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Clinical characteristics of importance to outcome in patients with axial spondyloarthritis: protocol for a prospective descriptive and exploratory cohort study

Rikke Asmussen Andreasen,1,2 Lars Erik Kristensen,2 Torkell Ellingsen,3,4 Robin Christensen,5 Xenofon Baraliakos,5 Jimmi Wied,6 Claus Aalykke,2 Thomas Ulstrup,7 Berit Schiøttz-Christensen,8 Hans Christian Horn,4 Amir Emamifar,1 Bent Duerrlund,1 Lars Fischer,1 Inger Marie Jensen Hansen1,9

ABSTRACT

Introduction Spondyloarthritis (SpA) is a heterogeneous spectrum of rheumatic diseases with either predominantly axial inflammatory symptoms of the spine and sacroiliac joints or predominantly peripheral arthritis. The two main entities of axial SpA (axSpA) are ankylosing spondylitis or non-radiographic axSpA (nr-axSpA). Tumour necrosis factor-\( \alpha \) inhibitors have revolutionised the treatment of patients with axSpA who failed to respond to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and physical therapy. Chronic pain is common in patients with SpA and may still persist despite the lack of signs of inflammation. This has led researchers to hypothesise that central pain sensitisation may play a role in the generation of chronic pain in SpA. The painDETECT Questionnaire (PDQ) is a screening tool developed to detect neuropathic pain components. The primary objective is to explore the prognostic value of the PDQ regarding treatment response in patients with axSpA 3 months after initiating a biological agent. Secondary aim is to evaluate the impact of extra-articular manifestations, comorbidities and patient-reported outcomes and elucidate if these factors influence treatment response.

Method and analysis We will include 60 participants (≥18 years of age) diagnosed with axSpA independent of main entity, who initiate or switch treatment of a biologic. Data will be collected at baseline and at endpoint following Danish clinical practice (≥3 months) of treatment with biologics. We will explore whether the PDQ and other phenotypical patient characteristics are prognostically important for response to biological therapy according to established response criteria like 50% improvement in the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (50%) and Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score.

Ethics and dissemination The study is approved by the Region of Southern Denmark’s Ethics committee (S-20160094) and has been designed in cooperation with patient representatives. The study is registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02948608, pre-results). Dissemination will occur through publication(s) in international peer-reviewed journals.

INTRODUCTION

Spondyloarthritis (SpA) are a heterogeneous group of chronic rheumatic diseases with overlapping symptoms including psoriatic arthritis (PsA), arthritis associated with inflammatory bowel disease (enteropathic arthritis), reactive arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and undifferentiated SpA.1 Clinically, SpA can be dominated by peripheral joint involvement, classified as peripheral SpA, or by inflammatory back pain, classified as axial SpA (axSpA).

AxSpA is subdivided into two groups, referred to as non-radiographic and radiographic (ie, AS), respectively. The reported prevalence of axSpA worldwide ranges from 0.01% to approximately 2.5%, and the incidence rates ranges between 0.48 and 63/100 000.2 Next to the spinal and articular symptoms, many patients with SpA also have extra-articular manifestations (EAM) which contribute to reduced quality of life.3
Acute anterior uveitis is a relatively common EAM in patients diagnosed with AS (9%) and less frequent in patients diagnosed with another disease among the SpA spectrum.4 The aetiology of SpA is complex and not fully understood. It is known that SpA is associated with multiple genes, such as HLA-B27 although the pathogenesis of SpA remains largely unknown. The complexity of the disorder indicates a multifactorial aetiology involving multiple biological processes or pathways.6

There has been an increasing interest in how the gut microbiome may be the conductor or a mediator of the common inflammatory pathways seen in PsA and SpA.7–9 The intestinal microbiome is able to affect extraintestinal distant sites, including the joints, through immunomodulation, as seen in arthritis associated with IBD.9 Certain types of bacterial gut infections, especially *Salmonella typhimurium* infections are associated with reactive arthritis.10 11

Calprotectin is a protein in neutrophil granulocytes and macrophages and was first found and described in 1980.12 More studies focus on calprotectin measured in stool and plasma in IBD and confirm their value in diagnosis, disease activity evaluation, effect evaluation and relapse monitoring.13 14 A link between SpA and IBD has been established for decades.15 Even without clinical symptoms, up to 60% of the patients with AS present gut inflammation at colonoscopy,16 and a recent study found that nearly half of the patients with SpA had microscopic inflammatory lesions, with no differences between patients with peripheral and axSpA.17 A newly published study investigated the relation between serum and faecal levels of calprotectin and bowel histology in a SpA population and found that elevated serum calprotectin is significantly linked with bowel inflammation.18

Recently, nephrolithiasis (NL) was identified as a common (up to 10%) and unrecognised extra-articular manifestation in AS.19 The risk of NL was more than twofold increased in patients with AS compared with the general population, partly explained by the altered intestinal absorption and bone-remodelling. Awareness of EAM among clinicians is important in view of their role in the diagnostic process, for treatment choices and for health-related quality of life.

Prognosis and as a consequence also management of pain in patients diagnosed with axSpA is a major clinical challenge. Pain may still persist despite the lack of signs of inflammation. This has led researchers to hypothesise that other than nociceptive pain may play a role in the generation of chronic pain in axSpA (ie, fibromyalgia/central pain sensitisation). Fibromyalgia (using the 1990 ACR criteria for fibromyalgia) is a frequent comorbidity in patients with SpA, especially in the peripheral forms and with a female predominance.20 Fundamental knowledge of nociception from deep musculoskeletal structures and related mechanisms of sensitisation have been characterised in animals, but is still lacking in clinical sciences.21 Nociceptive and neuropathic components both contribute to pain. Since these components require different pain management strategies, correct pain diagnosis before and during treatment is highly desirable. As low back pain (LBP) patients constitute an important subgroup of chronic pain patients, a simple, patient-based questionnaires has been developed; painDETECT Questionnaire (PDQ). It can determine neuropathic pain components both in individual patients with LBP and in heterogeneous cohorts of such patients, with a high sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive accuracy.22

With the PDQ, the rheumatologist may have a feasible and prognostically useful tool to predict the possible treatment outcome of anti-inflammatory treatment (ie, individualised therapy).

Rationale and hypothesis

The PDQ was developed and validated, for the purpose of establishing a screening tool to evaluate the likelihood of a neuropathic pain component being present in individual patients, in 2006. A validated algorithm was developed to be able to calculate a score with a range from 0 to 38. A score ≥19 indicates that the presence of a neuropathic pain component is likely, whereas a score ≤12 indicates that it is not. A score of 13–18 is considered uncertain.22 23 To the best of our knowledge, only one small study has shown PDQ data in relation to axSpA.24 Their results suggest that back pain in AS is a complex pain condition that includes a neuropathic pain component.

We hypothesise that a PDQ score ≥19 at baseline is of prognostic importance—potentially enabling prediction of a poorer patient reported treatment response according to the international standards—the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI), the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) when initiating or switching biological treatment in patients with axSpA.

Objectives

Our primary objective is to determine whether classification from the PDQ is valuable as a prognostic factor for treatment response in patients diagnosed with axSpA initiating or switching treatment with a biological agent. Secondary aim is to evaluate the impact of EAM, comorbidities evaluated by Charlson score, and patient-reported outcomes and elucidate if these factors—independently or by interaction— influence treatment responses. All participants will be screened for subclinical uveitis or signs of previously uveitis, which will provide us with an estimate of the prevalence of these conditions axSpA comorbidities in Denmark.

Primary endpoint and key objective

The key objective is to analyse the prognostic value of the PDQ score on the subsequent treatment response. The primary endpoint is the composite proportion of patients having achieved a BASDAI50 response or reduction of
BASDAI ≥2/10 after ≥3 months' therapy with a biological agent.

**Key secondary objectives**

1. To analyse the proportion of patients having achieved an improvement of ASDAS ≥1 after ≥3 months' therapy with a biological agent.
2. To characterise and quantify the EAM according to Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society (ASAS) criteria.
3. To analyse the influence of self-reported disease activity at baseline as predictor of on treatment response: Estimation of disease activity and functional status is evaluated using BASDAI, BASFI, ASDAS and 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36).
4. Change in swollen or tender joint count as determined by the 44-joint assessment at follow-up visit.
5. The Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada Enthesitis Index (SPARCC) at baseline and at follow-up visit.
6. The change in tender point count.

**Patient participation**

This study follows the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations for the inclusion of patient representatives in scientific projects. The study is designed with assistance from two Danish patient representatives, Bent Duerlund (BD) and Lars Kruse Fischer (LKF), and both are members of the author team as well. They are both diagnosed with AS and were selected in connection with a routine care visit. They have participated in discussion of relevance of the study, ethics and contributed with comments to the patient information. The primary investigator and the patient representatives will meet approximately every 6th month until the study ends.

**METHOD**

**Study design**

Patients with axSpA initiating or switching anti-rheumatic treatment (biologics) in routine care will be included as one group in this observational study. This study is designed as a ‘closed cohort’ with prospective enrolment of patients with axSpA over time. Information about the patients and their exposures will be collected at a single centre at two time points (figure 1), at baseline and after approximately 4 months of treatment according to the clinical standards in Denmark. Examinations will be carried out consecutively on the same day. Furthermore, there will be an eye examination carried out by an ophthalmologist, within 4 weeks from baseline visit. Participant inclusion is expected to run from November 2016 to June 2018. Patients fulfilling the eligibility criteria (see below) will be offered participation in this study.
bias. RAA and IMJH will screen potential participants regarding fulfillment of the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria. Furthermore, potential participants will be divided into two groups, A and B: group A: naive to any biologics (including patients newly diagnosed with axSpA), group B: switching therapy, figure 3.

Inclusion criteria:

► Patients diagnosed with axSpA according to ASAS (imaging arm)
► ≥18 of age at time of consent
► Ability and willingness to give written informed consent and to meet the requirements of this protocol
► Patients must have a history of active disease and a BASDAI >40 (10-100) despite current or previous NSAIDs therapy

Exclusion criteria

► Age <18 years
► BASDAI ≤40
► No consent
► Active or latent TB
► Diagnosed HIV
► Diagnosed hepatitis
► Current or past malignant disease
► Recurrent or chronic infection (viral, fungal or bacterial)

Figure 2 ASAS classification criteria for axial SpA. AS, ankylosing spondylitis; ASAS, Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society; CRP, C reactive protein; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; SpA, spondyloarthritis.

Figure 3 Overview of participant flow. SpA, spondyloarthritis; TB, tuberculosis; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor-α inhibitors.

► Multiple sclerosis
► Heart failure (New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III/IV)

Treatment

Following the baseline examination, all enrolled participants will be treated in accordance with the clinical treatment guideline developed by The Danish Council for the use of expensive hospital medicines (RADS). Currently (while writing the protocol), first-line therapy is a biosimilar infliximab compound (Remsima, Orion Pharma); infliximab is a human-murine chimeric monoclonal antibody directed against tumour necrosis factor (TNF) and is administrated at a dose of 5 mg/kg via intravenous infusion at weeks 0, 2, 6 and then every 8 weeks. If the treatment is not tolerated, it will be stopped.

As part of clinical practice, patients are allowed to continue their conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: MTX and/or sulfasalazine. In accordance with Danish guidelines for intervention strategy patients will be treated with intra-articular glucocorticoid injections if needed during follow-up. Blood sample during treatment will be performed in accordance with the current clinical practice.
Eye examination

The eye examination will be performed by an ophthalmologist in accordance with normal clinical practice in Denmark. The following symptoms of acute anterior uveitis are registered: pain, redness of the globe, photophobia and reduced visual acuity. Best-corrected visual acuity as determined by Snellen, intraocular pressure, slit-lamp examination and dilated fundus examination are performed and previous signs of anterior uveitis, for example, keratic precipitates and posterior synechiae are recorded. Ocular inflammation is graded according to the Standardisation of Uveitis Nomenclature working group recommendations.28

Variables and outcome measures

Included patients will undergo an examination programme to collect variables shown in table 1.

Information on demographic data (age, sex, height, weight, educational level, smoking status),29 clinical characteristics (symptom duration, duration since axSpA diagnosis and type of the form of clinical involvement), HLA-B27, family history and EAM activity data and monitoring methods (erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C reactive protein (CRP), Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for pain and activity, morning stiffness, joint assessment, painful enthesis (BASDAI)),4 employment status and functional ability (BASFI), PDQ, tender point count and SF-36 comorbidity (including depression) will be obtained by interview and clinical examination at baseline and follow-up visit (Charlson Comorbidity Index Score).30 We will use the Simple Clinical Colitis Activity Index (SCCAI) and the Harvey Bradshaw’s Activity Index (HBAI) regarding symptoms of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

Clinical examination

A trained healthcare professional will perform the interviews and clinical examinations. During the study period, the patients will receive routine care, with prospective registration in DANBIO (a nationwide registry, approved as a clinical quality registry by the Danish National Board of Health), according to normal clinical practice in Denmark.

The SPARCC will be used to enthesitis count.

Assessment of pain

The PDQ has been translated into 19 different languages, including Danish. It is composed of questions regarding pain intensity (three numeric rating scales, pain course pattern, a pain drawing reflecting pain radiation and seven questions addressing somatosensory phenomena which the patient rates on a six-category Likert scale (never–very strongly). A score ranging between 0 and 38, based on the patient’s answers in the questionnaire, is calculated. For diagnostic purposes, a validated algorithm has been developed. A painDETECT score ≥19 indicates that a neuropathic pain component is likely, a score of 13–18 is considered uncertain and a score ≤12 indicates that a neuropathic pain component is unlikely, resulting in three categories of patient pain characteristics. For a comprehensive description and overview of the single questions (items) in the questionnaire, we refer to the original article by Freynhagen et al.22 The tender point count, a part of the 1990 ACR criteria for fibromyalgia, where the cut-off is 11 of 18 tender points,22 will be used as well.

Blood, urine and stool samples

Faecal and plasma calprotectin and orosomucoid will be assessed at baseline and follow-up visit and will be considered as an indicator for intestinal inflammation. Furthermore, we will examine the stool for intestinal pathogen bacteria. A urine sample will be assessed at baseline and follow-up visit. Any event of NL will be registered. Any intake of NSAIDs will be paused in a 14-day period prior to baseline visit.

Blood samples (table 1) will be collected and analysed according to standard procedures. Blood sample during treatment will be performed in accordance with the current clinical practice. The frequency of these blood samples will be decided by the responsible treating rheumatologist depending on symptoms or signs of side effects. The exact number of tests and the precise time line for performing test may therefore deviate from the one scheduled in figure 3. Furthermore, a Biobank will be established in connection with the routine blood samples taken at baseline (~90 mL). The blood samples will be handled and registered by research laboratory technicians at the laboratory department and stored at Odense University Hospital (in the cold store). The material was collected in order to carry out analyses in connection with the current investigation and for future research in SpA.

Patient-reported outcomes

In the context of monitoring disease activity in patients with SpA, most of the variables are based on patient-reported outcomes. We will use the following in our study:

1. The BASDAI: The BASDAI is a 6-item scale to measure severity of fatigue, spinal and peripheral joint pain, localised tenderness and morning stiffness, using the VAS.
2. The BASFI: The BASFI is a 10-item scale on which respondents rate the degree of difficulty they have in performing certain tasks, using VAS from 0 (easy) to 100 (impossible). The mean of the 10 responses is the BASFI score.
3. The Medical Outcomes Study SF-36 is a generic health status questionnaire that was developed as a tool to compare various aspects of health status across a general and broad patient population.35–37 The SF-36 examines eight general health domains: physical functioning, role limitations due to physical health problems, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems and...
### Table 1  Summary of measures to be collected

**Demographics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>X</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (current/previous/never)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disease characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>X</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptom duration (months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom duration prior to diagnosis (months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial disease (yes/no)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral joint involvement (yes/no)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medication**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>X</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior NSAID (yes/no)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current NSAID at assessment (yes/no)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior DMARD therapy (yes/no)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX dose (mg/week)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current DMARD therapy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of previous biologics used (if any)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of current biological agent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of prednisolone orally at assessment (mg/week)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of prednisolone orally 1 week prior to assessment (mg/week)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of prednisolone orally 2 weeks prior to assessment (mg/week)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intr-articular glucocorticoid injections in the previous 3 months (n)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intramuscular glucocorticoid injections in the previous 3 months (mg)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Clinical examination**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>X</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BASMI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 tender and swollen joint count</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARCC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender points</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye examination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SpA features**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>X</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior uveitis, past or present (yes/no)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), past or present (yes/no)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis, past or present (yes/no)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriatic nail lesions (yes/no)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preceding infection (yes/no)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total enthesitis count</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylitis count</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression past or present</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson comorbidity index score</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Basic metabolic screening**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>X</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-creatinin, S-calcium, S-urat, S-sodium, S-potassium</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Urine samples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
mental health. Furthermore, a physical and mental component summary score can be calculated. We will use the Danish version of SF-36 which uses a 4-week recall period.

4. Disease activity in patients with ulcerative colitis is assessed by the SCCAI—a score consisting of five questions regarding bowel frequency day/night, urgency of defecation, blood in stool and general well-being.39

5. Disease activity in patients with Crohn’s disease is assessed by the HBAI—consisting of five clinical parameters including number of liquid stools per day, abdominal pain, abdominal mass, general well-being and extraintestinal manifestations including arthralgia.40

**Exploratory outcome measures and response criteria**

The response to the treatment at follow-up visit will be assessed by various patient-reported outcome measures. The BASDAI50 response criteria and ASDAS response criteria will be used to define treatment response. Patients who will not respond to TNF-α blocking therapy after 4 months will be classified as primary non-responders. The ASDAS is a composite index that assesses the disease activity. The ASDAS incorporates three items from the BASDAI: back pain (VAS), duration of morning stiffness (VAS) and pain of peripheral joints (VAS), as well as patient global assessment of the disease activity (VAS) and CRP (mg/L).41, 42 An ASDAS <1.3 is defined as inactive disease, ASDAS ≥1.3 to <2.1 is moderate disease activity, ASDAS ≥2.1 to ≤3.5 is high disease activity and ASDAS ≥ is very high disease activity.43, 44

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Patient-reported outcomes</th>
<th>Blood samples</th>
<th>Stool sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine-pH (dip-stick), U-calcium, U-chloride, U-potassium, U-sodium, U-albumin, U-oxalate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASDAI, BASFI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDQ score</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ score</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS fatigue, VAS pain, VAS global</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCCAI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBAI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood samples (positive/negative)*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, ESR, orosomucoid, vitamin D, immunoglobulin A, ALAT, alkaline phosphatase, creatinine, estimated GFR, haemoglobin, erythrocyte volume fraction, MCHC, MCV, leucocytes, differential count, thrombocyte, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, total cholesterol, serology test for shigellic, plasma calprotectin, IgA†</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal calprotectin (mg/L)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yersinia enterocolitica, Shigella, Campylobacter jejuni, Salmonella typhimurium</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia testing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite outcome measures</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASDAI50 response, ΔASDAS</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STATISTICAL METHODS

Sample size and power considerations

For a comparison of two independent binomial proportions (high vs other PDQ category) using Pearson’s $\chi^2$ statistic with a $\chi^2$ approximation with a two-sided significance level of 0.05, a total sample size of 54 patients with SpA assuming that the proportion of patients with a high PDQ of 50% achieves a statistical power of at least 80% when the proportions having a BASDAI response are 15% and 50%, in high versus other PDQ category.

Thus, we aim to include 60 patients in total (anticipating 30 patients will have a PDQ>19), corresponding to an approximate power of 84.3% when the proportions with a BASDAI-response are 15% and 50%, respectively.

Statistical analysis

All descriptive statistics and tests will be reported in accordance with the recommendations of the ‘Enhancing the QUAlity and Transparency Of health Research’ network: the STROBE Statement. Baseline variables will be described for all participants, for all continuous variables with means (and SDs) or medians (and IQRs) and for categorical variables percentages and frequencies will be reported. For comparisons, $\chi^2$ will be used for categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney test for continuous data. To assess the bivariate association between variables, correlation will be applied using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient.

For the statistical testing, we will consider p values less than 0.05 (and 95% CIs excluding the null) to be statistically significant. Missing data at follow-up will be imputed by use of a non-responder assumption (applying baseline observation carried forward for continuous data).

To study the prognostic value of the PDQ score in relation to treatment response, regression models will be applied to study if pain measurement and/or other demographic/clinical measures have an impact on the treatment response measures and subsequently multivariable regression models will be applied if deemed necessary. According to the principles stated in the STROBE statement both crude and adjusted estimates will be reported.

Discussion

The proposed study attempts to evaluate the pain mechanism, characteristics of the patients regarding EAM, treatment response as well as clinical activity in patients with axSpA. The study will contribute to the understanding of the important role of central pain components in patients with axSpA by determining the prognostic value of PDQ score regarding treatment response. We will describe the relationship between central sensitisation and subsequent treatment outcome. Knowledge about the presence of a neuropathic pain component may be particularly important to the rheumatologists treating patients with axSpA, since the road to biological treatment is short and a high BASDAI score primarily derived from patient-reported information. Identifying which patients with axSpA who are likely to benefit from biologics (eg, TNF-α inhibitors) therapy is important, especially in view of the costs and potential side effects of these agents.

We anticipate (and hope) that this study can contribute to set focus on the need of treating the underlying pain mechanisms which not can be explained by inflammatory genesis in patients with axSpA. The patients will probably have a better prognosis, if they are educated in and informed of all the various factors (ie, EAM and comorbidities) that can influence on the overall quality of life and treatment response. IBD is a frequent EAM among patients with SpA and is important to take into account regarding treatment strategy, as NSAIDs increases the risk of flare in IBD. Using calprotectin measurements in stool and serum in this study may provide a promising strategy to identify patients with axSpA at risk of bowel inflammation and could play a role in overall patient stratification.

We know there is a higher prevalence of comorbidities in patients with inflammatory joint diseases compared with the general population, but the patients may receive suboptimal medical treatment for the comorbidities compared with the general population, possibly due to the focus on their rheumatic diseases or because the lack of consensus of which health professional should take the responsibility for the patient as a whole. In this study, we outline the patient’s comorbidities which are in accordance with EULAR proposal regarding points to consider to collect comorbidities in patients with chronic inflammatory rheumatic diseases.

The study may be limited by sample size possible, based on the anticipation that these patients (with axSpA) represent a heterogeneous population which may limit our ability to infer from the analyses.

In conclusion, the results of this study may add knowledge to the current black box of complexities around disease measures and prognosis and the efficacy of biologics among patients diagnosed with axSpA. Hopefully, this project will facilitate a more efficient use of expensive drugs as well as multidisciplinary rehabilitation, by revealing the need for a more individualised biopsychosocial model in the future management strategy.
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