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Abstract

The Danish Twin Registry (DTR) was established in the 1950s, when twins born from 1870 to 1910 were ascertained, and has since been extended to include twins from birth cohorts until 2009. The DTR currently comprises of more than 175,000 twins from the 140 birth cohorts. This makes the DTR the oldest nationwide twin register and among the largest in the world. The combination of data from several surveys, including biological samples and repeated measurements on the same individuals, and data from Danish national registers provides a unique resource for a wide range of twin studies. This article provides an updated overview of the data in the DTR: First, we provide a summary of the establishment of the register, the different ascertainment methods and the twins included; then follows an overview of major surveys conducted in the DTR since 1994 and a description of the DTR biobank, including a description of the molecular data created so far; finally, a short description is given of the linkage to Danish national registers at Statistics Denmark and some recent examples of studies using the various data resources in the DTR are highlighted.
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The Population in the Danish Twin Registry

Overall, four different ascertainment sources were used during the history of the Danish Twin Registry (DTR). These are described below. Detailed descriptions can be found in Hauge et al. (1968), Kyvik et al. (1995) and Skytthe et al. (2002).

Birth Cohorts 1870–1930

The oldest twins in the DTR, born from 1870 to 1930, have been ascertained through an effort headed by Harvald and Hauge during the 1950s and 1960s. First, church records in every parish in Denmark were searched by the local clergy, who were asked to report twin births where both twins had survived to age 6 years. Both same-sex and opposite-sex twin pairs were registered for birth cohorts 1870–1910, whereas for birth cohorts 1911–1930, only same-sex twin pairs were registered. Subsequently, the twins were located by searching through population registers and other public sources.

Birth Cohorts 1931–1952

The nationwide ascertainment of twins born from 1931 to 1952 was conducted from 1996 to 1998 by Skytthe and Christensen using the Danish Civil Registration System (CRS; Pedersen, 2011). Children born on the same date in the same parish with the same surname at birth were identified. A one-page questionnaire was sent to sets of children fulfilling these criteria to obtain confirmation of twin status. Approximately half of the responders were twins. To be selected using the CRS approach, the twins had to be alive on April 2, 1968, when the CRS was established. Several secondary sources were used for ascertainment, for example, same-sex male twin pairs and opposite-sex twin pairs had been identified through a conscription register if at least one male twin had been to conscription examination, and twins in the Copenhagen area were identified from the CRS by having the same date of birth and the same address.

Birth Cohorts 1953–1982

Twins born from 1953 to 1982 were ascertained at the beginning of the 1990s by Kyvik, mostly through links between parents and children in the CRS from 1953 and onwards. These links between mother and children were used to identify children born within three consecutive days by the same mother. In the early years of this period, the cohort was not complete. From April 1968 and
Onwards, all twin pairs where both twins were born alive were ascertained, since all live-born children receive a unique personal identification number (CPR number) and hence are included in the CRS. It was not possible to identify all twins born from 1953 to 1968 using the CRS, especially not in the birth cohorts 1953–1960. Therefore, additional twins were identified through secondary sources (e.g., the conscription register). Furthermore, the Danish Medical Birth Registry (MBR; Bliddal et al., 2018) was used to identify births of stillborn twins from 1973 to 1982.

**Birth Cohorts 1983–2009**

The youngest twins in the DTR, born from 1983 to 2009, were identified by Kyvik and Skytte using the MBR where all births including stillbirths since 1973 are registered and where multiple births are marked with a code. Information about the twins and their mother, including CPR numbers, were extracted from the MBR and contact information was retrieved from the CRS. The DTR is, with varying intervals, updated with new birth cohorts.

**Completeness of Ascertainment**

There is complete ascertainment of all live-born twins since the establishment of the CRS in April 1968 and complete ascertainment of all twins, including stillborn, since 1973 when the MBR was initiated (Bliddal et al., 2018; Pedersen, 2011). For different reasons, there is lower coverage for the earlier birth cohorts: For birth cohorts 1870–1930, only twins who survived to age 6 years are registered and, furthermore, no opposite-sex twin pairs were registered for birth cohorts 1911–1930. For birth cohorts 1931–1952, where the CRS was used as the primary source of ascertainment, the inclusion in DTR is conditioned on survival until April 1968. For twins born from 1931 to 1952, about 70% of all twins have been ascertained and 90% of those surviving to age 6 years. For twins born from 1953 to 1960, there is a low coverage due to incomplete links between parents and children in the CRS. The subsequent use of the conscript register helped identify additional male/male and male/female twin pairs. Therefore, there is lower coverage of female twins than male twins. A more detailed description of the completeness of ascertainment in the DTR can be found in Skytte et al. (2002, 2011).

**Zygosity Assessment**

The zygosity of all same-sex twins in the DTR has been determined by a questionnaire that was mailed to twins as part of the intake survey of all four birth cohort periods. The questionnaires included four questions about the degree of similarity in appearance between the co-twins and classified the twins as either monozygotic (MZ) or dizygotic (DZ); the four questions can be found in Kyvik et al. (1995). Zygosity was classified as unknown (UZ) in case of disagreement between the two twins, or inconsistent answers or no answer from either twin. If only one twin answered, zygosity classification was based on this answer alone. For the youngest twins born from 1989 to 2000, questionnaires were sent to the parents of the twins.

For some of the twins who participated in surveys where biological samples had been collected, zygosity was assessed using either serological or genetic markers. The questionnaire-based zygosity was found to be correct in 95% of these cases (Christiansen et al., 2003).

By May 2019, zygosity had been assessed for the twins born through 2000. Twins born from 2001 to 2009 have been identified, and zygosity assessments for these are planned in the end of 2019.

**Basic Description of the Twins in the DTR**

By May 2019, the population in the DTR comprised of 175,518 individuals: 86,402 pairs of twins born from 1870 to 2009, and 874 sets of triplets and 23 sets of quadruplets born from 1931 to 2009. Since the DTR currently only includes zygosity for twins born through 2000, the remainder of this article focuses on twins born 1870–2000. A basic description of the twins in the DTR is shown in Table 1, and the distribution of the zygosity for twin pairs over birth cohorts is shown in Figure 1.

**Table 1. Basic description of the population in the Danish Twin Registry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth cohorts</th>
<th>Number of twins, N</th>
<th>Males, n (%)</th>
<th>Females, n (%)</th>
<th>Number with known zygosity, n (%)</th>
<th>Number included in at least one major DTR survey, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1870–1910</td>
<td>26,474</td>
<td>12,938 (48.9)</td>
<td>13,536 (51.1)</td>
<td>20,638 (78.0)</td>
<td>787 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911–1930</td>
<td>12,300</td>
<td>5,897 (47.9)</td>
<td>6,403 (52.1)</td>
<td>9,924 (80.7)</td>
<td>3,944 (32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1931–1952</td>
<td>34,492</td>
<td>18,759 (54.4)</td>
<td>15,533 (45.1)</td>
<td>29,106 (84.4)</td>
<td>9,171 (44.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953–1967</td>
<td>21,796</td>
<td>11,399 (52.3)</td>
<td>10,384 (47.6)</td>
<td>20,346 (93.3)</td>
<td>16,016 (73.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968–1982</td>
<td>20,418</td>
<td>10,415 (51.0)</td>
<td>9,733 (47.7)</td>
<td>17,306 (84.8)</td>
<td>13,497 (66.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983–2000</td>
<td>32,396</td>
<td>16,237 (50.1)</td>
<td>15,173 (48.5)</td>
<td>24,168 (74.6)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>147,876</td>
<td>75,645 (51.2)</td>
<td>71,322 (48.2)</td>
<td>121,488 (82.2)</td>
<td>49,419 (33.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1. Basic description of the zygosity by year of birth for twin pairs in the Danish Twin Registry.**

Note: MZ = monozygotic, ssDZ = same-sex dizygotic, osDZ = opposite-sex dizygotic, UZ = unknown zygosity.
particularly for female twins. The larger number of twin pairs with unknown zygosity in birth cohorts 1983–2000 is due to lower response rate to the intake questionnaire among the youngest twins compared to the older cohorts. Furthermore, a large increase in number of twin births since the 1980s is seen, which is mainly due to increased use of assisted reproductive technology (Herskind et al., 2005).

Data Security

Data in the DTR are stored at a secure server. Directly identifiable data such as name, CPR-number and address are stored separately from the survey data. Generally, researchers will only have access to deidentified data in a project folder on a secure server via a secure virtual private network connection, and the access is limited to data necessary for answering a specific research question.

Major Surveys Conducted Since 1994 in the DTR

During the history of the DTR, several surveys have been conducted. Below is a short description of major twin surveys since 1994. Figure 2(a) and (b) provides an overview of these major surveys regarding the year they were initiated and the birth cohorts and ages they include. Table 2 shows the number of twins included in each survey. Some of the surveys also included a few triplets and quadruplets, as noted in the descriptions of the surveys below. These are included in the numbers in Table 2.

In relation to the establishment of the four cohorts in the DTR, the questionnaires confirming twin status and assessing twin zygosity also included other questions. For example, the questionnaire for the 2003 assessment of the zygosity of twins born from 1983 to 2000 also included questions about infertility treatment and childhood conditions such as asthma, febrile seizures, epilepsies and type I diabetes.
General Twin Surveys (Omnibus 1 and 2)

Two general twin surveys comprising various twin health projects were undertaken. In both surveys, a questionnaire was developed based on the interests of the researchers involved, and the responses were used both to study health conditions using the entire cohort of twins and to identify specific subgroups of twins for more detailed follow-up studies.

The first Omnibus (Omnibus 1) survey was undertaken in 1994 to illustrate the relative importance of genes and environment for the development of common diseases and health conditions in young twins. A seven-page questionnaire was sent to twins born from 1953 to 1982. A total of 29,433 individuals (29,173 twins and 260 triplets/quadruplets) completed the questionnaire (Leboeuf-Yde & Kyvik, 1998).

In the second Omnibus (Omnibus 2) survey undertaken in 2002, a larger questionnaire was mailed to twins born from 1931 to 1982. The survey addressed issues of health and health-related behavior, fertility, socioeconomic characteristics and subjective wellbeing in young and middle-aged twins. A total of 34,943 individuals (34,771 twins and 172 triplets/quadruplets) completed the questionnaire (Skytthe et al., 2006).

A total of 21,260 individuals participated in both Omnibus 1 and 2.

The Longitudinal Study of Aging Danish Twins (LSADT)

A cohort sequential study of elderly Danish twins was initiated in 1995. All twins aged 75 years and older, alive and residing in Denmark in January 1995 were selected for participation in the study and subsequently followed at 2 years’ intervals up to and including 2005. Additional cohorts were added in 1997, 1999 and 2001; twins aged 73–76 years were enrolled in 1997 and twins aged 70+ years at the beginning of 1999 and 2001 were enrolled in these follow-up surveys. Across all intake waves, a total of 4731 subjects completed the intake assessment. Twins were selected for participation regardless of whether their co-twin was alive. Thus, only 1152 intact pairs completed an intake assessment.

The study was initiated to investigate the relative influence of genetic and environmental factors on the health of the elderly in different domains of health and functioning. All waves of Longitudinal Study of Aging Danish Twins (LSADT) were interview-based examinations with comprehensive questionnaires including questions regarding self-reported health and medication, lifestyle and activities of daily living, socio-demographic factors, cognitive tests and measurements of symptoms of depression. From 1999 and onwards, a short physical performance test was included involving measurements of chair stand, handgrip strength and lung function. Furthermore, biological material in the form of blood spots or buccal swaps was collected. In addition, whole blood samples were collected in 1997 and for a subgroup of those twin pairs still alive in 2007, a smaller questionnaire was filled out and a whole blood sample was collected. A more detailed description of the LSADT can be found in McGue and Christensen (2007).

The Middle Age Danish Twins (MADT) Study

In 1998, a twin study including middle-aged twins born in the period 1931–1952 was initiated as a parallel to the LSADT in order to investigate how midlife health, lifestyle and functioning contribute to differences in late-life health and mortality. The participants were selected through a sampling of 240 twins from each birth cohort from 1931 to 1952. From each birth cohort, 240 twins from 120 twin pairs were selected, including 40 pairs of MZ twins, 40 pairs of same-sex DZ twins and 40 pairs of opposite-sex DZ twins, and an equal distribution of men and women. A total of 4314 twins (including two of three from three triplet sets) completed a personal interview by means of a structured questionnaire similar to that of LSADT, and biological material in the form of blood spots or buccal swaps was collected.

In 2008–2011, a follow-up assessment of the Middle Age Danish Twin (MADT) cohort was conducted as a part of a broader project (the Danish Twin Registry Infrastructure Study). This follow-up assessment involved a mailed questionnaire, which the participants filled out in advance and handed in at an in-person interview and examination that took place at one of the five centers located across Denmark. Furthermore, a whole blood sample was collected. A total of 2400 twins completed the questionnaire and/or the examination. The majority (2380 twins) completed both questionnaire and examination (Skytthe et al., 2013).

The Middle Age Danish Twins (MIDT) Study

The Danish Twin Registry Infrastructure Study carried out from 2008 to 2011 also comprised twins born 1931–1969 who were not included in the MADT survey. Priority was given to the oldest birth cohorts, twin pairs where both twins were alive and twins who had previously shown willingness to participate in research projects. The Middle age Danish Twin (MIDT) study, with a few exceptions, shared the same questionnaire, examination and biological samples as the MADT follow-up study. A total of 10,276 twins (including 43 triplets/quadruplets) completed the questionnaire and/or examination. The majority (10,111 twins) completed both questionnaire and examination (Skytthe et al., 2013).

The Importance of Genes, Familiar and Common Environment for the Development of Insulin Resistance, Abdominal Adiposity and Cardiovascular Risk Factors (GEMINAKAR)

Twins were recruited during 1997–2000 covering the birth cohorts 1931–1952 and 1953–1982 to investigate the relative influence of genetic and environmental factors for variation in several quantitative biological traits related to insulin resistance, abdominal obesity and associated cardiovascular risk factors. Invitations were sent to 2585 randomly chosen twins pairs with at least one twin living within 100 km from one of two investigation sites, of whom 1098 complete twin pairs (42 %) were both willing and able to participate; an age, gender and zygosity-stratified sample of 756 complete pairs participated in a full day clinical examination program. The clinical examination included an oral glucose tolerance test, a maximal bicycle test, body composition determined by bioimpedance, electrocardiograms (ECGs), blood pressure measurement and blood sampling for biobanking of DNA, serum and plasma samples. Also, waist and hip circumference were measured as well as weight and height. Furthermore, the participants completed a questionnaire regarding health, health-related behavior and disease, and they completed a comprehensive food frequency questionnaire designed to reflect the food intake during the previous month. DNA-based microsatellite markers were used to determine the zygosity of the twins. More details on recruitment to GEMINAKAR, inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in Benyamin et al. (2007).
The GEMINAKAR cohort was followed up during January 2010 to October 2013. A total of 1435 (95% of the original 1512) twins were alive and still living in Denmark and invited. A total of 1145 twins completed the questionnaire and/or examination and the majority (1135 twins) completed both questionnaire and examination. Participants were visited by a mobile examination unit at their home, at work or at any other place at their convenience. The participants completed three different questionnaires covering health and lifestyle, sleep and work, and diet and underwent a clinical examination including ECG, blood pressure measurement, body composition, spirometry, various anthropometric measures as well as blood, saliva and urine sampling for biobanking (Li et al., 2016).

The DTR Biobank

The DTR biobank was established in 1997 when biological material was collected as a part of the second wave of the LSADT and the first wave of GEMINAKAR. Since then, the DTR biobank has grown and now comprises biological samples from more than 19,000 twins who have participated in the various DTR surveys. Table 3 gives an overview of the different biological samples collected in the major twin surveys since the establishment of the biobank as well as molecular data generated from the biological samples.

For financial and logistical reasons, LSADT 1999–2005 and MADT-98 included biological samples in the form of a blood spot for all non-proxy participants. If participants were reluctant to provide a blood sample, a buccal swap was used as an alternative source of DNA. Whole blood was collected in the LSADT-97, LSADT-07, MADT-08, MIDT and GEMINAKAR surveys.

In several ancillary studies, the DTR was used to screen for the most informative twin pairs for a given phenotype — for example, twins with rheumatoid arthritis (Svendsen et al., 2013) and twins discordant for birth weight (Frost et al., 2012) — and included the relevant twins and collected biological material from these twins. An overview of ancillary twin studies with inclusion of biological material can be found in Skytte et al. (2013).

Storage of the Biological Samples

Several precautions have been taken in order to safely store the biological samples in the DTR biobank. The freezers in the biobank are housed in a secured area with alarm systems, controlling accessibility and warning systems in case of fire and flooding, cooling (and ventilation) systems with room temperature sensors and temperature sensor inside the freezers. All drains have also been sealed to prevent flooding. Furthermore, sample fractions from each participant are stored as duplets in separate freezers to prevent material from being damaged in case a freezer is malfunctioning.

Molecular Data

Genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data are available for a total of 554 LSADT-97, 96 LSADT-07, 1475 MADT-08 and 173 GEMINAKAR participants. Within the framework of the Interplay of Genes and Environment across Multiple Studies Consortium (Pedersen et al., 2019), 503 LSADT-97

Table 3. Overview of the number of participants with specific samples from the major twin surveys in the biobank and existing molecular data from the biological samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Year of survey</th>
<th>Participants with samples in the biobank</th>
<th>Biological samples in the biobank</th>
<th>Existing molecular data from biological samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blood spots</td>
<td>Buccal swabs/ Saliva</td>
<td>Plasma (EDTA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSADT</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>2053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2319</td>
<td>2053</td>
<td>265 (swabs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>163 (swabs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1734</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>124 (swabs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td>105 (swabs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADT</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>4202</td>
<td>3972</td>
<td>246 (swabs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008–2011</td>
<td>2337</td>
<td>2303</td>
<td>2319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDT</td>
<td>2008–2011</td>
<td>10,054</td>
<td>40 (saliva)</td>
<td>10,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMINAKAR</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>1407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010–2012</td>
<td>1139</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>1136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: LSADT = Longitudinal Study of Aging Danish Twins, MADT = Middle Age Danish Twins, MIDT = Middle age Danish Twins.
participants (including 115 MZ pairs, 133 SS-DZ pairs and 2 OS-DZ pairs) and 1465 MADT-08 participants (including 276 MZ pairs, 220 SS-DZ pairs and 231 OS-DZ pairs) were genotyped using the Illumina Infinium PsychArray. A subset of these data was recently included in a genome-wide association meta-analysis of intelligence (Savage et al., 2018). In addition, 46 MADT-08, 46 LSADT-07 and 141 LSADT-97 participants were genotyped using the Illumina OmniExpress Array. The 141 LSADT-97 participants were selected for longevity, that is, surviving to at least 90 years of age (Deelen et al., 2014) and only one of the twins in a pair was genotyped. Also, 80 LSADT-97 and 64 LSADT-07 participants were genotyped on the Illumina Human Omni2.5 Array in a study focusing on somatic structural genetic variants acquired over a 10 years' period (Magaard Koldby et al., 2016). Finally, 173 participants within the GEMINAKAR cohort (one twin from 173 female MZ pairs) were genotyped using the Infinium II assay on the Illumina HumanHap300-Duo Genotyping BeadChips (Surakka et al., 2012). The genome-wide SNP data for most of the LSADT-97 (539 of the 544) and MADT-08 (1465 of the 1475) participants have been imputed to the 1000 Genomes reference panel (Genomes Project Consortium et al., 2015).

**Genome-wide methylation data** created using the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip are available for 155 LSADT-97 twin pairs and 246 MADT-08 twin pairs. The methylation data have been used in multiple epigenetic studies (e.g., Bolund et al., 2017; Debrabant et al., 2018; Lund et al., 2019; Starnaw ska et al., 2017; Svane et al., 2018). Methylation data have also been mainly been made for MZ twin pairs, but for 43 twin pairs (18 MZ and 25 DZ twin pairs) repeated methylation data were made both at baseline (LSADT-97) and follow-up (LSADT-07; Christiansen et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016). DNA methylation data have also been used for studying DNA methylation clocks (Christiansen et al., 2016; Jylhava et al., 2019). Finally, methylation data using the Illumina Infinium EPIC BeadChip array are available from both baseline and follow-up for 214 MZ twin pairs (856 samples) in GEMINAKAR (unpublished).

**Genome-wide gene expression data** have been generated using whole blood from 119 LSADT-07 (including 16 MZ and 25 DZ twin pairs) and 520 MADT-08 (260 MZ twin pairs) participants and the Agilent SurePrint G3 Human GE 8 x 60K Microarray. Also, whole blood-based RNA sequencing, including microRNA sequencing, has been performed for 58 LSADT-07 (10 MZ and 14 DZ twin pairs and 10 unrelated individuals) and 58 MADT-08 (12 MZ and 12 DZ twin pairs and 10 unrelated individuals) participants. For further information, see Hasler et al. (2017).

**Circulating microRNAs (plasma data** from 45 MZ twin pairs from LSADT-97 were generated using the 754 human miRNAs OpenArray system (Mengel-From, Feddersen et al., 2018), and in a study of 48 LSADT-97 MZ twin pairs (selected for dementia diagnosis concordant and discordant plus twin pairs of different degrees of cognitive discordance) a panel of 48 miRNAs was quantified using the Fluidigm BioMark microfluidic chip system (Mengel-From, Ronne et al., 2018).

**Data on mitochondrial DNA copy number** have been conducted on approximately 2300 twins, including 176 twins participating in the first round of GEMINAKAR, 671 LSADT-97 participants (including 291 complete twin pairs), 1321 MADT-08 and MIDT participants (comprising 513 complete twin pairs), and 149 twins with rheumatoid arthritis and co-twins (including 73 complete pairs). Further information can be found in Mengel-From et al. (2014) and Svendsen et al. (2019).

Another epigenetic dataset includes X-inactivation pattern in female twins for 151 MZ and 361 DZ twin pairs from LSADT-97 and GEMINAKAR (Kristiansen et al., 2005; Mengel-From et al., 2012). Additionally, X-inactivation pattern data for about 500 twins from MADT-08 are available (unpublished).

In addition, telomere length is available on a large number of twins. Telomere length measured by Southern blot method using the HphI/MnlI enzyme is available on 548 twins from LSADT-97, whereas telomere length measured using the HinfI/RsaI enzyme is available on 482 twins from LSADT-97 and 80 twins from LSADT-07 (Kimura et al., 2010). For the GEMINAKAR study, telomere length measured using the HinfI/RsaI enzyme is available on 820 twins measured both at baseline and at follow-up. These data have been used in a number of studies (Hjelmborg et al., 2015; Kimura et al., 2008; Soerensen et al., 2012).

**Linkage to Nationwide Registers at Statistics Denmark**

The Danish CRS was established for administrative purposes on April 2, 1968. All persons alive and living in Denmark by April 2, 1968, or born or emigrated hereafter are assigned a unique personal identification number (CPR number; Pedersen, 2011). This unique CPR number enables unambiguous linkage between all Danish nationwide administrative registers (Thygesen et al., 2011; Thygesen & Ersbøll, 2011).

Figure 3 provides the number of twins in DTR with and without a CPR number for each birth cohort and hence gives an overview of the number of twins that can be linked to the Danish national registers. The presence of twins without a CPR number in birth cohorts from 1973 and onwards is a consequence of stillborn infants being included in the DTR from 1973 and not receiving a CPR number.

Twins with a CPR number, a 5 % random sample of the Danish population, as well as first-degree relatives and spouses have been linked to several Danish national administrative registers at Statistics Denmark. These registers are shown in Figure 4. Detailed descriptions of most of the Danish national registers can be found in a special issue of Scandinavian Journal of Public Health (2011).

The linkage between datasets from these registers is constructed at Statistics Denmark. The datasets are stored at a server located at Statistics Denmark, and access to the individual-level data in deidentified form is given via a secure virtual private network connection. Only researchers affiliated with an authorized research
institution can establish remote access to these datasets and only permanent Danish research institutions of a certain size can be authorized. Researchers are only allowed to publish results on an aggregated level, preserving full anonymity of participants.

The primary research area of interest in the DTR is human development and aging with a focus on health, and therefore the registers providing information about use of healthcare services are the most widely used in the DTR, in particular the Danish National Patient Register, which contains information about hospitalizations, diagnoses classified according to the 8th and 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-8/ICD-10), dates of admission and discharge, and operation codes (Lynge et al., 2011). The Danish healthcare system is tax based, and therefore the vast majority of health services in Denmark are free of charge and equal access to healthcare is provided for all Danish residents. The health institutions receive reimbursement for services, which are therefore registered in administrative registers. Hence, these registers provide nationwide coverage and are a unique source to investigate the use of healthcare services in large-scale studies virtually without selection bias.

Recent Illustrative Examples of Studies Using the Various Data Resources in DTR

A study based on the MADT and LSADT survey data investigated whether twin similarity in cognitive ability, depression symptomatology and handgrip strength changed with age and found no evidence of declining twin similarities in any of the investigated outcomes (McGue & Christensen, 2013). Linkage between the DTR and the Danish national registers has resulted in studies on twin-singleton differences, classic twin studies and twin–co-twin control studies. Two studies compared opposite-sex and same-sex twins on different outcomes to assess any potential impact of intra-uterine hormone transfer. The outcomes in the two studies were cancer diagnoses from the Danish Cancer Registry and academic performance using the education registers. Neither of the studies found any evidence of differences between opposite-sex and same-sex twins (Ahrenfeldt, Petersen et al., 2015; Ahrenfeldt, Skytte et al., 2015). Another study combined the male twin population with singleton men and their brothers to investigate the association between early cognitive ability as determined by conscription board examinations and later diagnosis of dementia. The study found that low cognitive ability in young adulthood was associated with increased risk of dementia before age 78 years. Intra-brother and intra-pair twin analyses showed that the association was partly explained by shared family factors (Osler et al., 2017).

Previously, a range of studies using the DTR found twins to be similar to singletons in various aspects, for example, overall mortality after age 6 years, fecundity, school tests (shortly described in Christensen et al., 2011). In line with this, a recent register-based study compared the overall survival prognosis for twins and singletons with congenital heart defects and found no significant differences (Herskind et al., 2017). Furthermore, a recent study combining register data from the Nordic countries found that twins and singletons have similar cancer incidence (Skytte et al., 2019). A study combining longitudinal measurements of cognition from the MADT and LSADT surveys with data from the Danish National Patient Register on exposure to anesthesia examined the possibility of a negative effect of anesthesia on cognition in elderly. The study found that having had a major surgery was only associated with a negligibly lower level of cognitive functioning and that the key factors were preoperative cognitive functioning and underlying disease status (Dokkedal et al., 2016).

Another study combined register data from the Nordic countries to investigate the familial risk and heritability of cancer using the national cancer registries and found a significant excess of familial risk overall and for specific cancers, among others prostate, melanoma, breast and ovary (Mucci et al., 2016). The studies on cancer follow up on the first cancer study in the world to involve twin data, conducted by Harvald and Hauge (1963). Further use of the combined Nordic data revealed how genetic influence on risk of major cancer sites varies with age for prostate cancer (Hjelmborg et al., 2014), breast cancer (Moller et al., 2016) and colon cancer (Graff et al., 2017). These data were also used to develop...
methodology for the time-to-event analysis for studies involving twins (Scheike et al., 2015, 2014). Collected survey data on smoking status over decades made it possible, via the matched twin design, to study smoking exposure for risk of lung cancer throughout life, in particular to gauge the magnitude of direct causal effect of smoking and the interaction effect of genes with smoking on lung cancer (Hjelmborg et al., 2017).

A study using the molecular data for twins aged 30–82 years found that an epigenetic profile defining the DNA methylation age was highly correlated to chronological age, and that an increase in methylation age was associated with increased mortality risk. For the oldest age, intra-pair twin analyses showed a stronger association between DNA methylation age and mortality when controlling for familial factors. Hence, the study supports previous findings that DNA methylation age is a useful biomarker of aging (Christiansen et al., 2016).

**Conclusion**

In the DTR, the combination of long and nearly complete follow-up of twins with extensive phenotypic and molecular information from surveys and registers provides a valuable resource for studies of the mechanisms of human development, aging and diseases.
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