potential role of EUS-B in providing tissue proof of lesions below the diaphragm and thus attributing to diagnosing M1b and M1c disease. The left adrenal gland has been visualized and biopsied with EUS-B [15, 16]. Crombag and Annema [16] found that the success rate of EUS-B-FNA of the left adrenal gland was comparable to conventional EUS-FNA. Adrenal masses are found in up to 7% of patients with potentially resectable lung cancer [17]. Approximately two-thirds of these masses are benign adenomas [18]. It has been shown that the left liver lobe [19] and retroperitoneal lymph nodes can be reached with EUS-B-FNA [20]. The incidence of liver metastases has been found to be 17.5% in SCLC patients and 3.8% in NSCLC patients [21]. It is essential to confirm or invalidate the suspicion of M1 disease in these structures with tissue proof. The literature gives us no insight with respect to the accessibility to retroperitoneal lymph nodes with EUS-B and the clinical importance of this.

Traditionally, a percutaneous ultrasound-guided biopsy is performed when CT and PET-CT reveal suspicious lesions below the diaphragm [22]. It cannot be excluded that some of the liver metastases biopsied in our study could have been reached with a percutaneous liver biopsy. However, in some cases, the distance from the transducer to the target may be shorter when performing EUS-B than with a percutaneous biopsy. The left liver lobe is accessible from the stomach and the right lobe from the duodenum [4, 23]. In our study, all sampled liver lesions were located in the left liver lobe and the samples were obtained from the stomach.

In the newest guidelines on the use of EUS, it is recommended [6] that EUS-FNA of liver lesions suspected of malignancy be performed (with the conventional gastroenterologic endoscope) when the suspected liver lesion cannot be reached percutaneously. However, all of our patients had the EUS-B biopsy performed in the same session as EBUS, which has obvious practical advantages over performing endosonography and percutaneous biopsy in separate sessions.

One of the most important complications of liver biopsy is bleeding, with an incidence of 0.016% [24]. Infectious complications are considered rare in both percutaneous and endoscopic ultrasound-guided biopsies [5, 25]. No clear recommendations on administering prophylactic antibiotics in connection with EUS-FNA of liver lesions seem to exist, but antibiotics may be considered in connection with biopsy from pancreatic lesions [6]. No complications were seen in our study.

There are obvious logistical and practical advantages to performing an endosonography staging procedure with just 1 EBUS endoscope (EBUS + EUS-B) instead of 2 (EBUS + conventional EUS). In the diagnosis and staging of the patient with lung cancer, performing a mediastinal nodal evaluation by both the EBUS and EUS-B procedures in combination is advised in order to achieve optimal results [2].

Though inclusion in this study was consecutive, a limitation was a selection bias due to the retrospective design. Subsequent studies should include larger cohorts in a prospective multicenter design including exclusively consecutive patients.

Another limitation should be kept in mind, i.e., that a negative result in a biopsy always yields the risk of a false-negative result. In this study, biopsies with negative results had a clinical follow-up of 6 months in cases where image control was not deemed relevant. However, potentially, the proportion of false-negatives could have been underestimated with this approach.

A strength of this study is that it contributes to the knowledge of the usefulness of the EUS-B-FNA technique in the hands of the pulmonologist. It has shown that structures that the literature has rarely described before as attainable with this technique can be biopsied.

Our results underline that EUS-B enables the pulmonologist to biopsy lesions below the diaphragm and that the technique is of diagnostic value in the combined endobronchial and esophageal diagnosing and staging of lung cancer [2]. Importantly, we show that EUS-B provides tissue proof of potential M1 lesions below the diaphragm from both liver lesions and retroperitoneal lymph nodes.

The novel insight is that EUS-B-FNA is a technically feasible and safe procedure that gives the pulmonologist access to the left liver lobe and retroperitoneal lymph nodes in the diagnosis and staging of patients suspected of lung cancer.
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