1. INTRODUCTION

Sea-cage rearing of Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* is a large, lucrative and rapidly expanding sector of the aquaculture industry. In 2014, global production exceeded 2.3 million t (www.fao.org/fishery/species/2929/en), and in 2016, Atlantic salmon farming contributed $US 7 billion to the Norwegian economy alone (https://www.ssb.no/en/fiskeoppdrett). Future expansion is mostly contingent upon finding new, highly productive farming locations. A critical factor in site selection concerns the ability of the receiving environment to cope with the substantial quantities of organic waste produced (Gowen & Bradbury 1987, MPI 2013). The common perception is that this can be achieved by shifting farms to more exposed, dynamic and dispersive coastal ecosystems that are generally better equipped to cope with the waste (Ibrekk et al. 1993, Keeley et al. 2013a, Valdemarsen et al. 2015). However, the mechanisms by which these systems cope remain poorly understood.
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With greater resilience comes greater capacity, and hence dispersive sites will inevitably be associated with very high production levels and proportionally high levels of waste discharge. For example, the farm described in this manuscript used ca. 9000 t of fish feed in 1 production cycle (ca. 12 mo), and at peak standing biomass (just prior to harvest), approximately 35 t of pelletized feed were used each day. Of this, approximately 30% is discharged as feces (Brooks & Mahnken 2003), and between 22 and 35% of the carbon is discharged in particulate form (Merican & Phillips 1985, Wang et al. 2012), which sinks to the seafloor and interacts with the benthic environment. In a non-dispersive environment, high deposition of organic waste often exceeds the benthic assimilation capacity, causing localized anoxia and resulting in benthic malfunctioning with highly degraded sediments devoid of macrofauna (Gowen & Bradbury 1987, Brooks & Mahnken 2003, Valdemarsen et al. 2012). At physically dynamic sites, water currents and sediment resuspension effectively disperse organic waste over larger areas, resulting in a more diffuse impact footprint (Broch et al. 2017), and sediments beneath the cages can even appear visually unimpacted (N. Keeley pers. obs.). Inevitably, dispersive environments will also have limited assimilative capacity, which remains poorly defined.

The fact that greater dispersal leads to a larger benthic footprint is an important environmental effects characteristic of dispersive, assimilative sites. While non-dispersive sites typically have noticeable effects out to ca. 150 m (Brooks et al. 2002, MPI 2013), footprints at dispersive sites have been observed as far as 300–500 m from the farm (Keeley et al. 2013a,b). There is also greater potential for effects further afield, as an unknown portion of the waste is likely being exported from the local area through resuspension (Chamberlain & Stucchi 2007, Keeley et al. 2013b, Broch et al. 2017). This leads to a philosophical debate about the opposing concepts of ‘contain and contaminate’ (as is the case at shallow, low-flow sites) versus the commonly touted solution to pollution of ‘dilute and disperse’. The former is associated with excessive and often undesirable levels of enrichment (Brooks et al. 2002), yet the impact is usually constrained to a relatively small and predictable area of seabed (Mayor & Solan 2011, Cromey et al. 2012), whereas the latter has less definitive spatial boundaries and can only be ‘sustained’ if the waste material can be assimilated at the rate at which it is being delivered without impacting living or non-living resources (Goldberg 1981). More specifically, in a fish-farming context, assimilative capacity has been defined as the rate of deposition of organic wastes that maximizes total organic carbon degradation rates while preventing adverse geochemical conditions (Bravo & Grant 2018). Implicit in these definitions are several important questions, including: What is the maximum rate of degradation? How is the waste assimilated and mineralized in the surrounding environment? But also, more broadly: Are any ecologically significant organisms being affected? If so, on what spatial scale? The significance of these questions is also amplified when the activity is occurring in the vicinity of commercially important wild fisheries (e.g. abalone and lobster; Walters 2007, Lisson 2014).

Knowledge gaps related to how fish farm waste is assimilated and mineralized in dispersive locations can also lead to confusion over what constitutes an optimal site. The physical properties of depth and current speed are used synonymously as desirable attributes for dispersion (Ibrekk et al. 1993, Hargrave 2002, Belle & Nash 2008), and although both promote dispersion, they can occur independently and induce quite different effects. Higher current speeds clearly transport waste greater horizontal distances, thus creating a broader footprint (Frid & Mercer 1989, Mayor & Solan 2011), but particles can also resuspend and undergo secondary transportation due to elevated critical shear stresses (Cromey et al. 2002, Law et al. 2016). As a result, organic accumulation can be minimal (Keeley et al. 2013a, Broch et al. 2017). Additionally, strong currents ensure good oxygen supply to the seabed, which fuels benthic respiratory processes (Findlay & Watling 1997), whereas water depth increases dispersion due to the increased transit time of the particles. In the presence of moderate currents, waste can be dispersed over relatively large distances (Kutti et al. 2007a), but in the absence of horizontal dispersion, waste dispersal can also be minimal (Valdemarsen et al. 2012). Although deep locations (i.e. fjords) can have strong currents in surface waters, mid- and near-bottom current speeds are typically very low, resulting in minimal resuspension (Bannister et al. 2016). Metabolic rates and particle breakdown may also be impaired due to reduced water temperatures at depth (Brown et al. 2004), thereby contributing to the accumulation of biodeposits. Differences in metabolic capacities between deep and shallow sites may also be exacerbated by contrasting sediment grain sizes, which tend to be coarser in high current settings, as this physical property can influence decomposition rates and thus impact potential (Papageorgiou et al. 2010, Martinez-Garcia et al. 2015).
A useful first step in understanding the assimilative capacity of shallow dispersive sites is therefore comprehending how much waste is being metabolized locally in the ‘near-field’ (<1000 m away), and accordingly, how much is being exported and potentially available to induce ‘far-field’ effects. Here we present a detailed case study based around a large, high-capacity farm situated in a dispersive environment in a semi-exposed coastal ecosystem on the central west coast of Norway. The flux of waste from the farm was measured in conjunction with the benthic response in both space, within the extended near-field, and through time, spanning a full production cycle. A comprehensive suite of process-related variables was measured on each occasion, which provides for assessments of resilience and relative assimilative capacity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Site description and farm production

This study was undertaken at a high-capacity salmon farm situated amidst semi-exposed rocky coastal island clusters in the Frøya region on the central west coast of Norway (63.7° N; Fig. 1A,B). Wind and wave exposure is relatively high, as the surrounding low-lying islands offer little protection from wind and long-period southerly swells can propagate up the channels near the farm. The seabed around the farm comprised mixed substrates, with a complex bathymetry due to the many large rocky-reef structures separated by areas with soft sediments. Average current speeds (measured 4 m above the seabed) were affected by seasonal conditions (wind and swell) varying between 3 and 8 cm s⁻¹, with maximum speeds regularly exceeding 25 cm s⁻¹. The predominant current direction and net transportation is to the NNE during the flooding tide, with shorter periods of tidal reversal when currents flowed in a southerly direction. The primary study transect was oriented in accordance with the prevailing currents (Fig. 1C) over a relatively flat area with homogenous sand at 31–40 m water depth.

The study farm had been operated in single-year-class production (single cohort grown through to harvest) for 15 yr spanning 9 sequential production cycles. During the survey period, the farm comprised 14 × 60 m diameter circular cages spread over ca. 11 ha of seabed (Fig. 1), which produced a total of ca. 9000 t of fish. There are ca. 50 other operational farms in the wider region, many with comparable levels of production, the closest of which is 2.5 km to the north. Juvenile Atlantic salmon were introduced to the cages in May 2015 and harvested between May and June 2016. Harvest occurred early and at a smaller fish size than usual due to a disease outbreak, which may have also affected appetite and therefore feed use during the late stages. Feed use and associated waste discharge increased rapidly during the first 7 mo of the grow-out period, with 2 peaks on either side of the winter months (October–February). Average daily feed use at peak production just prior to harvesting was 35 t (Fig. 2). The farms are managed on a single-cohort basis and a fallow period of between 5 and 7 mo is implemented after each harvest.

2.2. Sampling design

Four sampling periods were designated a priori to coincide with: (1) when the fish were first introduced, after a 7 mo fallow period (August 2015 = ‘early production’), (2) mid-production cycle (February 2016 = ‘mid-production’), (3) at peak production/pre-harvest (May 2016 = ‘pre-harvest’) and (4) 7 mo after the last fish were harvested (January 2017 = ‘post-fallow’) (Fig. 2). The early harvesting meant that approx. one-third of the cages were recently emptied at the time of the ‘pre-harvest’ survey.

Sampling stations were established at 2 beside-cage locations at 0 m (labeled 0m-A and 0m-B), and at 50, 100, 200, 400 and 600 m northward from the edge of the northernmost cage (Fig. 1C), as well as at 3 more distant ‘reference’ locations with similar substrates and depths (1100, 1200 and 4000 m). The distances used to denote the stations pertain to the distance to the nearest farm. The 1200 m station was situated ~2100 m NE of the main study farm but 1200 m WSW of an adjacent farm operating at similar production levels (Fig. 1). The early harvesting meant that approx. one-third of the cages were recently emptied at the time of the ‘pre-harvest’ survey.

Depositional flux rates, multiple benthic environmental variables and benthic respiration were measured at each station during each sampling event. The only exception to this was during the early production survey, when the 0m-B, 1100 m and 4000 m stations were not sampled. These stations were added to the sampling array from the second mid-production survey. Additionally, it was not possible to obtain depositional flux measurements from the 50 and 100 m
Fig. 1. (A) Location of the Frøya region on the west coast of Norway. (B) Study farm location within the Frøya Island network and locations of past/present salmon farms. (C) Location of the farm in relation to the mixed-substrate seabed (modified from Plassen et al. 2014) and indicating the position of the main transect and the 1100, 1200 and 4000 m sampling stations (station distances pertain to distances from the nearest farm).
stations during the peak-production survey due to commercial boat traffic for harvesting and other access issues.

2.2.1. Depositional flux measurements

Deposition of suspended particulate matter was measured by deploying sediment traps at each station for a period of 8–14 d immediately prior to conducting the benthic sampling. Each mooring supported 2 frames, each holding duplicate sediment traps (inner diameter: 10 cm, aspect ratio: 6, distance between cylinders: 90 cm), with 1 frame 2.5 m above the bottom (mAB) and the other 15 mAB (mid-water). Before deployment, all collecting cylinders were filled with clean seawater taken from the deployment site and 500 ml saltwater (45‰) with ca. 4% buffered formalin added at the bottom of the cylinders as a preservative. After retrieval, the sediment traps were left to settle for 6–12 h before the top 70–80% of the water was carefully decanted off and the remaining sample (<2 l) transferred to a sample container and retained for subsequent analysis.

In the laboratory, the volume of the sample was recorded before the contents were homogenized (by vigorous shaking) and a representative 1 l sub-sample was taken. The 1 l sub-sample was then further subsampled with a 50 ml Bird pipette after again homogenizing the contents. Three sub-samples from each collection cylinder were filtered through precombusted and weighed filters (47 mm Whatman GF/F). Two filters were for analysis of total particulate matter (TPM) and particulate organic matter (POM) after rinsing with 50 ml of ammonium formate to remove salts and preservative. The third filter for stable isotope (δ13C and δ15N) analysis was rinsed with 50 ml of filtered seawater. Conspicuous organisms (e.g. copepods) were removed from the filters manually using a pair of forceps.

The dry weight of TPM on the filters was determined gravimetrically after the material was dried at 105°C for 24 h. POM on the filters was determined as the weight loss after ignition at 450°C for 6 h. Stable isotope analysis was conducted on 7 mm diameter circles stamped out of dried filters using a stainless-steel core punch rinsed with 70% ethanol between samples. The filter subsamples were packed into tin capsules with sample weight dependent on farm production cycle and distance to nearest farm. For peak production, farm locations required one 7 mm diameter subsample, while reference locations required 2–3 such subsamples.

Carbonate was removed from dried sediment samples using a modification of the method described by Komada et al. (2008), and 0.1 g of sediment was weighed out into a glass vial and 5 drops of HCl were added. Samples were allowed to effervesce for 5 min at room temperature and were then placed in a drying oven at 50°C overnight. This process was repeated until effervescence was no longer observed in the majority of samples. For 0.1 g of sediment, approx. 10 acidification cycles and a total of 2.5 ml HCl were required to remove carbonates. Samples were then stored in the oven to keep them dry before 40–50 mg of each sample were weighed out into tin capsules. All samples were analyzed by the University of California, Davis, Stable Isotope Facility for C and N, δ15N and δ13C using a PDZ Europa 20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon). Samples were run against reference materials (bovine liver: δ13C = 21.69, δ15N = 7.72; glutamic acid: δ13C = 16.65, %C = 40.81, δ15N = −6.8, %N = 9.52; enriched alanine: δ15N = 41.13).

2.2.2. Benthic sampling and taxonomy

Triplicate sediment samples were collected from each station using a large, heavily weighted 0.1 m² van Veen grab, which ensured adequate penetration into the sediment. Each successful grab was then sub-sampled for sediment geochemistry and macrofauna. For macrofauna (and respiration), a 10 cm
diameter, 10–15 cm deep core was carefully removed without losing the interstitial waters, and a large bung was placed in the bottom end. Sediment cores were first used in respiration experiments (see Section 2.3.1) and then sieved through a 1 mm mesh. The material retained on the mesh was preserved with buffered 4% formalin and later analyzed for macrofauna. A full taxonomic investigation was conducted, whereby all fauna were picked out, counted and identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level. The organic and inorganic carbon content of the sediment was determined by drying the sediment at 40°C for 48 h followed by combustion at 450°C for 2 h. Total number of species (S), total abundance (N) and Shannon-Wiener diversity index ($H'$, base e) were calculated for each sample.

Extensive video surveys were conducted of the seabed around the farm, along the study transect and at the reference sites during the pre-harvest period using a boat-mounted HD video with external lighting. This enabled a visual qualitative assessment of the benthic conditions based on expert judgment, which served as a visual reference for the measured variables.

### 2.3. Benthic flux experiments

#### 2.3.1. Benthic respiration: natural-core mesocosms

In the laboratory, the sediment cores were placed in a tank containing seawater (ambient surface water temperature). The water level of the tank was below the top rim of the sediment cores and was maintained at a constant level via an overflow. Each sediment core was individually supplied with seawater through 0.5 cm diameter silicone tubing at a rate of approximately 200 ml min$^{-1}$ from a submersible pump in a flow-through system (used water goes to waste) to maintain independence between sediment cores. Fluxes of total carbon dioxide (TCO$_2$), ammonium (NH$_4$) and oxygen (O$_2$) were determined on the sediment cores after 24–36 h of acclimatization. After taking initial water samples from the headspace in each sediment core, gas-tight lids equipped with a micro-pump (VBESTLIFE, 0.9 W, submersible) for circulation were fitted. Sediment cores from the farming and reference locations were incubated for 1.5–3 h and 3–8 h, respectively, depending on respiratory activity, before final water samples were collected. TCO$_2$ samples were preserved with HgCl$_2$ (volume ratio 9:1), stored cool (5°C) and analyzed by flow injection analysis (Hall & Aller 1992) within 20 d of sample collection. Samples for NH$_4$ were stored frozen (−20°C) prior to undergoing colorimetric analysis as described by Kerouel & Aminot (1997). The O$_2$ concentration change during incubations was determined with a FIBOX O$_2$ micro-optode (Pre-Sens). The TCO$_2$ results obtained from the post-fallowing survey were determined to be erroneous due to an analytical fault in that run. Therefore, TCO$_2$ efflux for the post-fallow survey were estimated based on the TCO$_2$ efflux from the jar incubations using the relationship between the results obtained from the previous 2 surveys (i.e. respiration efflux = 2.44 × jar incubation efflux − 0.834, R$^2$ = 0.834).

#### 2.3.2. Total microbial organic carbon oxidation: jar experiments

Bulk sediment samples were used for jar experiments as described by Kristensen & Hansen (1995) and Valdemarsen et al. (2012), where mineralization rates in anoxic sediment were determined from the temporal change of TCO$_2$, NH$_4$ and SO$_4$ in pore-water. Sediment from 2 depth layers (‘shallow’ = 0–3 cm; ‘deep’ = 3–5 cm) in undisturbed areas of the grab was homogenized and transferred to 20 ml glass vials (‘jars,’ n = 12). Any air bubbles were removed and the jars were closed with screw caps and incubated head-down in anoxic sediment in the dark at constant temperature roughly corresponding to the average in situ temperature (8°C). Pore-water was extracted from duplicate jars at 3 d intervals until no more jars remained. Jars were placed head-up in centrifuge tubes and centrifuged (10 min at 1957 × g). Pore-water was then sampled from the jar headspace, GF/C filtered and analyzed for TCO$_2$ and NH$_4$ as described above. The volume-specific reaction rates (nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$) in individual depth layers were calculated from the slope of a plot of concentration vs. time and corrected for sediment porosity (Valdemarsen & Kristensen 2005). The jar rates from the 2 depth layers were then depth integrated (0–5 cm) to provide an estimate of area-specific production rates of CO$_2$ and NH$_4$.

### 2.4. Carbon accounting

The organic carbon flux from the farm was compared to the organic carbon mineralization and accumulation in the surrounding benthic environment by converting all relevant rates to the same units of gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. The organic carbon flux to the benthic environment was derived from the depositional flux data
by multiplying TPM by the particulate organic carbon (POC) content. Benthic consumption \( (C) \) was estimated from the measured parameters based on the previously established general relationship for benthic fauna (Crisp 1984, Brey 2001):

\[
C = E + P + R
\]

where: \( E \) = excretion (\( E_x \), predominantly ammonia, carbon-free) + egestion (\( E_s \)), \( P \) = production = somatic production (\( P_s \)) + gonad production (\( P_g \)), and \( R \) = respiration. For this purpose, \( R \) was the sum of macrofaunal (\( fR \)) and total microbial carbon oxidation (\( mO \)) compartments. Benthic accumulation or burial (\( B \)), which is extraneous to biological consumption, was assessed as the accumulation of carbon directly in the sediments. Organic matter incorporation depth was assessed from depth-stratified core samples (Fig. 3). Hence, the overall carbon budget utilized here is given as follows:

\[
\text{Total carbon utilization} = B + P_s + mO + fR
\]

Definitions for, and descriptions of, all compartments and how they were calculated are provided in Table 1.

Fig. 3. Organic content (determined as the weight loss after ignition at 450°C, LOI450) of sediment with depth (1 cm bins to 5 cm) and distance to nearest farm, from 0 to 1200 m during the pre-harvest survey. Error bars represent ±1 SE.

Table 1. Definitions and methods used to convert accumulation and consumption compartments into standard carbon flux units. TOC: total organic carbon, TOM: total organic matter, LOI450: weight loss after ignition at 450°C, \( t_n \): sampling period.
3. RESULTS

3.1. Depositional fluxes

Depositional fluxes of TPM, total organic matter (TOM) and total organic carbon (TOC) were already elevated out to ~200 m from the farm ca. 5 mo after the juvenile fish were introduced (‘early production’, Fig. 4A). TPM flux rate near the seabed (2.5 mAB) was 21.1 g m⁻² d⁻¹ alongside the cages (0 m), and decreased to 16.7 g m⁻² d⁻¹ at 50 m distance and 4.3 g m⁻² d⁻¹ at 1100 m distance. The carbon content of TPM was generally higher (36−40%) closer to the farm than further away (25−30%), and δ¹³C was consistently low at all stations (Fig. 4A).

During the mid-production survey, depositional fluxes close to the cages had increased 3−4-fold compared to the initial survey (Fig. 4B). Near-bottom TPM fluxes peaked at 73.3 g m⁻² d⁻¹ (28 gC m⁻² d⁻¹) at 0m-B and decreased rapidly out to 400 m. Stations at 400 through 1200 m were elevated (5.9–7.2 g m⁻² d⁻¹) relative to the more distant station (4000 m, 2.7 ± 0.4 g m⁻² d⁻¹). Both %C and δ¹³C also showed clear trends with distance; the former decreased from a peak of 35−39% C at 0 and 50 m to 13.2% C at 1200 m, while δ¹³C showed a clear inverse trend. The gradient evident in the midwater depositional flux values closely mirrored the near-bottom fluxes, only slightly reduced (peaking at 0m-B at 23.6 g m⁻² d⁻¹).

Depositional fluxes during the pre-harvest survey were lower than during mid-production, presumably due to some of the cages having recently been harvested. The 0m-A (2.5 and 15 mAB) and 0m-B were noticeably reduced compared to the previous mid-production survey. Deposition at mid-production was still clearly elevated 2.5 mAB between 200 and 600 m (5.2–8.2 g m⁻² d⁻¹) relative to 1100 and 4000 m (2.7–3.8 g m⁻² d⁻¹). The POC content of deposited TPM remained elevated (compared to previous reference values) out to 600 m, but decreased markedly at the 2 most distant stations. Changes in δ¹³C with distance were less pronounced than the previous survey. Unfortunately, no data were obtained from the 50 and 100 m stations (which we were unable to sample during this survey), or the bottom traps at 0m-B, which were lost during retrieval.

Seven months after harvest, the depositional flux was expectedly low and uniform across all stations, ranging from 3.4–6.4 g m⁻² d⁻¹. Carbon content and δ¹³C were low, approximating reference values, and showed no clear trend with respect to proximity to farm.

3.2. Sediment geochemistry

The sediment along the transect was relatively uniform in composition, being hard-packed and non-cohesive with an average grain size that classified it
as medium to coarse sand (average diameter 0.52 mm, transect range [station average]: 0.31–0.82 mm). Average sediment density and porosity along the transect were 1.5 (range: 1.2–1.6) and 59% (range: 39–68%), respectively, indicative of unconsolidated sandy sediments with a significant irregularly-shaped component (i.e. shell material) (McLachlan & Turner 1994). Sediment organic content (determined as the weight loss after ignition at 450°C, LOI450) was typically 5–10% of dry weight, with values at the 2 most distant stations between 3.1 and 3.5% w/w (Fig. 5). The highest organic content (8.1% w/w) was recorded at 0m-A during mid-production and at the 100 m station during the pre-harvest survey, with elevated values also evident at 50 and 0 m stations and to a lesser extent at 200–600 m stations. Sediment organic content was also elevated during the mid-production survey at 0m-A and 50–200 m stations, when values (range 6.4–8.1% w/w) were approximately twice as high as at the most distant stations.

Sediment δ^{13}C showed clear trends with respect to proximity to the farm (Fig. 5). In the early production survey, δ^{13}C was −24‰ at 0m-A and 50 m and increased progressively with distance to −11 at the 600 m station. At mid-production, δ^{13}C was −25 at 0m-A and increased with distance to values of between −2 and −4 at 1200 and 4000 m, indicating that terrestrial carbon content increased significantly with proximity to the cage. The trend in the pre-harvest sediments was not so evident, with most stations, aside from 400 and 1100 m, having low δ^{13}C values. Post-fallow, a distance gradient was still evident; however, the minimum δ^{13}C (−18) was considerably lower than during early- and mid-production and occurred further down the transect, at the 100 m station.

### 3.3. Macrofauna composition

S and H’ at the furthest (reference) stations (1100–4000 m) ranged from 16–22 taxa core−1 and 2.1–2.6, respectively (Fig. 6). Total abundances beyond 1000 m from the farm ranged from 1700–12700 ind. m−2 over the course of the 4 surveys. At the first, early production survey (Fig. 6), both S and H’ were consistently suppressed out to 200 m, relative to the outer stations. In the mid-production and pre-harvest surveys, S and H’ were suppressed (ranging from 6–12 taxa and 0.8–1.5 H’) to a similar degree out to 600 m from the cages. The most affected station was 0m-B during the mid-production survey, with 5.6 taxa and 0.9 H’.

During early production, total abundance (Fig. 6) was clearly elevated 0–600 m from the cages relative to the most distant (reference) stations, with approx. 84 000 ind. m−2 at 0 m, tapering to 18 000 m−2 at 400 m. However, 3 mo later, at mid-production, abundances were substantially higher, peaking at 0m-A with 598 000 ind. m−2 and reducing to 101 000 ind. m−2 at 600 m. At the 0 m stations, Capitella sp. comprised the vast majority by abundance (69–83%), whereas between 50 and 600 m distance, capitellids
comprised approximately 40–50% of the macrofauna. Total abundances during the pre-harvest survey were comparable to the mid-production survey. At mid-production, macrofaunal densities were still clearly elevated out to 600 m, but the difference between the densities at 0 m and the outer transect stations was not as pronounced, with 0 and 50 m stations being more comparable (310 000–380 000 ind. m$^{-2}$) to the 50 to 400 m stations (210 000 and 240 000 ind. m$^{-2}$). During the pre-harvest survey, *Ophryotrocha* sp. were most abundant at 0m-A (12 500 ind. m$^{-2}$) followed by 0m-B and then 50 m, but were only present in very low densities at distances >50 m. After the short fallow period, total densities were greatly reduced and indicative of early production densities. The capitellid population was virtually absent.

### 3.4. Benthic flux experiments

#### 3.4.1. Benthic respiration: natural-core mesocosms

Respiration experiments were not conducted during the initial, early production survey. During the mid-production survey, TCO$_2$ effluxes were strongly elevated along the transect, generally increasing with proximity to the cages, although peaking at 50 m ($359 \pm 100$ mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, Fig. 7). By comparison, TCO$_2$ effluxes at the most distant stations (1100–4000 m) were between 0 and 13.9 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. TCO$_2$ effluxes during the pre-harvest survey were comparable, but notably elevated further out along the transect, at the 100 and 200 m stations (130 and 230 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively). Overall, TCO$_2$ effluxes were approximately twice as high as O$_2$ fluxes during both surveys. Estimated TCO$_2$ fluxes during the post-fallow survey were relatively low, ranging between 5 and 50 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$.

Trends in NH$_4$ efflux mirrored O$_2$ uptake, except for during the mid-production survey when NH$_4$ efflux at 0m-B and 100 m was seemingly suppressed relative to O$_2$ uptake. During the first survey, O$_2$ uptake peaked at 0m-B at 140 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, compared to rates of between 7.9 and 12.1 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at the most distance reference stations, representing a 10-fold increase due to farm wastes. Notably, O$_2$ uptake was significantly elevated at 600 m relative to the more distant stations and increased gradually toward 200 m.
with larger sequential increases from 100 m < 50 m < 0 m. The rates and spatial trends during the pre-harvest survey were similar, with the main differences being generally increased NH$_4$ efflux and O$_2$ uptake away from the cages, from 50 through 200 m. Additionally, O$_2$ uptake was approximately 3 times as high at 1100 m relative to 1200 and 4000 m.

After the fallow period, NH$_4$ efflux and O$_2$ uptake were greatly reduced, with all stations recording near-background levels. The only exceptions were 50 and 100 m, which were slightly elevated relative to the more distant stations.

3.4.2. Total microbial oxidation of organic carbon

TCO$_2$ production rates from the jar incubations of defaunated sediments closely mirrored TCO$_2$ and O$_2$ fluxes from the natural core mesocosms during the mid-production and pre-harvest surveys (Fig. 7). A clear gradient was evident from the 0 and 50 m stations out to 1200 m. TCO$_2$ efflux from sediments peaked at the 50 m station during mid-production (134 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) and at 0m-B (135 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) during the pre-harvest survey. In both cases, the 5 cm sediment depth fluxes were 31–35% of the corre-
sponding mesocosm fluxes. Microbial respiration was more elevated further out along the transect during the pre-harvest survey than during mid-production. A clear gradient with respect to distance from farms was still evident post-fallow, although levels were much lower (2.3–20.9 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). During the whole study, 35–40% of total depth integrated TCO\(_2\) flux occurred in the top 2 cm.

During the mid-production and pre-harvest surveys, TCO\(_2\) efflux was more than twice as high as total microbial TCO\(_2\) production based on jar experiments, indicating a high influence of faunal respiration on TCO\(_2\) fluxes out to 100–200 m distance from the nearest farm (Fig. 7, second row). Further away, microbial respiration often comprised >50% of the total TCO\(_2\) production, and on 2 occasions (at 4000 and 400 m), microbial respiration exceeded fluxes produced by a natural core in mesocosm experiments.

### 3.5. Carbon inputs and utilization

For this part of the analysis, the flux of carbon (gC m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) into, or out of, each of the compartments: deposition, sediment burial (B), somatic growth/production (Ps), macrofauna respiration (fR) and microbial and chemical respiration (mO) were calculated as described in Table 1. Between the early production and mid-production surveys, organic carbon burial beneath the cages (0m-A and 0m-B) occurred at an average rate of 0.96 gC m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). Between mid-production and pre-harvest, this rate reduced to approximately 0.25 gC m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), after which the flux was slightly negative (i.e. an overall reduction in carbon content in sediments) suggesting release to the water column or decomposition (Fig. 8A1). At 50 and 100 m stations, average carbon burial was low during the first 2 periods, but significantly elevated between...
mid-production and pre-harvest, accumulating at a rate of 2.9 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Fig. 8A2). Further away (400–600 m), carbon burial was negligible during the first 2 periods; however, the notable increase in sediment carbon content at the pre-harvest survey indicated a significant burial event at a time-averaged rate of 1.4 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Fig. 8A3). Carbon burial during the mid-production to pre-harvest period was approximately equivalent to the flux measured 2.5 m above the seabed. A similar but much less pronounced trend was evident in the third period at the 1100–4000 m stations (Fig. 8A4), at which point the accumulation rate accounted for approximately half of the depositional flux.

Beneath the cages (0 m), somatic growth/production ($P_s$, reflecting change in estimated macrofauna biomass between survey periods) was positive (0.8 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) between early- and mid-production (Fig. 8B1). Standing biomass was estimated to be less at the pre-harvest survey, indicating a net loss (~0.7 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) in carbon from the benthos, and net loss increased between pre-harvest and post-fallow (~1.2 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) as the previously highly abundant opportunistic taxa disappeared from the benthos. At the 50–100 m stations, weak positive somatic growth was observed between mid-production and pre-harvest, but negative growth was observed between then and the final survey (Fig. 8B2). Similar patterns were evident at 400–600 m stations but on a much smaller and negligible scale.

Organic carbon loss from the sediment due to macrofauna respiratory processes peaked beneath the cages during the mid-production and pre-harvest surveys at 2.1 and 2.3 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 8C1). Interestingly, the higher values at pre-harvest occurred after the fauna biomass peak at mid-production. A similar response was observed at the 50–100 m stations, except that the peak was observed at mid-production rather than at pre-harvest (Fig. 8C2). Similar temporal responses were observed further away at both the 400–600 m stations and the 1100–4000 m stations, only on a much smaller scale, peaking at 0.4 and 0.05 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 8C3,C4).

Carbon release due principally to chemical and microbial processes (depth-integrated to 5 cm) followed a very similar pattern to that described for the fauna due to the correlated TCO$_2$ fluxes from the mesocosms and jar experiments. The defaunated sediments accounted for a small but consistent amount of the total flux at the 0, 50–100 and the 400–600 m distances. Microbial respiration peaked during pre-harvest at 0.7 and 0.2 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at 0 and 400–600 m, respectively. Microbial respiration at the distant stations (1100–4000 m) was negligible by comparison.

**4. DISCUSSION**

Salmon farms operating in relatively shallow, dispersive coastal ecosystems with sandy sediments can produce comparatively large benthic environmental footprints. Clear changes to the chemical and biological variables spatially and temporally consistent with farm-induced enrichment were evident out to 600 m from the cages. The horizontal extent of this footprint is 4–10 times larger as what has typically been assessed for fish farms located in more conventional low-flow sites of similar depth (ca. 40–150 m distance: Brooks et al. 2002, Hargrave 2003, Giles 2008, Keeley et al. 2013a). While Kutti et al. (2007b) identified a larger footprint than is described here, that was from a single-mooring farm situated within a deep (230 m) fjord, where the ability of the cages to move with the prevailing currents and the greater depth permitted greater dispersal and spread of waste particles (Cromey et al. 2002). Assessments from other dispersive sites situated in comparable depths of 30–50 m revealed effects out to 300–400 m from the cages (Keeley et al. 2013a,b). Traces of farm waste have been recorded at greater distances; for example, changes in fatty acid (White et al. 2017) and stable isotope (Sarà 2007, Woodcock et al. 2018) composition have been identified 500 and 1000 m away, respectively. However, these ‘tracer’ studies did not make clear links to corresponding ecological effects per se, and are therefore best interpreted as defining a zone of possible influence. Our study revealed both elevated depositional fluxes and clear ecological changes in the seabed 600 m away from the farm, and the outer extent of the effects boundary was assessed to be somewhere between 600 and 1100 m away.

Comprehension of this spatial scale is important for the design of benthic monitoring programs and for farm positioning, particularly with regard to avoiding overlapping effects and maintaining appropriate distances from any potentially sensitive habitats. For this study, an assumption was made *a priori* that locations beyond 1 km from any farm should be indicative of natural conditions and therefore should be appropriate for use as ‘reference’ stations. In retrospect, a distance of 1.5–2 km may have been more appropriate, as our results suggest that both the 1100 and 1200 m stations were probably periodically exposed to low levels of farm waste. With that in mind, it is in-
teresting to note that in this instance, finding suitable reference locations was challenged by substrate and depth availability, but also by the proximity to neighboring farms. Benthic footprints from farms situated in dynamic water bodies are, however, unlikely to be uniformly distributed around the farm as the stronger tide- or wave-driven currents elongate the footprint in the prevailing directions of transportation (Keeley et al. 2013b, Broch et al. 2017). In this example, reasonably distinct channels existed between large rock reefs and islands, which presumably influenced the hydrodynamics and exaggerated the bilateral distortion of the footprint to some degree. The chosen transect was deliberately located in the primary ‘down-current’ direction where the spatial extent of effects was greatest. Particle transportation, and with that, benthic enrichment effects, in directions perpendicular to the main currents were presumably relatively constrained. Hence, site-specific factors are paramount when evaluating new sites. Conducting high-resolution bathymetric surveys and spatially explicit waste dispersal modeling is particularly important for predicting effects footprints at dispersive or bathymetrically complex sites.

Despite the strong benthic effects gradient that was observed, the seabed along the main study transect remained visibly unimpacted, which meant there was a clear disconnect between visual indicators and actual biological and geochemical status of the seabed. This clearly poses a problem for monitoring programs based on visual indicators such as bacterial mat coverage, presence of feces and feed pellets, sediment color and biological changes (e.g. Crawford et al. 2001, Wilson et al. 2009). Presence of hydrogen sulfide odor, which can also be used as an effects indicator, was also noticeably weak in near-farm sediments. At peak production, some fish feces were evident in small pockets immediately adjacent to the cages, but these aggregations were temporarily variable (presumably due to periodic resuspension) and consistently absent >50 m away from the farm. Likewise, the use of other indicators such as the depth of the redox discontinuity layer (O’Reilly et al. 2006) and acoustic backscatter (Wildish et al. 2004) are also likely to be limited in effectiveness as the sediments were not conventionally organically enriched and generally lacked grey/black discontinuity layers. The strong environmental gradient that was observed was best elucidated by the extraction and identification of the faunal community and the study of geochemical fluxes in undisturbed cores. In the absence of these parameters, it would be easy to wrongly assume that effects were negligible and the vast majority of waste is being exported. Visually-based assessments of dispersive sites are therefore prone to risk of returning a false negative.

An important finding from this study was the high level of responsiveness and therefore resilience observed in the geochemical and biological properties of the near-farm sediments. Over the course of the production cycle, the sediments went from being very minimally impacted at the start of the production cycle, to being highly geochemically active with an extremely prolific population of opportunistic worms 3 mo later. Seven months after final harvesting, the very high respiratory activity that was present during production had almost ceased and the opportunistic taxa had all but disappeared. Notably, there were no signs of incremental degradation or of organic accumulation in the sediments, suggesting sustainability in relation to the production cycles. While similarly rapid responses have been described for chemical remediation in sediments (Brooks et al. 2003), full recovery is normally expected to take several years mainly due to the biological component (Brooks et al. 2004, Borja et al. 2010, Keeley et al. 2014). In this case, it was notable that although total abundance, and in particular abundances of Capitella spp., quickly reverted to a normal range, macrofauna diversity and species richness remained suppressed at the conclusion of the fallow period, suggesting that rare and/or more sensitive species had not yet recolonized the sediments.

The seemingly anomalous relationship between very high fish production levels and the general benthic enrichment state was confirmed by the measured depositional flux rates which were strongly elevated (maximum of 20–27 gC m⁻² d⁻¹) and at levels that would normally be associated with highly degraded conditions. By comparison, comparable fluxes (12–23 gC m⁻² d⁻¹) were measured at a low current site in the Norwegian fjords in conjunction with a severely impoverished benthic fauna and benthic malfunctioning (Valdemarsen et al. 2015). Even lower fluxes have been associated with the approximate threshold at which sediment becomes azoic (2.5–4.8 gC m⁻² d⁻¹; Findlay & Watling 1997) and when persistent anoxia, gross pollution and very low macrofauna diversity can be expected (10 gC m⁻² d⁻¹; Hargrave et al. 2008) at low flow sites. In another example, Keelley et al. (2013b) equated a depositional flux of 11.2 gC m⁻² d⁻¹ to highly enriched, but not yet anoxic or azoic, conditions for dispersive sites. Notably, that example was under sustained inputs with multi-year-class farming, and assuming it is applicable to other dispersive locations, the long-term sustainability of the current study...
site may have been partly a result of the single-year-class fallowing strategy.

The process by which this resilience (as indicated by the capacity to recover quickly) was afforded can be attributed to the physical properties of the site, which culminated in a high assimilative capacity (defined here as the ability to cope with organic waste without resulting in unacceptable biological or geochemical conditions). In this case, the resilience was therefore explained by the extremely prolific opportunistic macrofauna assemblage, which was in turn supported by: (1) an unlimited food supply, (2) resuspension, not allowing the particles to settle long enough to consolidate and accumulate (e.g. depositional modeling [DEPOMOD] uses a consolidation time for organic particles of 4 d; Cromey et al. 2002) and (3) essentially unlimited oxygen supply buffering against anoxia (Findlay & Watling 1997, Keeley et al. 2013a). This was clearly evident in the responsiveness of the macrofaunal population and its relatively large contribution to the carbon utilization.

Another potentially important physical site characteristic influencing waste assimilation and resilience was the composition of the sediments. Sediment morphology has the potential to influence both the ecological suitability for the macrofauna and mineralization of organic matter more directly through microbial pathways. An unlimited oxygen supply to organically enriched sediments facilitates maximal rates of microbial mineralization, and minimizes accumulation of organic matter and potentially toxic by-products of microbial processes such as hydrogen sulfide (Valdemarsen et al. 2009, Martinez-Garcia et al. 2015). The extent to which this occurs is a function of pore-water residence time, which strongly influences the mineralization of organic matter and nutrient release to overlying waters (Santos et al. 2012). While traditional low-flow depositional sites tend to have cohesive non-permeable muds, the sandy, unconsolidated sediments here presumably had a relatively high 3-dimensional aspect that would promote solute distribution and transportation, and the establishment of geochemical reaction zones, which facilitates benthic production and decomposition (Huettel et al. 2014). Of the multiple physical factors that drive pore-water advection (Santos et al. 2012), the ones that were most likely to be relevant at this location were flow-induced advection, shear stresses and to a lesser degree, wave pumping and ripple migration (as some small wave-ripples were evident during some of the video surveys). All of these factors are a product of the hydrodynamics of a site, and hence grain size cannot sensibly be considered in isolation.

Despite being somewhat dwarfed by the macrofaunal component, the contribution to carbon utilization attributed to the microbes of between 0.5 and 0.7 gC m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) was still considered significant. High TCO\(_2\) effluxes relative to the O\(_2\) fluxes indicate the prevalence of anaerobic pathways during the peak production periods, which would have been partly facilitated by bacteria implying in sulfate reduction (Hargrave et al. 2008, Valdemarsen et al. 2009). The composition of the microbial community may also be related to physical grain size which has been linked to microbial capacity, respiration and carbon turnover, as microbes preferentially grow on sandy substrates (Miller 1989). However, the links between microbial groups and ecosystem function in permeable sediments are not yet fully understood (Huettel et al. 2014). Additionally, in strongly organically enriched situations, the microbial flora associated with the organic waste is more likely to predominate over that of the natural substrates. It is also conceivable that the permeability of the sediments could eventually become compromised by the finer waste deposits close to the farm filling the interstitial spaces, thereby favoring biologically driven processes (e.g. bio-irrigation, detrital consumption by fauna) over the advection-related process mentioned above (Huettel et al. 2014). However, this was not apparent in the grain size or porosity statistics, which indicated no clear trend with proximity to farm.

Although highly responsive and remaining biologically functional, the benthic processes measured in this study accounted for between 30 and 100% of the organic waste that was emitted from the farm, dependent on space and time (Fig. 9). During the early and mid-stages of the farming period, approximately 30–40% of the carbon was being metabolized by the benthos in the immediate vicinity, leaving 60–70% unaccounted for and available to influence other parts of the environment. The most likely pathways for this extraneous waste are: (1) break-up, decomposition or assimilation in the water column, the scope for which is presumably exacerbated by turbulence and resuspension increasing time spent in the water column, (2) consumption or enhanced bio-irrigation by large benthic fauna (e.g. mobile epifauna such as sea cucumbers, and sparse infauna such as large bivalves or lugworms) that are not well enumerated by conventional benthic sampling, and/or (3) transported further away and deposited in a dilute form in far-field depositional areas. The scope for far-field effects from sedimentation and eutrophication are often acknowledged, but the subtle and intangible nature means assessments mostly draw on
predictive models and involve much speculation (Hargrave 2003). In one such modeling scenario, Bannister et al. (2016) predicted that approximately 25% of waste may fall >500 m from the farm and 2.7% >2 km away. Although reasonably consistent with the bottom-up approach taken in this study, it is difficult to make direct comparisons, as that study was conducted in a deep fjord system.

Nevertheless, it is reasonable to conclude from the data provided here, that organic waste from highly productive disperse sites has the potential to influence larger areas than previously perceived, i.e. it has far-field effects. As such, there is increased capacity to influence food webs and specific benthic fauna that are not traditionally monitored, some of which may be ecologically or commercially important. This finding is reinforced by a related study by Woodcock et al. (2018), who identified traces of waste feed in 2 species of mobile epifauna up to 1 km from the same farm; one of these species was the commercially harvested brown crab *Cancer pagurus*. It would logically follow that the scope for far-field effects is greater in disperse regions containing multiple farms with potentially overlapping footprints, where effects are most likely to manifest in naturally depositional areas in the vicinity. However, a critical unknown factor that will dictate the scope for such effects concerns the fate and longevity of particles in the water column, which warrants further investigation. Moreover, whether the influence from such mild enrichment is positive or negative is a matter of subjectivity, and is also likely to be very species-specific. In particular, the effects should also be considered in the context of integrated multi-trophic level aquaculture, whereby there can be benefits to other commercial valuable species (e.g. Troell et al. 2009).

The carbon accounting calculations in space and time also revealed an interesting burial event that occurred along the transect down-current from, but not directly beneath, the cages (Fig. 9). This burial event coincided with the late stages of production as well the spring/early summer period, when primary production is often elevated (Erga et al. 2017, Paulino et al. 2018). The event may therefore be partly a natural/seasonal process, but the trends with respect to farm proximity and timing in relation the production cycle would suggest that it was principally a response to farm waste discharges. Curiously, at 400−600 m from the farm, the estimated consumption of organic waste by the benthos late in the production cycle actually exceeded the level of deposition flux. One plausible explanation is that there is often a lag of 1 to 3 mo between the deposition of POM and its mineralization and associated peaks in oxygen consumption rates (Hargrave 1978, Rudnick & Oviatt 1986). Hence, most of the sediment incorporation likely occurred a few months after peak production, when water temperatures and biological activity were also higher. A further explanation may be that the flux measurements under-represented the flux at the sediment–water interface. The flux measurements here were from 2.5 m above the seabed, but
subsequent video observations from the site at a similar time of year revealed elevated levels of particulate matter being transported on or just above the seabed that was not being sampled by the traps. Benthic boundary layer fluxes of particles are a known artifact of relationships between boundary shear stresses and the Rouse parameter and can result in significant near-bottom horizontal transportation of particles (Rouse 1940, Thomsen et al. 2002). The macrofaunal attrition that occurred in response to reducing and ceasing feed inputs may also have contributed to both the elevated near-bottom organic detritus and the observed accumulation events. Expiring fauna will either be mineralized and integrated into the sediment or released in particulate form and transported away by the currents. The absence of an accumulation event at the 0 m stations is difficult to explain, although it may be a function of accelerated currents beneath aquaculture structures (Turner et al. 2016), which would promote scouring and prevent particles from settling.

5. CONCLUSION

This study provides a comprehensive assessment of organic waste output and benthic functioning at a high-capacity farm situated in a relatively shallow, dispersive site in western Norway. The sandy benthic substrate proved resilient to artificially high levels of organic enrichment. The benthic fauna and biogeochemical exchanges between sediment and water responded dramatically to increased farm production and returned to pre-stocking state within 7 mo of the farm being harvested. The metabolic activity of macrofauna accounted for the majority of the measured benthic waste assimilation, and the ability to do so was ultimately attributed to the physical properties (i.e. hydrodynamics) of the site. Although assimilative during production, substantive fauna attrition at the end of the production cycle may also release carbon back to the system. Collectively, our results suggest that single-year-class sea cage farming at dispersive sites with routine fallowing appears to be sustainable (maintaining a functional benthos and exhibiting rapid partial recovery) with peak depositional fluxes on the order of 25 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. This finding is somewhat contrary to previous suggestions that site depth is a critical factor for waste dispersal and effects mitigation. Here, the under-pen depth was less than half of the total water column depth, suggesting that current speeds and sediment type can have an overriding influence.

The drawback to farming in dispersive environments is that the footprint is larger than for non-dispersive locations, which means greater consideration must be given to farm positioning and proximity to valuable habitats and fisheries resources. There is also increased scope for minor ecological changes in the far-field, especially if there are multiple farms in the same water body. A recommended safe distance for the siting of uninfluenced reference stations is 2 km from the nearest farm. This study also emphasizes that absence of organic accumulation or any obvious visual evidence of effects does not necessarily equate to no impact; rather, pronounced ecological changes may be occurring but in a more aerobic and readily reversible manner. However, whilst the benthic condition returned to the previous pre-stocking state by the end of the fallowing period, macrofaunal diversity remained compromised. The long-term sustainability of farming a site can be evaluated by routinely monitoring the benthic condition at the end of the fallowing period and looking for degenerative trends between harvest cycles.
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Appendix.

Table A1. Numerically dominant fauna with proportion that each taxon comprised out of the total number of individuals (for the entire dataset), measured average individual biomass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>% of total number of individuals</th>
<th>Average individual biomass (µg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capitella sp.</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematoda</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligochaeta</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophryotrocha sp.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorvilleidae</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>