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Pyromellitic dianhydride (PMDA) may cause occupational asthma

Milene Torp Madsen,1 Lars Rauff Skadhauge,2 Anders Daldorph Nielsen,1 Jesper Baelum,1 David Lee Sherson1,3

ABSTRACT

Introduction Anhydrides are widely used as cross-linking agents in epoxy resins and alkyd production, for example, as coatings and adhesives in plastic products. Sensitisation to several anhydrides is known to cause occupational asthma. There are indications that the lesser known pyromellitic dianhydride (PMDA) can cause irritative respiratory symptoms and possibly asthma. We report three cases of workers from a plastic foil manufacturing plant, who developed asthma when exposed to PMDA during specific inhalation challenge (SIC).

Methods SIC was performed over 2 days according to recommendations of European Respiratory Society. Lactose powder was used in control challenges and a mixture of 10% PMDA and 90% lactose powder in active challenges.

Results All cases experienced a delayed decrease in forced expiratory flow in 1 s (FEV₁) 4–12 hours after active challenge. FEV₁, decreased by 19%, 15% and 16%, respectively. After 21 hours, FEV₁ decreased by 24% in one worker.

Discussion Respiratory symptoms after working hours may represent delayed work-related asthma. During SIC, the three patients developed lower respiratory symptoms and a delayed decrease in FEV₁, which suggest sensitisation. The mechanism of anhydride-related asthma is not well understood. Anhydrides are known irritants and hence an irritative response cannot be excluded. The company improved ventilation and enforced the use of respiratory protection equipment, and finally phased out PMDA. Occupational workplace risk identification may help to identify exposures. SIC can contribute to improving working conditions, by identifying and confirming asthmogens in the environment.

INTRODUCTION

Pyromellitic dianhydride (PMDA) is one of several highly reactive acid anhydrides and used extensively in the production of thermoplastics and high-performance coatings.1–5 Anhydrides including PMDA are respiratory irritants and immediate-type sensitisers.1 Some anhydrides have been associated with occupational asthma.1–4,6 PMDA has also been related to occupational asthma.1,3–4 This short report presents three cases where asthma due to PMDA exposure is suggested.

Three workers in a plastic foil manufacturing plant were referred to the Department of Occupational Medicine due to work-related respiratory symptoms. Case A was a 52-year-old ex-smoking male maintenance worker with no previous respiratory symptoms. He had worked for 16 years at the plant. Case B was a 46-year-old non-smoking male operator, working for 4 years with control and monitoring of the extrusion process. He had no previous respiratory symptoms. Case C was a 46-year-old non-smoking male electrician, who had atopic dermatitis and hay fever as a child. He was employed for 24 years.

PMDA had been used at the plant since 2008, to increase the viscosity of plastic food packaging products used in the food industry. Initially, PMDA powder was poured directly in a funnel from 10 kg bags. After a few years, the PMDA bags were opened and added in industrial glove boxes to reduce dust exposure.

In 2014, the company introduced a new larger extruder, where PMDA was added in a semi-open dosing system several metres above the main working area. In the spring of 2015, local exhaust ventilation in the new extruder was closed for repairs. Three employees developed respiratory symptoms within a few months after the new extruder was introduced, particularly when
changing filters and during reparations. Respiratory protective equipment was occasionally used during these work processes.

**METHODS**

Initial risk identification was performed during workplace visits. Clinical histories focused on individual and occupational risk factors. Serial peak expiratory flow measurements were registered during work and weekends. Medical examinations included chest X-ray and spirometry using an EasyOne Spirometer (nnd Medical Technologies, Andover, Massachusetts, USA). Methacholine Challenge Test was performed according to standardised procedures, delivered from a nebuliser (Jaeger APS-system) by breath-activated dosimeter method in increasing dosages. The response to methacholine is measured as the provocation dose that results in a 20% fall in forced expiratory flow in 1 s (FEV₁) compared with baseline FEV₁ (PD₂₀ methacholine).

Specific immunoglobulin (Ig) E to common aeroallergens and available anhydrides were measured using kits from ThermoFisher.

**Specific inhalation challenge (SIC)**

SIC was performed according to the recommendations of the European Respiratory Society. Asthma treatment was carefully reduced before the challenge. SIC was performed in a 7 m³ challenge chamber at the outpatient asthma clinic, Odense University Hospital. Temperature, CO₂, humidity, air exchange and dust particles (DustTak®, TSI, Shoreview, Minnesota, USA) were monitored. Day 1: control challenge with lactose powder. Day 2: active challenge, with pouring 200 g powder (90% lactose and 10% PMDA) back and forth between two trays. Dust levels were between 0.8 and 3.0 mg/m³. The active challenge was followed by hospitalisation at the Pulmonary Department. FEV₁ was registered frequently the first hour and every hour in the additional 10–14 hours thereafter.

**RESULTS**

There were no PMDA air measurements from the workplace. Clinical characteristics of the three cases and their responses to SIC are summarised in table 1. Pre-SIC spirometry showed FEV₁ of 88%, 69% and 106% of predicted values, respectively. Case A had positive specific IgE for three of the five anhydrides. The two other cases had elevated specific IgE for many common aeroallergens. During PMDA challenge, FEV₁ fell 19%, 15% and 16% after 6 to 12 hours (see figure 1). After 21 hours, FEV₁ fell by 24% in case B. Termination of PMDA exposure at the plastic foil manufacturing plant resulted in symptoms reduction and in two cases significant improvement in lung function.

**DISCUSSION**

The present results suggest that PMDA exposure may cause occupational asthma. Various anhydrides have been associated with asthma. PMDA has also been linked to rhinitis, haemorrhagic rhinitis and bronchial hyper-responsiveness. A limited number of PMDA-related asthma symptoms have been described. A Japanese group reported two possible cases of occupational asthma caused by PMDA. A study with workplace challenges demonstrated asthma in one worker after mixing epoxy and PMDA. It was unknown which component was asthmogenic. Two studies using airway resistance identified four cases of PMDA-related asthma. The more common fall in FEV₁ was not used in these studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Clinical characteristics and response to SIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IgE, kU/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils, 10³/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific IgE, anhydrides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific IgE, aeroallergens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline FEV₁, L (% of predicted values), FEV₁/FVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peakflow diurnal variability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methacholine Challenge Test (PD₂₀), µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum fall in FEV₁, hours from challenge start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory symptoms during SIC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Phthalic anhydride, hexahydrophthalic anhydride, methyltetrahydrophthalic anhydride, positive >0.1 kU/L.
†Phleum pratense, Dermatophagoides farinae, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, positive >0.35 kU/L.
‡Phleum pratense, Betula verrucosa, Artemisia vulgaris, Cladosporium herbarium, positive >0.35 kU/L.
§Dose of metacholine that results in a 20% fall in FEV₁, positive < 400 µg. FEV₁, forced expiratory flow in 1 s; IgE, immunoglobulin E; SIC, specific inhalation challenge.

The mechanisms of anhydride-related asthma are not well understood. Some individuals with asthma caused by anhydrides show specific IgE antibodies to anhydride–human serum albumin conjugates suggesting IgE mediation. Cross-reactivity among anhydrides has been shown. No commercial kits analysing specific IgE to PMDA are available. Only one of the three cases had positive specific IgE to the available anhydrides suggesting possible sensitisation. Thus, cross-reactivity with other anhydrides was not useful in these cases. During SIC, the three patients developed lower respiratory symptoms and delayed fall in FEV₁ suggesting sensitisation.

Anhydrides are also highly irritative. The absence of upper respiratory symptoms during SIC argues against an irritant reaction. Furthermore, the exposure levels during SIC were below the occupational exposure limit value for anhydrides in Denmark of 0.4 mg/m³ (Maleic anhydride). Thus,
an irritative response is less likely. Finally, the delayed asthma resulted from the challenges, further suggest an immunological response.

Due to these results the company expanded the use of respirators and improved ventilation. Finally, the use of PMDA was completely eliminated at the plant. Asthma symptoms were reduced in all three cases after PMDA was eliminated. Two had marked improvement with normalisation of lung function. Lung function was not improved in case B, suggesting that PMDA-associated asthma may be persistent.

SIC is useful in diagnosing occupational asthma due to LMW agents. LMW-associated asthma is often delayed and can be identified by SIC. Thus, symptoms after work may be work-related. Correctly diagnosing work-related asthma can be very useful in improving work environments.
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