



University of Southern Denmark

## The Faroese statement: Human health effects of developmental exposure to chemicals in our environment

Grandjean, Philippe; Bellinger, David; Bergman, Ake; Cordier, Sylvaine; Davey-Smith, George; Eskenazi, Brenda; Gee, David; Gray, Kim; Hanson, Mark; van den Hazel, Peter; Heindel, Jerrold J.; Heinzow, Birger; Hertz-Picciotto, Irva; Hu, Howard; Huang, Terry T.-K.; Jensen, Tina Kold; Landrigan, Philip J.; McMillen, I. Caroline; Murata, Katsuyuki; Ritz, Beate; Schoeters, Greet; Skakkebæk, Niels Erik; Skerfving, Staffan; Weihe, Pál

*Published in:*

Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology

*DOI:*

10.1111/j.1742-7843.2007.00114.x

*Publication date:*

2008

*Document version:*

Accepted manuscript

*Citation for pulished version (APA):*

Grandjean, P., Bellinger, D., Bergman, A., Cordier, S., Davey-Smith, G., Eskenazi, B., Gee, D., Gray, K., Hanson, M., van den Hazel, P., Heindel, J. J., Heinzow, B., Hertz-Picciotto, I., Hu, H., Huang, T. T.-K., Jensen, T. K., Landrigan, P. J., McMillen, I. C., Murata, K., ... Weihe, P. (2008). The Faroese statement: Human health effects of developmental exposure to chemicals in our environment. *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology*, 102(2), 73-75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-7843.2007.00114.x>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

### Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.

Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.

If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim. Please direct all enquiries to [puresupport@bib.sdu.dk](mailto:puresupport@bib.sdu.dk)

**The Faroes statement:  
Human health effects of developmental exposure to chemicals in our  
environment**

Philippe Grandjean<sup>1,2</sup>, David Bellinger<sup>2</sup>, Åke Bergman<sup>3</sup>, Sylvaine Cordier<sup>4</sup>, George Davey-Smith<sup>5</sup>, Brenda Eskenazi<sup>6</sup>, Kimberly Gray<sup>7</sup>, Mark Hanson<sup>8</sup>, Peter van den Hazel<sup>9</sup>, Jerrold J. Heindel<sup>7</sup>, Birger Heinzow<sup>10</sup>, Irva Hertz-Picciotto<sup>11</sup>, Howard Hu<sup>12</sup>, Terry T-K Huang<sup>13</sup>, Tina Kold Jensen<sup>1</sup>, Philip J. Landrigan<sup>14</sup>, Caroline McMillen<sup>15</sup>, Katsuyuki Murata<sup>16</sup>, Larry L. Needham<sup>17</sup>, Beate Ritz<sup>18</sup>, Greet Schoeters<sup>19</sup>, Niels Erik Skakkebaek<sup>20</sup>, Staffan Skerfving<sup>21</sup>, and Pal Weihe<sup>22</sup>

1 Department of Environmental Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark; 2 Department of Environmental Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA; 3 Dept. of Environmental Chemistry, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden; 4 Inserm U625, Campus de Beaulieu, Université de Rennes I, Rennes, France; 5 University of Bristol, Department of Social Medicine, Bristol, UK; 6 School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA; 7 National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH/DHHS, Durham, NC. USA; 8 University of Southampton, Princess Anne Hospital, Southampton, UK; 9 Public Health Services Gelderland Midden, Arnhem, the Netherlands; 10 State Agency for Health and Occupational Safety of Land Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany; 11 Department of Public Health Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA; 12 Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; 13 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH/DHHS, Bethesda, MD, USA; 14 Department of Community & Preventive Medicine, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA; 15 Sansom Research Institute, University of South Australia, Australia; 16 Division of Environmental Health Sciences, Akita University School of Medicine, Akita, Japan; 17 National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA; 18 Department of Epidemiology, UCLA School of Public Health, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 19 Flemish Institute of Technological Research, Mol, Belgium; 20 Department of Growth and Reproduction, National University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark; 21 Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Lund University Hospital, Lund, Sweden; and 22 Department of Occupational Medicine and Public Health, The Faroese Hospital System, Torshavn, The Faroe Islands.

Background

The periods of embryonic, fetal and infant development are remarkably susceptible to environmental hazards. Toxic exposures to chemical pollutants during these windows of increased susceptibility can cause disease and disability in infants, children, and across the entire span of human life. Among the effects of toxic exposures recognised in the past have been spontaneous abortion, congenital malformations, lowered birth weight and other adverse effects. These outcomes may be readily apparent. However, even subtle changes caused by chemical exposures during early development may lead to important functional deficits and increased risks of disease later in life. The timing of exposure during early life has therefore become a crucial factor to be considered in toxicological assessments.

During May 20-24, 2007, researchers in the fields of environmental health, environmental chemistry, developmental biology, toxicology, epidemiology,

nutrition, and paediatrics gathered at the International Conference on Fetal Programming and Developmental Toxicity, in Torshavn, Faroe Islands. The conference goal was to highlight new insights into the effects of prenatal and early postnatal exposure to chemical agents, and their sustained effects on the individual throughout the lifespan. The Conference brought together researchers to focus on human data and the translation of laboratory results to elucidate the environmental risks to human health.

#### Research state of the art

The developing embryo and fetus are extraordinarily susceptible to perturbation of the intrauterine environment. Chemical exposures during prenatal and early postnatal life can bring about important effects on gene expression, which may predispose to disease during adolescence and adult life. Some environmental chemicals can alter gene expression by DNA methylation and chromatin remodelling. These epigenetic changes can cause lasting functional changes in specific organs and tissues and increased susceptibility to disease that may even affect successive generations.

New research on rodent models shows that developmental exposures to environmental chemicals, such as hormonally active substances (endocrine disruptors), may increase the incidence of reproductive abnormalities, metabolic disorders such as diabetes, and cancer, presumably through epigenetic mechanisms that do not involve changes to DNA sequences but which may, nevertheless, be heritable.

Prenatal exposure to diethylstilbestrol, an estrogenic drug no longer used during pregnancy, has been shown to cause an increased risk of vaginal, uterine, and breast cancer in humans and animal models. In animal models, low-level developmental exposure to a plastics ingredient, bisphenol A, may increase the susceptibility to breast or prostate cancer, and prenatal exposure to vinclozoline, a common fungicide, may also promote later development of cancer. These substances are only weak carcinogens, if at all, in the adult organism but are nonetheless hazardous to the growing fetus. In addition, when exposure to a carcinogenic substance occurs during early development, the expected life-span will exceed the normal latency period for development of the disease.

The human reproductive system is highly vulnerable to changes in the intrauterine hormonal environment. In men, there is an increase in the occurrence of testicular cancer, poor semen quality, and cryptorchidism, jointly termed the testicular dysgenesis syndrome. In animals a similar combination of outcomes is replicated by developmental exposure to certain phthalate esters. However, links between environmental chemicals and the testicular dysgenesis syndrome in humans are still unclear, although suggestive associations have been found with maternal smoking, fertility treatment of the mother, phthalate exposure, and occupational exposure to pesticides with suspected estrogenic and antiandrogenic activity. Perinatal exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals, such as polychlorinated or polybrominated biphenyls, or DDT compounds, may affect puberty development and sexual maturation at adolescence. Many other environmental chemicals can cause such effects in animal models. Expression of some of these effects may be promoted by predisposing genetic traits.

The brain is particularly sensitive to toxic exposures during development, which involves a complex series of steps that must be completed in the right sequence and at the right time. Slight decrements in brain function may have serious implications for future social functioning and economic activities, even in the absence

of mental retardation or obvious disease. Each neurotoxic contaminant may perhaps cause only a negligible effect, but the combination of several toxic chemicals, along with other adverse factors, such as poor nutrition, may trigger substantial decrements in brain function.

The immune system also undergoes crucial developmental maturation both before and after birth. New evidence suggests that a number of persistent and non-persistent environmental pollutants may alter the development of the immune system. Studies in a variety of species of experimental animals indicate polychlorinated biphenyls to be highly immunotoxic. While exposures of human adults show little indication of such effects, early life exposures appear capable of inducing similar aberrations in children as were seen in other species. Asthma, allergic sensitization, or greater susceptibility to infections may be linked to prenatal or early postnatal chemical exposures. In addition, because of multiple interactions between the immune and nervous systems, abnormal maturation of immune responsiveness may also be implicated in some neurodevelopmental disorders.

While the research on developmental toxic effects has, to date, emphasised maternal exposures and the infant environment, the possibility exists that paternal exposures may also affect the child's development. Experimental studies suggest that ionizing radiation, smoking, and certain environmental chemicals may be of importance, and that some exposures may affect the health and development of children as well as the sex ratio of the offspring.

## Conclusions

- Three aspects of children's health are important in conjunction with developmental toxicity risks. First, the mother's chemical body burden will be shared with her fetus or neonate, and the child may, in some instances, be exposed to larger doses relative to the body weight. Second, susceptibility to a wide range of adverse effects is increased during development, from preconception through adolescence, depending on the organ system. Third, developmental exposures to environmental chemicals can lead to life-long functional deficits and disease.
- Research into the environmental influence on developmental programming of health and disease has, therefore, led to a new paradigm of toxicologic understanding. The old paradigm, developed over four centuries ago by Paracelsus, was that "the dose makes the poison". However, for exposures sustained during early development, another critical, but largely ignored, issue is that "the timing makes the poison". This extended paradigm deserves wide attention to protect the fetus and child against preventable hazards.
- These insights derive in part from numerous animal studies indicating that events during the fetal and early postnatal period may be responsible for reproductive, immunological, neurobehavioural, cardiovascular, and endocrine dysfunctions and diseases, including certain cancers and obesity. Some of these adverse effects have been linked to environmental chemicals at realistic human exposure levels, i.e., levels similar to those occurring from environmental sources.
- Among the mechanisms involved, particular concern is raised about changes in gene expression due to altered epigenetic marking, which not only may lead to increased susceptibility to diseases later in life, but may, in some cases, also affect subsequent generations.

- Most chronic disease processes are characterised by multi-causality and complexity. Understanding such processes requires a broad systems approach that focuses on integrative biology within socio-environmental contexts.

#### Recommendations

- Studies on the etiology of human disease need to incorporate early development and characterise appropriately the factors that determine organ functions and subsequent disease risks. Such associations can best be examined in long-term prospective studies, and existing and planned pregnancy or birth cohorts should be utilized for this purpose.
- The etiology of human disease can be better understood through cross-disciplinary approaches, translation of animal data, better exposure biomarkers and understanding individual susceptibility. Improved communication needs to be stimulated among the scientific disciplines involved and between scientists and policymakers.
- Environmental chemical exposure assessment should emphasise the time period of early development. Exposure data already routinely collected should be applied, when feasible, in epidemiological studies. In addition, cord blood, cord tissue, human milk and other biological samples should be collected for assessment of exposure biomarkers and for determination of gene expression changes.
- Since humans are exposed to numerous chemicals during development and throughout life, mixed exposures need to be considered in a life-course approach to disease. Other factors, such as nutrition, other lifestyle factors, and societal environment, need to be considered for additive or interactive effects. This research should also capitalise on the ability of genetic variation and gene-environment interaction to explore the causal nature of environmental exposures with respect to health outcomes.
- Risk assessment of environmental chemicals needs to take into account the susceptibility of early development and the long-term implications of adverse programming in a variety of organ systems. Although test protocols exist to assess reproductive toxicity, neurodevelopmental toxicity, and immune toxicity, such tests are not routinely used, and the potential for such effects is, therefore, not necessarily considered in decisions on safety levels of environmental exposures.
- The accumulated research evidence suggests that prevention efforts against toxic exposures to environmental chemicals should focus on protecting the embryo, fetus and small child as highly vulnerable populations. Given the ubiquitous exposure to many environmental chemicals, there needs to be renewed efforts to prevent harm. Healthier solutions should be researched and proposed in future work. Prevention should not await definitive evidence of causality when delays in decision-making would lead to the propagation of toxic exposures and their long-term, harmful consequences. Current procedures, therefore, need to be revised to address the need to protect the most vulnerable life stages through greater use of precautionary approaches to exposure reduction.

#### Acknowledgments

This manuscript was drafted by the authors and revised after review by the conference scientific committee and subsequent comments from conference participants.

Following completion of the conference, the authors then developed the present version. Authors and conference participants participated in this effort as individuals, not necessarily representing the views of the affiliations or the sponsoring organizations. The conference was sponsored by the journal *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology*, by the (U.S.) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (TS000065), the European Environment Agency, the (U.S.) National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (ES015442), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (RD830758), and the World Health Organization.