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Introduction

• Studies on acquisition of inflectional morphology often distinguish between regular and irregular inflection. This distinction originates from studies of English, which is characterized by having one default inflectional marker for a grammatical category (e.g., the plural suffix -s) and a minor number of exceptions to this default rule.
• We find this distinction rather inexpressive since this is not the case for all languages (e.g., Danish, German).
• In order to address this issue we have developed a scale with three degrees of productivity.
• Productivity is here defined as the ability of the inflectional marker to occur on new words. For the plural system this means the ability to add the plural marker (stem change + suffix) to a new noun in order to form a new plural noun.

In Danish the plural can be formed in four different ways:

• Plural suffix:
  • [bil] [bil] ‘car’ – bil-er [bil] ‘cars’
  • Stem change:
  • [mand] [mænd] ‘man’ – [mann] [mænd] ‘men’
• Plural suffix + stem change:
  • [fod] [fød] ‘foot’ – [fødd-er] [fød] ‘feet’
• No change (singular = plural):
  • [mæn] [mænd] ‘mouse’ – [møn] [mænd] ‘mice’

Productivity scale

Danish plural markers
1. FULLY PRODUCTIVE: a-suffix without phonemic stem change.
2. SEMI-PRODUCTIVE: e-schwa and zero suffix without phonemic stem change.
3. UNPRODUCTIVE: markers with phonemic stem change and markers with the foreign suffixes: /ɪ/, /ʌ/, and /ø/.

Hypothesis

We predict:
• FULLY PRODUCTIVE plural marker to be the most frequent in child language input - hence also in output, thus COMPARE FULLY PRODUCTIVE and SEMI-PRODUCTIVE plural markers.
• Children to produce more correct plural forms of FULLY PRODUCTIVE plural markers than of SEMI-PRODUCTIVE, and more SEMI-PRODUCTIVE than UNPRODUCTIVE plural markers.
• Children to overgeneralize FULLY PRODUCTIVE plural markers and sometimes also SEMI-PRODUCTIVE but never UNPRODUCTIVE plural markers.
• the error direction to go from UNPRODUCTIVE to SEMI-PRODUCTIVE to FULLY PRODUCTIVE plural markers.

Empirical data

Lexical data: OLAAM is a computational coding and analysis system for Danish. The OLAAM-database includes about 43,000 lexical entries.

Naturalistic spontaneous child language input and output: Two twin pairs from the Odense Twin Corpus and two singletons from Danish Plunkett Corpus. The children are recorded in interaction with their families approximately once every month. The recordings are transcribed in CHILDES and coded in OLAAM (morphologically and phonologically). The children are in the ages of 0-9; 3;11.

Task 1: Semi-structured interviews on the basis of pictures and pre-prepared questions for maximal elicitation of noun plural forms. 80 monolingual Danish children aged 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 years participated in this task. 20 children in each age group. These children also participated in Task 2.

Task 2: Picture-based elicitation task with 49 items. The experimenter asked the child: “Here is a goose. Here are two what?” and the child was supposed to produce the plural form of the noun. 160 children to overgeneralize plural markers compared to nouns with an UNPRODUCTIVE plural marker but they appear to coincide in the older age groups. On the other hand, UNPRODUCTIVE plural markers have much lower correctness rate in Task 2 compared to the other plural markers.

Results

Table 1. Frequency of FULLY PRODUCTIVE, SEMI-PRODUCTIVE and UNPRODUCTIVE plural markers in child language input and output including their lexical frequency (the Odense Twin Corpus and Danish Plunkett Corpus).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of productivity</th>
<th>Lexical Frequency</th>
<th>Input plural types</th>
<th>Input plural tokens</th>
<th>Output plural types</th>
<th>Output plural tokens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FULLY PRODUCTIVE</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEMI-PRODUCTIVE</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNPRODUCTIVE</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Logistic regression of the outcome ‘produced correct plural form’ (Y/N), adjusted for productivity, age and their interaction as well as plural and singular token frequency (divided into quartiles).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Productivity</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% conf. interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FULLY PRODUCTIVE</td>
<td>ref.</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEMI-PRODUCTIVE</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNPRODUCTIVE</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 2 we see that the interaction is significant, thus the effect of productivity changes with age. The impact for the covariates changes the picture in the adjusted analysis compared to the crude rates presented in Figure 1.

Conclusion

The study shows that plural acquisition is affected by morphological category
• Children produce more correct plural forms of nouns with a FULLY PRODUCTIVE than a SEMI-PRODUCTIVE plural marker and more of the latter than of nouns with an UNPRODUCTIVE plural marker in both Task 1 and Task 2.
• Children overgeneralize the FULLY PRODUCTIVE and SEMI-PRODUCTIVE plural markers but never the UNPRODUCTIVE in both Task 1 and Task 2.
• The error direction goes from UNPRODUCTIVE to SEMI-PRODUCTIVE to FULLY PRODUCTIVE plural markers.
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