



University of Southern Denmark

Use of statins and risk of myeloproliferative neoplasms a Danish nationwide case-control study

Tuyet Kristensen, Daniel; Kisbye Øvlisen, Andreas; Hjort Kyneb Jakobsen, Lasse; Tang Severinsen, Marianne; Hannig, Louise Hur; Starklint, Jørn; Hagemann Hilsøe, Morten; Pommer Vallentin, Anders; Brabrand, Mette; Hasselbalch, Hans Carl; El-Galaly, Tarec Christoffer; Stidsholt Roug, Anne

Published in:
Blood Advances

DOI:
10.1182/bloodadvances.2023009784

Publication date:
2023

Document version:
Final published version

Document license:
CC BY-NC-ND

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Tuyet Kristensen, D., Kisbye Øvlisen, A., Hjort Kyneb Jakobsen, L., Tang Severinsen, M., Hannig, L. H., Starklint, J., Hagemann Hilsøe, M., Pommer Vallentin, A., Brabrand, M., Hasselbalch, H. C., El-Galaly, T. C., & Stidsholt Roug, A. (2023). Use of statins and risk of myeloproliferative neoplasms: a Danish nationwide case-control study. *Blood Advances*, 7(14), 3450-3457. <https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2023009784>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Use of statins and risk of myeloproliferative neoplasms: a Danish nationwide case-control study

Daniel Tuyet Kristensen,^{1,2,*} Andreas Kisbye Øvlisen,^{1,2,*} Lasse Hjort Kyneb Jakobsen,¹ Marianne Tang Severinsen,^{1,2} Louise Hur Hannig,³ Jørn Starklint,⁴ Morten Hagemann Hilsøe,⁵ Anders Pommer Vallentin,⁶ Mette Brabrand,⁷ Hans Carl Hasselbalch,⁸ Tarec Christoffer El-Galaly,^{1,2} and Anne Stidsholt Roug^{1,2,9}

¹Department of Hematology, Clinical Cancer Research Centre, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark; ²Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Vejle Hospital, Vejle, Denmark; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Section for Hematology, Regional Hospital West, Gødstrup, Denmark; ⁵Department of Hematology, Hospital of Southwest Jutland, Esbjerg, Denmark; ⁶Department of Hematology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁷Department of Hematology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark; ⁸Department of Hematology, Zealand University Hospital, Roskilde, Denmark; and ⁹Department of Hematology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

Key Points

- The use of statins was associated with a significant decrease in the risk of MPNs.
- A dose-response relationship supported the association with increasing treatment duration, in particular ≥ 5 years.

Previous studies have indicated a possible cancer-protective effect of statins in solid cancers; however, this has never been investigated in myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs). We aimed to investigate the association between statin use and the risk of MPNs in a nested nationwide case-control study, using Danish national population registries. Information on statin use was obtained from the Danish National Prescription Registry, and patients diagnosed with MPNs between 2010 and 2018 were identified from the Danish National Chronic Myeloid Neoplasia Registry. The association between statin use and MPNs was estimated using age- and sex-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and fully adjusted ORs (aORs), adjusting for prespecified confounders. The study population included 3816 cases with MPNs and 19 080 population controls (5:1) matched for age and sex using incidence density sampling. Overall, 34.9% of the cases and 33.5% of the controls ever used statins, resulting in an OR for MPN of 1.07 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.99-1.16) and an aOR of 0.87 (95% CI, 0.80-0.96), respectively. 17.2% were categorized as long-term users (≥ 5 years) among the cases compared with 19.0% among controls, yielding an OR for MPN of 0.90 (95% CI, 0.81-1.00) and an aOR of 0.72 (95% CI, 0.64-0.81). Analysis of the effect of the cumulative duration of statin use revealed a dose-dependent response, and the association was consistent for sex, age, and MPN subgroups and across different statin types. Statin users were associated with significantly lower odds of being diagnosed with an MPN, indicating a possible cancer-preventive effect of statins. The retrospective design of this study precludes causal inferences.

Introduction

Statins are a group of pharmaceutical drugs with inhibitory effects on the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase and are used as cholesterol lowering agents for primary and secondary

Submitted 18 January 2023; accepted 19 February 2023; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 6 March 2023; final version published online 13 July 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2023009784>.

*D.T.K. and A.K.Ø. contributed equally to this work.

Per Danish law, the data cannot be shared directly; however, they can be accessed through application.

Additional information is available on request from the corresponding author, Anne Stidsholt Roug (anne.roug@m.dk) or at www.rkkp.dk/in-english/ and <https://www.dst.dk/en/TilSalg/Forskningservice/Dataadgang>.

The full-text version of this article contains a data supplement.

© 2023 by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International \(CC BY-NC-ND 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved.

prevention of cardiovascular diseases.¹ The use of statins has increased dramatically over the past decades because of increasing incidences of lifestyle-related diseases, leading to them being listed among the most prescribed drugs.²

The classic Philadelphia chromosome–negative myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) are clonal neoplastic diseases that include essential thrombocythemia (ET), polycythemia vera (PV), myelofibrosis (MF), and MPN-unclassifiable (MPN-U). MPNs are characterized based on myeloproliferation and abnormal peripheral blood counts, increased risk of vascular complications, organomegaly, hyperinflammation, constitutional symptoms, and a propensity to develop into acute myeloid leukemia. In the advanced MF stage, progressive bone marrow fibrosis may exacerbate peripheral blood counts, organomegaly, and constitutional symptoms. Constitutive activation of the JAK-STAT pathway via recurrent somatic mutations in *JAK2* V617F, *CALR*, or *MPL* is a hallmark of MPNs. Increased activity in the JAK-STAT pathway is also associated with hyperinflammation and bone marrow fibrosis formation by altering the bone marrow microenvironment.^{3–6}

Preclinical studies of statins have shown antileukemic activity in myeloid neoplasms.^{7–9} The mechanisms by which statins contribute to reduced cell viability and proliferative activity have not been fully elucidated. However, several effects, including antiproliferative, proapoptotic, antiangiogenic, and anti-inflammatory effects together with the decreased release of several growth factors support the notion that statins may have the potential to prevent the development of fibrotic and neoplastic diseases.^{10,11} Knowledge regarding the pleiotropic and preventive effects of statins is of clinical and great public health importance. This study aims to investigate whether statin use affects the risk of developing MPNs in the Danish population.

Materials and methods

Study design and setting

We conducted a population-based nested case-control study, comparing statin use among patients diagnosed with MPNs between 2010 and 2018 with that of a matched MPN-free population. The Danish population has free access to medical care provided by a tax-supported public health care system, including general practitioners and hospital services. Patients with suspected MPNs are referred to a public hospital clinic for nationally standardized diagnostic workup and treatment.

Nationwide Danish registers

The unique 10-digit personal identification number (Det Centrale Personregister number)^{12,13} was used to merge 5 nationwide health registers: Danish Civil Registration System,^{12,13} the National Patient Register (NPR),^{14,15} the Danish National Chronic Myeloid Neoplasia Registry (DCMR),¹⁶ the Danish National Prescription Registry (DNPR),^{17,18} and the Danish Education Registers¹⁹ (more detailed information on the registers is provided in the supplemental Material).

Selection of cases and controls

Cases were identified using DCMR covering >90% of the MPN cases in Denmark since 2010¹⁶ and were defined per the World Health Organization 2008 (or later) criteria^{20,21} as Danish

residents with an MPN (ET, PV, MF, or MPN-U) diagnosis between 1 January 2010 and 31 December 2018. The index date was defined as the first date of MPN diagnosis per the DCMR data. Identified individuals had to be Danish residents for at least 10 consecutive years before the index date to ensure a minimum of 10 years of follow-up for statin ascertainment. The Danish Civil Registration System was used to select 5 random controls for each case from the Danish general population, matched based on age (birth year), sex, and incidence density sampling.²² Cases and matched controls were excluded if they were previously registered with an MPN diagnosis or other cancers (except nonmelanoma skin cancer and carcinomas *in situ*, see supplemental Material) before the index date because of the association between previous chemo- or radiotherapy and the development of therapy-related MPNs.

Statin use and exposure assessment

The exposure assessment of statins was identified using the DNPR (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System codes: C10AA, C10BA, and C10BX). Ever-use of statins was defined as having redeemed at least 1 prescription of statins before the index date, and never-use was defined as having no filled prescription of statins before the index date. Long-term statin use was defined as ≥ 5 years of statin treatment. However, because the DNPR lacked information on the calculated cumulative duration of exposure, we estimated the cumulative treatment duration based on every redeemed prescription by the participants, assuming a daily intake of 1 tablet of the prescribed dose per day and added 25% as additional days to account for variations in prescription filling patterns and minor noncompliance. To reduce the possibility of reverse causation (ie, increased medical attention around the MPN diagnosis), we disregarded all prescriptions redeemed in the year before the index date of our main analysis.

Covariates and confounders

Using data from the NPR (hospital diagnoses to assess comorbidity), the DNPR (prescriptions related to conditions or use of drug with suggested cancer-protective properties), and the Danish Education Registers (demographics and highest achieved education), we adjusted for a set of prespecified potential confounders: (1) highest achieved educational level (primary school, high school, short/intermediate education, or long education) as a measure of socioeconomic status; (2) Charlson Comorbidity Index²³ (0, 1, or ≥ 2); (3) the use of drugs with suggested cancer-modulating effects,²⁴ including aspirin, nonsteroid anti-inflammatory drugs, alendronate, immunosuppressants, and metformin (≥ 2 previous prescriptions for all); and (4) markers of diabetes (prescription of antidiabetic drugs and diagnose codes), markers of smoking (diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or use of broncho-dilating inhalation agents containing an antimuscarinic component), markers of autoimmune diseases (ADs) (diagnose codes or prescription of immunomodulating drugs), and markers of extensive alcohol consumption (diagnose codes and prescription of disulfiram). Information to calculate the Charlson Comorbidity Index was collected from the NPR (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems-10 codes) and DNPR (antidiabetics including insulin) derived from the diagnoses of 19 chronic conditions. Data to assess confounding factors were

disregarded 1 year before the index date in the primary analysis. More detailed information, including codes and definitions, is provided in supplemental Table 1.

Secondary and sensitivity analysis

Several prespecified secondary analyses were performed. A stratified analysis based on the subtype of MPN (MF, PV, ET, and MPN-U), sex (male and female), age group (<60 years, 60-75 years, or >75 years), and absence of diabetes and ADs was performed to investigate the association between stratified subsets with long-term statin use and the risk of MPN subtypes. We investigated the effects of the different subtypes of statins by stratifying our analysis into 3 groups, including simvastatin, atorvastatin, and "other statins" (including lovastatin, pravastatin, fluvastatin, and rosuvastatin). Furthermore, for long-term use, we repeated the analyses, adjusting for single potential confounders on the estimated odds ratios (ORs) to show the effect of confounder adjustment. As a sensitivity analysis, we varied the lag-time (the disregarded time before index date when assessing exposure and confounders) for the analysis of long-term use by 6-month increments to investigate the presence of reverse causation.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were reported as counts and percentages, whereas continuous variables were reported as medians with interquartile ranges (25th-75th percentile). We assessed the association between statin use (exposure) and MPNs (outcome), using conditional logistic regression to obtain adjusted (for age, sex, and index date) ORs, fully adjusted ORs (aORs; adjusted for the previously mentioned confounders), and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc), RStudio version 1.1.447 (RStudio Inc), and R version 3.6.1 (R foundation for Statistical Computing). The study was approved by the Data Responsible Institution (North Denmark Region, approval number: 2021-034) in accordance with the general data protection regulation.

Results

Primary analysis

A total of 3816 cases of MPNs registered in the DCMR, including 1306 with PV (34.2%), 1307 with ET (34.3%), 574 with MF (15.0%), and 629 with MPN-U (16.5%) were identified during the surveyed period (Table 1). The cases were matched to 19 080 controls, and the baseline characteristics for both groups are shown in Table 1. Notable differences included higher Charlson Comorbidity Index and higher previous aspirin use among cases (Table 1). The remaining characteristics were well balanced between the cases and controls. Overall, 34.9% of cases and 33.5% of controls were ever-users of statins, resulting in an age- and sex-adjusted OR for MPN of 1.07 (95% CI, 0.99-1.16) (Table 2). 17.2% were categorized as long-term users (>5 years) among the cases compared with 19.0% among controls, yielding an age- and sex-adjusted OR for MPN of 0.90 (95% CI, 0.81-1.00). When adjusting for potential confounders, the aORs for MPN were 0.87 (95% CI, 0.80-0.96) and 0.72 (95% CI, 0.64-0.81) for ever-use and long-term use of statins, respectively. In a dose-response analysis, cumulative treatment duration revealed a clear dose-dependent response, with an insignificant association

Table 1. Study cohort characteristics for cases and controls

	Cases	Controls
Total, n	3816	19 080
Age, median (interquartile range), y	69 (59-76)	69 (59-76)
Age group, n (%), y		
<60	981 (25.7)	4905 (25.7)
60-75	1755 (46.0)	8775 (46.0)
>75	1080 (28.3)	5400 (28.3)
Male sex, n (%)	1875 (49.1)	9375 (49.1)
Statin use before index date, n (%)		
Never-user	2484 (65.1)	12 691 (66.5)
Ever-user	1332 (34.9)	6389 (33.5)
Long-term user (≥5 y)	658 (17.2)	3623 (19.0)
Highest achieved education, n (%)		
Primary school	1277 (33.5)	6419 (33.6)
High school	1576 (41.3)	7608 (39.9)
Short/intermediate education	659 (17.3)	3394 (17.8)
Long education	216 (5.7)	1139 (6.0)
Charlson Comorbidity Index, n (%)		
0	3292 (86.3)	17 614 (92.3)
1	340 (8.9)	1038 (5.4)
≥2	184 (4.8)	428 (2.2)
Medical history*, n (%)		
Alcohol-related diagnoses	262 (6.9)	1104 (5.8)
Overweight- and obesity-related diagnoses	155 (4.1)	841 (4.4)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	348 (9.1)	1527 (8.0)
AD	325 (8.5)	1611 (8.4)
Diabetes	341 (8.9)	1994 (10.5)
Previous drug use, n (%)		
Aspirin	1312 (34.4)	5034 (26.4)
Other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	3140 (82.3)	15 345 (80.4)
Metformin	268 (7.1)	1573 (8.2)
Alendronate	242 (6.3)	1114 (5.8)
Immunosuppressants	95 (2.5)	492 (2.6)
MPN subtype, n (%)		
PV	1306 (34.2)	N/A
ET	1307 (34.3)	N/A
MF	574 (15.0)	N/A
MPN-U	629 (16.5)	N/A

N/A, not applicable.

*Markers per those given in supplemental Table 1.

between short use (<1 year) and an aOR of 1.15 (95% CI, 0.97-1.36) but decreasing aOR with exposure length after 1 year (1-4.99 years: aOR, 0.96 [95% CI, 0.85-1.08]; 5-9.99 years: aOR, 0.83 [95% CI, 0.72-0.95]; and ≥10 years: aOR, 0.63 [95% CI, 0.54-0.74]) (Table 2).

Secondary and sensitivity analyses

In the secondary stratified analysis restricted to long-term use of statins (Table 3), we found comparable estimates across all age groups, with adjusted ORs for ages <60, 60 to 75, and >75 years

Table 2. Association between statin exposure and risk of MPN based on the duration of statin exposure

Subgroup	Cases, n	Controls, n	OR* (95% CI)	aOR† (95% CI)
Exposure				
Never-use	2484	12691	1.0 (reference)	1.0 (reference)
Ever-use	1332	6389	1.07 (0.99-1.16)	0.87 (0.80-0.96)
Long-term use (≥5 y)	658	3623	0.90 (0.81-1.00)	0.72 (0.64-0.81)
Cumulative treatment duration, y				
<1	211	741	1.47 (1.25-1.72)	1.15 (0.97-1.36)
1-4.99	463	2025	1.17 (1.05-1.31)	0.96 (0.85-1.08)
5-9.99	369	1862	1.02 (0.90-1.15)	0.83 (0.72-0.95)
≥10	289	1761	0.83 (0.73-0.96)	0.63 (0.54-0.74)

*Adjusted for age, sex, and calendar time (by matching design).

†Adjusted for parameters denoted by * in addition to (1) education level (primary school, high school, short/intermediate education, or long education); (2) Charlson Comorbidity Index (0, 1, or ≥2); (3) previous use of aspirin, other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, alendronate, immunosuppressants, and metformin; and (4) previous history of alcohol-related diagnoses, overweight- and obesity-related diagnoses, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, AD, and diabetes.

being 0.80 (95% CI, 0.52-1.25), 0.77 (95% CI, 0.65-0.91), and 0.65 (95% CI, 0.54-0.78), respectively. When stratifying based on sex, we found a strong association with an adjusted OR of 0.57 (95% CI, 0.47-0.68) for males compared with 0.88 (95% CI, 0.75-1.04) for females. This effect was consistent in a subset of subjects with no diabetes and no ADs (Table 3). When investigating subgroups of MPNs, long-term use of statins was associated with decreased odds of MF (aOR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.44-0.82), PV (aOR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.59-0.89), and MPN-U (aOR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.39-0.71), whereas no association was found for ET (aOR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.72-1.10).

When investigating different statin types, the effect was consistent irrespective of the statin group with aORs for ever-use ranging from 0.72 to 0.86 and for long-term use from 0.65 to 0.72 (supplemental Table 2). For both simvastatin and other statins, a clear dose-response pattern was observed, whereas for

atorvastatin, the aORs were similar (0.71-0.73) based on the cumulative treatment duration (supplemental Table 2). The effects of adjustment for single potential confounders are presented in supplemental Table 3. Except for previous aspirin use, univariable adjustment for each confounder had a limited influence on the estimated OR.

In our sensitivity analysis of varying lag time, we found a lower aOR using no lag time of 0.51 (95% CI, 0.45-0.57); however, when stepwise adding 6 months of more lag time (up to 36 months), the analysis led to similar aORs (0.71-0.73; supplemental Table 4).

Discussion

In this Danish nationwide case-control study, statin use was associated with a reduced risk of MPNs, suggesting a possible chemo-preventive effect on cancer. The protective effect was most

Table 3. Association between long-term exposure to statins (at least 5 years) and the risk of MPN based on the subgroups

Subgroup	Cases exposed/unexposed, n/n	Controls exposed/unexposed, n/n	OR* (95% CI)	aOR† (95% CI)
Age group, y				
<60	46/825	189/4386	1.33 (0.94-1.88)	0.80 (0.52-1.25)
60-75	330/1089	1772/5546	0.94 (0.82-1.08)	0.77 (0.65-0.91)
>75	282/570	1662/2759	0.79 (0.67-0.93)	0.65 (0.54-0.78)
Sex				
Male	300/1228	1882/6146	0.76 (0.66-0.88)	0.57 (0.47-0.68)
Female	358/1256	1741/6545	1.06 (0.92-1.22)	0.88 (0.75-1.04)
Disease subtype				
PV	236/829	1270/4350	0.93 (0.79-1.11)	0.73 (0.59-0.89)
ET	215/876	1 130/4520	0.98 (0.82-1.17)	0.89 (0.72-1.10)
MF	102/366	600/1790	0.83 (0.64-1.07)	0.60 (0.44-0.82)
MPN-U	105/413	623/2031	0.78 (0.60-0.99)	0.52 (0.39-0.71)
No diabetes	494/2404	2544/12 291	0.95 (0.84-1.06)	0.70 (0.63-0.82)
No AD	581/2297	3250/11 741	0.88 (0.79-0.98)	0.69 (0.60-0.78)

*Adjusted for age, sex, and calendar time (by matching design).

†Adjusted for (*) in addition to (1) education level (primary school, high school, short/intermediate education, or long education); (2) Charlson Comorbidity Index (0, 1, or ≥2); (3) previous use of aspirin, other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, alendronate, immunosuppressants, and metformin; and (4) previous history of alcohol-related diagnoses, overweight- and obesity-related diagnoses, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, AD, and diabetes.

pronounced for long-term use and was consistent for all statin subtypes. Notably, we found a particularly strong effect among males.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the association between statin use and risk of MPNs. However, the chemo-preventive effects of statins have been investigated in a variety of other types of cancer. A large Danish population-based observational study, investigating the effect of statin use and cancer-related mortality among 13 patients with nonhematologic malignancies, showed that patients using statins before the cancer diagnosis had lower all-cause mortality and cancer-related mortality compared to patients with cancer who did not use statins.²⁵ Additionally, several large-scale epidemiologic studies have associated the use of statins with reduced risk of pancreatic, hepatic, lung, and gastrointestinal tract cancers.²⁶⁻²⁸ Only a handful of studies have focused on the association between statin use and hematologic malignancies summarized in meta-analyses.²⁹⁻³¹ Overall, statin use was found to be associated with statistically significant reduction in the risk of hematologic malignancies in 2 of 3 meta-analyses in previous observational and prospective studies.²⁹⁻³¹ Specifically, the risks of non-Hodgkin lymphoma and leukemia were reduced, whereas no differences were seen for multiple myeloma or Hodgkin lymphoma.^{29,30} To date, no randomized clinical trial (RCT) has investigated the cancer chemo-preventive effect of statins as the primary objective. However, several large RCTs investigating statins as a secondary prevention for cardiovascular diseases have reported long-term outcomes, including the development of malignancies. Two previous meta-analyses including ≥ 27 RCTs, comprising $>100\,000$ individuals with an average follow-up of ~ 4 to 5 years, found no association between statins and overall cancer risk.^{32,33} Importantly, it should be noted that RCTs may not be designed to find rare effects occurring after longer induction periods of statins.

The mechanisms underlying the cancer chemo-preventive properties of statins have not yet been fully elucidated. The mevalonate pathway is upregulated in cancers, and an increased mevalonate demand is a hallmark of carcinogenesis. The proposed mechanisms of statins include the downstream effects of inhibiting the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A and consequently lowering the levels of mevalonate and associated metabolites, leading to the arrest of tumor initiation and growth.^{34,35} Interestingly, variants in the gene encoding the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase, representing proxies for statin treatment, were shown to reduce the overall cancer risk via a cholesterol-independent pathway.³⁶ Furthermore, evidence also suggests a role of statins in antineoplastic treatment by inhibiting the mevalonate biosynthetic pathway. The inhibition of this pathway is believed to upregulate proapoptotic proteins, leading to increased sensitivity to antineoplastic treatment in hematologic malignancies (ie, BCL2 inhibitors).³⁷ Preclinical studies on human cell lines suggest that JAK/STAT-signaling relies on the cholesterol-dependent integrity of membrane lipid rafts under normophysiological and pathophysiological conditions.^{38,39} However, the disturbance of the cellular membrane by statin treatment disrupted the pathological JAK2 V617F-mediated signal transduction, whereas this was not observed for wild-type JAK2 activation.³⁹ These findings indicate that pathological JAK/STAT activation may be more reliant on the integrity of the cellular membrane and therefore more sensitive to inhibition by statins.

MPNs are massively undiagnosed, and a Danish study showed that common MPN-driver mutations (*JAK2* V617F and *CALR*) are present in a proportion of the general population at low allele frequencies,⁴⁰ entailing an increased risk of thrombosis and undiagnosed MPNs.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Speculatively, statin treatment might inhibit clone growth in this pre-MPN phase, equivalent to other premalignant hematologic conditions.⁴³ Highly intriguing, statin use in the general population has been shown to lower the markers of oxidatively generated damage to DNA and RNA. In MPNs, oxidative stress and chronic inflammation⁴⁴ are likely being elicited by the JAK-STAT activation caused by the *JAK2* V617F mutation.⁴⁵ The rationales and perspectives for using statins in the clonal premalignant stage of MPNs and from the time of MPN diagnosis have most recently been accentuated, putting in perspective that statins may have the potential to lower the *JAK2* V617F allelic burden, thereby dampening the chronic inflammatory state, which is considered of importance for clonal expansion and evolution toward overt MPN.^{42,46} Statin treatment as monotherapy has also been reported to decrease the need of phlebotomies in patients with PV⁴⁷ and together with bisphosphonate treatment to reduce the need of phlebotomies in concert with a significant reduction in the *JAK2* V617F allelic burden.⁴⁸

The strength of this study is the population-based design-limiting selection bias because all cases with an MPN diagnosis between 2010 and 2018 were included (except those with previous cancers), yielding a high degree of generalizability. The use of DCMR to identify MPN cases offers excellent coverage and a high degree of certainty of diagnosis.¹⁶ This study has several limitations in relation to the register-based retrospective design. We recognize that patients receiving statins may differ substantially from those who do not. Studies on statins and cancer have the potential to suffer from healthy user bias; that is, patients with statin use may be more aware of their health and thus improve their health habits, leading to a lower risk of developing cancer, including MPNs.⁴⁹ Moreover, statin users could also differ in other risk factors associated with decreased or increased risk of cancer, for example, socioeconomic factors or lifestyle. The prescription of statins may also cause temporary or persistent increases in medical surveillance. However, this bias, known as surveillance bias should, if present, result in an upward bias in the effect estimate, resulting in a null estimate or an association with an increased risk of MPNs. Furthermore, statins may be prescribed for a symptom related to MPNs; for example, the diagnosis of a stroke that leads to investigations of underlying causes and risk factors, such as hypercholesterolemia and statin treatment. Hypothetically, stroke could be the first sign of increased thromboembolic risk related to MPNs, leading to protopathic bias, because the event (stroke) could possibly lead to the prescription of a statin and thus reverse causation. To handle this bias, we performed our primary analysis with a lag time for prescription and an assessment of confounding factors for 12 months. Even so, we found no evidence of an increased risk of MPNs with respect to the initiation of statin in our sensitivity analysis of different lengths of lag time. In contrast, we found an even more protective effect in the analysis with no lag time.

We acknowledge the potential risk of confounding, and we cannot exclude that our findings can be explained using residual confounding, that is, familial disposition to MPNs and lifestyle, including smoking and dietary habits. Although we adjusted for several conditions known to influence statin prescription (exposure) and/or

the development of MPNs (outcome), the data quality for confounder assessment remains a limitation. Most importantly, we did not have definitive data on smoking habits and anthropometric variables for obesity, which could introduce unmeasured bias if not dealt with. Therefore, the adjustment included proxies for tobacco smoking and being overweight or obese. For example, in this study, <5% of the individuals had a marker of overweight or obesity compared with a recent Danish study showing that the prevalence of obesity increased from 6% in 1987 to more than 18% in 2021.⁵⁰ This is partly due to the practice of registration in the NPR, in which the coverage of secondary diagnoses is limited; that is, for overweight ~11%.⁵¹ Because overweight or obesity is associated with hypercholesterolemia and cardiovascular disease, it would be associated with statin use; however, overweight and obesity have also been associated with an increased risk of MPN.⁵² Thus, this misclassification bias would result in an upward bias in the effect estimate and, in our case, underestimating the association between statins and decreased risk of MPNs. Smoking is a known risk factor for developing cardiovascular disease and MPN.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ Our data source did not include data on tobacco smoking; hence, the adjustment relied on markers of medication or conditions related to tobacco smoking. Again, residual confounding because of missing data on tobacco smoking would bias our results toward an increased risk of MPN associated with statin use and not an inverse association.

In conclusion, in this Danish nationwide case-control study, we found evidence of a significant association between statin use and a decreased risk of MPNs. Several analyses have supported causality, including a dose-response relationship, and adding to this, a strong biological rationale also supports causality. However, because of the design and retrospective nature of our study, causality cannot be inferred. Our results and the safety and lack of toxicity of statin merit further investigation of statins as protective agents for the development of MPNs and MPN-associated secondary cancers, thrombosis, and inflammation-driven comorbidities. Randomized chemoprevention trials are needed to confirm the causality between statin use and a reduced risk of MPNs.

References

1. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2019;140(11):e596-e646.
2. Svensson E, Nielsen RB, Hasvold P, Aarskog P, Thomsen RW. Statin prescription patterns, adherence, and attainment of cholesterol treatment goals in routine clinical care: A Danish population-based study. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2015;7:213-223.
3. Kralovics R, Passamonti F, Buser AS, et al. A gain-of-function mutation of *JAK2* in myeloproliferative disorders. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(17):1779-1790.
4. Zahr AA, Salama ME, Carreau N, et al. Bone marrow fibrosis in myelofibrosis: pathogenesis, prognosis and targeted strategies. *Haematologica*. 2016; 101(6):660-671.
5. Nangalia J, Massie CE, Baxter EJ, et al. Somatic *CALR* mutations in myeloproliferative neoplasms with nonmutated *JAK2*. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(25): 2391-2405.
6. Pikman Y, Lee BH, Mercher T, et al. MPLW515L is a novel somatic activating mutation in myelofibrosis with myeloid metaplasia. *PLoS Med*. 2006;3(7): e270.
7. Jang J, Lee J, Jang JH, Jung CW, Park S. Anti-leukemic effects of simvastatin on NRASG12D mutant acute myeloid leukemia cells. *Mol Biol Rep*. 2019; 46(6):5859-5866.
8. Li HY, Appelbaum FR, Willman CL, Zager RA, Banker DE. Cholesterol-modulating agents kill acute myeloid leukemia cells and sensitize them to therapeutics by blocking adaptive cholesterol responses. *Blood*. 2003;101(9):3628-3634.

Acknowledgments

The authors have profound gratitude to everyone who has participated in forming and collecting data for the National Chronic Myeloid Neoplasia Registry, the Danish Clinical Quality Program, and the National Clinical Registries (RKKP) for providing and governing the data.

This study was supported by the Danish Cancer Society.

Authorship

Contribution: D.T.K., A.K.Ø., L.H.K.J., M.T.S., T.C.E.-G., and A.S.R. conceived and designed the study; D.T.K., A.K.Ø., M.T.S., L.H.H., J.S., M.H.H., A.P.V., M.B., H.C.H., and A.S.R. provided the study materials or patients; D.T.K., A.K.Ø., and A.S.R. collected and assembled the data; D.T.K., A.K.Ø., L.H.K.J., M.T.S., T.C.E.-G., and A.S.R. analyzed and interpreted the data; and all authors wrote the manuscript, approved the final version of the manuscript, and are accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: L.H.K.J. received honoraria from Roche. L.H.H. received a research grant from Celgene. H.C.H. is a member on the advisory boards of AOP Orphan and Incyte; is part of the scientific committee of Incyte; and received a research grant from Novartis A/S. T.C.E.-G. declares previous employment with Roche (ended within 24 months) and received the speaker's fee from AbbVie (April 2021). A.S.R. received honoraria and teaching fees from Pfizer; congress fees from Jazz Pharmaceuticals; and honoraria and consultancy fees from AbbVie. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: D.T.K., 0000-0002-6753-7051; L.H.K.J., 0000-0001-6575-7686; M.T.S., 0000-0003-0996-1812; M.H.H., 0000-0002-6496-2941; A.P.V., 0000-0001-7209-7225; M.B., 0000-0001-6282-5348; H.C.H., 0000-0003-3936-8032; A.S.R., 0000-0003-2621-5432.

Correspondence: Anne Stidsholt Roug, Department of Hematology, Aalborg University Hospital, Hobrovej 18-22, DK-9000 Aalborg, Denmark; email: anne.roug@rn.dk.

9. Burke LP, Kukoly CA. Statins induce lethal effects in acute myeloblastic lymphoma cells within 72 hours. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2008;49(2):322-330.
10. Hasselbalch HC, Riley CH. Statins in the treatment of polycythaemia vera and allied disorders: an antithrombotic and cytoreductive potential? *Leuk Res*. 2006;30(10):1217-1225.
11. Clendening JW, Penn LZ. Targeting tumor cell metabolism with statins. *Oncogene*. 2012;31(48):4967-4978.
12. Pedersen CB. The Danish civil registration system. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011;39(suppl 7):22-25.
13. Pedersen CB, Gøtzsche H, Møller JO, Mortensen PB. The Danish civil registration system. A cohort of eight million persons. *Dan Med Bull*. 2006;53(4):441-449.
14. Lyng E, Sandegaard JL, Rebolj M. The Danish national patient register. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011;39(suppl 7):30-33.
15. Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Sandegaard JL, Ehrenstein V, Pedersen L, Sorensen HT. The Danish national patient registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2015;7:449-490.
16. Bak M, Ibfelt EH, Stauffer Larsen T, et al. The Danish national chronic myeloid neoplasia registry. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2016;8:567-572.
17. Pottegård A, Schmidt SAJ, Wallach-Kildemoes H, Sorensen HT, Hallas J, Schmidt M. Data resource profile: The Danish national prescription registry. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2017;46(3):798-798f.
18. Kildemoes HW, Sørensen HT, Hallas J. The Danish national prescription registry. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011;39(suppl 7):38-41.
19. Jensen VM, Rasmussen AW. Danish education registers. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011;39(suppl 7):91-94.
20. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, et al. *WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues*. WHO Press; 2008.
21. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Pileri SA, et al. The 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. *Blood*. 2016;127(20):2375-2390.
22. Lash TL, VenderWeele TJ, Haneuse S, Rothman KJ. *Modern Epidemiology*. Wolters Kluwer; 2021.
23. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis*. 1987;40(5):373-383.
24. Friis S, Kesminiene A, Espina C, Auvinen A, Straif K, Schuz J. European code against cancer 4th edition: medical exposures, including hormone therapy, and cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2015;39(suppl 1):S107-S119.
25. Nielsen SF, Nordestgaard BG, Bojesen SE. Statin use and reduced cancer-related mortality. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(19):1792-1802.
26. Wang CH, Ling HH, Liu MH, et al. Chemopreventive effects of concomitant or individual use of statins, aspirin, metformin, and angiotensin drugs: a study using claims data of 23 million individuals. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2022;14(13):3112.
27. Khurana V, Sheth A, Caldito G, Barkin JS. Statins reduce the risk of pancreatic cancer in humans: a case-control study of half a million veterans. *Pancreas*. 2007;34(2):260-265.
28. Kim G, Jang SY, Han E, et al. Effect of statin on hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with type 2 diabetes: a nationwide nested case-control study. *Int J Cancer*. 2017;140(4):798-806.
29. Pradelli D, Soranna D, Zambon A, et al. Statins use and the risk of all and subtype hematological malignancies: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Cancer Med*. 2015;4(5):770-780.
30. Yi X, Jia W, Jin Y, Zhen S. Statin use is associated with reduced risk of haematological malignancies: evidence from a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(1):e87019.
31. Bonovas S, Filioussi K, Tsantes A, Sitaras NM. Use of statins and risk of haematological malignancies: a meta-analysis of six randomized clinical trials and eight observational studies. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2007;64(3):255-262.
32. Bonovas S, Filioussi K, Tsavaris N, Sitaras NM. Statins and cancer risk: a literature-based meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis of 35 randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(30):4808-4817.
33. Emberson JR, Kearney PM, Blackwell L, et al. Lack of effect of lowering LDL cholesterol on cancer: meta-analysis of individual data from 175,000 people in 27 randomised trials of statin therapy. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(1):1-10.
34. Mullen PJ, Yu R, Longo J, Archer MC, Penn LZ. The interplay between cell signalling and the mevalonate pathway in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2016;16(11):718-731.
35. Guerra B, Recio C, Aranda-Tavio H, Guerra-Rodriguez M, Garcia-Castellano JM, Fernandez-Perez L. The mevalonate pathway, a metabolic target in cancer therapy. *Front Oncol*. 2021;11:1-21.
36. Carter P, Vithayathil M, Kar S, et al. Predicting the effect of statins on cancer risk using genetic variants from a mendelian randomization study in the uk biobank. *Elife*. 2020;9:e57191.
37. Lee JS, Roberts A, Juarez D, et al. Statins enhance efficacy of venetoclax in blood cancers. *Sci Transl Med*. 2018;10(445):eaaq1240.
38. McGraw KL, Fuhler GM, Johnson JO, et al. Erythropoietin receptor signaling is membrane raft dependent. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(4):e34477.
39. Griner LN, McGraw KL, Johnson JO, List AF, Reuther GW. JAK2-V617F-mediated signalling is dependent on lipid rafts and statins inhibit JAK2-V617F-dependent cell growth. *Br J Haematol*. 2013;160(2):177-187.
40. Cordua S, Kjaer L, Skov V, Pallisgaard N, Hasselbalch HC, Ellervik C. Prevalence and phenotypes of JAK2 V617F and calreticulin mutations in a Danish general population. *Blood*. 2019;134(5):469-479.

41. Moliterno AR, Ginzburg YZ, Hoffman R. Clinical insights into the origins of thrombosis in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood*. 2021;137(9):1145-1153.
42. Hasselbalch HC, Elvers M, Schafer AI. The pathobiology of thrombosis, microvascular disease, and hemorrhage in the myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood*. 2021;137(16):2152-2160.
43. Swierczek S, Prchal JT. Clonal hematopoiesis in hematological disorders: three different scenarios. *Exp Hematol*. 2020;83:57-65.
44. Sørensen AL, Hasselbalch HC, Nielsen CH, Poulsen HE, Ellervik C. Statin treatment, oxidative stress and inflammation in a Danish population. *Redox Biol*. 2019;21:101088.
45. Sørensen AL, Hasselbalch HC, Bjorn ME, et al. Elevated levels of oxidized nucleosides in individuals with the JAK2V617F mutation from a general population study. *Redox Biol*. 2021;41:101895.
46. Hasselbalch HC, Silver RT. New perspectives of interferon-alpha2 and inflammation in treating Philadelphia-negative chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Hemasphere*. 2021;5(12):e645.
47. Krečak I, Holik H, Morić-Perić M, Zekanović I, Coha B, Gverić-Krečak V. The impact of statins on the intensity of phlebotomies in polycythemia vera. *Ann Hematol*. 2020;99(4):911-912.
48. Sørensen AL, Kallenbach K, Hasselbalch HC. A remarkable hematological and molecular response pattern in a patient with polycythemia vera during combination therapy with simvastatin and alendronate. *Leuk Res Rep*. 2016;6:20-23.
49. Lund JL, Richardson DB, Stürmer T. The active comparator, new user study design in pharmacoepidemiology: historical foundations and contemporary application. *Curr Epidemiol Rep*. 2015;2(4):221-228.
50. Schramm Stine, Sørensen TI, Davidsen Michael, Tolstrup JS. LBP2.09: Changes in adult obesity prevalence in Denmark from 1987 to 2017: age-period-cohort analysis of nationally representative data. *Obes Facts*. 2022;15(suppl 1):253.
51. Gribsholt SB, Pedersen L, Richelsen B, Thomsen RW. Validity of ICD-10 diagnoses of overweight and obesity in Danish hospitals. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2019;11:845-854.
52. Leal AD, Thompson CA, Wang AH, et al. Anthropometric, medical history and lifestyle risk factors for myeloproliferative neoplasms in the Iowa Women's Health Study cohort. *Int J Cancer*. 2014;134(7):1741-1750.
53. Pedersen KM, Bak M, Sorensen AL, et al. Smoking is associated with increased risk of myeloproliferative neoplasms: a general population-based cohort study. *Cancer Med*. 2018;7(11):5796-5802.
54. Jayasuriya NA, Kjaergaard AD, Pedersen KM, et al. Smoking, blood cells and myeloproliferative neoplasms: meta-analysis and Mendelian randomization of 2.3 million people. *Br J Haematol*. 2020;189(2):323-334.
55. Hasselbalch HC. Smoking as a contributing factor for development of polycythemia vera and related neoplasms. *Leuk Res*. 2015;39(11):1137-1145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2015.09.002>
56. Lindholm Sørensen A, Hasselbalch HC. Smoking and philadelphia-negative chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Eur J Haematol*. 2016;97(1):63-69.