



University of Southern Denmark

Correction

Langeskov-Christensen, M., et al. Aerobic Capacity Is Not Associated with Most Cognitive Domains in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis-A Cross-Sectional Investigation. Journal of Clinical Medicine 2018, 7, 272

Langeskov-Christensen, Martin; Eskildsen, Søren; Stenager, Egon; Jensen, Henrik Boye; Nielsen, Helle Hvilsted; Petersen, Thor; Hvid, Lars Grøndahl; Hämäläinen, Päivi; Marstrand, Lisbet; Dalgas, Ulrik

Published in:
Journal of Clinical Medicine

DOI:
[10.3390/jcm8050574](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8050574)

Publication date:
2019

Document version:
Final published version

Document license:
CC BY

Citation for pulished version (APA):
Langeskov-Christensen, M., Eskildsen, S., Stenager, E., Jensen, H. B., Nielsen, H. H., Petersen, T., Hvid, L. G., Hämäläinen, P., Marstrand, L., & Dalgas, U. (2019). Correction: Langeskov-Christensen, M., et al. Aerobic Capacity Is Not Associated with Most Cognitive Domains in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis-A Cross-Sectional Investigation. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2018, 7, 272. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 8(5), [574].
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8050574>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk



Correction

Correction: Langeskov-Christensen, M., *et al.* Aerobic Capacity Is Not Associated with Most Cognitive Domains in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis—A Cross-Sectional Investigation. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2018, 7, 272

Martin Langeskov-Christensen ^{1,*}, Søren Eskildsen ¹, Egon Stenager ²,
Henrik Boye Jensen ^{2,3}, Helle Hvilsted Nielsen ⁴, Thor Petersen ⁵, Lars Grøndahl Hvid ¹,
Päivi Hämäläinen ⁶, Lisbet Marstrand ⁷ and Ulrik Dalgas ¹

¹ Section for Sport Science, Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark; soereneskildsen@gmail.com (S.E.); lhvid@ph.au.dk (L.G.H.); dalgas@ph.au.dk (U.D.)

² Department of Regional Health Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark/MS-Clinic of Southern Jutland (Sønderborg, Kolding, Esbjerg), Department of Neurology, Hospital of Southern Denmark, 6400 Sønderborg, Denmark; Egon.Stenager3@rsyd.dk (E.S.); Henrik.Boye.Jensen@rsyd.dk (H.B.J.)

³ Brain and Nerve Diseases, Department of Neurology, Hospital Lillebaelt, 6000 Kolding, Denmark

⁴ The Multiple Sclerosis Clinic, Department of Neurology, Odense University Hospital, 5000 Odense C, Denmark; Helle.Hvilsted.Nielsen@rsyd.dk

⁵ The Multiple Sclerosis Clinic, Department of Neurology, Aarhus University Hospital, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark; thorpete@rm.dk

⁶ Masku Neurological Rehabilitation Centre, 21250 Masku, Finland; paivi.hamalainen@neuroliitto.fi

⁷ The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Centre, Department of Neurology, Rigshospitalet, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark; lisbet.marstrand@regionh.dk

* Correspondence: mach@ph.au.dk; Tel.: +45-8716-8491

Received: 25 April 2019; Accepted: 26 April 2019; Published: 26 April 2019



The authors wish to make the following corrections to this paper [1].

The authors have identified a statistical error regarding the number of cognitively impaired patients since incorrect standard deviations of the healthy reference population were unfortunately used when calculating the z-scores of the individual cognitive tests; the correct number of patients categorized as cognitively impaired therefore has to be adjusted from 29 (34.5%) to 37 (44.0%). Due to this error, all affected statistical analyses were performed again. As a consequence of this change, the cognitively impaired group (CI group) no longer had a lower aerobic capacity when compared to the cognitively nonimpaired group (CN group). All other results were unchanged. Hence, this finding is now in line with the overall findings of the regression analyses. Moreover, it has no impact on the conclusions of our paper.

Accordingly,

Table 2. Subject characteristics.

	Total Sample	Range	CI Group	CN Group
Sex (men/women)	35/49		15/14	20/35
Age (years)	44.9 ± 9.4	(23–64)	48.8 ± 8.1	42.9 ± 9.4 *
Height (cm)	173.9 ± 10.0	(154–198)	174.3 ± 10.9	173.7 ± 9.6
Weight (kg)	76.0 ± 16.8	(46–153)	74.3 ± 16.2	77.0 ± 17.2
Fat percent (%)	28.4 ± 9.1	(10–47)	25.7 ± 8.4	29.8 ± 9.3 *
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.0 ± 4.2	(17–39)	24.2 ± 3.8	25.4 ± 4.3
VO ₂ -max (L/min)	2.13 ± 0.6	(0.9–3.6)	1.9 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.5 *
Aerobic Capacity (ml O ₂ /min/kg)	28.4 ± 7.3	(11–42)	25.9 ± 6.7	29.7 ± 7.3 *
RER (VCO ₂ /VO ₂)	1.21 ± 0.08	(1.01–1.39)	1.20 ± 0.09	1.21 ± 0.08
HR _{max} (beats/min)	168 ± 15.8	(124–200)	162.7 ± 15.7	171.4 ± 15.1 *
RPE	17.2 ± 1.4	(13–20)	17.0 ± 1.5	17.4 ± 1.3
Education (years)	16.5 ± 2.8	(10–25)	15.1 ± 2.8	17.2 ± 2.5 *
DMT use (yes/no)	69/15		21/8	48/7
EDSS	2.67 ± 1.44	(0–6)	3.2 ± 1.4	2.4 ± 1.4 *
MS type (RR, PP, SP)	73/6/5		24/3/2	49/3/3
Disease duration (years)	9.9 ± 7.1	(0–38)	11.2 ± 8.2	9.3 ± 6.4

Values are means ± SD, (range) or number of subjects. CI: Cognitively impaired, CN Cognitively nonimpaired, VO₂-max: Peak oxygen consumption, RER: Respiratory Exchange Ratio, HR_{max}: Maximal Heart Rate, RPE: Rating of Perceived Exhaustion, DMT: Disease Modifying Treatment EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale, RR: Relapsing-Remitting, PP: Primary progressive, SP: Secondary Progressive. * CN significantly different from the CI group.

should be replaced with

Table 2. Subject characteristics.

	Total Sample	Range	CI Group	CN Group
Sex (men/women)	35/49		19/18	16/31
Age (years)	44.9 ± 9.4	(23–64)	47.5 ± 8.8	42.9 ± 9.4 *
Height (cm)	173.9 ± 10.0	(154–198)	174.6 ± 10.9	173.4 ± 9.3
Weight (kg)	76.0 ± 16.8	(46–153)	74.4 ± 14.9	77.3 ± 18.2
Fat percent (%)	28.4 ± 9.1	(10–47)	26.0 ± 8.9	30.3 ± 9.0 *
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.0 ± 4.2	(17–39)	24.3 ± 3.7	25.6 ± 4.5
VO ₂ -max (L/min)	2.13 ± 0.6	(0.9–3.6)	2.0 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.6
Aerobic Capacity (ml O ₂ /min/kg)	28.4 ± 7.3	(11–42)	26.9 ± 6.7	29.5 ± 7.6
RER (VCO ₂ /VO ₂)	1.21 ± 0.08	(1.01–1.39)	1.21 ± 0.08	1.21 ± 0.08
HR _{max} (beats/min)	168 ± 15.8	(124–200)	164.6 ± 14.2	171.4 ± 16.5 *
RPE	17.2 ± 1.4	(13–20)	17.1 ± 1.4	17.4 ± 1.4
Education (years)	16.5 ± 2.8	(10–25)	15.2 ± 2.6	17.5 ± 2.5 *
DMT use (yes/no)	69/15		28/9	41/6
EDSS	2.67 ± 1.44	(0–6)	3.1 ± 1.4	2.4 ± 1.4 *
MS type (RR, PP, SP)	73/6/5		31/3/3	42/3/2
Disease duration (years)	9.9 ± 7.1	(0–38)	10.6 ± 8.5	9.4 ± 5.8

Values are means ± SD, (range) or number of subjects. CI: Cognitively impaired, CN Cognitively nonimpaired, VO₂-max: Peak oxygen consumption, RER: Respiratory Exchange Ratio, HR_{max}: Maximal Heart Rate, RPE: Rating of Perceived Exhaustion, DMT: Disease Modifying Treatment EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale, RR: Relapsing-Remitting, PP: Primary progressive, SP: Secondary Progressive. * CN significantly different from the CI group.

The authors apologize for any inconvenience caused to the readers by these changes.

Reference

1. Langeskov-Christensen, M.; Eskildsen, S.; Stenager, E.; Boye Jensen, H.; Hvilsted Nielsen, H.; Petersen, T.; Grondahl Hvid, L.; Hamalainen, P.; Marstrand, L.; Dalgas, U. Aerobic capacity is not associated with most cognitive domains in patients with multiple sclerosis—a cross-sectional investigation. *J. Clin. Med.* **2018**, *7*, 272. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).