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ABSTRACT The tourism sector is affected by climate change worldwide. Nordic tourism destinations offering various activities for tourists have also experienced changes, such as changing precipitation patterns, lack of snow in winter, and shifts in seasons. The tourism sector has to implement adaptation strategies but it is unclear whether the current public climate policy is sufficient to support considering adaptation actions. We reviewed national climate strategies of the Nordic countries from the perspectives of tourism, but excluding the transport sector. We also reviewed Nordic national tourism strategies from the perspective of climate change, particularly the extent to which they address climate adaptation concerns. We found out that the national climate strategies do not pay enough attention to tourism adaptation needs, nor do the national tourism strategies present adaptation actions that tourism actors could consider to implement. To connect these national level strategies, there is a need to review currently available and potential adaptation actions for tourism within the national adaptation framework supported by research based evidence. Next, by means of Nordic cooperation, guidance for both public and private tourism actors within and across Nordic countries can be provided. This can particularly enhance the competitiveness and resilience of the Nordic tourism supply and contribute to the development of economically, environmentally and socially sustainable tourism in the region.
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Background

Changes in temperature, precipitation, and particularly the occurrence of extreme weather events affect tourism destinations, and climate change will continue to impact tourism destinations and tourists themselves (Amelung & Nicholls, 2014; Dawson & Scott, 2013; IPCC, 2012; Jopp, DeLacy, & Mair, 2010; Kajan & Saarinen, 2013; Perch-Nielsen, 2010; Saarinen, 2014; Scott, Hall, & Gössling, 2012; Scott & Lemieux, 2010).

According to Becken (2013), research interest in tourism and climate change has grown rapidly between 1986 and 2012. Research on multiple dimensions such as impacts, adaptation, mitigation, and policy analyses has evolved, and now tourism and climate change can be considered their own knowledge domain. However, the role of tourism players, especially private actors in national climate policy-making has been rather passive, and there is a need for tourism-specific climate policy, including actors from interdependent policy networks and both state and non-state sectors to better address this highly complex problem of climate change (Becken & Clapcott, 2011) across scales.

The OECD/United Nations Environment Programme (2011) report states that national policies require tourism-specific assessments to determine the consequences of climate change, both from adaptation and mitigation perspectives. Although the report does not specifically focus on Nordic countries, we argue that it provides an important starting point for this kind of discussion in the Nordic countries. Identification of national-level progress on climate adaptation (see Lesnikowski, Ford, Biesbroek, Berrang-Ford, & Heymann, 2016) would be useful to stay updated on the status of adaptation of (Nordic) tourism, as well. Adger (2001) points out that public policy can play an important role in climate adaptation policy-making and implementation. According to several studies (e.g. Biesbroek & Swart, 2014; Siegrist & Gessner, 2011), public intervention is needed to
complement and support adaptation activities taken by private tourism businesses. It is also needed because climate change related collaboration between tourism actors has not always received particular interest among tourism entrepreneurs (e.g. Saarinen & Tervo, 2006; Tervo-Kankare, 2011). For instance, the realization of cooperation possibilities has been considered difficult due to the competition (Scott, de Freitas & Matzarakis, 2009). National level public coordination and interaction with private actors could help to increase cooperation between tourism actors within and between Nordic countries to share knowledge and resources, as well as raise awareness of climate change and adaptation possibilities of tourism.

**Approach**

In this commentary, we review the Nordic national tourism strategies with regards to climate change and how climate adaptation of the tourism sector in Nordic countries’ national climate adaptation strategies and other climate policy documents is recognized. If national level planning of adaptation strategies and tourism strategies are disconnected, we consider it difficult to assess the consequences of climate change on tourism in a holistic manner such as destinations’ quality and services offer and plan adaptation strategies across different scales. National level adaptation strategies could provide useful insights into tourism destinations’ adaptation planning and management, if conducted in a collaborative manner with different kinds of tourism actors (public and private stakeholders) to be able to co-generate and share knowledge on potential benefits of changing climate in the Nordic countries. This commentary aims to find linkages between the national adaptation strategies and national tourism strategies. However, it should be noted that the transport sector is out of the scope of this commentary.
Our first question is: in what ways, if at all, do the national climate strategies consider climate adaptation of the tourism sector, or climate change of the sector on a more general level? Therefore, we examine whether and how the current national climate policies in the Nordic countries take into account, and ideally, contribute to providing guidance for climate change adaptation in tourism destinations. Next, we assess the extent to which climate change is a concern in the Nordic tourism sector by reviewing national tourism strategies. This relates to our second question: to what extent, if at all, is climate change and adaptation concerns integrated into the Nordic tourism strategies to support tourism sector, for example destinations that offer nature-based tourism activities but are affected by climate change and extreme weather events?

Data and Methods

First, we reviewed scientific papers and reports, as well as the webpages of Nordic adaptation related research programmes and projects to provide an overview of the relevance of tourism and the influence of climate change on Nordic tourism sector. Next, we reviewed government climate policy documents from countries where official national adaptation strategies are not yet available, and of official national adaptation strategies in countries where they are already available, as well as a selection of national level tourism strategies in the Nordic countries. The national policy documents were published between 2000 and 2014 (details in Table 1). We examined whether (if at all) and in which contexts the keywords “hospitality”, “tourism” or “tourist” appear in the climate policy documents, and whether (if at all) and which contexts the keywords “adaptation” or “adapt” and “climate” or “climate change” were mentioned in the tourism strategies. We used the same keywords for the documents only available in the original but translated accordingly. After this procedure, we made an in-depth analysis of the content of the policy documents.
Tourism in the Nordic countries

Tourism\(^1\) is important for the local economic and social development of the Nordic countries (Genc, 2010; Hall, Müller, & Saarinen, 2009; Nicholls & Amelung, 2015). When considering the total (direct and indirect) contribution of the travel and tourism economy to the national gross domestic product in 2012, tourism contributed approximately 7% to the national GDP in Denmark, Finland and Norway, as well as 19.5% in Iceland and 10.8% in Sweden. The differences can be explained by the larger proportion of employment in tourism in the latter two countries (see Nicholls & Amelung, 2015). Overall, nature-based and rural tourism characterise (but not necessarily dominate) the Nordic tourism sector, in addition to cruise ship tourism, sailing and coastal tourism, as well as health and wellness tourism, and cultural tourism both in urban and rural settings (e.g. European Commission, 2015; Haukeland, Therkelsen, Furunes, & Mykletun, 2010) - business, congress and work related travel as well as transport sector as a part of the revenue but being out of the context of this commentary.

Climate Change Concerns and Adaptation Challenges

Weather variability and unexpected climatic conditions cause financial losses in particular for nature-based tourism operators because they hinder tourism activities at the destinations, reduce the attractiveness of tourism areas, and thus, lead to a decline in tourism flows (Amelung, Nicholls, & Viner, 2007; Haanpää, Juhola, & Landauer, 2015; Lundmark, 2010; Scott et al., 2012; Tervo, 2008). This in turn affects the welfare of socio-economic locales dependent on tourism. Because temperatures are expected to increase at

\(^1\) With ‘tourism’ we mainly mean the rather popular definition ‘voluntary holiday travel for pleasure and leisure’, which covers ‘domestic’, ‘inbound’ and ‘outbound tourism’ (see Hall & Lew, 2009, pp. 5-13). Quoting Lundmark and Müller (2010, p. 381), “[n]ature-based tourism in its widest sense incorporates all tourism that takes place in areas rich in natural amenities as well as activities connected to nature....”
northern latitudes and extreme weather events are taking place (see Arent, Tol, Faust, Hella, Kumar, Strzepek, Tóth, & Yan, 2014; IPCC, 2012; Makkonen, Ruokolainen, Räisänen, & Tikanmäki, 2007; Räisänen & Eklund, 2012), also Nordic tourism destinations need actions that substitute tourism activities that are no longer possible due to climate change, and/or that compensate the range of activities currently provided. Examples of adaptation actions listed in the literature include provision of alternative activities, such as Nordic Walking and hiking instead of skiing, or indoor wellness activities during rainy summers instead of beach activities, and technical options such as artificial snow-making, just to mention a few.

Many tourism studies conclude that there will be winners and losers in tourism sector due to climate change (see Pröbstl-Haider, Haider, Wirth & Beardmore, 2015 for a detailed review). Therefore, the tourism sector needs development and refinement of the offer (adaptation of service infrastructure and activities, and re-orientation of the offer), but this has to match with the demand, taking into account the very heterogeneous preferences of tourists (Gössling & Hall, 2006; Denstadli, Jacobsen, & Lohmann, 2011; Gössling, Scott, Hall, Ceron, & Dubois, 2012; Førland, Jakobsen, Denstadli, Lohmann, Hanssen-Bauer, Hygen, & Tømmervik, 2013; Landauer, Haider, & Pröbstl-Haider, 2013; Pröbstl-Haider et al., 2015). The UNWTO Tourism Highlights (UNWTO, 2015) shows an increase in international tourist arrivals in two Nordic countries. In Iceland, the change in 2014 compared to 2013 is 23.6 %, and in Norway 1.6%, based on this one variable. This provides a positive sign for the Nordic tourism sector, despite the fact that some climate change impacts are already visible. However, information on, for example, potential intra-national and intra-generational changes and trends in destination choice preferences and behaviour of tourists due to climate change would be useful. There is a need to understand
the complex interactions between multiple variables and find factors that can positively affect the Nordic tourism sector also in a longer term. Ideally, climate adaptation strategies can enhance transformation of climate change challenges into opportunities and support adaptation actions that increase economic, environmental and social sustainability of tourism development proactively.

**Are There Linkages between National Climate Strategies and Tourism Strategies?**

In the last fifteen years, tourism researchers have become particularly interested in climate adaptation, as the comprehensive reviews of Kaján & Saarinen (2013) and the introduction to the special issue on Nordic perspectives in Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism show (Saarinen, 2014). However, few studies examine the role of national level public policy making from the perspective of tourism in the context of climate change. This is even though the Nordic countries have addressed the need for adaptation strategies for the sector in many research programmes and other adaptation related projects.

Just to mention a few, the research projects FINADAPT (www.syke.fi/hankkeet/finadapt) and MAVERIC (www.syke.fi/projects/maveric) have considered the adaptation of tourism in Finland, as well as Clim-ATIC, a collaborative project in Finland, Greenland, Norway, Sweden and Scotland (www.clim-atic.org/). In Norway, the government research initiative NORDSATSING has focused on tourism adaptation (The Research Council of Norway, 2012). In Denmark, the Task Force on Climate Change Adaptation (Danish Nature Agency, 2012) has also considered tourism, among other sectors, although the Danish adaptation strategy (The Danish Government, 2008) does not mention the adaptation of tourism.

Our policy document review shows that tourism has been briefly mentioned in the *national adaptation strategies* or national strategies under preparation in the Nordic countries in
Sweden, Norway and Finland. Each country’s individual Fifth National Communication under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change report addresses tourism, except the Icelandic one. Therefore, it is somewhat surprising that climate change has not gained broader tourism specific attention in the strategies, or led to concrete actions, in the form of specific recommendations or guidelines, or concrete evaluations or assessments, for example. The exceptions are Finland, where potential measures and some implemented measures have been mentioned in the national adaptation strategy (see Marttila, Granholm, Laanikari, et al., 2005; Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, 2014), and Sweden, where some measures are mentioned in the Swedish Commission on Climate and Vulnerability (2007) report. Furthermore, the review of tourism strategies shows that adaptation has received no attention at all, although general concerns about climate change can be found. The national tourism strategies (except the Danish ones) mention climate change a few times, but climate adaptation is not mentioned in them (Table 1).

Discussion

Role of Public Policies

This commentary highlights the need for public policy (national adaptation strategies) to support Nordic tourism development under a changing climate. Our review of national policy documents reveals gaps in the national strategies regarding climate adaptation of tourism. We suggest that the planning and implementation of climate change adaptation actions for tourism should be a part of the national adaptation strategies because of the economic importance of the sector nationally, as well as regionally. We also think that tourism strategies should include climate change adaptation as a core part of tourism management and destination development concerns, as this can improve sustainable climate adaptation and related transformation of the sector in the long term.
Many studies (see Pröbstl-Haider et al., 2015) have discussed new opportunities brought about by climate change. In reality, the diversification or relocation of activities of the tourism destinations depends on available resources, tourists’ demand for such activities and geographical location too, but very often also tourism operators’ willingness to realise such actions (e.g. Haanpää et al. 2015). The missing linkage between national climate strategies and tourism strategies does not provide a good model for tourism operators. It does not motivate to take adaptation action, especially among those operators who are still sceptical of climate change (Trawöger, 2014) or those who do not see collaboration with the public sector worthwhile (Saarinen & Tervo, 2006).

We argue that national adaptation strategies can play an important role in providing information and guidance for tourism adaptation planning and management. Even though the special features of each country and destination must be taken into consideration when developing adaptation actions for tourism destinations, national public adaptation policy can set the framework for adaptation of tourism. In particular, it can support the creation of long-term plans in a sector that tends to rely more on short-term goals (Haanpää, et al., 2015; Trawöger, 2014).

Role of Research

The role of research in assessing the capacity and demand for adaptation can provide necessary information for policy makers and tourism businesses to develop adaptation strategies. It is sometimes challenging to make accurate local level or site level predictions on the potential impacts and resulting consequences of climate change. For instance, global climate scenarios alone are seldom suitable for this purpose, due to challenges of
downscaling global scenarios to local or regional circumstances (Dubois & Ceron, 2006). However, Biesbroek and Swart (2014) argue that more research is needed on the climate related risks, vulnerability, and uncertainty in particular, as well as the costs and benefits of adaptation. Research has already covered many climate related threats to Nordic tourism sector on a country level (see Saarinen, 2014), but also a Nordic-wide assessment of climate vulnerability and risks would be needed. Furthermore, survey-based empirical data on preferences from both destination management and from tourists themselves can provide important insights for tourism management (see Konu, Laukkanen, & Komppula, 2011; Landauer, Pröbstl, & Haider, 2012; Tervo-Kankare, 2011). Research can provide evidence-based advice for decision-making and elaborations on whether and how public intervention is needed to evaluate needs for climate adaptation (Biesbroek & Swart, 2014).

Although the Nordic region is not the main tourism market area globally, it is an important international market niche in adventure and nature-based tourism sectors in particular, and an important hub also for city tourism. The role of Nordic tourism regions may even increase if the climate becomes warmer and the current tourism “hot spot” regions, such as the Mediterranean, start to lose their appeal due to heat waves and droughts, for instance (Arent et al., 2014; IPCC, 2012). Given that the identification of future demand of tourists, especially the varying preferences of tourist segments, is challenging, we consider it crucial to get public policy and research support for tourism destinations to plan and implement adaptation strategies and identify opportunities for the Nordic tourism sector. So far no systematic analysis on this has been conducted in the Nordic countries.

“Joint Forces” Needed
In the face of climate change, adaptation strategies and cooperation across different policy levels can help balance the economic, social and environmental development that Nordic tourism provides. To be able to succeed among the many competing offers, the Nordic tourism operators need new innovations, product and service orientation, communication, sector development and better interaction between cross-border, cross-sector, public and private stakeholders (see Nordic Innovation Centre, 2008). Adaptation capacity can be enhanced by collaborative planning and implementation of national adaptation strategies together with tourism stakeholders, e.g., by means of creating networks of national, subnational, and local tourism actors and adaptation policy makers (see Halkier, 2010). Also Biesbroek and Swart (2014) found that although some national policies in Europe mention tasks for adaptation of tourism sector, adaptation has been often considered rather a private responsibility of tourism businesses: it has been unclear how to coordinate adaptation actions. Therefore a sustained and inclusive dialogue between public and private actors across scales is also needed.

EU policies and programmes are considered advantageous to further help exchange experiences and improve coordination of competition policy and regional development in order to identify their strategic implications for tourism development in the Nordic countries (see Halkier, 2010; Nordic Innovation Centre, 2008). These kinds of “joint Nordic forces” in tourism development would be important to enhance Nordic competitiveness also in the international tourism market.

**Conclusion**

This commentary points out that the national climate strategies of the Nordic countries do not pay sufficiently attention to the need for adaptation of the tourism sector, nor do the national level tourism strategies present adaptation actions that actors in the tourism sector
could consider or best practices of already implemented ones. Thus, there is a need for reciprocity and mutual interaction between the actors responsible for national adaptation policies, as well as those responsible for implementing tourism strategies. This is required to provide adaptation knowledge for tourism destinations and to consider adaptation actions for tourism sector in the national adaptation strategies.

Climate information and knowledge about adaptation are needed to prepare for climate change in the Nordic tourism sector to face the challenges and enhance opportunities that climate change will bring about. The national adaptation policies can provide direction for developing and implementing adaptation strategies because of information they contain on country-specific circumstances (social, economic, environmental and political) on different business sectors. Participatory processes, including research, policy and business stakeholders across multiple institutional, spatial, organizational and management scales are required to increase knowledge on best practices and interactions between climate adaptation policy-making and tourism development and planning.
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Table 1. National climate and tourism policy documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Policy document type</th>
<th>Tourism mentioned</th>
<th>Adaptation mentioned</th>
<th>Climate change mentioned</th>
<th>Notification</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Publisher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Danish Strategy for Adaptation to a Changing Climate</td>
<td>Climates strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>The Danish Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Denmark’s Fifth National Communication on Climate Change</td>
<td>Climates strategy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Contains a section on cruise ship tourism. Mentions the impact of melting sea ice on shipping routes (Greenland)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>The Ministry of Climate and Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Danish Climate Policy Plan</td>
<td>Climates strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>The Danish Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Denmark at Work – Plan for Growth in Danish Tourism (Summary)</td>
<td>Tourisms strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>The Danish Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tourism Industry Sub-Sectors, Country Report Denmark</td>
<td>Tourisms strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>National Adaptation Strategy of Finland *</td>
<td>Climates strategy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finland's National Climate Change Adaptation Plan 2022.</td>
<td>Climates strategy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Resolution 20 November 2014</td>
<td>Finland’s Fifth National Communication on Climate Change</td>
<td>Climate strategy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Ministry of the Environment &amp; Statistics Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valtioneuvoston periaatelpäätös Suomen matkailupolitiikasta**</td>
<td>Valtioneuvoston periaatelpäätös Suomen matkailupolitiikasta**</td>
<td>Tourism strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Mentions energy use and climate change threat</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Ministry of Employment and the Economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland’s Tourism Strategy to 2020</td>
<td>Finland’s Tourism Strategy to 2020</td>
<td>Tourism strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Mentions energy use and climate change threat, and adoption of new technologies</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Ministry of Employment and the Economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Industry Sub-Sectors, Country Report Finland</td>
<td>Tourism Industry Sub-Sectors, Country Report Finland</td>
<td>Tourism strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Only coastal tourism's seasonality to climate mentioned</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Iceland**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iceland’s Climate Change Strategy</th>
<th>Iceland’s Climate Change Strategy</th>
<th>Climate strategy</th>
<th>no</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>Ministry for the Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Only mentions that measures related to transport are the responsibility of the Ministry of Transport,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Tourism and Sustainable Tourism</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author/Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Iceland’s Fifth National Communication on Climate Change</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Only mentions that the tourism industry relies on nature and natural beauty</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Ministry for the Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promote Iceland: Long-term Strategy for the Icelandic Tourism Industry</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>PKF Accountants &amp; Business Advisers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tourism Industry Subsectors, Country Report Iceland</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Climate change adaptation in Norway — Meld. St. 33 (2012–2013) Report to the Storting (white paper)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tourism and sustainable tourism mentioned in several occasions</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Norwegian Ministry of Climate and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norway’s Fifth National Communication on Climate Change</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Norwegian Ministry of the Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regjeringens strategi for de internasjonale klimaforhandlinger**</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Norwegian Ministry of Climate and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tourism Strategy 2014-2020</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Innovation Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Industry Sub-Sectors, Country Report Norway</td>
<td>Tourism Strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sweden**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sweden Facing Climate Change – Threats and Opportunities*</th>
<th>Climate Strategy</th>
<th>yes</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>Swedish Government Official Reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sweden’s Fifth National Communication on Climate Change *</td>
<td>Climate Strategy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Ministry of the Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sveriges klimastrategi* *</td>
<td>Climate Strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Industry Sub-Sectors, Country Report Sweden</td>
<td>Tourism Strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National strategi för Svensk besöksnäring* *</td>
<td>Tourism Strategy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes a specific section on tourism, **Document not available in English*