

Sp(1)-symmetric hyperkähler quantisation

Andersen, Jørgen Ellegaard; Malusà, Alessandro; Rembado, Gabriele

Published in:
Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DOI:
10.2140/PJM.2024.329.1

Publication date:
2024

Document version:
Final published version

Document license:
CC BY

Citation for pulished version (APA):
Andersen, J. E., Malusà, A., & Rembado, G. (2024). Sp(1)-symmetric hyperkähler quantisation. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 329(1), 1-38. <https://doi.org/10.2140/PJM.2024.329.1>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Sp(1)-SYMMETRIC HYPERKÄHLER QUANTISATION

JØRGEN ELLEGAARD ANDERSEN,
ALESSANDRO MALUSÀ AND GABRIELE REMBADO

Sp(1)-SYMMETRIC HYPERKÄHLER QUANTISATION

JØRGEN ELLEGAARD ANDERSEN,
ALESSANDRO MALUSÀ AND GABRIELE REMBADO

We provide a new general scheme for the geometric quantisation of Sp(1)-symmetric hyperkähler manifolds, considering Hilbert spaces of holomorphic sections with respect to the complex structures in the hyperkähler 2-sphere. Under properness of an associated moment map, or other finiteness assumptions, we construct unitary (super) representations of groups acting by Riemannian isometries preserving the 2-sphere, and we study their decomposition in irreducible components. We apply this scheme to hyperkähler vector spaces, the Taub–NUT metric on \mathbb{R}^4 , moduli spaces of framed SU(r)-instantons on \mathbb{R}^4 , and in part to the Atiyah–Hitchin manifold of magnetic monopoles in \mathbb{R}^3 .

1. Introduction	1
2. Abstract Sp(1)-symmetric hyperkähler quantisation	6
3. Examples of applications	21
4. Outlook and further perspectives	31
Appendix: Comparison with the standard approach	32
Acknowledgements	33
References	34

1. Introduction

The constructions of geometric quantisation offer a recipe for addressing problems related to the quantum mechanics of an object moving in an arbitrary, possibly curved, phase space [42; 89]. The process, abstracting canonical quantisation, is fundamentally based on the structure of a symplectic manifold. Two of the main goals are to obtain operators subject to commutation relations prescribed by the Poisson bracket, and unitary representations of groups associated to Hamiltonian flows. However, there are strong limitations to the extent to which these can be achieved in general. One of the most typical problems is the need of a polarisation, whose existence is generally not guaranteed, nor is its uniqueness ever satisfied.

MSC2020: 53D50.

Keywords: geometric quantisation, hyperkähler geometry, moduli spaces, Hitchin connection, quantum representations.

Furthermore, the choice of a particular polarisation poses serious constraints on which functions and Hamiltonian flows can be quantised.

A common approach to this issue consists in considering instead a *family* of polarisations, parametrised by some smooth manifold. One then attempts to assemble their corresponding quantum Hilbert spaces into a vector bundle and identify them via the holonomy of some appropriate connection. In this framework, the natural way to quantise Hamiltonian group actions is by automorphisms of the bundle as a whole rather than of the individual vector spaces. A group representation is then usually obtained by considering the space of (projectively) flat sections. The prototypical example of this is the Hitchin connection [16; 45], further discussed below. The latter also has a simple yet interesting adaptation to the case of a symplectic linear space, providing a quantisation of its full symplectic group in the form of a representation of a double cover of it, the metaplectic representation [89, Chapter 10].

Because polarisations on a symplectic manifold often arise as compatible complex structures, it is rather common in geometric quantisation to work with Kähler manifolds [30; 89]. This approach has been successfully applied to a number of moduli spaces arising from differential and algebraic geometry, representation theory, and mathematical physics. Notable examples include unitary flat connections [16; 45] and vector bundles on Riemann surfaces, compact coadjoint orbits [53], and polygons [29; 51]. Unitary flat connections in particular are a good example of the scheme sketched above, as the moduli space comes with a family of Kähler structures parametrised by the Teichmüller space. The construction of a projectively flat connection in that setting is due to Hitchin [45] and Axelrod, Della Pietra, and Witten [16] and was extended to a broader framework in later works [1; 3; 8]. The role of flat connections in Chern–Simons theory [38; 86] also motivated further study of the relation between geometric quantisation and other formulations of the theory, including deformation quantisation [2; 6; 24; 52; 63; 78; 79] and other approaches [9; 10; 11; 12; 59].

In many cases, spaces similar to those above, and related to interesting quantisation problems, come with natural *hyperkähler* structures rather than just Kähler. Some of these may be viewed as complexifications of those already mentioned, e.g., flat connections for complex groups [5; 7; 63; 73; 87], Higgs bundles [4; 31; 35; 44; 80], semisimple/nilpotent (co)adjoint orbits in (dual) complex Lie algebras [17; 55; 58], hyperpolygons, and Nakajima quiver varieties [41; 70]. Others, on the other hand, arise independently of an underlying “real” version, including for instance the Taub–NUT metrics on \mathbb{R}^4 , moduli spaces of framed $SU(r)$ -instantons and magnetic monopoles, and the Nahm moduli spaces.

Crucially, complex structures on these spaces give rise to families of Kähler forms, whose parametrising spaces come with their own Kähler structures — isomorphic

to that of $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Unlike in the Kähler case, geometric quantisation does not directly apply in this situation because no preferred symplectic structure is given in general. What is more, many interesting symmetries of such spaces act by hyperkähler rotations, i.e., by permuting the sphere of Kähler structures rather than fixing each of them individually. If one of the symplectic forms is fixed by the action, one may focus on that particular structure and apply quantisation with respect to it, an approach that was carried out by Andersen, Gukov, and Pei [4] in the case of the Hitchin moduli space. Nonetheless, one may still wish to obtain a version of quantisation with respect to other Kähler forms, or to the hyperkähler structure as a whole. In addition, the induced action on the sphere is in many cases transitive, suggesting again that a more “global” approach should be taken in that situation.

The latter is precisely the setup that we are going to address in this work. Namely, we shall consider a hyperkähler manifold M acted on by a compact Lie group G by hyperkähler isometries and assume that the induced action on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is transitive. We will also assume that a smooth family of prequantum line bundles on M is given, parametrised by $\mathbb{C}P^1$, together with a lifted equivariant G -action. Carrying out geometric quantisation for each individual symplectic form will give rise to a family of Hilbert spaces, typically of infinite dimension. We will then attempt to use representation theory to “break down” these spaces into finite-dimensional components and assemble each family into a vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. We study these objects explicitly and show that their structure is determined by the combinatorics of irreducible subrepresentations in the Hilbert spaces. In particular, we construct natural connections on these bundles and explicitly characterise their curvatures. While the resulting connection on the overall family fails to be projectively flat, we notice that it defines a *holomorphic* structure on it. Based on this, we propose a definition of the overall quantum Hilbert space as the supercohomology of this object, thus obtaining a natural G -representation as a space of sections of a bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

1A. Description of the main construction. Let us expand and detail the description sketched above. Suppose a hyperkähler manifold M is given and that G is a compact connected Lie group acting on it by hyperkähler rotations — by this we mean that G acts on M by isometries which permute the Kähler structures on M ; we will additionally require that the induced action be transitive. Since Kähler forms are parametrised by $\mathbb{C}P^1$, this corresponds to a surjective group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)$. As we shall see, this implies that G is covered by a product $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times G_0$, with $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ acting on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ in the usual way and G_0 fixing all Kähler structures.

Since no preferred symplectic form is given on M , it makes little sense to talk about a prequantum line bundle over the hyperkähler manifold. Instead, we will assume that M is equipped with a Hermitian line bundle (L, h) and a prequantum connection ∇_q for each symplectic form ω_q , depending smoothly on $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ in

an appropriate sense (see [Section 2B](#)). We will further assume that the G -action lifts to L in such a way as to permute the connections equivariantly.

If M_q denotes the Kähler manifold corresponding to $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, its geometric quantisation consists of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_q of L^2 holomorphic sections of the corresponding prequantum line bundle. The embedding into $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times L^2(M, L)$ defines a Hermitian structure on this family, viewed informally as a vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$, together with a compatible connection and $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -equivariant G -action. We study this object by decomposing each fibre \mathcal{H}_q into isotypical components as a representation of (appropriate subgroups of) $G_q := \mathrm{Stab}_G(q)$. By transitivity of the $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -action, all these stabilisers are conjugated, and the respective isotypical components form constant-rank subfamilies of \mathcal{H} . The following is the central theorem of our work.

Theorem 1.1 (see [Theorem 2.11](#)). *Suppose that:*

- M is a hyperkähler manifold.
- G is a connected compact Lie group acting on M by fixing the metric and permuting the symplectic forms transitively.
- $L \rightarrow M$ is a Hermitian line bundle with a family of prequantum connections as in [Section 2B](#) and a G -action covering that on M .
- ρ is an irreducible representation of $G_q := \mathrm{Stab}_G(\omega_q)$ for ω_q one of the symplectic forms on M .
- ρ has finite multiplicity $m^{(\rho)}$ in the space \mathcal{H}_q of L^2 holomorphic sections of $L \rightarrow M$ with respect to the structure associated to ω_q .

For each other symplectic form $\omega_{q'}$, denote by $\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{(\rho)}$ the isotypical component in $\mathcal{H}_{q'}$ corresponding to ρ under the identification $G_q \simeq G_{q'}$ by conjugation in G . Then the collection of spaces $\mathcal{H}^{(\rho)}$ has a canonical structure of Hermitian vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with compatible connection. Moreover, for some integer $d = d_\rho$ there exists an isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{(\rho)} \simeq (\mathcal{L}^d \otimes \underline{V}_\rho)^{\oplus m^\rho}$$

preserving the Hermitian structure and connection, where $\underline{V}_\rho = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times V_\rho$ carries the trivial connection and $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ is the standard degree-1 $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -equivariant Hermitian line bundle with connection.

The result implies that, informally speaking, the family \mathcal{H} decomposes as a sum of vector bundles with connections, as long as the appropriate multiplicities are finite. The holonomies of the various components may then be assembled to form parallel transport operators on \mathcal{H} . However, (1) also determines the curvature of the connection on each component, which is proportional to the degree d . Consequently,

the parallel transport operators on \mathcal{H} depend essentially on the choice of paths on the base and fail to unambiguously identify the different Hilbert spaces — even projectively.

Nonetheless, the components $\mathcal{H}^{(\rho)}$ may also be regarded as G -equivariant *holomorphic* bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. We then obtain G -representations not as spaces of flat sections as customary, but as the cohomology of $\mathcal{H}^{(\rho)}$ as a super vector space. The following then descends from [Theorem 1.1](#).

Theorem 1.2 (see [Section 2F](#)). *In the setting of [Theorem 1.1](#), define*

$$(2) \quad H^{(\rho)} := H^*(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathcal{H}^{(\rho)})$$

as a super vector space. Then $H^{(\rho)}$ comes with a Hermitian structure and compatible G -action. With d as in [Theorem 1.1](#), it is a direct sum of $|d+1|m^{(\rho)}$ copies of V_ρ , all in even (resp. odd) degree if $d \geq 0$ (resp. $d < 0$). In particular, the completed orthogonal sum

$$(3) \quad H := \overline{\bigoplus_{\rho} H^{(\rho)}},$$

with ρ ranging over all the isomorphism classes of irreducible G -representations, defines a Hilbert space G -representation, and (3) is the isotypical decomposition.

This viewpoint also lends itself to an approach in terms of rank-generating series and localisation formulæ, something which we address in [Section 2H](#).

Again, the space H of (3) may informally be thought of as the cohomology of the sum of all the $\mathcal{H}^{(\rho)}$'s, regarded now as a *holomorphic* vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. It is interesting to note how this is reminiscent of the description of M in terms of its twistor space, a holomorphic fibration $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ (plus additional holomorphic data). It would be an interesting problem to investigate whether our setup can be obtained in terms of twistor data by purely holomorphic constructions, something which we would like to address in a separate work.

The most crucial assumptions in our construction, besides the surjectivity of $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)$, is the finite-dimensionality of the isotypical components in \mathcal{H}_q . For that reason, we also investigate sufficient conditions to ensure it. They can be summarised as follows.

Theorem 1.3 (see [Theorems 2.14](#) and [2.17](#)). *Suppose one of the Kähler forms ω_q on M is fixed, $S \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_G(\omega_q)$ is a connected Lie subgroup, and ρ is an isomorphism class of S -representations. Then each of the following is a sufficient condition for the corresponding S -isotypical component in \mathcal{H}_q to have finite dimension.*

- *The Kostant moment map for S is proper on M_q , and its action extends holomorphically to the complexification of S .*

- S is a torus, M_q has the structure of an affine scheme or Stein space, and the Kostant moment map for S is proper.
- S is a torus, M_q has the structure of an affine scheme or Stein space, and $M//_w S$, w the weight of ρ , admits a compactification with rational singularities and boundary of codimension greater than or equal to 2.

A further way to ensure finite dimensionality can be found in the discussion of meromorphic torus actions in [90].

1B. Applications and further directions. In Section 3 we showcase applications of the main construction. The first one is a hyperkähler vector space V of real dimension $4n$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. In this case

$$\mathrm{Hk}(V) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(n) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1),$$

identifying $V \simeq \mathbb{H}^n$ (see Remark 3.2). Indeed, under this isomorphism, $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ acts on V via right multiplication of unit-norm imaginary quaternions, and commutes with the natural $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ -action. Furthermore the norm associated to the hyperkähler metric provides a hyperkähler potential and we can apply the abstract construction (see Theorems 3.5 and 3.6).

Importantly, there are many more examples of (nonflat) $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -symmetric hyperkähler manifolds. These include moduli spaces of magnetic monopoles on \mathbb{R}^3 by the work of Atiyah and Hitchin [14] or equivalently, by the work of Donaldson [34], the moduli spaces of based rational maps from $\mathbb{C}P^1$ to itself; moduli spaces of framed $\mathrm{SU}(r)$ -instantons on \mathbb{R}^4 , by the work of Maciocia [62]; the hyperkähler structure on nilpotent orbits, by the work of Kronheimer [57], and more generally the hyperkähler Swann bundle over any quaternionic Kähler manifold [82]. In four dimensions a complete classification of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -symmetric hyperkähler manifolds is given (up to finite covers) by the work of Gibbons and Pope [40] and by Atiyah and Hitchin [14]. The three examples are the flat metric on \mathbb{H} , the Taub–NUT metric, and the hyperkähler metric on the moduli space of charge-2 monopoles, i.e., the Atiyah–Hitchin manifold.

We establish in Sections 3B and 3C that the Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 2.17 (or slight modifications thereof) apply to some of these examples, producing a quantisation and corresponding irreducible unitary (super)representations of distinguished groups of hyperkähler isometries.

2. Abstract $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -symmetric hyperkähler quantisation

2A. Hyperkähler manifolds and their symmetry groups. Let n be a positive integer and M a smooth manifold of dimension $4n$.

Definition 2.1. A hyperkähler structure on M consists of a Riemannian metric g and an ordered triple (I, J, K) of covariant constant orthogonal automorphism of TM satisfying the quaternionic identities $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -\text{Id}_{TM}$.

It follows that I, J, K are g -skew-symmetric global sections of $\text{End}(TM) \rightarrow M$, and we denote by $\mathfrak{su}(2)_M$ the three-dimensional real Lie algebra they span.

The hyperkähler 2-sphere of complex structures of (M, g, I, J, K) is

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{S}_{IJK} := \{I_q = aI + bJ + cK \mid q = (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3, a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\} \subseteq \mathfrak{su}(2)_M.$$

As customary, the structure on M identifies (4) with the 2-sphere of unit-norm imaginary quaternions, i.e., with $\mathbb{C}P^1$ as a Kähler manifold. In particular for $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ there is a (real) symplectic form on M defined by

$$\omega_q(v, w) := g(I_q v, w) \quad \text{for } v, w \in TM.$$

The triple $M_q := (M, I_q, \omega_q)$ is a Kähler manifold, and for further use we denote by $\mu_q = d \text{vol} \in \Omega^{\text{top}}(M)$ the Liouville volume form — independent of $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ as it agrees with the Riemannian volume form of (M, g) .

Remark 2.2. The above data can be encoded in a fibration $\pi_{\mathbb{C}P^1} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ of Kähler manifolds over the Riemann sphere, the *twistor space* of (M, g, I, J, K) . Clearly this family comes with a natural global trivialisation $Z \simeq M \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ as a smooth fibre bundle, but not as fibre bundle with symplectic or complex fibres. Nonetheless the natural complex structure on Z makes $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ into a *holomorphic* fibre bundle [46, pp. 141-142].

Now consider the group $\text{Sp}(M) = \text{Sp}(M, g, I, J, K) \subseteq \text{Iso}(M, g)$ of Riemannian isometries of (M, g) preserving the Kähler forms ω_q (or equivalently the complex structures I_q) simultaneously for all $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. This group is sometimes referred to as the *hyperunitary* group. Denoting $\text{Aut}_0(Z)$ the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ over the identity, there is a natural group homomorphism

$$(5) \quad \text{Sp}(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(Z),$$

given by the fibrewise action of $\text{Sp}(M)$.

We shall consider a group of isometries that preserve the hyperkähler structure in a looser sense, relaxing the condition that differentials should commute with I, J , and K individually.

Definition 2.3. Let $\text{Hk}(M) \subseteq \text{Iso}(M, g)$ be the subgroup stabilising the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)_M$:

$$\text{Hk}(M) = \text{Hk}(M, g, I, J, K) := \{\varphi \in \text{Iso}(M, g) \mid \text{Ad}_{d\varphi}(\mathfrak{su}(2)_M) = \mathfrak{su}(2)_M\}.$$

Hence $\mathrm{Hk}(M)$ acts on $\mathfrak{su}(2)_M$, and $\mathrm{Sp}(M) \subseteq \mathrm{Hk}(M)$ is the kernel of this action. Moreover the adjoint action Ad on $\mathfrak{su}(2)_M \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ is by positive isometries for the standard Euclidean structure, resulting in a group morphism

$$\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{Hk}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3), \quad \mathrm{Ad} : \varphi \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_{d\varphi},$$

and an action on the hyperkähler 2-sphere (4) — simply denoted by $q \mapsto \varphi.q$. The combination of the actions of $\mathrm{Hk}(M)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and M itself naturally extends (5) to a map

$$(6) \quad \mathrm{Hk}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(Z),$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(Z)$ denotes the full group of biholomorphisms of Z compatible with the fibration map and covering arbitrary Kähler automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

Suppose now given a connected compact Lie group G , and a G -action

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Hk}(M)$$

on M by transformations in $\mathrm{Hk}(M)$. We will sometimes denote by

$$\rho^Z : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$$

the composition of ρ with (6); where unambiguous, we will often denote the G -action simply by $(\rho(g))(p) = gp$, and similarly for ρ^Z . As in the introduction, we require that the induced G -action on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ be transitive, or equivalently that the corresponding map $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)$ be surjective. The kernel G_0 of this action is then also a compact Lie group, and by construction it acts on M by transformations in $\mathrm{Sp}(M)$.

Lemma 2.4. *The induced G -action on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ factors through a morphism*

$$(7) \quad \sigma : \mathrm{Sp}(1) \rightarrow G$$

from the universal cover $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. This, moreover, arises from a covering map $G_0 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \rightarrow G$.

Proof. By compactness, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ admits a nondegenerate invariant pairing. Once such a pairing is fixed, the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathrm{Lie}(G_0)$ is a Lie subalgebra which maps isomorphically to $\mathfrak{so}(3) \simeq \mathfrak{su}(2)$. This induces a section $\mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ which integrates to the desired map σ . In fact, since \mathfrak{g}_0 and \mathfrak{g}_0^\perp commute with each other, the splitting $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^\perp$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. In particular, every element of G_0 commutes with $\sigma(\mathrm{Sp}(1))$, resulting in a map $G_0 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \rightarrow G$. \square

In other words, for G as above, a G -action by transitive hyperkähler rotations always comes from an $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -action, and up to covers it splits as the product with an action by $\mathrm{Sp}(M)$. Henceforth we shall fix a group homomorphism σ as in (7).

2B. Prequantum data. As already noted, a notion of prequantum line bundle on M is ill-posed, since a hyperkähler manifold comes with a continuous family of incompatible prequantum conditions. Instead, we will assume given a Hermitian line bundle (L, h) on M together with a smooth family of compatible connections ∇_q , $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, each with curvature $F_q = -i\omega_q$. The smoothness in q may be expressed by the condition that, if a section ψ of $\pi_M^*L \rightarrow Z$ is smooth, then so is the family $\nabla_q\psi|_q$, as a section of $\pi_M^*(L \otimes T^*M)$. Equivalently, for every local trivialisation of L , the induced connection potentials should depend smoothly on $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. Together with the trivial derivative along the directions of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ in $Z \simeq M \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, these ∇_q 's assemble to form a connection on $\pi^*L \rightarrow Z$. Additionally, we will require that L be equipped with a Hermitian G -action

$$\rho^L : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L, h),$$

which lifts the one on M and permutes the connections equivariantly.

In practice, the G -action may not come with preferred prequantum data as above. Now we investigate criteria to determine whether such data exist for a given action.

A necessary condition for the existence of a prequantum line bundle on a symplectic manifold is that the symplectic form represent an integral class in cohomology. Conversely, in that case a prequantum line bundle can be constructed by a diagram chasing procedure on the Čech–de Rham complex [89].

In our situation, we will require that

$$[\omega_q] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

In fact, if the condition holds for at least one q , then by the Sp(1)-action it does for all q , and it then follows by continuity that $[\omega_q]$ is independent of q . The diagram chasing procedure mentioned above may then be carried out with differential forms on M depending smoothly on q . Hence a family of prequantum line bundles exists if and only if $[\omega_q]$ is integral for some q .

Suppose such a family is fixed, with underlying Hermitian line bundle (L, h) , and let $L_q := (L, h, \nabla_q)$ for each q . For every $g \in G$, the structure of $g^*L_{g,q} \otimes L_q^{-1}$ defines a family of flat Hermitian connections. Since such objects are classified up to isomorphism by $\Gamma := H^1(M, \text{U}(1))$, this defines a map

$$(8) \quad u : G \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{C}P^1, \Gamma), \quad u : g \mapsto (q \mapsto [g^*L_{g,q} \otimes L_q^{-1}]).$$

Viewing the abelian group $\Gamma' := C^\infty(\mathbb{C}P^1, \Gamma)$ as a G -module under the pull-back action, u defines a cocycle in $C^1(G, \Gamma')$.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose (L, h) is a Hermitian line bundle over M with a family of prequantum connections ∇_q smoothly parametrised by $\mathbb{C}P^1$. The cohomology class of the cocycle u from (8) vanishes in $H^1(G, \Gamma')$ if and only if there exist:*

- a Hermitian line bundle B and
- a family of Hermitian flat connections ∇_q^B smoothly parametrised by $\mathbb{C}P^1$

such that, for all $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ and $g \in G$, we have

$$(9) \quad g^*(L_{g,q} \otimes B_{g,q}^{-1}) \simeq L_q \otimes B_q^{-1}$$

as Hermitian line bundles with connection, where $B_q := (B, \nabla_q^B)$.

Proof. Suppose such a family exists. Then (9) is equivalent to

$$g^*L_{g,q} \otimes L_q^{-1} \simeq g^*B_{g,q} \otimes B_q^{-1},$$

i.e., $u = \delta\Lambda$ for $\Lambda(q) := [B_q] \in \Gamma$, and therefore $[u] = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $u = \delta\Lambda$ for some $\Lambda \in \Gamma'$. It follows from the exact sequence

$$H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(M, \mathrm{U}(1)) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$$

that the components of $\Gamma = H^1(M, \mathrm{U}(1))$ are labelled by the torsion of $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, while the identity component is covered by $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. Since $\Lambda : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a continuous map, we may fix some $\Lambda_0 \in \Gamma$ so that $\Lambda - \Lambda_0$ takes values in the identity component. Since $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is simply connected, this lifts to a map $\tilde{\Lambda} : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. Choose a collection of 1-forms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ on M whose de Rham cohomology classes form a basis of $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. Expressing $\tilde{\Lambda}$ as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(q)[\alpha_i],$$

we see that each c_i is a smooth function of q and therefore $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \alpha_i$ is a smooth family of 1-forms on M parametrised by $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Choosing a representative (B, ∇_0^B) of $\Lambda_0 \in H^1(M, \mathrm{U}(1))$ and setting $\nabla_q^B := \nabla_0^B + \alpha(q)$, it follows by construction that

$$\Lambda(q) = [(B, \nabla_q^B)].$$

Expanding and manipulating the condition $u = \delta\Lambda$ leads to (9). \square

Lemma 2.5 shows that, if $[u] = 0$, then L may be replaced by a new family of prequantum line bundles on which the action of every element of G admits an equivariant lift. In that case, the action of the group

$$G' := \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(L, h) \mid \varphi \text{ covers some } g \in G\}$$

covers that of G on M surjectively while permuting the connections equivariantly. Notice moreover that G' is also compact and connected, being a central extension of the image of G in $\mathrm{Hk}(M)$, so the discussion from the previous section also applies to it. In particular, by [Lemma 2.4](#), there exists a map $\sigma^L : \mathrm{Sp}(1) \rightarrow G'$ lifting the $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -action on M . Even though there may not be a lifted G -action on L , we obtain

one by replacing the group with G' , which does not essentially change the action on M .

The simplest vanishing $[u] = 0$ is obtained if Γ is trivial (which we will see in some examples) or by the existence of a hyperkähler potential (which we discuss in Section 2I).

Up to the necessary replacements, in what follows we thus assume to have fixed a family of prequantum connections with an equivariant action $\rho^L : G \rightarrow L$.

2C. Geometric quantisation. Following the prescription of geometric quantisation, for $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ consider the separable Hilbert space

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{H}_q := \left\{ \psi \in H^0(M_q, L_q) \mid \int_M h(\psi, \psi) \, d \text{vol} < \infty \right\} \subseteq L^2(M, L),$$

using the holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}_q = \nabla_q^{0,1}$ and the standard L^2 Hermitian product:

$$(11) \quad \langle \psi \mid \psi' \rangle := \int_M h(\psi, \psi') \, d \text{vol}, \quad \psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{H}_q.$$

Let us denote by \mathcal{H} the family of Hilbert spaces thus defined over $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

By construction there are unitary isomorphisms

$$\rho_g^{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{g \cdot q}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C}P^1, \, g \in G,$$

explicitly given by

$$(12) \quad (\rho_g^{\mathcal{H}} \psi)(m) := \rho_g^L(\psi(\rho_{g^{-1}}^Z m)), \quad m \in M.$$

2D. Decomposition of \mathcal{H}_q . We will now consider the decompositions of the spaces (10) induced by viewing them as representations under the action (12). For a given $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, restricting $\rho^{\mathcal{H}}$ to

$$G_q := \text{Stab}_G(q),$$

defines a group action on \mathcal{H}_q by unitary operators, i.e., a Hilbert space representation. By the Peter–Weyl theorem [54, Theorem 1.12], \mathcal{H}_q decomposes a completed orthogonal sum of irreducible components. Similarly, denoting by

$$T_q := \text{Stab}_{\text{Sp}(1)}(q)$$

the maximal torus in $\text{Sp}(1)$ fixing q , its action on \mathcal{H}_q gives a decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_q = \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_q$ is the isotypical component corresponding to the character

$$T_q \simeq \text{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad z \mapsto z^d,$$

under the natural identification with the standard torus $U(1) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\times$. Since T_q commutes with $G_0 \subseteq G_q$, each component $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ is a representation of G_0 . Therefore, we obtain a refinement of the decomposition above as

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{H}_q^{(d)} = \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}},$$

where Λ denotes the set of (analytically) integral weights of G_0 and $\mathcal{H}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}$ is the isotypical component in $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ of maximal weight λ . In what follows we shall often denote by $\Lambda^{(d)} \subseteq \Lambda$ the subset of “active” representations.¹

Remark 2.6. It is not difficult to see, given that G_q is covered by $T_q \times G_0$, that every irreducible representation of G_q has a single weight for T_q and is also irreducible for G_0 . Therefore, every irreducible G_q -representation induces a pair (d, λ) of weights for T_q and G_0 , by which the representation itself is unambiguously determined. In particular, the decomposition (13) is equivalent to the one into isotypical components under G_q .

In a similar way we may also consider a maximal torus $T \subseteq G_0$ and find

$$\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)} = \overline{\bigoplus_{a \in T^\vee} \mathcal{H}_{a,q}^{(d)}},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a,q}^{(d)} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ is the isotypical component of the character $a : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Again, the decomposition above is equivalent to the one we would obtain by considering the action of the maximal torus $T'_q := T_q \cdot T \subseteq G_q$ on \mathcal{H}_q .

We denote $\mathcal{H}^{(d)}$, $\mathcal{H}_a^{(d)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ the families of Hilbert spaces thus defined over $\mathbb{C}P^1$, so that we have L^2 -completed orthogonal direct sums

$$\mathcal{H} = \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^{(d)}}, \quad \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}} = \mathcal{H}^{(d)} = \overline{\bigoplus_{a \in T^\vee} \mathcal{H}_a^{(d)}}.$$

2E. Structure of $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$. Our main assumption, unless otherwise stated, is as follows:

The spaces $\mathcal{H}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}$ are finite-dimensional.

The goal of this section is to make each family $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$, under the finite-dimensionality condition above, into a vector bundle, and equip it with a connection. The simplest way to define a smooth structure on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ is to assume it is a Banach submanifold of the product $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times L^2(M, L)$, with G acting smoothly on it. We shall now investigate the structure induced by this assumption, and later show that the same data can be obtained canonically from the group structure.

¹The subset $\Lambda^{(d)}$ is independent of $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ since the $\mathrm{Sp}_0(M)$ -modules $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ are isomorphic under the $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -action.

Now let (temporarily, see [Remark 2.12](#) below) the family of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ forms a *smooth* Banach submanifold of the trivial Hilbert bundle $\underline{L^2(M, L)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$. We can then differentiate smooth local sections ψ of $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$, viewed as maps $\mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow L^2(M, L)$, along tangent vectors on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Then, since $\mathcal{H}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)} \subseteq L^2(M, L)$ is a closed subspace, there are orthogonal projections

$$\pi_{q,\lambda}^{(d)} : L^2(M, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}.$$

Definition 2.7. For any tangent vector $X \in T_q \mathbb{C}P^1$ set

$$\nabla_X^{\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}} \psi := \pi_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}(X[\psi]) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}.$$

Remark 2.8. The same definition (of the standard L^2 -connection) can be given verbatim in the case where the families $\mathcal{H}_a^{(d)} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{(d)}$ also constitute smooth submanifolds.

Remark 2.9. This covariant derivative is characterised by the property that

$$\langle \nabla_X^{\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}} \psi | \psi' \rangle = \langle X[\psi] | \psi' \rangle$$

for all X, ψ, ψ' as appropriate.

Proposition 2.10. *The covariant-derivative operators of [Definition 2.7](#) are compatible with the action $\rho^{\mathcal{H}}$ of [\(12\)](#) and with the Hermitian structure of $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$.*

Proof. The operators $\nabla_X^{\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}}$ satisfy Leibniz and preserve the Hermitian pairing by construction. We need only show that they are $\rho^{\mathcal{H}}$ -equivariant. Given $g \in G$, $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, a section ψ of $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$, and a tangent vector $X \in T_q \mathbb{C}P^1$, we have

$$X[\rho_g \psi] = \rho_g((g_*^{-1} X)[\psi]),$$

where the superscripts in the actions were removed for convenience. Combining the above with a change of variables in [\(11\)](#), one sees that

$$\langle X[\rho_g \psi] | \psi' \rangle = \langle (g_*^{-1} X)[\psi] | \rho_{g^{-1}} \psi' \rangle$$

for all $\psi' \in \mathcal{H}_{g \cdot q, \lambda}^{(d)}$. By [Remark 2.9](#), this shows that $\nabla_X(\rho_g \psi) = \rho_g(\nabla_{g_*^{-1} X}[\psi])$. \square

Recall now that for every integer d there exists an Sp(1)-equivariant Hermitian line bundle of degree d with compatible connection over $\mathbb{C}P^1$, unique up to isomorphism. This can be characterised as the holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}(d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ together with the standard Hermitian metric and its corresponding Chern connection. Alternatively, it can also be described as the quotient of an appropriate line bundle over Sp(1) under the identification $\mathbb{C}P^1 \simeq \text{Sp}(1)/U(1)$. More precisely, consider the d -th character $\chi^{(d)} : \mathfrak{u}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and its unique $\text{Ad}_{U(1)}$ -invariant extension to $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$.

Denoting by $\alpha^{(d)}$ the corresponding left-invariant 1-form on $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, the connection $d + 2\pi i\alpha^{(d)}$ on $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{C}$ is then invariant under the actions

$$A \cdot (x, z) := (Ax, z) \quad \text{and} \quad (x, z) \cdot h := (xh, h^{-d}z)$$

for $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and $h \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. Furthermore, the right $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -action is by construction horizontal for this connection. Therefore, the latter descends to a metric and $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -equivariant connection on $(\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{C})/\mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(1)/\mathrm{U}(1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Uniqueness can be established by noticing that the difference of two such line bundles comes with a connection whose curvature is $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant and vanishes in cohomology, and is therefore zero. The space of flat sections is then a 1-dimensional $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -representation, so that choosing one unit element in this space gives an isomorphism of the line bundles intertwining the Hermitian structures and connections.

We will refer to this object as \mathcal{L}^d .

For each $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^{(d)}$, denote by $m_\lambda^{(d)}$ the multiplicity of V_λ in $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$.² Finally, call $\underline{V}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ the trivial Hermitian bundle with fibre V_λ with ∇^{Tr} the trivial connection and $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ acting on it trivially on the fibres.

Theorem 2.11. *Fix an integer d and a dominant weight λ of G_0 . Suppose, for some $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, that the multiplicity $m_\lambda^{(d)}$ of the corresponding isotypical component in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_q is finite. Consider the collection $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ of corresponding isotypical components, and suppose it forms a Banach submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times L^2(M, L)$ acted on smoothly by G . Then $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ is a Hermitian vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and there exists a G -invariant isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)} \simeq (\mathcal{L}^d \otimes \underline{V}_\lambda)^{\oplus m_\lambda^{(d)}}$$

of Hermitian vector bundles which intertwines the covariant derivative operators $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}}$ of Definition 2.7 with the natural connection on the right-hand side.

Proof. Introducing for simplicity the notation $m := m_\lambda^{(d)}$, fix $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, and identify T_q with $\mathrm{U}(1)$ by the orientation defined by q . Consider on $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ the trivial vector bundle $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times V_\lambda^{\oplus m}$ with the left and right actions

$$(A, g) \cdot (x, v) := (Ax, gv) \quad \text{and} \quad (x, v) \cdot h = (xh, h^{-d}v)$$

of $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times G_0$ and $\mathrm{U}(1)$, respectively. Choose an isomorphism $\varphi : V_\lambda^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}$ as G_0 -modules and define

$$\Phi : \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times V_\lambda^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}, \quad \Phi : (x, v) \mapsto \rho^{\mathcal{H}}(x)(\varphi(v)).$$

By construction, Φ is invariant under the right $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -action and intertwines the $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times G_0$ -actions. It is also a surjective smooth map covering the projection

²The integer $m^{(d)}$ is independent of $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ (see the previous footnote).

$\pi : \mathrm{Sp}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$, $\pi(x) := xq$ and restricts fibrewise to unitary isomorphisms. It follows that Φ is a submersion, and therefore the induced bijection

$$(14) \quad (\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times V_\lambda^{\oplus m})/\mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$$

is a diffeomorphism, thus showing that $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ is a vector bundle as claimed. It then follows from [Proposition 2.10](#) that $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}}$ is a Hermitian G -invariant connection.

The map Φ may also be regarded as a unitary isomorphism

$$(15) \quad \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times V_\lambda^{\oplus m} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}.$$

Both sides come with $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times G_0$ - and $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant Hermitian connections, both making the right $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -action horizontal. Such a connection, however, is uniquely characterised by these properties. Indeed, left $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariance implies that such a connection is determined by the potential over any element of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. On the other hand, combining the left and right $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariance shows that the operation of lifting elements of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Id}} \mathrm{Sp}(1) \simeq \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ horizontally is $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{U}(1)}$ -equivariant. The condition that the right $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -action be horizontal, moreover, determines the lifts of vectors in $\mathfrak{u}(1)$, and therefore of those in $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ by $\mathrm{Ad}_U(1)$ -invariance. We conclude that the isomorphism (15) also identifies the connections on the two bundles, which is to say that the isomorphism (14) is also horizontal. The left-hand side of (14), however, is clearly isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^d \otimes V_\lambda^{\oplus m}$. Finally, since the kernel of the covering map $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times G_0 \rightarrow G$ acts trivially on the right-hand side, it follows that the group action on the left-hand side descends to G . \square

Remark 2.12. [Theorem 2.11](#) yields an alternative definition of the bundles of isotypical components, without smoothness assumptions. Indeed, a map Φ constructed as above uniquely defines a smooth structure on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ making it a vector bundle which comes with an isomorphism with $\mathcal{L}^d \otimes V_\lambda^{\oplus m}$, and therefore inducing also a connection with the desired properties. Given that the only ambiguity in the construction of Φ lies in the choice of φ , any two such maps are related by precomposition with a G_0 -invariant automorphism of $V_\lambda^{\oplus m}$. Since this operation preserves the structure on $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times V_\lambda^{\oplus m}$, the two choices induce the same data on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$.

This yields finite-rank smooth G -equivariant Hermitian vector bundles over the Riemann sphere, equipped with Hermitian connections, defined from the combinatorial data of the multiplicities of \mathcal{H}_q as a representation, as long as the main assumption that the $\mathcal{H}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}$'s be finite-dimensional is verified.

Together with [Remark 2.6](#), the content of this section proves [Theorem 1.1](#).

2F. Quantum super Hilbert spaces and unitary representations. We now denote by $H_\lambda^{(d)}$ the super vector space obtained by taking the holomorphic cohomology of

the bundles of isotypical components:

$$H_\lambda^{(d)} := H^*(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathcal{H}_\lambda^d).$$

By [Remark 2.6](#), the above is equivalent to the space $H^{(\rho)}$ of (2). Since $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ is Hermitian and $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is Kähler, the L^2 -pairing on harmonic representatives gives each of the above a natural Hermitian structure.

If $W^{(d)} = W_+^{(d)} \oplus W_-^{(d)}$ is the unitary super $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -representation defined by

$$W_+^{(d)} := H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathcal{L}^d), \quad W_-^{(d)} := H^1(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathcal{L}^d),$$

then $H_\lambda^{(d)} \simeq W^{(d)} \otimes V_\lambda^{\oplus m_\lambda^{(d)}}$ as super G -representations, where V_λ is endowed with the trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. Moreover, $\dim W_+^{(d)}$ is equal to $d+1$ if $d \geq 0$ and 0 otherwise, while similarly $\dim W_-^{(d)}$ vanishes for $d \geq 0$ and is equal to $-d-1$ otherwise.

Finally consider the nested L^2 -completed orthogonal direct sums

$$H := \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{(d)}}, \quad H^{(d)} := \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{(d)}} H_\lambda^{(d)}}.$$

This provides a G -representation quantising the G -action on (M, g, I, J, K) , thus proving [Theorem 1.2](#) from the introduction.

2G. Finite-rank conditions. We shall now consider conditions which entail finite-dimensionality for the isotypical components of [Section 2D](#).

In general, if K is a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Lie}(K)$, acting on a Kähler manifold X with a lifted K -action on a prequantum line bundle (L, ∇) , there is a natural moment map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^\vee$ defined by Kostant's formula

$$(16) \quad 2\pi i \langle \mu, \xi \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = \xi_X^H - \xi_L$$

for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$, where ξ_L is the vector field corresponding to ξ on L , ξ_X^H the one on X lifted horizontally, and $\partial/\partial\theta$ is the fibrewise ‘‘angular’’ vector field. In this setup, we will make use of the following version of the general principle that ‘‘quantisation commutes with reduction’’.

Theorem 2.13 [[43](#); [81](#)]. *In the setup above, if the K -action extends holomorphically to the complexified group $K^\mathbb{C}$, and if the moment map (16) is proper, then for every dominant weight γ of K there is an identification*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V_\gamma, H^0(X, L)) \simeq H^0(X_\gamma, L_\gamma),$$

where V_γ denotes a simple K -module of highest weight γ , $X_\gamma = X//_\gamma K$ is the symplectic reduction of X at level γ , and L_γ is the induced (V) -bundle on X_γ .

This result was first established by Guillemin and Sternberg [[43](#)] in the case X is compact, with additional regularity conditions, and then extended by Sjamaar [[81](#)]. The statement has been subsequently generalised in various works including those

of Meinrenken [67; 68], Meinrenken and Sjamaar [69], Vergne [84; 85], Ma [60], Ma and Zhang [61], and Hochs and Song [48].

We emphasise that this formulation of “quantisation commutes with reduction” requires no assumptions on γ being a regular value or the K -action being free on $\mu^{-1}(\gamma)$. In the statement of [Theorem 2.13](#), X_γ and L_γ are regarded as a complex analytic space and a coherent sheaf, respectively. See [81] for further detail.

Returning to our setting, for any fixed q and Lie subgroup $S \subset G_q$ we have a moment map

$$\mu_S : M \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(S)^\vee,$$

given by Kostant’s formula. Then [Theorem 2.13](#) yields the following.

Theorem 2.14. *Fix $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ and a (connected) Lie subgroup $S \subseteq G_q$, and denote by μ_S the Kostant moment map of the S -action on M_q . Assume that μ_S is proper, and suppose that the S -action has a holomorphic extension to the complexified group $S^\mathbb{C}$ on M_q . Then every S -isotypical component in \mathcal{H}_q has finite multiplicity.*

Proof. Properness of the moment map implies that, for any dominant weight γ of S , the symplectic reduction $M//_\gamma S$ is a compact complex analytic space. On the other hand, L_γ is a coherent sheaf on it by [81, Section 2.2], and by compactness the space of sections is finite-dimensional [28].

It follows from [Theorem 2.13](#) that the irreducible representation of S of highest weight γ has finite multiplicity inside $H^0(M_q, L_\gamma)$, so *a fortiori* inside \mathcal{H}_q . \square

Remark 2.15. Another way to ensure finite-dimensionality is to assume there are compactifications of the symplectic reductions, with rational singularities and boundary of (complex) codimension at least two; then Hartogs’s theorem applies on the reduction (see, e.g., [83] for such generalisations, and see [Theorem 2.17](#)).

As briefly noted in the introduction, another approach to controlling the dimension of the isotypical components is offered by the results of [90]. Indeed, the cited work introduces a notion of meromorphicity for certain group actions which, under appropriate conditions (see Assumption 2.14 of the same work), ensures finite-dimensionality.

2H. Rank-generating series and localisation formulæ. If either $\mathcal{H}^{(d)}$, $\mathcal{H}_a^{(d)}$ or $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)}$ have finite rank, we consider the (formal) generating series:

$$(17) \quad H(t) = \sum_d \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^{(d)}) \cdot t^d,$$

and

$$(18) \quad H^l(t, \tilde{t}) = \sum_{d,a} \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}_a^{(d)}) \cdot t^d \tilde{t}^a,$$

as well as

$$(19) \quad G(t, \tilde{t}) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{(d)}} m_{\lambda}^{(d)} \cdot t^d \tilde{t}^{\lambda}.$$

Note that if $\mathcal{H}_a^{(d)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}^{(d)}$ are both finite-rank then (18) can be obtained from (19) via the substitution $\tilde{t}^{\lambda} \mapsto \chi_{\lambda}(\tilde{t})$, where

$$\chi_{\lambda}(\tilde{t}) = \sum_{a \in E_{\lambda}} n_a^{(\lambda)} \cdot \tilde{t}^a,$$

and where E_{λ} is the set of weights of V_{λ} with multiplicities $n_a^{(\lambda)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

If in particular G_0 is semisimple then the Weyl character formula yields

$$\chi_{\lambda}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) \tilde{t}^{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) \tilde{t}^{w(\rho)}},$$

where $W = N(T)/T$ is the Weyl group and $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}^{\vee}$ the half-sum of positive roots.

Conversely (19) can be recovered from (18) (when both are defined) as follows. Fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $H_d(\tilde{t})$ be the coefficient of t^d in (18). Let λ be maximal among the weights such that \tilde{t}^{λ} appears in $H_d(\tilde{t})$. In particular, the weight $\lambda_d^{(0)}$ can only appear in an irreducible component of $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ (as a G_0 -module) if it is the highest. Therefore, the coefficient of \tilde{t}^{λ} in $H_d(\tilde{t})$ is equal to $m_{\lambda}^{(d)}$. One may now consider $H_d(\tilde{t}) - m_{\lambda}^{(d)} \chi_{\lambda}(\tilde{t})$ and repeat the procedure inductively. Since each step strictly decreases one of the maximal weights the process terminates — exactly when the polynomial vanishes. This results in a decomposition

$$H_d(\tilde{t}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{(d)}} m_{\lambda}^{(d)} \chi_{\lambda}(\tilde{t}),$$

recovering all multiplicities and ultimately (19).

Furthermore the generating series (17), (18), and (19) can sometimes be computed by localisation formulæ. We refer to [49] for general results, and we review here the simpler versions used in what follows.

Suppose the action of T_q on M_q has a finite number of fixed points $|M_q| \subseteq M_q$, and let $\bar{R}(T_q)$ be the formal completion of the character ring $R(T_q)$ of T_q .

Since the fixed points $p \in |M_q|$ are isolated we see that $\Lambda_{-1}(T_p M_q) \in \bar{R}(T_q)$ is invertible. Suppose now we have a decomposition

$$H^i(M_q, L_q) = \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(M_q, L_q)^{(d)}},$$

such that T_q acts on $H^i(M_q, L_q)^{(d)}$ via the d -th power of the standard representation, and such that the spaces $H^i(M_q, L_q)^{(d)}$ are finite-dimensional.

Proposition 2.16 [25; 49]. *The following formula holds:*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \dim H^i(M_q, L_q)^{(d)} t^d = \sum_{p \in |M_q|} \frac{L_{q,p}}{\Lambda_{-1}(T_p M_q)}.$$

Hence if $H^i(M_q, L_q) = (0)$ for $i > 0$ then simply

$$(20) \quad H(t) = \sum_{p \in |M_q|} \frac{L_{q,p}}{\Lambda_{-1}(T_p M_q)}.$$

Considering the action of $T'_q = T_q \cdot T$ on M_q we get an analogous result, provided T'_q has finitely many fixed points and all spaces $H^i(M_q, L_q)_{a,q}^{(d)}$ are finite-dimensional, and interpreting the right-hand side as an element of $\bar{R}(T) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[[t^{\pm 1}, \tilde{t}_i^{\pm 1}]]$. In particular,

$$(21) \quad H'(t, \tilde{t}) = \sum_{p \in |M_q|} \frac{L_{q,p}}{\Lambda_{-1}(T_p M_q)}.$$

Now recall that if M_q is a Stein space, or has the structure of an affine scheme, then Cartan's theorem yields the vanishing of higher cohomology groups [27]. Thus putting together the previous results we have established the following.

Theorem 2.17. *Suppose there exists $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ such that M_q is a Stein space, or has the structure of an affine scheme, and that the T_q -action (resp. T'_q -action) has finitely many fixed points. Assume further that one of the following holds:*

- *There is a proper moment map for the T_q -action (resp. T'_q -action).*
- *There exists a compactification of the symplectic reductions with rational singularities, with boundary of codimension at least two (see Remark 2.15).*

Then the family $\mathcal{H}^{(d)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_a^{(d)}$) has finite rank, and the associated localisation formula (20) (resp. (21)) holds for the rank-generating series (17) (resp. (18)).

Remark 2.18. If the higher cohomology groups do not vanish one could replace (10) by the super space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q = H^{\text{even}}(M_q, L) \oplus H^{\text{odd}}(M_q, L),$$

in which case formulæ (20) and (21) hold for the super representations $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q$ of T_q and T'_q . (In this setup one need not assume that M_q be a Stein space or an affine scheme.)

Remark 2.19. Alternatively, in the setting of [90], Wu's localisation results (Theorem 3.14 of the same work) yield the generating series (17) and (18) by an index computation of the fixed-point locus for the T_q - and T'_q -action, respectively.

2I. $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -symmetric hyperkähler potentials.

Definition 2.20. A hyperkähler potential on the hyperkähler manifold (M, g, I, J, K) is a smooth map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega_q = i \partial_q \bar{\partial}_q \mu$ for every $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$.

One can also use such potentials to obtain equivariant prequantum data, as discussed below. Assume further that μ is $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant and that it generates the T_q -actions, i.e., $i\mu : M_q \rightarrow i\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathfrak{t}_q^\vee$ is a moment map.

In this case we consider the trivial Hermitian line bundle, and lift the G -action by the identity on each fibre. Natural symplectic potentials are given by

$$\theta_q = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\partial}_q \mu - \partial_q \mu) \in \Omega^1(M),$$

hence $\nabla_q = d + (\theta_q/\hbar)$ is a prequantum connection for all $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, and the resulting prequantum data are G -equivariant since μ is $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant.

Now if $\mathrm{grad}(\mu)$ is complete then each T_q -action extends holomorphically to \mathbb{C}^\times , and if in addition μ is proper then the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ are finite-dimensional by [Theorem 2.14](#).

Proposition 2.21. *Suppose that M admits a G -invariant hyperkähler potential μ which, for every $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, is also an ω_q -moment map for the T_q -action. Assume moreover that μ is bounded below and that it has finitely many critical values. Then for every $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ the function $\psi_0 := e^{-\mu/2\hbar}$ is square-integrable, and it is a holomorphic frame for the prequantum line bundle constructed above.*

Proof. Nonvanishing and holomorphicity are a straightforward consequence of the definition.

On the other hand, the L^2 -square-norm of ψ_0 can be expressed as

$$(22) \quad \|\psi_0\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_M e^{-\mu/\hbar} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{vol} = \int_B e^{-\xi/\hbar} \mu_* (\mathrm{d} \mathrm{vol}),$$

where $B \in \mathbb{R}$ is a lower bound for μ and $\mu_*(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{vol})$ the push-forward of the Liouville measure. By the Duistermaat–Heckman theorem [\[36\]](#) the push-forward admits a density which restricts to a polynomial on every interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ not containing critical values for μ . Since there are finitely many such values, [\(22\)](#) splits as a finite sum of converging integrals. \square

By construction, the compact torus $T_q \simeq \mathrm{U}(1)$ acts on the complex vector space of holomorphic functions on M_q — by (inverse) pullback. By definition, such a function is d -homogeneous if it transforms (under the T_q -action) in the irreducible representation corresponding to the character $z \mapsto z^d \in \mathrm{U}(1)$, where $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Under the assumptions of [Proposition 2.21](#) we thus get an isomorphism

$$\Psi : L^2 H^0(M_q, \mathcal{O}, e^{-\mu/\hbar} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{vol})^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_q^{(d)},$$

given by $\Psi(f) = f\psi_0$, where the left-hand side denotes the space of d -homogeneous holomorphic functions with finite L^2 -norm with respect to $e^{-\mu/\hbar} d \text{ vol}$.

3. Examples of applications

3A. Hyperkähler vector spaces. Let $n > 0$ be integer and V a real vector space of dimension $4n$.

Definition 3.1. A linear hyperkähler structure on V is a scalar product g and an ordered triple (I, J, K) of orthogonal automorphisms of V satisfying the quaternionic identities $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -\text{Id}_V$.

Equivalently, a linear hyperkähler structure on V may be regarded as a Hermitian representation of the quaternion algebra

$$\mathbb{H} = \{q = d + ai + bj + ck \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

on V , where the quaternionic Hermitian form is

$$\mathbf{h} := g - i\omega_I - j\omega_J - k\omega_K, \quad \text{with } \omega_\bullet(v, w) := g(\bullet v, w) \quad \text{for } \bullet \in \{I, J, K\}.$$

It follows that I, J, K are g -skew-symmetric, and hence they span a real Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)_V \subseteq \mathfrak{o}(V, g)$.

Attached to the hyperkähler vector space is the group $\text{Sp}(V, \mathbf{h}) \subseteq \text{O}(V, g)$ of \mathbb{R} -linear endomorphisms of V preserving \mathbf{h} —and hence g and each of the forms $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$. As above we are interested in transformations that preserve the hyperkähler structure in a looser sense, but here we restrict to *linear* ones:

$$\text{Hk}(V) = \text{Hk}(V, g, I, J, K) := \{A \in \text{O}(V, g) \mid \text{Ad}_A(\mathfrak{su}(2)_V) = \mathfrak{su}(2)_V\}.$$

As a subgroup of $\text{O}(V, g)$, the above is compact.

Remark 3.2. We are thus slightly abusing the notation from [Section 2](#). Indeed if V is regarded as a smooth hyperkähler manifold then the group of *all* transformations preserving g and $\mathfrak{su}(2)_V$ also contains the translations, and it is in fact generated by these two kinds of transformations. We shall still denote this subgroup $\text{Hk}(V)$ in the linear case to simplify the notation.

Remark 3.3. In this case the twistor space is a rank- $2n$ holomorphic vector bundle $\pi_{\mathbb{C}P^1} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ isomorphic to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{2n} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$ (in the straightforward generalisation of the case $n = 1$ from [\[46, Example 2.4, p. 143\]](#)).

Lemma 3.4. *There is an exact sequence of Lie groups:*

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Sp}(V, \mathbf{h}) \rightarrow \text{Hk}(V) \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}} \text{SO}(3) \rightarrow 1,$$

and an embedding $\sigma : \text{Sp}(1) \rightarrow \text{Hk}(V)$ such that $\text{Ad} \circ \sigma : \text{Sp}(1) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$ is the natural surjection.

Proof. The natural $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -action on \mathbb{H} by multiplication on the right induces the standard $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -action on the unit sphere of complex structures \mathbb{S}_{IJK} . The conclusion follows from a choice of identification $V \simeq \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^n$ as \mathbb{H} -module. \square

Hence a choice of orthonormal basis for (V, \mathbf{h}) (as a left \mathbb{H} -module) yields an identification

$$\mathrm{Hk}(V) = \mathrm{Sp}(n) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1) \simeq (\mathrm{Sp}(n) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Choosing $G = \mathrm{Hk}(V)$, we see that in the notation of the introduction we have

$$G_0 = \mathrm{Sp}(V, \mathbf{h}) \subseteq \mathrm{Hk}(V).$$

Geometric quantisation. Geometric quantisation on a Kähler vector space is straightforward and essentially unique up to the choice of a symplectic potential, which corresponds to a gauge choice on the prequantum line bundle. For $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ one considers the triple (L, h, ∇_q) , consisting of the trivial complex line bundle $L := V \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ with the tautological Hermitian metric h , and the connection $\nabla_q := d - \frac{i}{\hbar}\theta_q$ defined by the invariant symplectic potential

$$\theta_q(v)(X) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_q(v, X),$$

for $v \in V$ a point and X a tangent vector there. The above yields prequantum data for (V, ω_q) at level \hbar^{-1} . We may denote $L_q \rightarrow V$ the line bundle to emphasise the structure we are prequantising on V .

The bundle L_q comes endowed with a natural holomorphic frame

$$\psi_0(q, v) := \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\hbar}g(v, v)\right),$$

which is manifestly independent of $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. For each q , the resulting quantum Hilbert space consists of sections $\psi = f\psi_0$, with $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an I_q -holomorphic function with finite L^2 -norm with respect to the Gaussian measure. This space is well known to be densely generated by the polynomial functions, which induces a grading on each \mathcal{H}_q — the Fock grading.

This setting is a particular case of the one discussed in [Section 2I](#). Indeed, on a Kähler vector space, the function $\mu(v) = \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2$ is a moment map for the $U(1)$ -action by scalar multiplication and a Kähler potential, and moreover

$$-\frac{i}{2}(\partial - \bar{\partial})\mu = \theta$$

is the invariant symplectic potential. Additionally, for each $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ the action of T_q is the standard one.

Furthermore d -homogeneous holomorphic functions on a complex vector space are d -homogeneous polynomials, whence the decomposition of \mathcal{H}_q into isotypical components as a T_q -module reduces to the well-known Fock grading. By the

identification of the space of such homogeneous polynomials with $\text{Sym}^d V_q^\vee$, the finite-dimensional spaces $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ assemble into finite-rank Hermitian subbundles $\mathcal{H}^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ of the trivial $L^2(V, L)$ -bundle, with a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^d Z^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(d)}$$

of vector bundles over the Riemann sphere.

Group action on quantum spaces. The action $\rho^Z : \text{Hk}(V) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z)$ has a natural lift to $L = Z \times \mathbb{C}$ as $\rho^Z \times \text{Id}$. Since $A^*\theta_q = \theta_{A,q}$ for $A \in \text{Hk}(V)$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, it follows that this action preserves the structure of L as a family of prequantum line bundles. This defines an action $\rho^{\mathcal{H}}$ on sections of $\mathcal{H}^{(d)}$ by pull-back, as in (12), and it is easy to check this is a graded fibrewise unitary $\text{Hk}(V)$ -action — covering that on the hyperkähler 2-sphere.

Theorem 3.5. *For $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)} \simeq \text{Sym}^d(V)$ of simple $\text{Sp}(V, \mathbf{h})$ -modules, and the bundle with connection $(\mathcal{H}^{(d)}, \nabla^{\mathcal{H}^{(d)}})$ is $\text{Hk}(V)$ -equivariantly isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^d \otimes \underline{\text{Sym}}^d(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$.*

Proof. This follows directly from the above discussion and from [Theorem 2.11](#): The metric g , and hence the section ψ_0 , are fixed by $\text{Sp}(V, \mathbf{h})$. It is known the natural action on $\text{Sym}^d V_q^\vee$ is irreducible [76]. \square

Altogether the statements of this section establish the assumptions needed to apply [Theorem 1.2](#), which in this particular case yields the following.

Theorem 3.6 (see [Theorem 1.2](#)). *The Sp(1)-symmetric geometric quantisation of the hyperkähler vector space V yields the super Hilbert space*

$$H = \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^{(d)}},$$

in analogy with [Section 2F](#). This carries a unitary $\text{Hk}(V)$ -representation preserving the splitting, and there is an isomorphism $H^{(d)} \simeq W^{(d)} \otimes \text{Sym}^d(V)$ of simple $\text{Hk}(V)$ -modules.

For every $d \geq 0$ we thus have

$$\dim(W_+^{(d)}) = (d+1), \quad \dim(W_-^{(d)}) = 0, \quad \dim(H^{(d)}) = (d+1) \binom{2n+d}{d}.$$

The generating series (17) and (18) are obtained explicitly from the above:

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2n}}, \quad H'(t, \tilde{t}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1-t\tilde{t}_i)(1-t\tilde{t}_i^{-1})}.$$

On the other hand, since $V_q \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is a Stein space, and since the actions of T_q and T'_q only fix the origin, [Theorem 2.17](#) also applies, and the result from (20)

and (21) yields the same formulæ. Now by [Theorem 3.6](#) we see that $m_{\text{Sym}^d(V)}^{(d)} = 1$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, whence

$$G(t, \tilde{t}) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} t^d \tilde{t}^{\lambda_{\text{Sym}^d(V)}}.$$

3B. Four-dimensional examples. As mentioned in the introduction, in dimension 4 there is a complete classification of $\text{Sp}(1)$ -symmetric hyperkähler manifolds up to finite quotients. Besides \mathbb{H} with its flat metric there are the Taub–NUT metrics on \mathbb{R}^4 , and the hyperkähler metric on the moduli space of charge-2 monopoles, i.e., the Atiyah–Hitchin manifold M_{AH} .

Taub–NUT metrics. Consider the case of $M = \mathbb{R}^4$ with the Taub–NUT metric g^a corresponding to a positive real parameter a — the case $a = 0$ corresponds to the standard flat metric on \mathbb{H} , which we already discussed. We will denote ω_q^a the corresponding symplectic structures. It is well known (e.g., [[39](#), Remark 1]) that

$$\text{Hk}(M) \simeq (\text{Sp}(1) \times \text{U}(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \text{U}(2).$$

In particular there is a faithful $\text{Sp}(1)$ -action rotating the sphere of hyperkähler structures, while $\text{Sp}(M) = \text{U}(1)$ is compact and commutes with $\text{Sp}(1)$. Furthermore there exists, unique up to isomorphism, a family of prequantum line bundles for M , since $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0 = H^1(M, \text{U}(1))$.

The action of $T'_q = (\text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq \text{Hk}(M)$ is studied explicitly by Gauduchon in [[39](#), Section 3.2] for the complex structure J_+ corresponding to $q = i$. The subgroup is identified in that context with $\text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1)$ via the isomorphism $(t, s) \mapsto (ts, ts^{-1})$. From equations (3.10) and (3.19) of the same work one concludes that the action of $T_q = \text{U}(1) \times \{1\}$ on M_q is Hamiltonian with moment map $\mu_q = \mu_1^+ + \mu_2^+$ (borrowing Gauduchon’s notation), which is easily seen to be proper from the definitions. Finally [[39](#), Proposition 1] provides a biholomorphism $\Phi_+^a = \Phi : (M, J_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, and by a straightforward check this map intertwines the T_q -action on M_q with the standard $\text{U}(1)$ -action on \mathbb{C}^2 . In particular the T_q -action extends holomorphically to \mathbb{C}^* , and the hypotheses of [Theorem 2.14](#) are verified.

Thus decomposing \mathcal{H}_q with respect to the T_q -action yields

$$\mathcal{H}_q = \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}},$$

where the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_q$ are finite-dimensional. Then we consider the action of the commuting compact group $\text{Sp}_0(M) = \{1\} \times \text{U}(1)$ on $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ to refine:

$$\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{d' \in \Lambda^{(d)}} \mathcal{H}_{d', q}^{(d)},$$

where $\Lambda^{(d)} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is finite. In addition, we also have the following statement.

Proposition 3.7. *For $q = i$, the prequantum line bundle L_q admits a T_q -invariant holomorphic frame ψ_q such that $\Phi^*(f) \cdot \psi_q$ is L^2 for every polynomial function f on \mathbb{C}^2 .*

Proof. Recall that, again in the notations of [39], x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 are three real-valued functions on M whose span is preserved by $\text{Sp}(1)$, which acts on them by rotations in the standard way. Furthermore, all three functions are fixed by the action of $\text{U}(1) = \text{Sp}(M)$. Writing $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$, it follows from (3.19), (2.11), and (2.12) of [39] that the aforementioned moment map μ can be expressed as

$$\mu = r + a^2(x_2^2 + x_3^2).$$

Since $\mu_i := \mu$ is a moment map for T_i with respect to ω_i^a , it follows that for every $g \in \text{Sp}(1)$ the function $(g^{-1})^* \mu$ generates the $T_{g.i}$ -action with respect to $\omega_{g.i}^a$. In particular, if $g.i = j$, then the flow associated to

$$\mu_j := (g^{-1})^* \mu = r + a^2(x_1^2 + x_3^2)$$

with respect to ω_j^a rotates the circle spanned by ω_3^a and ω_1^a . It is therefore a Kähler potential for ω_i^a [47], and repeating the argument when $g.i = k$ so is $\mu_k := r + a^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$. Therefore the T_i -invariant function

$$\varphi = \varphi_i := \frac{\mu_j + \mu_k}{2} = r + \frac{a^2}{2}(r^2 + x_1^2)$$

is also a Kähler potential. It follows that for every $g \in \text{Sp}(1)$ the function $(g^{-1})^* \varphi_i$ is completely determined by $q = g.i$, so that

$$\varphi_q := (g^{-1})^* \varphi_i$$

is well defined, and a potential for ω_q^a . From this we obtain an explicit realisation of the family of prequantum line bundles, for which the functions $\psi_{0,q} = e^{-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\varphi_q}$ define holomorphic frames.

Now note the function μ is bounded below, and its only critical point is the origin — the only fixed point of the induced action. We may then apply the Duistermaat–Heckman theorem [36] as in Proposition 2.21 to conclude that $e^{-\alpha\mu}$ is integrable with respect to the Taub–NUT volume $d \text{vol}^a$ for every parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The same clearly applies to μ_j and μ_k , and from this it is easily deduced that

$$e^{-\alpha\varphi_q} \in L^2(M, d \text{vol}^a)$$

for every $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\alpha > 0$. In particular, the holomorphic frames constructed above are L^2 .

To conclude we recall that the two components of Φ are defined as

$$w_1 = e^{a^2 x_1} z_1, \quad w_2 = e^{-a^2 x_2} z_2,$$

where z_1 and z_2 are the standard i -holomorphic coordinates on $M = \mathbb{H}$ with respect to the usual flat metric (see [39, (3.4)]). We need to show that, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $w_1^n w_2^m \psi_0$ is also L^2 . Expanding the definition of φ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\hbar} \varphi - 2a^2(n-m)x_1 &= \frac{r}{\hbar} + \frac{a^2}{2\hbar}(r^2 + x_1^2) - 2a^2(n-m)x_1 \\ &\geq \frac{r}{2\hbar} + \frac{a^2}{4\hbar}(r^2 + x_1^2) - C = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \varphi - C \geq \frac{1}{4\hbar} \mu_j - C, \end{aligned}$$

provided $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is large enough. Furthermore, it is a simple consequence of the definitions in [39] that $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 2r$, whence

$$|z_1^n z_2^m|^2 \leq (2r)^{2(n+m)} \leq \mu_j^{2(n+m)}.$$

Collecting the estimates and using again the Duistermaat–Heckman theorem we conclude

$$\int_M |w_1^n w_2^m|^2 \psi_0^2 \, d \operatorname{vol}^a \leq e^C \int_M \mu_j^{2(m+n)} e^{-\frac{1}{4\hbar} \mu_j} \, d \operatorname{vol}^a < \infty. \quad \square$$

As a consequence of this result we have $\dim \mathcal{H}_{d'}^{(d)} = 1$ for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $d' = d - 2j$ with $j \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, and we conclude that $\mathcal{H}_{d'}^{(d)} \simeq \mathcal{L}^d$ for such values of d and d' .

Theorem 3.8. *The generating series (18) is the same as for the flat metric, namely*

$$H'(t, \tilde{t}) = \frac{1}{(1-t\tilde{t})(1-t\tilde{t}^{-1})}.$$

We thus have

$$H = \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^{(d)}}, \quad H^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{d' \in \Lambda^{(d)}} H_{d'}^{(d)} = H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathcal{L}^d)^{\oplus(d+1)}.$$

The Atiyah–Hitchin manifold. Let us consider the Atiyah–Hitchin manifold M_{AH} , the last four-dimensional case. We shall discuss the extent to which our methods apply here.

The Atiyah–Hitchin manifold can be realised as the moduli space of charge-2 centred magnetic monopoles in \mathbb{R}^3 , and it comes with a natural Riemannian metric preserved by the $\text{SO}(3)$ -action induced by rotating monopoles. The quaternionic nature of the Bogomolny equation, of which the monopoles represented by M_{AH} are a particular class of solutions, induces a family of almost complex structures, which can be better understood via Donaldson’s description in terms of rational maps [34]. More precisely, the choice of an oriented line through the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 induces an identification

$$\tilde{M}_{\text{AH}} = \left\{ S(z) = \frac{uz + v}{z^2 - w} \in \mathbb{C}(z) \mid v^2 - wu^2 = 1 \right\} =: R_2^0,$$

where the left-hand side denotes the (two-fold) universal cover of M_{AH} . The Atiyah–Hitchin manifold is recovered from the monodromy action, generated by $(u, v, w) \mapsto (-u, -v, w)$. The resulting map is a biholomorphism with respect to one of the aforementioned almost complex structures, establishing that the latter is integrable and the former is Kähler. Rotations around the preferred direction induce a $U(1)$ -action of R_2^0 by

$$(23) \quad t.(u, v, w) = (tu, v, t^{-2}w).$$

As the preferred direction changes across all possible choices, this results in a family of Kähler structures parametrised by $\mathbb{C}P^1$, which is clearly rotated by the $SO(3)$ -action (see [15, Chapter 2]).

The above identification is not isometric with respect to the Riemannian embedding $R_2^0 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$; nonetheless, the Riemannian structure on M_{AH} can be described by studying the $SO(3)$ -orbits [15, Chapters 8–11]. The generic stabiliser of a monopole is the Klein four-group K_4 , while orbits are parametrised by $k = \sin(\alpha)$ for an angle $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, resulting in a description of an open dense of M_{AH} as the product $(0, 1) \times SO(3)/K_4$; furthermore, as $k \rightarrow 0$ the orbit degenerates to a diffeomorphic copy of $\mathbb{R}P^2$, onto which M_{AH} deformation-retracts.

According to Swann’s work [82, Section 6, Four-manifolds], M_{AH} does not admit a hyperkähler potential. Furthermore, one sees from (23) that the stabiliser of each Kähler structure has exactly one fixed point, and since the manifold has the homotopy type of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ there can be no proper moment map. Nonetheless the above homotopy equivalence yields

$$H^1(M_{\text{AH}}, U(1)) \simeq H^2(M_{\text{AH}}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Hence by Section 2B there are exactly two inequivalent $SO(3)$ -equivariant families of prequantum line bundles. They differ by a twist by a family of flat connections on the nontrivial complex line bundle on M_{AH} .

The family supported on the trivial bundle can be constructed by means of the Kähler potentials of Olivier [72]. Namely the metric on the Atiyah–Hitchin manifold is the completion of

$$(24) \quad ds^2 = \frac{\beta^2 \gamma^2 \delta^2}{(4k^2(1-k^2)K^2)^2} dm^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_x^2 + \gamma^2 \sigma_y^2 + \delta^2 \sigma_z^2,$$

defined on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \times SO(3)/K_4$. We follow the conventions of [72]. Namely, $m = k^2$ is used as a coordinate in place of k , while $(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ is an orthonormal frame of $T^*SO(3) \rightarrow SO(3)$ and the coefficients β, γ, δ are functions of k determined by

$$\beta\gamma = -EK, \quad \gamma\delta = -EK + K^2, \quad \beta\delta = -EK + (1-k^2)K^2,$$

where

$$K := K(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \phi}}, \quad E := E(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \phi} d\phi$$

are the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively.

Oliver [72] then uses the Euler angles (φ, θ, ψ) as coordinates on $\text{SO}(3)$ to give an explicit Kähler potential Ω for one of the complex structures, say I_3 , preserved by rotations in the angle φ . This is given in of [72, (55)] and can be written explicitly using equations (6), (24), (25) and (36) therein, getting the formula

$$\Omega = \frac{\beta\gamma + \gamma\delta + \delta\beta}{8} + \frac{1}{8}(\gamma\delta \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \psi + \delta\beta \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \psi + \gamma\beta \cos^2 \theta).$$

Note for $k \in (0, 1)$ this function extends continuously to the whole of $\text{SO}(3)$, and the trigonometric functions of (θ, ψ) descend to the projective space at $k = 0$; hence the potential extends to the completion M_{AH} . Finally, we emphasise that this potential is independent of the variable φ , which is to say that it is invariant under the action of the I_3 -stabiliser. It follows that Ω defines an equivariant family of potentials under the $\text{SO}(3)$ -action, whence an equivariant family of prequantum line bundles by the usual construction, together with a holomorphic frame $\psi_0 = e^{-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\Omega}$ for I_3 .

Proposition 3.9. *The function $e^{-\alpha\Omega}$ is integrable on M_{AH} for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.*

Proof. From (24) we obtain the following expression for the volume form on (the complement of a negligible set in) M_{AH} :

$$d \text{ vol} = \frac{\beta^2 \gamma^2 \delta^2}{4k^2(1-k^2)K^2} dm \sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_z.$$

We need to show that

$$\int_{(0,1) \times \text{SO}(3)} e^{-\alpha\Omega} \frac{\beta^2 \gamma^2 \delta^2}{4k^2(1-k^2)K^2} dm \sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_z < \infty.$$

Note that $\beta\gamma \leq 0$, $\gamma\delta \geq 0$, and $\beta\delta \leq 0$ yield

$$\Omega \geq \frac{\gamma\delta}{8}.$$

We may then use these bounds and the Fubini–Tonelli theorem to reduce the statement to

$$\int_0^1 e^{-\frac{\alpha}{8}\gamma\delta} \frac{\beta^2 \gamma^2 \delta^2}{k^2(1-k^2)K^2} dm < \infty.$$

We will proceed by studying the asymptotic behaviour of the integrand in the limit $k \rightarrow 1$; the integral is necessarily regular for $k \rightarrow 0$. It is well known that

$$K \sim \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - k^2),$$

and since $E(1) = 1$ we find that

$$\beta\gamma \sim -\frac{1}{2}\log(1-k^2), \quad \gamma\delta \sim \frac{1}{4}\log^2(1-k^2), \quad \beta\delta \sim -\frac{1}{2}\log(1-k^2),$$

and hence the integral converges by comparison with

$$\int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{32}\log^2(1-k^2)\right) \frac{\log^2(1-k^2)}{(1-k^2)} dm = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\alpha}{32}x^2} x^2 dx < \infty,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

For $\alpha = 1/\hbar$ this implies the holomorphic frame ψ_0 is L^2 , and hence an element of $\mathcal{H}_{I_3}^{(0)}$; in principle more L^2 holomorphic sections may be found considering functions of the holomorphic coordinates (u, v, w) on R_0^2 . If all the monomials that descend to M_{AH} are L^2 , then one concludes that $\mathcal{H}_q^{(d)}$ has infinite rank for every integer d , since $u^a v^b w^c$ is $(a-2c)$ -homogeneous and well defined on M_{AH} if $a+b$ is even. We obtain a partial result in this direction, showing that all powers of w are L^2 .

The problem of describing u, v , and w in terms of the setup above is addressed in [15, Chapter 6-7], by making use of the twistor description and spectral curves [50]. Introducing parameters

$$k_1 = \frac{\sqrt{k\sqrt{1-k^2}}K}{2}, \quad k_2 = \frac{1-2k^2}{3k\sqrt{1-k^2}},$$

consider the elliptic curve

$$y^2 = 4k_1^2(x^3 - 3k_2x^2 - x)$$

and let \wp, ζ be its corresponding Weierstrass functions, η the real period of ζ . Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ are the entries of a matrix in $\text{SU}(2)$, thought of as a parametrisation of $\text{SO}(3)/K_4$, and let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$(25) \quad \wp(\xi) = \frac{b}{a} - k_2.$$

Then the corresponding point in M_{AH} has holomorphic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{\sinh(2k_1\zeta(\xi) - \frac{\eta\xi}{2} + k_1\bar{a}\bar{b}\wp'(\xi))}{k_1\bar{a}^2\wp'(\xi)}, \\ v &= \cosh\left(2k_1\zeta(\xi) - \frac{\eta\xi}{2} + k_1\bar{a}\bar{b}\wp'(\xi)\right), \\ w &= k_1^2\bar{a}^4\wp'(\xi)^2, \end{aligned}$$

up to the sign ambiguity resulting from the monodromy. Substituting (25) in the differential equation for \wp , and using g_2 and g_3 as given in [50], we obtain

$$w = k_1^2\bar{a}(-12\bar{a}b^2k_2 + 4b^3 - 4\bar{a}^2b).$$

Now since $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ a straightforward check shows that

$$|w|^2 \leq 16k_1^4(9k_2^2 + 2) \sim 4K^2 \sim \log^2(1 - k^2)$$

for $k \rightarrow 1$. Adapting the proof of [Proposition 3.9](#) and using [\(23\)](#) we obtain:

Proposition 3.10. *For every integer $n \geq 0$ the holomorphic section $w^n \psi_0$ is L^2 and therefore an element of $\mathcal{H}_{I_3}^{(-2n)}$.*

The analysis is more delicate for the functions u and v . Using [\(25\)](#) one can express $\bar{a}\bar{b}$ in terms of ξ and write the argument of the hyperbolic functions as

$$\Phi(\xi) = 2k_1 \zeta(\xi) - \frac{\eta \xi}{2} + k_1 \wp'(\xi) \frac{k_2 + \overline{\wp(\xi)}}{1 + |k_2 + \wp(\xi)|^2}.$$

It follows from the definitions and the Legendre relation that this function is periodic for the real period of \wp and quasiperiodic for the imaginary period, with step πi , whence the sign ambiguity of u and v . Moreover one can show the poles of the summands cancel out, leaving a nonholomorphic analytic function — hallmark of the fact that the $\text{SO}(3)$ -action does not preserve the complex structure. In particular its real part is bounded for fixed “ k ”.

3C. Moduli spaces of framed $\text{SU}(r)$ -instantons. Let $r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 0$ be integers, and consider the moduli space $M_{k,r}$ of charge- k framed $\text{SU}(r)$ -instantons on \mathbb{R}^4 , which is a hyperkähler manifold [\[13; 33\]](#). Each of its complex structures can be described in terms of the ADHM construction as follows, after fixing an identification $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$. Consider the product

$$\mathbb{M} := \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^k)^2 \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathbb{C}^r) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^k),$$

with $\text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^k)$ -action given by

$$g \cdot (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, a, b) = (g\alpha_0 g^{-1}, g\alpha_1 g^{-1}, ga, bg^{-1}).$$

Remark 3.11. \mathbb{M} is a space of representations of a quiver on two nodes and that the action naturally extends to $\text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^k) \times \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ (which controls isomorphisms of representations).

Let \mathbb{M}_0 denote the set of elements of \mathbb{M} satisfying the additional conditions:

(i) $[\alpha_0, \alpha_1] + ab = 0$.

(ii) For all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 + \lambda \\ \alpha_1 + \mu \\ a \end{pmatrix}$$

injective and $(\lambda - \alpha_0 \quad \alpha_1 - \mu \quad b)$ surjective.

Then the restricted $U(r)$ -action is Hamiltonian with moment map

$$\mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, a, b) := [\alpha_1, \alpha_1^*] + [\alpha_2, \alpha_2^*] + bb^* - a^*a,$$

and there is an identification

$$(26) \quad M_{k,r} \simeq \mathbb{M}_0 //_{\mu} U(k).$$

The rotation group $SO(4)$ acts on $M_{k,r}$, and in particular the subgroup $Sp(1)$, in the identification $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{H}$, transitively permutes the complex structures. Furthermore, Maciocia [62] shows that for each $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ the T_q -action has moment map

$$m_2(A) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \|x\|^2 \operatorname{tr} F_A^2.$$

This function is clearly $Sp(1)$ -invariant, and therefore a hyperkähler potential, so one can construct an $Sp(1)$ -invariant family of prequantum line bundles endowed with holomorphic frames as in Section 2I.

The function m_2 is not, however, a proper map. By [62], under the identification (26) it corresponds to the norm-squared function $f : \mathbb{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is $U(k)$ -invariant but not proper, on account of the open condition (ii). However, Donaldson [33] identifies the symplectic reduction (26) with the GIT quotient of \mathbb{M}_0 by $GL(k, \mathbb{C})$, whereupon (ii) translates into a stability condition. One may then include the semistable points to obtain a partial compactification

$$\bar{M}_{k,r} := \mathbb{M} //_{\text{GIT}} GL(k, \mathbb{C}),$$

which is smooth by the work of Nakajima and Yoshioka [71, Corollary 2.2]. The map f descends then to a proper one on $\bar{M}_{k,r}$; it is also clear that its gradient is complete on the quotient, showing that geometric quantisation on this space yields finite-rank isotypical components by Theorem 2.14. On the other hand, the codimension of the boundary $\bar{M}_{k,r} \setminus M_{k,r}$ is greater than 2, so that Hartogs's theorem allows for the extension of holomorphic functions on $M_{k,r}$, which yields the finite-dimensionality of the isotypic components over this latter space.

4. Outlook and further perspectives

There are more spaces that fit some of the requirements for our quantisation scheme.

By the work of Kronheimer [57] the nilpotent (co)adjoint orbits of complex semisimple (1-connected) Lie groups are hyperkähler manifolds with transitively permuting $SO(3)$ -actions, and by Swann's work [82] they admit hyperkähler potentials. Indeed, Proposition 5.5 of the same work states that such a potential exists on a hyperkähler manifold if it admits an $Sp(1)$ -action permuting the complex structure and such that, denoting by X_q the vector field generating the T_q -action for each $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, the vector field $I_q X_q$ is independent of q . After [82, Proposition 6.5],

Swann goes on to check that this condition is verified for Kronheimer’s space, thus establishing the existence of a hyperkähler potential. This is a particular instance of hyperkähler moduli spaces of solutions of Nahm’s equations, specifically on a half-line with nilpotent boundary conditions.³ Since Nahm’s equations come naturally with a quaternionic structure and $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -action, the resulting manifolds have symmetries of the kind considered in this paper, and different choices of domain and boundary conditions give rise to different hyperkähler structures. For instance, semisimple boundary conditions on a half-line result in orbits of semisimple elements [56], while the study of Nahm’s equations on a compact interval leads to the cotangent bundle T^*G [32; 58]. By the works of Mayrand [64; 65; 66], the latter comes with natural $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -equivariant families of Kähler potentials and moment maps for the stabilizers T_q , rather than a hyperkähler one, and they enjoy interesting properties that might lead to a variation of our main construction.

Also, as mentioned in the introduction, many new interesting hyperkähler metrics can be defined on moduli spaces of irregular singular connections/Higgs bundles over (wild generalisations of) Riemann surfaces [18; 77; 88], with simple examples reviewed in [23]: the “multiplicative” versions of the Eguchi–Hanson space and Calabi’s examples (whose standard “additive” versions are quiver varieties on two nodes). This fits into a more general (new) multiplicative theory of quiver varieties [22], involving a “fission” operation generalising the construction of moduli spaces of flat connections *à la* TFT [19; 21]; note that conjecturally this produces a lot more new hyperkähler manifolds [20], beyond (wild) nonabelian Hodge spaces. See [26; 37; 74; 75] about quantum moduli spaces of meromorphic connections.

Finally the example of Section 3C, i.e., the moduli spaces of framed $\mathrm{SU}(r)$ -instantons, opens the way for further discussion on the relation between the generating series produced by this new quantisation scheme and the well-known Nekrasov partition functions.

Appendix: Comparison with the standard approach

In this section we shall correct the family of quantum Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_q to obtain finite-rank flat vector bundles of isotypical components (under the main assumption), as well as unitary equivalences between the quantisation of M with respect to the given Kähler polarisations.

Based on Theorem 2.11, we do this by a correcting twist of the finite-rank bundles $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$; namely consider the tensor product

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\lambda^{(d)} := \mathcal{H}_\lambda^{(d)} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-d}, \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in \Lambda^{(d)}.$$

³The hyperkähler metric on general orbits was constructed in [17; 55].

This new vector bundle comes with a $\mathrm{Hk}_0'(\mathbb{M})$ -action, and we denote $\nabla^{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\lambda^{(d)}}$ the resulting $\mathrm{Hk}_0'(\mathbb{M})$ -invariant flat connection.

Since $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is simply connected the parallel transport defines canonical unitary isomorphisms

$$(27) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{q',\lambda}^{(d)}, \quad q, q' \in \mathbb{C}P^1,$$

satisfying 1-cocycle identities. In analogy with the above we then define

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{(d)}} \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{q,\lambda}^{(d)}} =: \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q^{(d)} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q := \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q^{(d)}},$$

and these families of Hilbert spaces carry a 1-cocycle of unitary isomorphisms induced from (27): This is the usual geometric quantisation construction.

Now we can introduce super Hilbert spaces $\tilde{H}_{\lambda,j}^{(d)}$ in analogy with Section 2F, taking the holomorphic cohomology of the twisted vector bundles $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\lambda^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Theorem A.1 (see Theorem 1.2). *There is a unitary action $\mathrm{Hk}_0'(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\tilde{H})$ preserving the nested splittings*

$$\tilde{H} := \overline{\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}^{(d)}}, \quad \tilde{H}^{(d)} := \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{(d)}} \tilde{H}_\lambda^{(d)}}, \quad \tilde{H}_\lambda^{(d)} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_\lambda^{(d)}} \tilde{H}_{\lambda,j}^{(d)}.$$

Finally we can compare this representation with the one constructed in Section 2F, finding that twisting trivializes part of the action. Namely, the present super Hilbert space $\tilde{H}_{\lambda,j}^{(d)} \simeq V_\lambda \otimes W^{(0)}$ replaces the original $H_{\lambda,j}^{(d)} \simeq V_\lambda \otimes W^{(d)}$ as a $\mathrm{Hk}_0'(\mathbb{M})$ -module, recalling that $W^{(0)}$ is the trivial one-dimensional $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -module. This should be compared with the (more) interesting irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Hk}_0'(\mathbb{M})$ obtained from Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank a number of people whose feedback improved the results of this work, including Nigel Hitchin, Eckhard Meinrenken, Steve Rayan, Andrew Swann, and the graduate students from the gLab at the University of Toronto. Special thanks go to Maxence Mayrand, whose input was of crucial value.

All authors thank the former Centre for the Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces (QGM) at the Aarhus University, and the new Centre for Quantum Mathematics (QM) at the University of Southern Denmark, for hospitality and support during various phases of this project.

Jørgen Ellegaard Andersen was partially supported by the Danish National Science Foundation Center of Excellence grant, QGM DNRF95, and by the ERC-SyG project, Recursive and Exact New Quantum Theory (ReNewQuantum) which received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European

Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 810573.

Alessandro Malusà thanks for their support the University of Toronto and the University of Saskatchewan, as well as the Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences (PIMS) and the Centre for Quantum Topology and its Applications (quanTA).

The research of Gabriele Rembado was supported by the National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) SwissMAP, of the Swiss National Science Foundation, and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy-GZ 2047/1, Projekt-ID 390685813; their work is now funded by the European Union under the grant agreement no. 101108575 (HORIZON-MSCA project [QuantMod](#)).

The authors wish to thank the referees for carefully reading a previous draft of this paper, and for their useful feedback and constructive comments.

References

- [1] J. E. Andersen, “Asymptotic faithfulness of the quantum $SU(n)$ representations of the mapping class groups”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **163**:1 (2006), 347–368. [MR Zbl](#)
- [2] J. E. Andersen, “Hitchin’s connection, Toeplitz operators, and symmetry invariant deformation quantization”, *Quantum Topol.* **3**:3-4 (2012), 293–325. [MR Zbl](#)
- [3] J. E. Andersen and N. L. Gammelgaard, “The Hitchin–Witten connection and complex quantum Chern–Simons theory”, preprint, 2014. [arXiv 1409.1035](#)
- [4] J. E. Andersen, S. Gukov, and D. Pei, “The Verlinde formula for Higgs bundles”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv 1608.01761](#)
- [5] J. E. Andersen and A. Malusà, “The AJ-conjecture for the Teichmüller TQFT”, preprint, 2017. [arXiv 1711.11522](#)
- [6] J. E. Andersen and A. Malusà, “Asymptotic properties of the Hitchin–Witten connection”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **109**:8 (2019), 1747–1775. [MR Zbl](#)
- [7] J. E. Andersen, A. Malusà, and G. Rembado, “Genus-one complex quantum Chern–Simons theory”, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **20**:6 (2022), 1215–1253. [MR Zbl](#)
- [8] J. E. Andersen and K. Rasmussen, “A Hitchin connection for a large class of families of Kähler structures”, pp. 135–161 in *Geometry and physics*, vol. I, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2018. [MR Zbl](#)
- [9] J. E. Andersen and K. Ueno, “Abelian conformal field theory and determinant bundles”, *Internat. J. Math.* **18**:8 (2007), 919–993. [MR Zbl](#)
- [10] J. E. Andersen and K. Ueno, “Geometric construction of modular functors from conformal field theory”, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **16**:2 (2007), 127–202. [MR Zbl](#)
- [11] J. E. Andersen and K. Ueno, “Modular functors are determined by their genus zero data”, *Quantum Topol.* **3**:3-4 (2012), 255–291. [MR Zbl](#)
- [12] J. E. Andersen and K. Ueno, “Construction of the Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev TQFT from conformal field theory”, *Invent. Math.* **201**:2 (2015), 519–559. [MR Zbl](#)
- [13] M. F. Atiyah, *Geometry on Yang–Mills fields*, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1979. [MR Zbl](#)
- [14] M. F. Atiyah and N. J. Hitchin, “Low energy scattering of nonabelian monopoles”, *Phys. Lett. A* **107**:1 (1985), 21–25. [MR Zbl](#)

- [15] M. Atiyah and N. J. Hitchin, *The geometry and dynamics of magnetic monopoles*, M. B. Porter Lectures **8**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [16] S. Axelrod, S. Della Pietra, and E. Witten, “Geometric quantization of Chern–Simons gauge theory”, *J. Differential Geom.* **33**:3 (1991), 787–902. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [17] O. Biquard, “Sur les équations de Nahm et la structure de Poisson des algèbres de Lie semi-simples complexes”, *Math. Ann.* **304**:2 (1996), 253–276. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [18] O. Biquard and P. P. Boalch, “Wild non-abelian Hodge theory on curves”, *Compos. Math.* **140**:1 (2004), 179–204. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [19] P. P. Boalch, “Quasi-Hamiltonian geometry of meromorphic connections”, *Duke Math. J.* **139**:2 (2007), 369–405. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [20] P. P. Boalch, “Through the analytic Halo: fission via irregular singularities”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **59**:7 (2009), 2669–2684. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [21] P. P. Boalch, “Geometry and braiding of Stokes data; fission and wild character varieties”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **179**:1 (2014), 301–365. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [22] P. P. Boalch, “Global Weyl groups and a new theory of multiplicative quiver varieties”, *Geom. Topol.* **19**:6 (2015), 3467–3536. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [23] P. P. Boalch, “Wild character varieties, points on the Riemann sphere and Calabi’s examples”, pp. 67–94 in *Representation theory, special functions and Painlevé equations — RIMS 2015*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **76**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2018. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [24] M. Bordemann, E. Meinrenken, and M. Schlichenmaier, “Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and $\mathrm{gl}(N)$, $N \rightarrow \infty$ limits”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165**:2 (1994), 281–296. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [25] M. Braverman, “Index theorem for equivariant Dirac operators on noncompact manifolds”, *K-Theory* **27**:1 (2002), 61–101. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [26] D. Calaque, G. Felder, G. Rembado, and R. R. Wentworth, “Wild orbits and generalised singularity modules: stratifications and quantisation”, preprint, 2024. [arXiv 2402.03278](#)
- [27] H. Cartan, “Variétés analytiques complexes et cohomologie”, pp. 41–55 in *Colloque sur les fonctions de plusieurs variables, tenu à* (Bruxelles, 1953), Georges Thone, Liège, 1953. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [28] H. Cartan, “Un théorème de finitude”, pp. 1–11 in *Séminaire Henri Cartan*, vol. 6, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1953–1954. [Zbl](#)
- [29] L. Charles, “On the quantization of polygon spaces”, *Asian J. Math.* **14**:1 (2010), 109–152. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [30] L. Charles, “Quantization of compact symplectic manifolds”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **26**:4 (2016), 2664–2710. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [31] K. Corlette, “Flat G -bundles with canonical metrics”, *J. Differential Geom.* **28**:3 (1988), 361–382. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [32] A. Dancer and A. Swann, “Hyperkähler metrics associated to compact Lie groups”, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **120**:1 (1996), 61–69. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [33] S. K. Donaldson, “Instantons and geometric invariant theory”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **93**:4 (1984), 453–460. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [34] S. K. Donaldson, “Nahm’s equations and the classification of monopoles”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **96**:3 (1984), 387–407. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [35] S. K. Donaldson, “Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations”, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **55**:1 (1987), 127–131. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [36] J. J. Duistermaat and G. J. Heckman, “On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space”, *Invent. Math.* **69**:2 (1982), 259–268. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [37] G. Felder and G. Rembado, “Singular modules for affine Lie algebras, and applications to irregular WZNW conformal blocks”, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **29**:1 (2023), art. id. 15. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [38] D. S. Freed, “Classical Chern–Simons theory, I”, *Adv. Math.* **113**:2 (1995), 237–303. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [39] P. Gauduchon, “The Taub–NUT ambitoric structure”, pp. 163–187 in *Geometry and physics, I*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2018. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [40] G. W. Gibbons and C. N. Pope, “The positive action conjecture and asymptotically Euclidean metrics in quantum gravity”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **66**:3 (1979), 267–290. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [41] V. Ginzburg, “Lectures on Nakajima’s quiver varieties”, pp. 145–219 in *Geometric methods in representation theory, I*, Sémin. Congr. **24-I**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [42] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Geometric asymptotics*, Mathematical Surveys **14**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [43] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, “Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations”, *Invent. Math.* **67**:3 (1982), 515–538. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [44] N. J. Hitchin, “The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **55**:1 (1987), 59–126. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [45] N. J. Hitchin, “Flat connections and geometric quantization”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **131**:2 (1990), 347–380. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [46] N. J. Hitchin, “Hyperkähler manifolds”, pp. 137–166 in *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Astérisque **206**, Société Mathématique de France, 1991. [Zbl](#)
- [47] N. J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström, and M. Roček, “Hyperkähler metrics and supersymmetry”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **108**:4 (1987), 535–589. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [48] P. Hochs and Y. Song, “Equivariant indices of Spin^c -Dirac operators for proper moment maps”, *Duke Math. J.* **166**:6 (2017), 1125–1178. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [49] P. Hochs and H. Wang, “A fixed point theorem on noncompact manifolds”, *Ann. K-Theory* **3**:2 (2018), 235–286. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [50] J. Hurtubise, “SU(2) monopoles of charge 2”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **92**:2 (1983), 195–202. [MR](#)
- [51] M. Kapovich and J. J. Millson, “The symplectic geometry of polygons in Euclidean space”, *J. Differential Geom.* **44**:3 (1996), 479–513. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [52] A. V. Karabegov and M. Schlichenmaier, “Identification of Berezin–Toeplitz deformation quantization”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **540** (2001), 49–76. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [53] A. A. Kirillov, *Lectures on the orbit method*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **64**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [54] A. W. Knap, *Representation theory of semisimple groups: an overview based on examples*, Princeton Mathematical Series **36**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [55] A. G. Kovalev, “Nahm’s equations and complex adjoint orbits”, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **47**:185 (1996), 41–58. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [56] P. B. Kronheimer, “A hyper-Kählerian structure on coadjoint orbits of a semisimple complex group”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **42**:2 (1990), 193–208. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [57] P. B. Kronheimer, “Instantons and the geometry of the nilpotent variety”, *J. Differential Geom.* **32**:2 (1990), 473–490. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [58] P. B. Kronheimer, “A hyperkähler structure on the cotangent bundle of a complex Lie group”, preprint, 2004. [arXiv math/0409253](#)
- [59] Y. Laszlo, “Hitchin’s and WZW connections are the same”, *J. Differential Geom.* **49**:3 (1998), 547–576. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [60] X. Ma, “Geometric quantization on Kähler and symplectic manifolds”, pp. 785–810 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, II*, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2011. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [61] X. Ma and W. Zhang, “Geometric quantization for proper moment maps: the Vergne conjecture”, *Acta Math.* **212**:1 (2014), 11–57. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [62] A. Maciocia, “Metrics on the moduli spaces of instantons over Euclidean 4-space”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **135**:3 (1991), 467–482. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [63] A. Malusà, *Geometric quantisation, the Hitchin–Witten connection and quantum operators in complex Chern–Simons theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Aarhus University, 2018.
- [64] M. Mayrand, “Kempf–Ness type theorems and Nahm equations”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **136** (2019), 138–155. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [65] M. Mayrand, *Stratified hyperkähler spaces and Nahm’s equations*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oxford, 2019. [Zbl](#)
- [66] M. Mayrand, “Stratified hyperkähler spaces from semisimple Lie algebras”, *Transform. Groups* **25**:1 (2020), 191–215. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [67] E. Meinrenken, “On Riemann–Roch formulas for multiplicities”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9**:2 (1996), 373–389. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [68] E. Meinrenken, “Symplectic surgery and the Spin^c-Dirac operator”, *Adv. Math.* **134**:2 (1998), 240–277. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [69] E. Meinrenken and R. Sjamaar, “Singular reduction and quantization”, *Topology* **38**:4 (1999), 699–762. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [70] H. Nakajima, “Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac–Moody algebras”, *Duke Math. J.* **76**:2 (1994), 365–416. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [71] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, “Instanton counting on blowup, I: 4-dimensional pure gauge theory”, *Invent. Math.* **162**:2 (2005), 313–355. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [72] D. Olivier, “Complex coordinates and Kähler potential for the Atiyah–Hitchin metric”, *Gen. Relativity Gravitation* **23**:12 (1991), 1349–1362. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [73] G. Rembado, *Quantisation of moduli spaces and connections*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris-Saclay, 2018, available at <https://theses.hal.science/tel-02004685>.
- [74] G. Rembado, “Simply-laced quantum connections generalising KZ”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **368**:1 (2019), 1–54. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [75] G. Rembado, “Symmetries of the simply-laced quantum connections and quantisation of quiver varieties”, *SIGMA* **16** (2020), art. id. 103. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [76] W. Rossmann, *Lie groups: an introduction through linear groups*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics **5**, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [77] C. Sabbah, “Harmonic metrics and connections with irregular singularities”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49**:4 (1999), 1265–1291. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [78] M. Schlichenmaier, “Deformation quantization of compact Kähler manifolds by Berezin–Toeplitz quantization”, pp. 289–306 in *Conférence Moshé Flato, II* (Dijon, 1999), Math. Phys. Stud. **22**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [79] M. Schlichenmaier, “Berezin–Toeplitz quantization and Berezin transform”, pp. 271–287 in *Long time behaviour of classical and quantum systems* (Bologna, 1999), edited by S. Graffi et al., Ser. Concr. Appl. Math. **1**, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [80] C. T. Simpson, “Higgs bundles and local systems”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **75** (1992), 5–95. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [81] R. Sjamaar, “Holomorphic slices, symplectic reduction and multiplicities of representations”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **141**:1 (1995), 87–129. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [82] A. Swann, “HyperKähler and quaternionic Kähler geometry”, *Math. Ann.* **289**:3 (1991), 421–450. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [83] R. W. Thomason, “Une formule de Lefschetz en K -théorie équivariante algébrique”, *Duke Math. J.* **68**:3 (1992), 447–462. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [84] M. Vergne, “Multiplicities formula for geometric quantization, I”, *Duke Math. J.* **82**:1 (1996), 143–179. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [85] M. Vergne, “Applications of equivariant cohomology”, pp. 635–664 in *International Congress of Mathematicians, I*, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2007. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [86] E. Witten, “Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121**:3 (1989), 351–399. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [87] E. Witten, “Quantization of Chern–Simons gauge theory with complex gauge group”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **137**:1 (1991), 29–66. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [88] E. Witten, “Gauge theory and wild ramification”, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)* **6**:4 (2008), 429–501. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [89] N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Geometric quantization*, 2nd ed., The Clarendon Press, New York, 1992. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [90] S. Wu, “On the instanton complex of holomorphic Morse theory”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **11**:4 (2003), 775–807. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received April 19, 2022. Revised October 31, 2023.

JØRGEN ELLEGAARD ANDERSEN
CENTRE FOR QUANTUM MATHEMATICS
DANISH INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK
ODENSE M
DENMARK
jea@sdu.dk

ALESSANDRO MALUSÀ
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
TORONTO ON
CANADA
amalusa@math.utoronto.ca

GABRIELE REMBADO
HAUSDORFF CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF BONN
ENDENICHER ALLEE
BONN
GERMANY
Current address:
INSTITUT MONTPELLIÉRAIN ALEXANDER GROTHENDIECK (IMAG)
UNIVERSITY OF MONTPELLIER
PLACE EUGÈNE BATAILLON
MONTPELLIER
GERMANY
gabriele.rembado@umontpellier.fr

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Ruixiang Zhang
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$645/year for the electronic version, and \$875/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 329 No. 1 March 2024

Sp(1)-symmetric hyperkähler quantisation	1
JØRGEN ELLEGAARD ANDERSEN, ALESSANDRO MALUSÀ and GABRIELE REMBADO	
Combinatorics of the tautological lamination	39
DANNY CALEGARI	
Limit theorems and wrapping transforms in bi-free probability theory	63
TAKAHIRO HASEBE and HAO-WEI HUANG	
Tame quasiconformal motions and monodromy	105
YUNPING JIANG, SUDEB MITRA and ZHE WANG	
A characterization and solvability of quasihomogeneous singularities	121
GUORUI MA, STEPHEN S.-T. YAU, QIWEI ZHU and HUAIQING ZUO	
Stable value of depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals of graphs	147
NGUYEN CONG MINH, TRAN NAM TRUNG and THANH VU	
Collapsed limits of compact Heisenberg manifolds with sub-Riemannian metrics	165
KENSHIRO TASHIRO	
On the coefficient inequalities for some classes of holomorphic mappings in complex Banach spaces	183
QINGHUA XU, XIAOHUA YANG and TAISHUN LIU	