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Incidence and prevalence of multiple endocrine neoplasia 2A in Denmark 1901–2014: a nationwide study
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Background: The incidence and prevalence of multiple endocrine neoplasia 2A (MEN2A) have only been reported once in a nationwide setting. However, it is unclear whether the figures are representative of other populations, as the major component of the syndrome, hereditary medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), has been reported as rare in the same country. We conducted a nationwide retrospective cohort study of MEN2A in Denmark from 1901 to 2014, aiming to describe the incidence and prevalence.

Methods: This study included 250 unique MEN2A patients born or resident in Denmark before December 31, 2014. Patients were identified through the Danish REarranged during Transfection (RET) cohort, linkage of MEN2A pedigrees, the Danish MTC cohort, a nationwide collaboration of MEN2 centers, cross-checking of other relevant cohorts, and a systematic literature search.

Results: The incidence from 1971 to 2000 was 28 (95% CI: 21–37) per million live births per year. Incidence for the specific mutations or for the overall MEN2A group did not change significantly from 1901 to 2014 (P>0.05). Point prevalence at January 1, 2015, was 24 per million (95% CI: 20–28).

Conclusion: The incidence and prevalence of MEN2A in Denmark seem higher than those reported in other countries. This is likely explained by the Danish C611Y founder effect. Also, our data indicate no significant change in MEN2A incidence during the last century.
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Introduction

Multiple endocrine neoplasia 2 (MEN2) is an autosomal-dominant inherited cancer syndrome subdivided into MEN2A and MEN2B. MEN2A associates medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), pheochromocytoma, hyperparathyroidism, cutaneous lichen amyloidosis, and Hirschsprung’s disease. MEN2B associates MTC, pheochromocytoma, and ganglioneuromatosis of the aerodigestive tract, and facial, ophthalmologic, and skeletal abnormalities. Both syndromes are caused by germline mutations of the REarranged during Transfection (RET) proto-oncogene.1

The incidence and prevalence of MEN2B have recently been well described.2,4 Meanwhile, the epidemiology of MEN2A remains poorly defined. Only two studies, a German and a Norwegian, have calculated the incidence and prevalence of MEN2A.2,3 The German study was based on data from two major referral centers and estimated that at least half of all RET carriers born between 1991 and 2000 had been captured.3 The Norwegian study was population-based and covered all RET mutation carriers born in Norway between 1965 and 2015.3 However, it is unclear whether the Norwegian figures are representative of other populations, as the major component
of the syndrome, hereditary MTC, has been reported as rare in another Norwegian study.6 Consequently, we conducted a nationwide study of MEN2A in Denmark from 1901 to 2014, aiming to describe the incidence and prevalence.

**Methods**

**Study design and setting**

This retrospective cohort study included 250 unique MEN2A patients born or resident in Denmark before December 31, 2014.

**Data sources**

1. The Danish RET cohort formed the basis for identifying MEN2A patients. This nationwide cohort contains all patients (n=1,583) RET tested in Denmark between September 1994 and December 2014.7

2. Pedigree linkage within the RET cohort was used to identify MEN2A patients, who had not been RET tested. Thus, we sought to find linkage between the MEN2A patients from the RET cohort carrying identical mutations. For this purpose, we excluded MEN2A patients with familial origin outside Denmark, molecular proven de novo mutations, and unique mutations within the RET cohort (Table 1). Pedigrees were constructed with a minimum of four generations by dint of the Civil Registration System (www.cpr.dk) and the Danish National Archives (www.sa.dk/en/) before comparing with one another. Where linkage was found, this was validated by haplotype.8

3. The Danish MTC cohort was searched for MEN2A patients.9 This cohort comprises 476 patients diagnosed with histological (n=474) or cytological (n=2) MTC in Denmark between January 1960 and December 2014 and was constructed through three nationwide registries:

**Table 1 MEN2A families with RET germline mutations detected in Denmark and included in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family no.</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Nucleotide change</th>
<th>Sequence change</th>
<th>RET+/ RET-</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1833C&gt;G</td>
<td>C611W</td>
<td>6/11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>8/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>15/13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>9/7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>26/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>5/18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1832G&gt;A</td>
<td>C611Y</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1853G&gt;T</td>
<td>C618F</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1853G&gt;T</td>
<td>C618F</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1853G&gt;A</td>
<td>C618Y</td>
<td>5/9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1853G&gt;A</td>
<td>C618Y</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1858T&gt;C</td>
<td>C620R</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1858T&gt;C</td>
<td>C620R</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>c.1858T&gt;C</td>
<td>C620R</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21a</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>c.1891G&gt;T</td>
<td>D631Y</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>c.1900T&gt;C</td>
<td>C634R</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>c.1900T&gt;C</td>
<td>C634R</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>c.1900T&gt;C</td>
<td>C634R</td>
<td>3/11</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>c.1900T&gt;C</td>
<td>C634R</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26a</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>c.1901G&gt;A</td>
<td>C634Y</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27a</td>
<td>11+13</td>
<td>c.1901G&gt;A+c.2372A&gt;T</td>
<td>C634Y+Y791F</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28a</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>c.2370G&gt;T</td>
<td>L790F</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29a</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>c.2410G&gt;A</td>
<td>V804M</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>c.1900T&gt;C</td>
<td>C634R</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Modified from Table 2 of Mathiesen et al.4 Family no. 1–29 and 37 were identified from the Danish RET cohort 1994–2014 and the Danish MTC cohort 1960–2014, respectively.3,9 Sequence changes classified as pathogenic in the ARUP MEN2 database May 1, 2018.8 Family no. 30–36 were excluded as they had MEN2B. RET+ includes index cases. a Families with unique mutations in Denmark. b Family in which both parents of the index case were tested mutation negative. c Families with origin outside Denmark.

**Abbreviations:** MEN2, multiple endocrine neoplasia 2; MTC, medullary thyroid carcinoma; RET, REarranged during Transfection.
7. A systematic literature search was performed on December 7, 2017, in the following databases: Cochrane, Embase, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. The search term used was “medullary thyroid carcinoma Denmark OR medullary thyroid carcinoma Danish OR multiple endocrine neoplasia 2 Denmark OR multiple endocrine neoplasia 2 Danish.” No filters were used. MEN2A patients were traced by data on the patient (sex, age, MEN2A features, RET mutation) and pedigree level provided by the respective citations. These data were compared with the data gathered throughout the previous six steps.

MEN2A criteria
If genetic tested, a MEN2 patient was defined as 1) an individual with a pathogenic RET germline sequence change and a MEN2A phenotype in the ARUP MEN2 database on May 1, 2018.15

If not genetic tested, a MEN2A patient was defined as 2) an individual with a MEN2A feature (histologically verified MTC/pheochromocytoma/Hirschsprung’s disease or clinically diagnosed cutaneous lichen) and relatedness to an individual fulfilling 1), or 3) an individual without a proven MEN2A feature, but providing linkage between two individuals fulfilling 1), or 4) an individual without a proven MEN2A feature, but providing linkage between a patient with a MEN2A feature and an individual fulfilling 1), or 5) an individual with >1 MEN2A feature.

Study participants
Figure 1 shows an overview of inclusion of MEN2A patients according to data sources.

1. The Danish RET cohort initially contained 36 MEN2 families. For the purpose of this study, all MEN2B families (n=6), three of which have been described elsewhere, were excluded.16–19 This left 30 MEN2A families with 155 RET mutation carriers. Several of these have been reported previously.20–24 One family with three carriers has subsequently been excluded from the cohort, as the pathogenicity of the RET I852M variant has been questioned and reclassified in the ARUP MEN2 database.15,25

2. Pedigree linkage between the MEN2A patients from the RET cohort carrying identical mutations identified 54 MEN2A patients. All 54 patients were new. Six and forty-eight fulfilled criteria 2) and 3), respectively. This reduced the number of C611Y families from twelve to three and the number of C618Y families from two to
Figure 1 Flow chart showing identification of MEN2A patients. Dotted boxes indicate methods used and additional MEN2A patients for inclusion.
Notes: *Copenhagen University Hospital, Aarhus University Hospital, Odense University Hospital, and Aalborg University Hospital. ‡Danish MTC cohort, pheochromocytoma cohort, hyperparathyroidism cohort, Hirschsprung cohort, multiple endocrine neoplasia cohort, and lichen amyloidosis cohort.
Abbreviations: MEN2A, multiple endocrine neoplasia 2A; RET, Rearranged during Transfection.
one. Thus, after this step we had identified 207 MEN2A patients from 19 families.

3. The Danish MTC cohort initially comprises 102 MTC patients with MEN2A. Linking their pedigrees to the MEN2A patients from the RET cohort provided 22 additional MEN2A patients satisfying criterion 4). Accordingly, 124 MEN2A patients were uncovered from the MTC cohort, but only 34 were new patients. Of these, 22, 11, and one fulfilled criteria 4), 2) and 1), respectively. The latter patient was a C634R carrier genetic tested subsequent to the end date of the RET cohort and unrelated to the other MEN2A families (Table 1). This resulted in 241 MEN2A patients from 20 families.

4. The nationwide collaboration of all Danish MEN2 centers provided 136 MEN2A patients, all related to families already known. Eight of these patients had not been identified in the previous steps. Four met criterion 1) and four met criterion 2). This yielded 249 MEN2A families from 20 families. In relation to these, we detected 289 first-degree relatives, who had not been RET tested.

5. A cross-check between the first-degree relatives and relevant cohorts supplied only one MEN2A patient. This patient had not been identified in prior steps and fulfilled criterion 2). Consequently, 250 MEN2A patients from 20 families had been detected.

6. A cross-check of relevant cohort against one another discovered no additional MEN2A patients.

7. The systematic literature search found 240 citations. Removal of eleven duplicates and two triplets yielded 225 unique citations, of which 65 had Danish affiliations. Full text was retrieved for all 65 citations. Nineteen reported of MEN2A patients in Denmark.7,8,21–24,26–38 From these citations, we found 34 MEN2A patients. All had been uncovered through previously used sources.

In total, we ascertained 250 MEN2A patients from 20 families. Criteria 1), 2), 3), and 4) were met by 158, 22, 48, and 22 patients, respectively.

All 250 patients were included for prevalence calculations, while the 190 patients born in Denmark between January 1, 1901, and December 31, 2014, were included for incidence calculations.

The investigation was approved by the Danish Health Authority (3-3013-395/3) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (18/17801). The Regional Committees on Health Research Ethics for Southern Denmark found that further review was not liable to notification (S-20132000-69).

Incidences

Incidence was calculated as the number of MEN2A patients born in Denmark in each decade divided by the number of live births in Denmark for the respective decade. To estimate the incidence of MEN2A, we used the period from 1971 to 2000, equivalent to that previously used to estimate the incidence of MEN2B in Denmark.3

Danish population data were retrieved from Statistics Denmark (www.statbank.dk).

Prevalences

Point prevalence for each year from 1901 to 2015 was calculated as the number MEN2A patients alive at January 1 divided by the number of inhabitants alive at the same date.

Statistical analysis

Time trends in incidence were evaluated by Poisson regression. P-values<0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were done using Stata® 15.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Among the 250 MEN2A patients included, 118 were female and 132 were male yielding a female–male ratio of 0.89. The RET mutation carriers were distributed as follows: 169 (68%) C611Y carriers, 16 (6%) C618Y carriers, 16 (6%) C611W carriers, 12 (5%) C620R carriers, 10 (4%) D631Y carriers, 9 (4%) C634R carriers, 6 (2%) V804M carriers, 5 (2%) L790F carriers, 4 (2%) C618F carriers, 2 (1%) C634Y carrier, and 1 (0%) C634Y + Y791F carrier.

Most index cases had inherited their RET mutation. Only in one case was the mutation (C620R) molecularly proven to be de novo, as both parents were tested negative for the mutation. Also, haplotype analysis failed to relate the index case to the two other Danish C620R families.8

Incidences

Table 2 depicts the incidence of MEN2A by decade and mutation. No significant change in incidence from 1901 to 2014 was seen for the specific mutations or for the overall group of MEN2A (P>0.05) (Table 2). The incidence from 1971 to 2000 was 28 (95% CI: 21–37) per million live births per year.

Prevalence

Figure 2 shows the point prevalence of MEN2A per million according to January 1 in each year from 1901 to 2015. The point prevalence at January 1, 2015, was 24 per million
Table 2 Incidence of MEN2A in Denmark according to decade and mutation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>10-year birth cohorts (190 carriers)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1901–1910</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911–1920</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>19 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1921–1930</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1931–1940</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (5.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1941–1950</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951–1960</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
<td>15 (21)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16 (24)</td>
<td>19 (22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: MEN2A, multiple endocrine neoplasia 2A.

Figure 2  Point prevalence of multiple endocrine neoplasia 2A in Denmark at January 1 according to years.

(95% CI: 20–28) (5,659,715 inhabitants in Denmark and 136 MEN2A patients alive).

Discussion

In this nationwide study of 250 unique MEN2A patients born or resident in Denmark before December 31, 2014, we report an incidence of 28 per million live births per year and a point prevalence of 24 per million.

Limitations

To estimate the true number of MEN2A patients in a country, the entire population should ideally undergo RET testing at birth. To the best of our knowledge, this is not the case anywhere in the world. Instead, we conducted a comprehensive search in the Danish RET cohort, linkage of MEN2A pedigrees, the Danish MTC cohort, a nationwide collaboration of MEN2 centers, cross-checking of other relevant cohorts, and a systematic literature search. This virtually depleted all possibilities to identify MEN2A patients in Denmark and enabled us to study the incidence and prevalence for the entire 20th century.

The registries used have different coverage in terms of calendar time, potentially having an impact on the incidence and prevalence estimates. Except for the Danish Cancer Registry, none of the utilized registries existed during the first half of the century. Similarly, fewer MEN2A patients born in the first part than in the second part of the century survived until the introduction of RET testing in Denmark (September 1994). Thus, the incidence and prevalence may be underestimated in the first half of the century, although pedigree linkage identified several MEN2A patients born in this period.

In our cohort, the MEN2A diagnosis was verified by RET testing in 63% (158/250). In 34% (86/250), genetic in vivo testing was never possible, as patients had died or emigrated before RET testing became available in Denmark or before the first MEN2A diagnosis was made in their respective families. However, if only considering patients included for calculations of incidence 1901–2014, incidence 1971–2014, and point prevalence at January 1, 2015, the figures for MEN2A diagnosis verified by RET testing increased to 80%, 100%, and 97%, respectively.

Despite our meticulous search and high proportion of RET-tested MEN2A patients for the reported incidence and
prevalence calculations, we cannot rule out that some patients may not have been captured. In fact, as of this writing, a Danish L790F family is undergoing genetic workup after the presentation of MTC (T1bN0M0) in a 70-year-old index case. This exemplifies that carriers of RET mutations classified in the American Thyroid Association’s moderate category and carriers unaware of their MEN2A family history may not have been captured yet. Therefore, our figures of incidence and prevalence should be regarded as minimum estimates.

### Incidence

In the present study, we found an incidence of 28.4 per million live births per year from 1971 to 2000.

A German study reported the incidence of MEN2A from 1951 to 2000, with the highest incidence found from 1991 to 2000. If excluding carriers of the benign Y791F variant, the incidence in this period can be calculated as 8.1 (95% CI: 6.2–10) per million live births per year. During the same period, our incidence was 31 (95% CI: 19–48) per million live births per year. Another study found the incidence of MEN2A in Norway from 1965 to 2015 to be 1 per 66,438 live births per year corresponding to 15 (95% CI: 11–20) per million live births per year. In the same period, the incidence in Denmark was 25 (95% CI: 20–31) per million live births per year corresponding to 1 per 40,102 live births per year.

The Danish incidence was higher compared with both the German and the Norwegian incidence. The difference in the German incidence could likely be explained by ascertainment as the population in our study included all of Denmark, while the German study estimated a coverage of at least half of all RET carriers born in the period of 1991–2000. Even though the Norwegian study is nationwide, one might speculate that the difference in the Danish incidence is also due to ascertainment, as inclusion in the Norwegian study was based solely on a systematic search within all four Norwegian departments of medical genetics, while our inclusion was based on a systematic search in the Danish RET cohort, linkage of MEN2A pedigrees, the Danish MTC cohort, a nationwide collaboration of MEN2 centers, cross-checking of other relevant cohorts, and a systematic literature search. However, if we include only patients identified from the RET cohort, being the best comparison with the Norwegian method, the Danish MEN2A incidence in the given period at 24 (95% CI: 19–30) per live birth per million still appears higher than the Norwegian. A more likely explanation to the difference in incidence may be the C611Y founder effect in Denmark, accounting for an unusually high incidence of C611Y carriers. This is supported by the fact that 74% (60/81) of MEN2A patients born in Denmark between January 1, 1965, and January 1, 2015, were carriers of the C611Y mutation. Unfortunately, the Norwegian study did not specify the carrier status of MEN2A patients included in the incidence calculations, but because only five carriers of codon 611 mutations were included in the entire study, the percentage of C611Y carriers in incidence calculation could maximally be 11% (5/44). This suggests that the large proportion of C611Y carriers in the Danish MEN2A population is the main reason for the disparity seen in incidence between the two Scandinavian countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>11 (16)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (5.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (29)</td>
<td>12 (18)</td>
<td>21 (38)</td>
<td>21 (31)</td>
<td>10 (16)</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (29)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation:* RET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>Patients, n (per 1 million and year)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961–1970 (792,197 live births)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971–1980 (672,095 live births)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>11 (16)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981–1990 (557,279 live births)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (5.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991–2000 (673,654 live births)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001–2010 (643,356 live births)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2014 (229,657 live births)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prevalence
Based on 5,659,715 inhabitants and 136 MEN2A patients living in Denmark at January 1, 2015, we found a point prevalence of 24 per million. Similarly, 5,165,000 inhabitants and 65 MEN2A patients were living in Norway at March 1, 2015, yielding a point prevalence of 13 per million. Thus, the point prevalence in Denmark seems somewhat higher compared with that of Norway.

Again, if only including patients (n=131) identified from the RET cohort for the Danish prevalence calculations, the prevalence still appears higher than that in Norway. Also, for prevalence calculations, the proportion of C611Y carriers in Denmark (99/136) was substantially higher than the corresponding proportion in Norway (5/65) under the assumption that all codon 611 mutation carriers in Norway were in fact C611Y carriers and alive at prevalence day. Thus, the most likely explanation for the difference in MEN2A prevalence recorded between the two countries seems to be the Danish C611Y founder effect. Similarly, other populations with supposed or proven RET founder mutations may also experience a rather high MEN2A prevalence.

In our study, the prevalence was steadily increasing until the beginning of the 1960s, most likely caused by buildup of the cohort with continuing recruitment exceeding the rate of exit, a phenomenon known from other rare conditions as well. The prevalence will be stable when recruitment equals exit (death or emigration). We do not have any obvious explanation why the prevalence is decreasing after 2000.

Conclusion
The incidence and prevalence of MEN2A in Denmark seems to be higher than those reported in other countries. This is likely explained by the Danish C611Y founder effect. Also, our data indicate no significant change in MEN2A incidence during the last century.
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