



University of Southern Denmark

Patient-Reported Quality of Life as a Predictor of Mortality and Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia's During 7 Years' Follow-Up in Patients With an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (from the MIDAS Study)

van Veen, Barbara; Andersen, Christina Maar; Johansen, Jens Brock; Theuns, Dominic Amj; Pedersen, Susanne S

Published in:
The American Journal of Cardiology

DOI:
10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.11.021

Publication date:
2019

Document version:
Accepted manuscript

Document license:
CC BY-NC-ND

Citation for pulished version (APA):

van Veen, B., Andersen, C. M., Johansen, J. B., Theuns, D. A., & Pedersen, S. S. (2019). Patient-Reported Quality of Life as a Predictor of Mortality and Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia's During 7 Years' Follow-Up in Patients With an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (from the MIDAS Study). *The American Journal of Cardiology*, 123(4), 605-610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.11.021>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Patient-Reported Quality of Life as a Predictor of Mortality and Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia's During 7 Years' Follow-up in Patients With an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (From the MIDAS Study)

Barbara van Veen MSc , Christina Maar Andersen PhD , Jens Brock Johansen MD, PhD , Dominic AMJ Theuns PhD , Susanne S. Pedersen PhD

PII: S0002-9149(18)32102-7
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.11.021>
Reference: AJC 23633

To appear in: *The American Journal of Cardiology*

Received date: 19 September 2018
Revised date: 28 October 2018

Please cite this article as: Barbara van Veen MSc , Christina Maar Andersen PhD , Jens Brock Johansen MD, PhD , Dominic AMJ Theuns PhD , Susanne S. Pedersen PhD , Patient-Reported Quality of Life as a Predictor of Mortality and Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia's During 7 Years' Follow-up in Patients With an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (From the MIDAS Study), *The American Journal of Cardiology* (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.11.021>



This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Patient-Reported Quality of Life as a Predictor of Mortality and Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia's During 7 Years' Follow-up in Patients With an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (From the MIDAS Study)

Barbara van Veen, MSc^a, Christina Maar Andersen, PhD^a, Jens Brock Johansen, MD, PhD^b,
Dominic AMJ Theuns, PhD^c, Susanne S. Pedersen, PhD^{a,b*}

^aDepartment of Psychology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

^bDepartment of Cardiology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

^cDepartment of Cardiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Running title: Patient-reported quality of life, tachyarrhythmia's and mortality

Total number of tables and figures: 4

***Corresponding author:** Susanne S Pedersen (PhD), Department of Psychology, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark. *Phone:* +45 65 50 79 92; *E-mail:* sspedersen@health.sdu.dk

ABSTRACT

Preliminary evidence suggests that poor patient-reported quality of life (QoL) predicts mortality on the short term in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD). It is unclear if this association persists on the long-term. We evaluated whether patient-rated QoL at the time of implantation predicts mortality and ventricular tachyarrhythmias (VTa's) during 7-years' follow up in patients with an ICD. Consecutive cohort of patients (80% men; mean (SD) age=58

(12)) implanted with an ICD completed the Short Form Health Survey (SF-36). The eight SF-36 subscales and the two component summary scores were used as predictors of VTa's and mortality at 7 years' follow-up. At 7 years' follow-up, 34% (132/392) of patients had died. Baseline physical functioning [HR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.11-2.29], role physical functioning [HR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.09-2.31], vitality [HR: 1.53; 95% CI: 1.05-2.22] and general health [HR: 1.57; 95% CI: 1.09-2.27] were associated with 7-year mortality in adjusted analyses. There was a trend for low mental health being associated with an increased risk of mortality [HR: 1.38; 95% CI: 0.98-1.96]. The other SF-36 dimensions were not significantly associated with mortality. Only baseline social functioning was associated with risk of VTa's during follow-up. In conclusion, patients with lower levels of physical functioning, role physical functioning, vitality or general health had a greater risk of mortality, while only poor social functioning was associated with VTa's during 7-years' follow up. Patient-reported QoL at the time of implant could be used to identify patients at risk for long-term mortality.

Keywords: implantable cardioverter defibrillator; quality of life; mortality; ventricular tachyarrhythmia's

INTRODUCTION

Sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) is the leading cause of death worldwide¹ and is generally caused by ventricular tachyarrhythmia's (VTa's)². To prevent SCA in patients at high risk, implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) therapy is standard care both for primary and secondary prevention^{3,4}. Patients with an ICD have to live with risk of device-related complications⁵, appropriate and/or inappropriate shocks⁶, underlying heart disease⁷, and co-morbidities⁸, which

may influence quality of life (QoL), morbidity, and mortality. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses show that QoL is a predictor of hospitalization and premature death independent of traditional risk factors in cardiac patients^{9,10}. To our knowledge, only four studies have examined the value of patient-reported QoL as a predictor of health outcomes in patients with an ICD. Three of the studies used secondary data from the Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillators (AVID) trial^{11,12} and the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial II (MADIT II)¹³, and one study used a cross-sectional survey with follow-up register data¹⁴. Follow-up periods ranged from 1-3 years¹¹⁻¹⁴. Hence, we have no prospective studies of “real world” unselected patient cohorts that have investigated the impact of patient-reported QoL at the time of implant on mortality and VT’s in the ICD population on the long term. Hence, we examined whether patient-reported QoL predicts mortality and VTa’s at 7 years post implant in a consecutive cohort of patients from the “real world”.

METHODS

The study sample comprised a prospective cohort of 448 patients implanted with a first-time ICD or ICD with cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT-D) between August 2003 and February 2010 at the Erasmus Medical Center (EMC), Rotterdam, the Netherlands enrolled in the MIDAS study (Mood and personality as precipitants of arrhythmia in patients with an Implantable cardioverter Defibrillator: A prospective Study). Exclusion criteria were life expectancy less than 1 year, on the waiting list for heart transplantation or left ventricular assist device, previous psychiatric illness other than affective/anxiety disorders, or inadequate knowledge of the Dutch language. Patients completed a set of standardized and validated self-

report questionnaires one day prior to implantation (i.e., baseline). The study protocol was approved by the medical ethics committee of the Erasmus Medical Center [MEC 231.491/2003/148]. The Danish Data Protection Agency approved the study in 2017 [The University of Southern Denmark (SDU) number 18/28527, cf. GDPR Article 30], as required by Danish law when transferring and using data from abroad. The study was conducted according to the ethical guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration. Oral and written information about the study was given to all patients, and all patients provided written informed consent.

Information on patients' demographic and clinical variables at baseline was collected from patients' electronic health records or from purpose-designed questions in the questionnaire sent out to patients.

Patients completed the 36-item Short Form Health Survey Questionnaire (SF-36) at baseline¹⁵. The SF-36 taps into eight dimensions of QoL: Physical functioning (PF), role physical functioning (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role emotional functioning (RE), and mental health (MH). Based on the eight dimensions it is possible to calculate two component summary scores, the Physical Component Summary (PCS) and the Mental Component Summary (MCS)¹⁶. Using an algorithm, subscale and component summary scores are calculated that range from 0 to 100, with 0 representing the poorest QoL and 100 the best QoL.

The study endpoints were time to first appropriate ICD therapy and mortality. Information on ICD therapies are recorded prospectively in the institutional database of the Erasmus Medical Center from the time of implant. Patients are seen in the outpatient clinic for interrogation of their device at 3-month intervals, and patients are asked to contact the

outpatient clinic as soon as possible after a symptomatic event. The date, type, and mean cycle length of the VTa and the type and outcome of delivered ICD therapy were recorded every time. The arrhythmias were classified as (i) VTa or (ii) atrial tachyarrhythmia (including atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, atrial tachycardia, and sinus tachycardia) without a coexistent VTa. ICD therapy triggered by VTa's was considered appropriate, whereas therapy delivered due to atrial tachyarrhythmias or T wave oversensing and noise was considered inappropriate. Information on survival status was captured from patients' medical records and defined as all-cause mortality.

To examine between group differences, we used the Chi-square test (Fisher's exact test if appropriate) for categorical data and Student's *t* test for independent samples for continuous data. Prior to conducting the Cox proportional hazard regression analyses, we divided QoL scores into two groups based on the median split for all dimensions; high QoL was used as the reference category. To examine the impact of different aspects of QoL on mortality and VT's during the 7-year follow-up period, we used univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression analyses. In the multivariable models, we adjusted for age, sex, education, QRS >120 ms, coronary artery disease (CAD) etiology, heart failure (mild (New York Heart Association (NYHA) class I-II) versus severe (NYHA class III-IV), indication for ICD, amiodarone, and shocks during follow-up. These variables were chosen a priori based on the literature. The covariates were forced into the model using the enter method in order to reduce the risk of type 2 error (overfitting)¹⁷. For all Cox regression analyses, the hazard ratio (HR) and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) are reported. For all analyses, we used two tailed

tests and a p-value < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 24 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

Of 448 eligible patients, 32 patients did not complete the SF-36 at baseline and 25 patients had a heart transplantation. One patient both had a missing score on the SF-36 and a heart transplantation. Hence, 392 patients were included in the final analyses. Excluded patients (n=57) were more likely to use psychopharmaca (33% vs. 15%, $p=0.006$) and digoxin (28% vs. 13%, $p=0.003$) and have atrial fibrillation (45% vs. 20%, $p<0.001$) but less likely to have a QRS >120 ms (34% vs. 50%, $p=0.028$), a partner (34% vs. 50%, $p<0.001$) and low education (34% vs. 58%, $p<0.001$), as compared to participants. The two groups did not differ significantly on any of the other baseline characteristics, as presented in Table 1 (data not shown). The follow-up period for the included patients were: Inter quartile range (IQR) = 7.0-3.5, median=7.0, and mean \pm SD = 5.4 \pm 2.3. Baseline characteristics for the total sample and stratified by survival status are presented in Table 1. Of the 392 participants, 132 (34%) patients died during follow-up. Survivors were more likely to be women, to be younger and to be working, and less likely to have a CRT-D device, previous coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG), shocks during follow up, severe heart failure (NYHA class III-IV), QRS >120 ms, previous myocardial infarction (MI), CAD, or atrial fibrillation. In addition, co-morbid diseases, such as diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and peripheral vascular disease (PAD) were less common among survivors, who were less likely to use medication (e.g., amiodarone, angiotensin converting

enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, diuretics, statins, and digoxin. Overall, survivors had a more favorable health profile.

Table 2 presents baseline QoL for the 8 subscales of the SF-36 and the two component summary scores stratified by survival status and VTa's. Patients who died during the 7-year follow-up period had lower scores on physical functioning (mean 50.3 ± 26.0 vs. 60.5 ± 26.2 , $p=0.0001$) and general health (mean 45.9 ± 20.5 vs. 54.7 ± 20.4 , $p=0.0001$) but did not differ significantly on the other six SF-36 subscales, as compared to patients who survived (Table 2). Patients who died during follow-up also had a lower PCS score as compared to patients who survived (mean 37.5 ± 9.9 vs. mean 41.1 ± 10.3 , $p=0.0010$), while there was no significant difference between groups on the MCS score. Patients experiencing VTa's versus no VTa's did not differ significantly on any of the SF-36 subscales nor the component summary scores at baseline (Table 2).

Baseline poorer physical functioning (HR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.11-2.29, $p=0.012$), role physical functioning (HR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.09-2.31, $p=.015$), vitality (HR: 1.53; 95% CI: 1.05-2.22, $p=0.27$), and general health (HR: 1.57; 95% CI: 1.09-2.27, $p=0.016$) were all associated with a higher mortality risk during the 7-year follow-up period in adjusted analyses, with increase in risk ranging from 53% to 59% (Table 3). Better social functioning was associated with a 32% (adjusted HR: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.48-0.96, $p=0.028$) reduced risk of VTa's during follow-up (Table 4). None of the other QoL dimensions was significantly associated with VTa's during follow-up.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the role of patient-reported QoL at the time of implant as a predictor of long-term mortality in a “real world” consecutive and prospective cohort of patients implanted with a first-time ICD. Patients with poorer physical functioning, role physical functioning, general health, and vitality at the time of implant had between a 53% to 59% higher mortality risk 7 years later, after statistical adjustment for relevant confounders. Only social functioning, but none of the other QoL dimensions were associated with risk for VTa’s during the follow-up period, with better social functioning associated with a 32% reduced risk.

Our findings are in line with results of earlier studies that used secondary data from the MADIT II¹³ and AVID trials^{11,12} that had shorter follow-up periods (range 1-3 years). In the MADIT II trial based on data from 1,058 patients¹³, lower scores on the MCS and PCS of the SF-36 were associated with a 39% and 89% higher mortality risk at 12 months’ follow-up, respectively. The study did not report on the individual 8 domains of the SF-36. In the AVID trial, QoL data were collected both with the SF-36 and the Quality of Life Index-cardiac version, however, the data published in 2008 included 740 patients, while in 2010 results were based on 507 patients^{11,12}. Overall, the results showed that survivors reported better QoL and that poorer QoL for some domains (e.g. physical functioning, physical role functioning, general health, vitality, and social functioning of the SF-36) were associated with all-cause mortality adjusting for potential confounders^{11,12}.

In our study, we found little evidence that QoL is associated with VTa’s, with only better social functioning being associated with a decreased and substantial risk reduction of 39%. The MADIT-II¹³ and DenHeart studies¹⁴ also found no link between QoL and VTa’s. The evidence for

a link between depression and anxiety and VTa's is also mixed, with some studies finding an association¹⁸⁻²⁰ and others not²¹⁻²³. It is difficult to discern why some studies find a link with VTa's and others do not, which may be attributed to sample size, study design, follow-up duration, etc.

With respect to underlying mechanisms that may explain the associations between poor patient-reported QoL and risk of mortality, Idler and colleagues²⁴ have proposed four possibilities. First, patient self-rated health may represent a more inclusive and complex judgement call as compared to information captured from medical records or rating scales used by physicians, such as the NYHA functional status classification. Second, the patient is better able to reflect on his or her entire health trajectory and not only on the current state. Third, self-rated health might reflect behaviors that are likely to promote health, with poor perceptions of health leading to less health-promoting behaviors. Fourth, self-rated health may also reflect the number of resources that patients have available – both within-patient factors and factors from the patient's environment, including the health care system – which in turn might contribute to maintaining good health. Inflammation may provide another mechanism, as markers such as high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 have been associated with poorer QoL²⁵.

In clinical practice, screening and monitoring patients with an ICD for QoL both at baseline and post implant is worth considering given that it is an independent risk indicator for mortality above and beyond traditional risk factors. In terms of mitigation of this risk indicator, cardiac rehabilitation has been shown to increase the QoL of patients with acute coronary syndrome^{26,27}. Introduction of such programs seems reasonable as a supplement to the

integrated care for patients with an ICD and should be tailored to the individual patient's needs and preferences to have the largest effect²⁸.

The results of this study should be interpreted with the following limitations in mind. We had no information about changes in cardiac and psychotropic medication nor whether heart failure progressed during the follow-up period, which could have influenced our results. The study was single-center, which limits the generalizability to other centers, and the majority of our patients was male. We did not have information about cause of death and therefore, were only able to examine the impact of QoL on all-cause mortality. We also used baseline QoL as a predictor of outcomes rather than changes in QoL over time. However, if a one-time assessment of QoL at the time of implant is a strong predictor of mortality 7 years later, as shown in the current study, this has a strong clinical applicability¹². If these high-risk patients are identified in clinical practice already at the time of implant, there is a window of opportunity to ensure that they receive not only the most appropriate device treatment and medication but also psychological treatment if warranted, which impact not only on QoL, treatment adherence and risk factor management, but also risk of mortality²⁹. In addition, these patients should be monitored closely over time in order to ensure that they receive optimal treatment. Other strengths of the study include the use of a "real world" consecutively implanted cohort, as strict inclusion criteria used in randomized trials like MADIT II (primary prevention trial) and AVID (secondary prevention trial) increase risk of selection bias and that results may not generalize to the entire ICD population³⁰. Additional strengths are the prospective study design, the high response rate, the relatively large sample size, the use of a well validated measure of QoL (the SF-36)¹⁵, and the long-term follow-up of 7 years.

In conclusion, the results of the current study showed that patients with poorer physical functioning, role physical functioning, vitality, and general health at the time of implant are at higher risk for mortality 7 years post implant, even when adjusting for traditional risk factors. We found little evidence that QoL is associated with VTa's, with only social functioning being associated with a reduced risk. Further research is warranted to evaluate if access to cardiac rehabilitation – which is not standard for patients with an ICD in all countries – can lead to an improvement in QoL and whether improvement versus deterioration will influence mortality risk.

DISCLOSURES

None of the authors have any conflicts of interests to report related to this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported with a VENI grant (451-05-001) from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and a VIDI grant (91710393) from the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) to Professor Susanne S. Pedersen, The Hague, The Netherlands. We would like to thank Agnes Muskens-Heemskerk for inclusion of the patients into the study.

1. Adabag AS, Luepker RV, Roger VL, Gersh BJ. Sudden cardiac death: epidemiology and risk factors. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2010;7:216-225.

2. Hayashi M, Shimizu W, Albert CM. The spectrum of epidemiology underlying sudden cardiac death. *Circ Res* 2015;116:1887-1906.
3. Theuns DA, Smith T, Hunink MG, Bardy GH, Jordaens L. Effectiveness of prophylactic implantation of cardioverter-defibrillators without cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with ischaemic or non-ischaemic heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Europace* 2010;12:1564-1570.
4. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, Bryant WJ, Callans DJ, Curtis AB, Deal BJ, Dickfeld T, Field ME, Fonarow GC, Gillis AM, Granger CB, Hammill SC, Hlatky MA, Joglar JA, Kay GN, Matlock DD, Myerburg RJ, Page RL. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: Executive summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:e190-e252.
5. Lee DS, Krahn AD, Healey JS, Birnie D, Crystal E, Dorian P, Simpson CS, Khaykin Y, Cameron D, Janmohamed A, Yee R, Austin PC, Chen Z, Hardy J, Tu JV. Evaluation of early complications related to De Novo cardioverter defibrillator implantation insights from the Ontario ICD database. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:774-782.
6. Brignole M. Are complications of implantable defibrillators under-estimated and benefits over-estimated? *Europace* 2009;11:1129-1133.
7. Habibovic M, Versteeg H, Pelle AJ, Theuns DA, Jordaens L, Pedersen SS. Poor health status and distress in cardiac patients: the role of device therapy vs. underlying heart disease. *Europace* 2013;15:355-361.

8. Hoogwegt MT, Kupper N, Jordaens L, Pedersen SS, Theuns DA. Comorbidity burden is associated with poor psychological well-being and physical health status in patients with an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. *Europace* 2013;15:1468-1474.
9. Mastenbroek MH, Versteeg H, Zijlstra W, Meine M, Spertus JA, Pedersen SS. Disease-specific health status as a predictor of mortality in patients with heart failure: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;16:384-393.
10. Mommersteeg PM, Denollet J, Spertus JA, Pedersen SS. Health status as a risk factor in cardiovascular disease: a systematic review of current evidence. *Am Heart J* 2009;157:208-218.
11. Steinberg JS, Joshi S, Schron EB, Powell J, Hallstrom A, McBurnie M. Psychosocial status predicts mortality in patients with life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2008;5:361-365.
12. Kao CW, Friedmann E, Thomas SA. Quality of life predicts one-year survival in patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators. *Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation* 2010;19:307-315.
13. Piotrowicz K, Noyes K, Lyness JM, McNitt S, Andrews ML, Dick A, Hall WJ, Moss AJ, Zareba W. Physical functioning and mental well-being in association with health outcome in patients enrolled in the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial II. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:601-607.
14. Berg SK, Rasmussen TB, Mols RE, Thorup CB, Borregaard B, Christensen AV, Cromhout PF, Ekholm O, Juel K, Thrysoee L. Both mental and physical health predicts one year mortality

and readmissions in patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators: findings from the national DenHeart study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2018;1474515118794598.

15. Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey *Med Care* 1993;30:473-483.
16. Lins L, Carvalho FM. SF-36 total score as a single measure of health-related quality of life: Scoping review. *SAGE Open Med* 2016;4:2050312116671725.
17. Babyak MA. What you see may not be what you get: a brief, nontechnical introduction to overfitting in regression-type models. *Psychosom Med* 2004;66:411-421.
18. Habibovic M, Pedersen SS, van den Broek KC, Theuns DA, Jordaens L, van der Voort PH, Alings M, Denollet J. Anxiety and risk of ventricular arrhythmias or mortality in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator. *Psychosom Med* 2013;75:36-41.
19. Whang W, Albert CM, Sears SF, Jr., Lampert R, Conti JB, Wang PJ, Singh JP, Ruskin JN, Muller JE, Mittleman MA. Depression as a predictor for appropriate shocks among patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: results from the Triggers of Ventricular Arrhythmias (TOVA) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:1090-1095.
20. Dunbar SB, Kimble LP, Jenkins LS, Hawthorne M, Dudley W, Slemmons M, Langberg JJ. Association of mood disturbance and arrhythmia events in patients after cardioverter defibrillator implantation. *Depress Anxiety* 1999;9:163-168.
21. Mastenbroek MH, Versteeg H, Jordaens L, Theuns DA, Pedersen SS. Ventricular tachyarrhythmias and mortality in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator: impact of depression in the MIDAS cohort. *Psychosom Med* 2014;76:58-65.

22. Dougherty CM, Hunziker J. Predictors of implantable cardioverter defibrillator shocks during the first year. *The Journal of cardiovascular nursing* 2009;24:21-28; quiz 29-30.
23. Shalaby A, Brumberg G, El-Saed A, Saba S. Mood disorders and outcome in patients receiving cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2012.
24. Idler EL, Benyamini Y. Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven community studies. *J Health Soc Behav* 1997;38:21-37.
25. Kazukauskienė N, Burkauskas J, Macijauskienė J, Mickuviene N, Brozaitienė J. Exploring potential biomarkers associated with health-related quality of life in patients with coronary artery disease and heart failure. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2018;17:645-651.
26. Khalife-Zadeh A, Dorri S, Shafiee S. The effect of cardiac rehabilitation on quality of life in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res* 2015;20:588-593.
27. Harrison AS, Doherty P. Does the mode of delivery in Cardiac Rehabilitation determine the extent of psychosocial health outcomes? *Int J Cardiol* 2018;255:136-139.
28. Gallagher R, Randall S, Lin SHM, Smith J, Clark AM, Neubeck L. Perspectives of cardiac rehabilitation staff on strategies used to assess, monitor and review - a descriptive qualitative study. *Heart Lung* 2018.
29. Lichtman JH, Froelicher ES, Blumenthal JA, Carney RM, Doering LV, Frasure-Smith N, Freedland KE, Jaffe AS, Leifheit-Limson EC, Sheps DS, Vaccarino V, Wulsin L, American Heart Association Statistics Committee of the Council on E, Prevention, the Council on C, Stroke N. Depression as a risk factor for poor prognosis among patients with acute coronary syndrome: systematic review and recommendations: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2014;129:1350-1369.

30. Ziemssen F, Feltgen N, Holz FG, Guthoff R, Ringwald A, Bertelmann T, Wiedon A, Korb C, group Os. Demographics of patients receiving Intravitreal anti-VEGF treatment in real-world practice: healthcare research data versus randomized controlled trials. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2017;17:7.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 1. Baseline characteristics for the total sample and stratified by mortality 7 years post implant

Characteristics	Total (N = 392)		Dead (n = 132)		Alive (n = 260)		P value
Women	82	(21%)	11	(8%)	71	(27%)	<0.0001
Age – mean (SD)	58	(12)	64	(9)	55	(12)	<0.0001
Single/no partner	27	(7%)	8	(6%)	19	(7%)	0.618
Lower education ¹	227	(58%)	84	(64%)	143	(55%)	0.151
Work	303	(77%)	25	(19%)	134	(52%)	<0.0001
Primary prevention	254	(65%)	82	(62%)	172	(66%)	0.430
Cardiac resynchronization therapy	112	(29%)	51	(39%)	61	(24%)	0.002
Previous coronary bypass	82	(21%)	43	(33%)	39	(15%)	<0.0001
Shocks during follow-up	92	(24%)	45	(34%)	47	(18%)	<0.0001
Severe heart failure (NYHA class III-IV)	116	(30%)	57	(43%)	59	(23%)	<0.0001
Previous percutaneous coronary intervention	103	(26%)	39	(30%)	64	(25%)	0.295
QRS >120 ms	194	(50%)	84	(64%)	110	(42%)	<0.0001
Previous myocardial infarction	202	(52%)	89	(68%)	113	(44%)	<0.0001
Coronary artery disease	235	(60%)	101	(77%)	134	(52%)	<0.0001
Left ventricular ejection fraction $\leq 35\%^3$	287	(73%)	104	(79%)	183	(70%)	0.137
Atrial fibrillation	79	(30%)	38	(29%)	41	(16%)	0.002
Diabetes	54	(14%)	29	(22%)	25	(10%)	0.001
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	31	(8%)	17	(13%)	14	(5%)	0.010
Peripheral vascular disease	28	(7%)	15	(11%)	13	(5%)	0.021
Cancer	31	(8%)	12	(9%)	19	(7%)	0.544
Smoking	45	(12%)	19	(14%)	26	(10%)	0.207
Amiodarone	68	(17%)	43	(33%)	25	(10%)	<0.0001
Beta-blockers	315	(80%)	109	(83%)	206	(79%)	0.431

Diuretics	216 (55.1%)	94 (71%)	122 (47%)	<0.0001
Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors	280 (71.4%)	103 (78%)	177 (68%)	0.039
Statins	233 (59.4%)	98 (74%)	135 (52%)	<0.0001
Digoxin	52 (13.3%)	27 (21%)	25 (10%)	0.003
Psychotropic medication	57 (14.7%)	21 (16%)	36 (15%)	0.264
Psychological treatment	20 (5.1%)	4 (3%)	16 (6%)	0.596
Cardiac Rehabilitation	25 (6.5%)	11 (9%)	14 (7%)	0.178

* Results are presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated

¹ ≤13 years

² Appropriate or inappropriate shocks during follow-up

³ Information on left ventricular function, either by means of echocardiography, nuclear imaging, or angiography was available for 84.9% (315/371) of the patients

Table 2. Baseline quality of life stratified by survival status and ventricular tachyarrhythmia's

	Total (n=392)		Dead (n=132)		Alive (n=260)		p	VT (N=92)		No-VT (n=300)		p
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Physical functioning	57.1	26.5	50.3	26.0	60.5	26.2	0.0001	52.2	50.2	45.3	49.9	0.251
Role physical	35.4	40.2	30.1	38.3	38.1	40.9	0.0635	37.7	49.5	37.7	48.5	0.532
Bodily pain	66.0	27.7	63.3	27.3	1.7	27.9	0.1646	56.5	49.8	47.7	50.0	0.138
Social functioning	65.2	28.7	65.8	28.8	65.0	28.6	0.7782	40.2	49.3	31.3	46.5	0.128
Mental health	70.9	19.2	69.3	20.7	71.8	18.4	0.2250	44.6	50.0	41.7	49.4	0.624
Role emotional	58.7	42.2	53.0	43.00	61.5	41.6	0.0593	53.3	50.2	42.0	49.4	0.580
Vitality	56.0	21.9	53.4	23.7	57.4	20.0	0.0905	47.8	50.2	39.0	48.9	0.133
General health	51.7	20.8	45.9	20.5	54.7	20.4	0.0001	47.8	50.2	45.0	49.8	0.635
PCS	39.9	10.3	37.5	9.9	41.1	10.3	0.0010	51.3	50.3	49.7	50.1	0.812
MCS	45.5	11.3	45.2	12.1	45.7	10.8	0.6652	55.4	50.0	48.3	50.1	0.234

PCS = Physical Component Summary Score; MCS = Mental Component Summary Score; QoL = Quality of life; VT= ventricular tachyarrhythmia's

Table 3. Associations between baseline quality of life[#] and mortality during 7-years' follow-up (unadjusted and adjusted analyses*)

	Unadjusted	<i>p</i>	Adjusted*	<i>p</i>
	HR [95% CI]		HR [95% CI]	
Physical functioning	1.53 [1.08-2.17]	0.017	1.59 [1.11-2.29]	0.012
Role physical functioning	1.57 [1.09-2.26]	0.016	1.59 [1.09-2.31]	0.015
Bodily pain	1.10 [0.78-1.55]	0.586	1.04 [0.74-1.48]	0.816
Social functioning	0.89 [0.62-1.27]	0.513	1.19 [0.82-1.73]	0.374
Mental health	1.18 [0.83-1.67]	0.362	1.38 [0.96-1.98]	0.079
Role emotional functioning	1.34 [0.94-1.90]	0.104	1.25 [0.87-1.79]	0.226
Vitality	1.35 [0.94-1.92]	0.102	1.53 [1.05-2.22]	0.027
General health	1.69 [1.18-2.41]	0.004	1.57 [1.09-2.27]	0.016
PCS	1.37 [0.97-1.93]	0.073	1.27 [0.88-1.83]	0.199
MCS	1.28 [0.91-1.81]	0.152	1.38 [0.98-1.96]	0.068

PCS = Physical Component Summary Score; MCS = Mental Component Summary Score

[#]QoL scores were divided into two groups based on the median split for all dimensions; good QoL was used as the reference category

*Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, education, QRS >120, coronary artery disease (etiology), mild (NYHA class I-II) versus severe (NYHA class III-IV) heart failure, indication for ICD, amiodrone, and shocks during follow-up

Table 4. Associations between baseline quality of life[#] and ventricular tachyarrhythmias during 7-years' follow-up (unadjusted and adjusted analyses*)

	Unadjusted HR [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Adjusted HR [95% CI]	<i>p</i>
Physical functioning	0.98 [0.71-1.36]	0.919	0.98 [0.69-1.38]	0.892
Role physical functioning	1.11 [0.80-1.54]	0.539	1.15 [0.82-1.61]	0.433
Bodily pain	0.85 [0.61-1.17]	0.317	0.78 [0.56-1.09]	0.148
Social functioning	0.70 [0.50-0.97]	0.034	0.68 [0.48-0.96]	0.028
Mental health	1.08 [0.78-1.50]	0.636	1.15 [0.82-1.61]	0.429
Role emotional functioning	0.93 [0.68-1.29]	0.672	0.91 [0.65-1.27]	0.585
Vitality	0.79 [0.57-1.08]	0.142	0.84 [0.59-1.19]	0.319
General health	1.09 [0.79-1.51]	0.605	1.01 [0.72-1.42]	0.952
PCS	1.00 [0.73-1.39]	0.981	0.96 [0.68-1.36]	0.835
MCS	0.88 [0.63-1.22]	0.431	0.91 [0.65-1.28]	0.583

PCS = Physical Component Summary Score; MCS = Mental Component Summary Score

[#]QoL scores were divided into two groups based on the median split for all dimensions; good QoL was used as the reference category

*Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, education, QRS >120, coronary artery disease (etiology), mild (NYHA class I-II) versus severe (NYHA class III-IV) heart failure, indication for ICD, amiodrone, and shocks during follow-up