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Results of the Multivariate Probit Model

The aim is to investigate heterogeneity in choice of innovation partner based on the location of partners (Denmark, EU, USA or Asia)

- What kind of patterns can be identified in the choice of partner in cross-border innovation cooperation activities of Danish firms according to their characteristics and geographical location?
- Do these patterns vary across distinct industrial branches relying on different knowledge bases?

Four binary choice equations

\[ y_i \in \{ 1, \ldots, N \} \]

\[ y_i \in \{ 0, 1 \} \]

Data and Methods

- Firm specific data from 2010 Community innovation survey in Denmark
- 602 firms have cooperated on innovation
- 86% have a partner in Denmark
- 61% have a partner abroad
- 48% have both DK and foreign partners

- Multivariate Probit Model

Four binary choice equations

\[ y_{i,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \beta_k + \omega_{i,k} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

Results, firm characteristics and location

Choosing an innovation partner in Denmark is independent from choosing a partner abroad

The choice between partners in different foreign location is dependent

Firm size, R&D intensity and having R&D in foreign subsidiaries is significant for partners in all 4 locations

Newness of the innovation (Newrd) is significant for EU and USA and having applied for patent on all foreign location. This further supports the difference in choosing a domestic or foreign partners. Distance from land-border is as expected only significant for partners in EU.

Closeness to international border is not an important factor for the choice of partner based on location

Results, knowledge bases

The hypothesis that the knowledge bases that firm rely on for their innovations is not confirmed (only significant for 2 out of 3 in USA)

This is somewhat surprising given underlying theory and empirical evidence which is mainly based on case studies.

Possible explanations might be choice of variables, operationalization of knowledge bases or sample size.

Further research is needed before decisive conclusions are drawn.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>USA</th>
<th>World</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDirnt</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDirntq</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDirdep</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDirsub</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newrd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newmrk</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfrn</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dist</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intair</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytic</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syntheti</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P>|z|  <0,1  **<0,05  ***<0,01

Results, knowledge bases

The hypothesis that the knowledge bases that firm rely on for their innovations is not confirmed (only significant for 2 out of 3 in USA)

This is somewhat surprising given underlying theory and empirical evidence which is mainly based on case studies.

Possible explanations might be choice of variables, operationalization of knowledge bases or sample size.

Further research is needed before decisive conclusions are drawn.

Acknowledgements

This research is a part of the INTERREG 4 project ‘Emerging Attractions’

Data and Methods

- Firm specific data from 2010 Community innovation survey in Denmark
- 602 firms have cooperated on innovation
- 86% have a partner in Denmark
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- Multivariate Probit Model

Four binary choice equations

\[ y_{i,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \beta_k + \omega_{i,k} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

k=1,2,3,4  i= 1,…,N

y^i,jh of partner

X the independent variables
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