

Dansk Filosofisk Selskabs Årsmøde 2022

Fredag den 4. marts 2022

11:00

Registrering og kaffe foran Tvillingeauditoriet

11:30

Velkomst i Tvillingeauditoriet v. Asbjørn Steglich-Petersen (AU) og Asger Sørensen (DFS)

11:45

Hovedtaler Professor Sabine Roeser (TU Delft): Moral emotions, politics, risk and scientific uncertainties: the case of Covid-19 policies (Tvillingeauditoriet)
ABSTRACT: Political decision making about technological risks and in the context of scientific uncertainties frequently gives rise to emotions and public concerns. Emotions have often been met with suspicion in debates about risk, because they are seen as contrary to rational decision making. Indeed, emotions can cloud our understanding of quantitative information about risks. However, various emotion researchers in psychology and philosophy have argued for the importance of emotions for ethical reasoning. In my presentation I will argue that moral emotions can make a major contribution in order to assess themultifaceted, ethical aspects of risks, such as justice, fairness, dignity, responsibility and autonomy. Hence, decision making should include attention for emotions, in order to facilitate 'emotional-moral deliberation' on these ethical aspects. I will focus specifically on COVID-19 policies.

13:00

Frokost i Vandrehallen

14:00

Parallelsession I (45 min. x 2)

14:00

Paneldebat - Netværk for filosofiens fremme, Richard Mortensen Stuen

15:00

Research Unit for Kierkegaard Studies: Kierkegaard's Methodology in the Context of History of Philosophy, mødelokale 2.2

15:30

Kaffepause

15:45

Parallelsession II (45 min. x 2)

15:45

Paneldebat - NKNIF (Netværk for kvinder og non-binære i filosofi), Richard Mortensen Stuen

17:15

Fredagsbar i Studenterbaren

17:15

DFS Generalforsamling

18:30

Bus/gang til Godsbanen

19:00

Festmiddag - Spiselaguet, Godsbanen, Skovgaardsgade 3

Lørdag den 5. marts 2022

08:45

Kaffepause

09:00

Parallelsession III (45 min. x 2)

10:30

Kaffepause

10:45

Parallelsession IV (45 min. x 3)

13:00

Frokost i Vandrehallen

14:00

Afslutning i Aulaen

14:30

Møde i censorkorpset for filosofien, Aulaen

Arrangementskomité

Jacob Busch, Katrine Krause-Jensen, Asbjørn Steglich-Petersen, Andreas Beck Holm, Morten Dige, Dorthe Jørgensen, Kristoffer Balslev Willert, Mads J. Hansen

Programsoftware

Set Lonnert.com & Asger Sørensen

Sessioner og tovholdere

Applied Ethics v. Morten Dige

Morten Dige
filmd@cas.au.dk

Philosophy of Mind v. Mads Hansen

Mads Hansen
mahans@cas.au.dk

Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg

Johannes Lundberg
johannes@cas.au.dk

Epistemology, Logic and Philosophy of Language v. Simon Blackburn

Simon Blackburn
patrickb@ruc.dk

Etik v. Morten Dige

Morten Dige
filmd@cas.au.dk

Filosofihistorie v. Guido Kreis

Guido Kreis
guido.kreis@cas.au.dk

Filosofiske problemstillinger i demensforskning v. Sigurd Lauridsen

Sigurd Lauridsen
sila@sdu.dk

Future Family Relationships v. Rikke Friis Bentzon

Rikke Friis Bentzon
rikke.bentzon@sund.ku.dk

Fænomenologi og Pædagogisk Filosofi v. Thomas Schwarz Wentzer

Thomas Schwarz Wentzer og Henrik Vase
Frandsen
filts@cas.au.dk

Marxisme v. Andreas Beck Holm

Andreas Beck Holm
filabh@as.au.dk

Naturalisme v. Kristoffer Willert

Kristoffer Willert
kw@cas.au.dk

Paneldebat v. Netværk for kvinder og non-binære i filosofi

Netværk for kvinder og non-binære i
filosofi
mayarexen@gmail.com

Paneldebat v. Netværk for filosofiens fremme v. Esther Oluffa Pedersen

Esther Oluffa Pedersen
estherop@ruc.dk

Philosophy of Medicine v. Simon Okholm

Simon Okholm
simon.okholm@gmail.com

Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard

Sune Lægaard
laegaard@ruc.dk

Praxisnær Filosofi v. Søren Harnow Klausen

Søren Harnow Klausen
harnow@sdu.dk

Samfundsetik & Politisk Filosofi v. Øjvind Larsen

Øjvind Larsen
ol.mpp@cbs.dk

Teknologifilosofi v. Tom Holmgaard Børsen

Tom Holmgaard Børsen
boersen@plan.aau.dk

Vidensfilosofi og Politik v. Andreas Beck Holm

Andreas Beck Holm
filabh@cas.au.dk

Fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:00-15:30

Applied Ethics v. Morten Dige

Mickey Gjerris

"Underviseren har aldrig ret - om normativitet i etikundervisning"
14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 1.2

Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg

Oliver Kauffmann

Critical remarks on science, epistemology and education to Bruno Latour's attempt at a re-entry and touchdown on Planet Earth
14:00-14:45, Preben Hornung Stuen

Kristoffer Willert

Planetary properties: On the posthumanist confounding of normative and non-normative properties
14:45-15:30, Preben Hornung Stuen

Filosofihistorie v. Guido Kreis

Kristian Olesen Toft

Derrida og oversættelsen af khora
14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 1.3

Guido Kreis

Can Beethoven's Music Express Kant's Philosophical Ideas?
14:45-15:30, Mødelokale 1.3

Future Family Relationships v. Rikke Friis Bentzon

Rikke Friis Bentzon

Er der noget værdifuldt ved familier?
14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 1.1

Andrea Bidoli

The burden of pregnancy and moral urgency of an alternative

14:45-15:30, Mødelokale 1.1

Philosophy of Medicine v. Simon Okholm

Simon Okholm

What is an antiaging intervention?

14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 1

Victoria Paul

Chronic Illness as Chronic Condition

14:45-15:30, Mødelokale 1

Samfundsetik & Politisk Filosofi v. Øjvind Larsen

Jacob Dahl Rendtorff

Kritisk hermeneutik og sociale systemer

14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 2

Gorm Harste

The Habermas - Luhmann Debate

14:45-15:30, Mødelokale 2

Fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-17:15

Applied Ethics v. Morten Dige

Jes Lynning Harfeld

Solidary Co-species Co-creation in the Welfare State

15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 1.2

Thomas S. Petersen & Morten Dige

Er autonomi en illusion ved assisteret død?

16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 1.2

Bevidsthedsfilosofi / Philosophy of Mind v. Mads J. Hansen

Oliver Kauffmann

Creature consciousness, state consciousness, and representationalism

15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 1.1

Mads J. Hansen

Is Consciousness Binary or Graded?

16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 1.1

Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg

Johannes Lundberg

How financial risk became a climate risk: A genealogy of the financial concept of risk and investors' ignorance of climate risks

15:45-16:30, Preben Hornung Stuen

Casper Andersen

Kernevåben, klimakrise og kalendertid i Dommedagsuret

16:30-17:15, Preben Hornung Stuen

Fænomenologi og Pædagogisk Filosofi v. Thomas Schwarz Wentzer

Thomas Schwarz Wentzer

The Dative of Phenomenology - fænomenologiens dativ

15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 2.3

Henrik Vase Frandsen

I en andens navn: Appellens oprigtighed.

16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 2.3

Philosophy of Medicine v. Simon Okholm

Thor Hennelund Nielsen

Transgressing the Psychologization of Illness

15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 1

Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard

Andreas Albertsen

Discrimination, personal responsibility and healthcare priority-setting

15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 1.3

Preben Nørager

PARAKLETOS.dk : Den transcendentale republik

16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 1.3

Naturalisme v. Kristoffer Willert

Hans C. Fink

Hard, Soft, and Absolute Naturalism

15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 2.2

Guido Kreis

Kantian Arguments against the Naturalisation of the Mental

16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 2.2

Samfundsetik & Politisk Filosofi v. Øjvind Larsen

Øjvind Larsen

Fra Luhmanns Sociale Systemer til Moralsk Ansvar og Kritik i

Habermas' Deliberative Organisationsteori

15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 2

Lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:00-10:30

Filosofihistorie v. Guido Kreis

Lise og Jørgen Huggler

Sandhed og fejltagelse: Om Descartes' 4. meditation - i kontekst

09:00-09:45, Preben Hornung Stuen

Lars Lodberg

Prejudice and Reflective Epistemic Autonomy in Kant

09:45-10:30, Preben Hornung Stuen

Fænomenologi og Pædagogisk Filosofi v. Thomas Schwarz Wentzer

Oliver Kauffmann

Indtagelse, syn og kald - Merleau-Ponty og malerens virke

09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 1

Carsten Fogh Nielsen

Pædagogik og etik - en kompleks relation

09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 1

Epistemology, Logic and Philosophy of Language v. Patrick Blackburn

Nikolaj Nottelmann

Degrees of practical ignorance

09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 1.1

Esben Nedenskov Petersen

Hateful questions

09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 1.1

Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard

David Vestergaard Axelsen

Using Ideals as Political Weapons

09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 2

Jørn Sønderholm

Should the 2022 Soccer World Cup in Qatar be boycotted?

09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 2

Teknologifilosofi v. Tom Holmgaard Børsen

Jesper Tække

Den algoritmiske differentiering af samfundet

09:00-09:45, Richard Mortensen Stuen

Stig Børsen Hansen

Design and akrasia: Does design for addiction have a good twin?

09:45-10:30, Richard Mortensen Stuen

Videnskabsfilosofi og Politik v. Andreas Beck Holm

Anna Cornelia Ploug

'La position problématique': problembegrebet i fransk epistemologi

09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 2.3

Kirsten Søltoft klercke

HJEMLÆNGSEL. Ontologi og politik hos Heidegger

09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 2.3

Filosofiske problemstillinger i demensforskning v. Sigurd Lauridsen

Søren Harnow Klausen

Værdikonflikter og demens

09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 1.2+1.3

Frederik Schou-Juul

Development and validation of Ethical Decision-Making Self-Efficacy Scale in Dementia care: for professional caregivers

09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 1.2+1.3

Lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-13:00

Etik v. Morten Dige

Anders Hee Nørbjerg Poulsen

A broadening of the scope of ethics though an event?

10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 1.1

Nicolai Knudsen

Shared Ethical Demands: Trust and Responsibility in Unorganized Groups

11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 1.1

Hans Fink

Ethics and Liberal Naturalism

12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 1.1

Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg

Martin Hauberg-Lund Laugesen

Gode vibrationer: Om Hartmut Rosa som naturfilosof

10:45-11:30, Preben Hornung Stuen

Sasha Bøtker Larsen

Kan det dydige menneske redde kloden? Hvad dydsetikken kan lære os om klimakrisen

11:30-12:15, Preben Hornung Stuen

Jon Auring Grimm

Fra generel økonomi til generel økologi

12:15-13:00, Preben Hornung Stuen

Marxisme v. Andreas Beck Holm

Asger Sørensen

Alienation and Human Nature. Recuperating the Classical Discussion

10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 1

Anne-Marie Eggert Olsen
Adornos marxisme

11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 1

Andreas Beck Holm
Videnskabsteoretiske implikationer af Althussers sammenligning af Freud og Marx

12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 1

Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard

Lasse Nielsen
Multiple-Threshold Sufficiencyarianism

10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 2

Sune Lægaard
Religious liberty, fair opportunity and rights

11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 2

Jonas Jakobsen
Left Populism as Weak Populism: The Critical-Discursive Approach

12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 2

Praxisnær Filosofi v. Søren Harnow Klausen

Søren Harnow Klausen
Kulturarvens værdi

10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 1.2

Cynthia M. Grund
Towards a Philosophy of Retirement

11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 1.2

Søren Engelsen
Eksistens, mening og meningsstab i sundhedsprofessionelt arbejde

12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 1.2

Teknologifilosofi v. Tom Holmgaard Børsen

Tom Børsen

Bridging Critical Constructivism and Postphenomenology?

10:45-11:30, Richard Mortensen Stuen

Lars Botin

Why Heidegger Makes Sense in Contemporary Philosophy of Technology

11:30-12:15, Richard Mortensen Stuen

Kristian Holst Kristiansen

Den Teknologiske Medierede Ansvarliggørelse: Foucault, Postfænomenologi & Smitte|Stop

12:15-13:00, Richard Mortensen Stuen

Videnskabsfilosofi og Politik v. Andreas Beck Holm

Andreas Beck Holm

Freedom of Research in Denmark in Light of Recent Debates

10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 2.3

Sune Frølund

From Laboratory Studies to the Anthropocene - How Bruno Latour finally almost accepted (a concept of) nature

11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 2.3

Asger Sørensen

Kritisk teori som politiseret videnskabsteori

12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 2.3

Naturalisme v. Kristoffer Willert

Rasmus Pedersen

Naturalizing the Manifest Present

10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 2.2

Kristoffer B Willert

The prospects of neutral monism

11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 2.2

Rasmus Overmark

Naturalism and Introspection

12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 2.2

Filosofiske problemstillinger i demensforskning v. Sigurd Lauridsen

Sigurd Lauridsen

Why caregivers have no autonomy-based reason to respect advanced directives in dementia care

10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 1.3

Albertsen, Andreas

Discrimination, personal responsibility and healthcare priority-setting

Luck egalitarianism, a responsibility-sensitive theory of distributive justice, might require that those who are responsible for their health disadvantage are given lower priority in the allocation of healthcare resources. This article develops and discuss a so-called discrimination objection to employing personal responsibility as a rationing criterion. It firsts discusses whether such measure can plausible considered discrimination, by drawing on prominent understandings of discrimination. It then discusses whether such policies would be wrong for reasons related to harm and disrespect.

Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 1.3

Andersen, Casper

Kernevåben, klimakrise og kalendertid i Dommedagsuret

I de senere år har truslen fra kernevåben stået i skyggen af klimakrisen i det globale trusselsbillede. Nyere forskning understreger imidlertid forbindelsen mellem de to kriser, der begge former det antropocene grundvilkår. Jeg fokuserer på, hvordan klimakrise og kernevåben udfordrer vores tidsforståelser. Det vil jeg gøre med udgangspunkt i det såkaldte Dommedagsur, der blev lanceret i 1947 i Bulletin of Atomic Scientists. Inspireret af filosofen Michelle Bastian argumenterer jeg for, at Dommedagsuret peger udover den kalendertid, vi traditionelt tænker krisehåndtering indenfor.

Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 16:30-17:15, Preben Hornung Stuen

Axelsen, David Vestergaard

Using Ideals as Political Weapons

Political agents are faced with 'the real politics problem': they are constrained by present institutions, struggles for power, and radical disagreement. Ideal theorizing is often criticized for being unable to guide or inform the actions and policy choices of political agents constrained by conditions marked by the real politics problem. We show how ideals can be used to motivate action, moving political agents to overcome inaction through powerful imagery and, thus, be used as weapons for motivating political support, engaging with radical disagreement, and gaining and retaining power.

Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 2

Bentzon, Rikke Friis

Er der noget værdifuldt ved familier?

I mit oplæg vil jeg undersøge hvad der er værdifuldt ved familier, og hvorfor. Jeg vil kort gennemgå forskellige teorier om hvad der konstituerer en familie, med særligt fokus på den bionormative familie og dens udfordringer. Jeg vil herefter forsøge at skitsere en ny funktionel definition af 'familie', der forhåbentlig kan svare på eksisterende kritikere, samt inkludere andre familiekonstellationer end den bionormative familie.

**Future Family Relationships v. Rikke Friis Bentzon
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 1.1**

Bidoli, Andrea

The burden of pregnancy and moral urgency of an alternative

This paper aims to problematise pregnancy by offering an analysis of its objective concerns, i.e., the pain and risks of gestation and childbirth. I argue that those concerns must be given higher consideration at social level, and that they represent such a burden to people's health and wellbeing that there is a moral urgency to prioritise research on extracorporeal gestation in order to offer an alternative to embodied gestation. While said urgency is strengthened by the need to disrupt the roles assigned to women/mothers, the burden of gestational work is enough to justified it.

**Future Family Relationships v. Rikke Friis Bentzon
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:45-15:30, Mødelokale 1.1**

Botin, Lars

Why Heidegger Makes Sense in Contemporary Philosophy of Technology

**Teknologifilosofi v. Tom Holmgaard Børsen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 11:30-12:15, Richard Mortensen Stuen**

Børsen, Tom

Bridging Critical Constructivism and Postphenomenology?

**Teknologifilosofi v. Tom Holmgaard Børsen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Richard Mortensen Stuen**

Bøtker Larsen, Sasha

Kan det dydige menneske redde kloden? Hvad dydsetikken

kan lære os om klimakrisen

Dydsetikken har et uforløst potentiale i klimakrisen. Dydsetikken forholder sig til menneskets karakter og motivationer, og ved at opbygge og træne disse i tæt forbindelse med naturen, kan vi skabe en mere bæredygtig verden. Naturen har nemlig en fantastisk evne til at give os oplevelser og perspektiver, der ændrer os eksistentielt. Med udgangspunkt i Aristoteles, Bruno Latour og Philip Cafaro, vil jeg argumentere for, at et tæt forhold til naturen opbygger vores moralske karakter, og det hjælper os til at få naturen med i alt hvad vi gør og på den måde passe bedre på vores klode.

Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 11:30-12:15, Preben Hornung Stuen

Engelsen, Søren

Eksistens, mening og meningsstab i sundhedsprofessionelt arbejde

Oplægget analyserer eksistentielle aspekter af sundhedspersonales arbejde i lyset af problemerne med at rekruttere og fastholde sundhedspersonale. Med dette fokus kommer forskellige erfaringer af mening, meningsskabelse og meningsstab i fokus, ikke blot som psykologiske push- og pull-faktorer i forbindelse med personaleomsætning, men som eksistentielle menneskelige vilkår, der bliver særligt vedkommende og nærværende i sundhedsprofessionelt arbejde.

Praxisnær Filosofi v. Søren Harnow Klausen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 1.2

Fink, Hans

Ethics and Liberal Naturalism

Kommer senere

Etik v. Morten Dige
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 1.1

Fink, Hans C.

Hard, Soft, and Absolute Naturalism

A discussion of various forms of naturalism in earlier times and today.

Naturalisme v. Kristoffer Willert
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 2.2

Frandsen, Henrik Vase

I en andens navn: Appellens oprigtighed.

I en andens navn: Appellens oprigtighed. Oplægget vil undersøge appellen

med udgangspunkt i Levinas tema om 'vidnesbyrdet' (*Autrement qu'être*) og Marion (*Étant donné*). I lighed med Heidegger (kaldet) og Løgstrup (fordringen) erfares appellen kun i første person, idet den enkelte investerer sig på den, hører den og besvarer den. Men hvad svares der på?

Fænomenologi og Pædagogisk Filosofi v. Thomas Schwarz Wentzer
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 2.3

Frølund, Sune

From Laboratory Studies to the Anthropocene - How Bruno Latour finally almost accepted (a concept of) nature

Latour's early laboratory studies allegedly showed that science is not about nature. Still he insisted that the "real world" is the world known by science and that no epistemology can challenge that. This led him to claim that "'nature' was constructed to eviscerate politics" and that "'nature' has made the world uninhabitable". Nevertheless, in his later works Latour - reluctantly - opens a small window to some concept of nature. Why his long lasting resistance? Latour's nature denial seems to rest on the idea that nothing has changed in philosophy of nature or in epistemology since Descartes.

Videnskabsfilosofi og Politik v. Andreas Beck Holm
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 2.3

Gjerris, Mickey

"Underviseren har aldrig ret - om normativitet i etikundervisning

Fagets videnskabsteori på fx naturressourceuddannelsen skal bidrage til, at de studerende får blik for de etiske værdier, som deres fag rummer, fx "hvilke etiske spørgsmål rejser forskellige løsninger på klimakrisen". Samtidig bærer enhver underviser sine egne værdier ind i undervisningen. Men hvilken rolle bør de spille i undervisningen? Skal man tilstræbe neutralitet eller bruge dem aktivt? Oplægget diskuterer fordele og ulemper ved forskellige tilgange til normativitet i etikundervisning og argumenterer for, at transparens er en nødvendig del af God Undervisningspraksis.

Applied Ethics v. Morten Dige
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 1.2

Grimm, Jon Auring

Fra generel økonomi til generel økologi

Da Bataille formulerede sin generelle økonomi, var han stærkt inspireret af blandt andre geokemikeren Vernadsky, der som den første for alvor kvalificerede begrebet biosfære. Ifølge Vernadsky udgør biosfæren et økologisk system af levende materie, der radikalt har ændret planetens

geologi. Denne bevægelse beror på en ødselhed, som må forvaltes eller spildes. Batailles "økonomi i universets målestok" indeholder kimen til en generel økologi. Jeg vil skitsere denne bevægelse mod en generel økologi, og undervejs berøre solen, blomsterne, biosfæren og den levende materies aktivitet.

Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 12:15-13:00, Preben Hornung Stuen

Grund, Cynthia M.

Towards a Philosophy of Retirement

Rather than being steeped in negatively valanced connotations - such as being put out to pasture or being past ones prime - retirement increasingly is seen as a positive goal involving not only financial independence, but also a relative increase in ones personal freedom and autonomy, opportunities to reclaim ones personal identity, and a general increase in the level of ones well being . . . and it's no longer just an old-age thing, as the FIRE movement demonstrates. This paper delves into contemporary notions of retirement in order to see what philosophical presuppositions are at their core.

Praxisnær Filosofi v. Søren Harnow Klausen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 1.2

Hansen, Mads J.

Is Consciousness Binary or Graded?

Is consciousness binary or graded? The answer to this question has ethical implications. Animal welfare scientists attempt to uncover which organisms are conscious and thus, by extension, uncover which organisms are moral patients. If consciousness is graded then there are borderline cases of consciousness rendering a clear-cut division between the haves and the have-nots impossible. I will present arguments for and against both the binary and the gradualist views and conclude that, as they currently stand, neither approach is well-founded.

Bevidsthedsfilosofi / Philosophy of Mind v. Mads J. Hansen
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 1.1

Hansen, Stig Børsen

Design and akrasia: Does design for addiction have a good twin?

Teknologifilosofi v. Tom Holmgaard Børsen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:45-10:30, Richard Mortensen Stuen

Harfeld, Jes Lynning

Solidary Co-species Co-creation in the Welfare State

Many current solidarity discourses are species exclusionary and fundamentally anthropocentric. In point of fact, the current discourses on co-creation as a part of the welfare state has only compounded this. This is in stark contrast to the fact, that the societies that we inhabit are also inhabited by other beings; including non-human agents or, indeed, non-human creators. I defend the idea of meaningful solidary co-species co-creation and that as we further develop our welfare states through such things as co-creation we are ethically bound to consider how to include our non-human fellows.

Applied Ethics v. Morten Dige
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 1.2

Harste, Gorm

The Habermas - Luhmann Debate

Den tyske lære - The Habermas - Luhmann Debate

Samfundsetik & Politisk Filosofi v. Øjvind Larsen
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:45-15:30, Mødelokale 2

Holm, Andreas Beck

Freedom of Research in Denmark in Light of Recent Debates

This presentation aims, first to summarize some of the reasons why the public debate on research in Denmark in the spring and summer of 2021 was fundamentally flawed, second to discuss the wider societal implications of such debates.

Videnskabsfilosofi og Politik v. Andreas Beck Holm
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 2.3

Holm, Andreas Beck

Videnskabsteoretiske implikationer af Althussers sammenligning af Freud og Marx

Althussers værker rummer mange videnskabsteoretiske aspekter, og spørgsmålet om marxisme og videnskab står centralt gennem hele hans forfatterskab. Dette oplæg vil dog fokusere på de videnskabsteoretiske pointer, han udleder af sin sammenligning af Marx og Freud - pointer, hvis fortsatte aktualitet understreges af, at de er direkte relevante for den seneste tids voldsomme diskussioner om videnskab og politik.

Marxisme v. Andreas Beck Holm
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 1

Huggler, Lise og Jørgen

Sandhed og fejltagelse: Om Descartes' 4. meditation - i kontekst

Descartes fremlægger i Méditations Métaphysique to forklaringer på fejltagelse. Den første, i 4. Med., handler om fejltagelse i domme. Den anden, i 6. Med., om en uvilkårlig fejltagelse, der kan opstå i samvirket mellem sjæl og legeme. I vort oplæg vil vi se på 4. Meditation i kontekst.

Filosofihistorie v. Guido Kreis
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:00-09:45, Preben Hornung Stuen

Jakobsen, Jonas

Left Populism as Weak Populism: The Critical-Discursive Approach

The paper intervenes in the debate between defenders and critics of left-wing populism, clarifies some confusions, and develops a new critical-discursive approach to populism that integrates elements from both sides. The critical-discursive approach (CDA) distinguishes between "strong" and "weak" populism and argues that left populism can only be defended as an instance of the latter.

Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 2

Kauffmann, Oliver

Creature consciousness, state consciousness, and representationalism

Creatures are conscious of things. They exhibit the property 'creature consciousness'. But 'consciousness' also applies to a property of certain mental states of creatures. A conscious state is a mental state one is conscious of, or, in the alternative, a conscious state makes one conscious of something. Many theories of state consciousness exist. I present an argument against first- and higher-order representationalism. Despite obvious dissimilarities, an analysis demonstrates how these theories ultimately imply a similar, but problematic role for 'creature consciousness' in their accounts.

Bevidsthedsfilosofi / Philosophy of Mind v. Mads J. Hansen
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 1.1

Kauffmann, Oliver

Critical remarks on science, epistemology and education to Bruno Latour's attempt at a re-entry and touchdown on Planet Earth

Bruno Latour, in Down to Earth: Politics in the New Climatic Regime (2018), argues that any effort to sustain life in the critical zone of our planet must leave behind the modern epistemologies, which both reify and partition nature and science. He therefore dismisses 'the view from nowhere', 'a view from out there' and notions like 'naturalism', 'rationalism' and 'Galileism'. I argue, that Latour's fight against science, epistemology and education in a number of respects is misguided, unsound, and wrong in the details.

**Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:00-14:45, Preben Hornung Stuen**

Kauffmann, Oliver

Indtagelse, syn og kald – Merleau-Ponty og malerens virke

Verden unddrager sig ifølge Merleau-Ponty vores forsøg på at forstå den som transparent foreliggende og tænkelig. Fra malerens perspektiv er det omvendt snarere tingene, der kigger på os, gennemtrænger os, overvælder os. Malerkunsten kan, paradoksalt formuleret, åbne vore øjne og give os implicite bidrag til en metafysik. Med vægten lagt på skriftet 'Øjet og ånden' vil jeg se nærmere på den betydning, Merleau-Ponty tillægger kroppens dobbelte rolle som seende og set, på hans opfattelse af sanssemæssige kvaliteter (qualia) og på hans tænkning af forholdet synligt-usynligt.

**Fænomenologi og Pædagogisk Filosofi v. Thomas Schwarz Wentzer
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 1**

Klausen, Søren Harnow

Kulturarvens værdi

Der er ikke gjort mange seriøse forsøg på at analysere kulturarvsbegrebet. Trods forståelige forbehold over for en tydelig normativ stillingtagen og et ønske om at frigøre sig fra borgerlige, patriarkalske, nationale eller eurocentriske værdiforestillinger, træffes der løbende beslutninger af stor praktisk betydning inden for arkitektur, fredning, forskning (fx arkæologi), renovering, konservering, kuratering og museumspædagogik, baseret på eksplicite eller implicite værdidomme. Oplægget vil diskutere de normer og værdier som knytter sig til omgangen med den materielle kulturarv.

**Praxisnær Filosofi v. Søren Harnow Klausen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 1.2**

Klausen, Søren Harnow

Værdikonflikter og demens

Oplægget vil tage udgangspunkt i værdikonflikter relateret til demens som blev afdækket i forskningsprojektet Ældrevelværd og alkohol - En vanskelig cocktail, og herudfra diskutere forskellige filosofiske tilgange til prioritering og afvejning af hensyn, og forskellige forståelser af en persons interesser over og

til en bestemt tid.

**Filosofiske problemstillinger i demensforskning v. Sigurd Lauridsen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 1.2+1.3**

Knudsen, Nicolai

**Shared Ethical Demands: Trust and Responsibility in
Unorganized Groups**

This paper defends the idea that unorganized groups can be morally responsible by drawing on the trust-based conception of moral responsibility developed by Løgstrup. I argue, in short, that unorganized groups—groups without a unifying decision-making procedure—are morally responsible when they are exposed to what I call a shared ethical demand, and that a shared ethical demand exists when it is salient to a plurality of agents that another agent depends on them to perform a morally desirable group action.

**Etik v. Morten Dige
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 1.1**

Kreis, Guido

Can Beethoven's Music Express Kant's Philosophical Ideas?

It has recently been claimed that Beethoven's music expresses Kant's philosophical ideas. On such an interpretation, the Fifth Symphony expresses the idea of a transition of the human subject from nature to freedom, whereas the Sixth Symphony expresses the awareness of sublime human morality in the contemplation of nature. I argue that any such reading is impossible, mainly on the grounds of an interpretation of Kant's notion of aesthetic ideas. Music recordings will be played and discussed.

**Filosofihistorie v. Guido Kreis
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:45-15:30, Mødelokale 1.3**

Kreis, Guido

Kantian Arguments against the Naturalisation of the Mental

I shall discuss two Kantian arguments against the project of a physicalistic naturalisation of the mental. The first, going back to Kant, points out that all cases of judging come with normative features, which ultimately resist physicalistic reduction. The second derives from Cassirer's critique of Russell, and points out that memories possess representational features which ultimately resist physicalistic reduction. Finally, I shall turn to Cassirer's alternative response to the challenge of the mental within nature: his philosophy of objective spirit.

**Naturalisme v. Kristoffer Willert
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 2.2**

Kristiansen, Kristian Holst

Den Teknologiske Medierede Ansvarliggørelse: Foucault, Postfænomenologi & Smitte|Stop

**Teknologifilosofi v. Tom Holmgaard Børsen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 12:15-13:00, Richard Mortensen Stuen**

Larsen, Øjvind

Fra Luhmanns Sociale Systemer til Moralsk Ansvar og Kritik i Habermas' Deliberative Organisationsteori

Habermas has formulated a great societal theory with his theory of communicative action. Habermas' theory does both include the communicative action perspective and the social systems perspective in a modern democratic society. Habermas' complex communicative societal theory can be the basis for the construction of a critical stakeholder theory for the mutual communicative relations between all forms of organizations in state, marked and civil society.

**Samfundsetik & Politisk Filosofi v. Øjvind Larsen
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 2**

Laugesen, Martin Hauberg-Lund

Gode vibrationer: Om Hartmut Rosa som naturfilosof

Den tyske sociolog Hartmut Rosa (1965-) er ikke kendt som naturfilosof, men snarere som en kritisk-kyndig tyder af samtidens tendenser med stor sans for sociologisk fantasi. Hans fængende begreber 'resonans', 'aggressionspunkt' og 'højhastighedssamfund' har i de senere år nydt stor international udbredelse og særligt i Danmark og Nordeuropa har Rosa opnået akademisk rockstjernestatus. I mit oplæg vil jeg imidlertid netop forsøge at udlægge Rosas tænkning som naturfilosofisk relevant og vise, hvordan hans idéer kan hjælpe os til at forstå samtidens klimaproblemer såvel som deres mulige løsning.

**Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Preben Hornung Stuen**

Lauridsen, Sigurd

Why caregivers have no autonomy-based reason to respect advanced directives in dementia care

Advance directives have for some time been championed by ethicists and patient associations alike as a tool people newly diagnosed with dementia, or prior to onset, can use to ensure that future care and treatment is organized

in accordance with their interests. The idea is that autonomous people, not yet neurologically affected by dementia, can design directives on future care that caregivers are morally obligated to respect because, indeed, they have been designed by autonomous individuals. In this presentation discuss two arguments that potentially support this claim, but eventually dismiss them. I conclude by stating that caregivers have no autonomy-based reason to respect advance directives and because of this the idea of advance directives should be abandoned.

**Filosofiske problemstillinger i demensforskning v. Sigurd Lauridsen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 1.3**

Lodberg, Lars

Prejudice and Reflective Epistemic Autonomy in Kant

In this talk I attempt to show Kant's relevance in the contemporary debate on epistemic autonomy by showing how judgment for Kant must be essentially reflective. I criticize what I call the externality view of reflection, which asserts that the role of reflection consists merely in the normative evaluation of judgments for the sake of determining whether or not our assent is grounded in the right way. By distinguishing between different structural levels of judgment, I show that reflection is not just a part of assessing our grounds for judgment but also a part of our coming to form judgments.

**Filosofihistorie v. Guido Kreis
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:45-10:30, Preben Hornung Stuen**

Lundberg, Johannes

How financial risk became a climate risk: A genealogy of the financial concept of risk and investors' ignorance of climate risks

In today's economy, financial capitalism plays a pivotal role in mitigating/aggravating the climate crisis. More particularly, in this presentation, I will investigate how the theoretical development of the financial concept of risk has delayed climate action. To illuminate the consequences for climate action, I will analyze how the financial concept of risk has conditioned both the actions of investors towards fossil fuel companies and the rise of asset managers who enhances investor passivity. To help this situation, I reflect on six possible revisions to the concept of risk.

**Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-16:30, Preben Hornung Stuen**

Lægaard, Sune

Religious liberty, fair opportunity and rights

Alan Patten's account of the normative logic of religious liberty understands the right to religious freedom as based on a principle of Fair Opportunity. Patten contrasts this principle to the No Burden view and the No Discrimination view. The Fair Opportunity view proposes a criterion for how to balance religious freedom against countervailing considerations to decide whether laws can limit religious conduct or religious conduct should be exempted from such laws. The question is whether Fair Opportunity provides a plausible reconstruction of the right to religious freedom.

**Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 2**

Morten Dige, Thomas S. Petersen &

Er autonomi en illusion ved assisteret død?

THOMAS S. PETERSEN & MORTEN DIGE Princippet om respekt for autonomi står centralt i teoretiske begrundelser og praktiske retningslinjer for assisteret død (assisteret selvmord og aktiv dødshjælp). En række kritikere har imidlertid argumenteret for, at betingelserne om beslutningskompetence og frivillighed principielt ikke kan opfyldes i de særlige situationer, hvor en patient anmoder om assisteret død. Oplægget er en kritisk diskussion af disse argumenter.

**Applied Ethics v. Morten Dige
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 1.2**

Nielsen, Carsten Fogh

Pædagogik og etik - en kompleks relation

Forholdet mellem pædagogik og etik er et centralt problem inden for den pædagogiske filosofi. Er etik og pædagogik nødvendigvis forbundne, som Aristoteles og Kant f.eks. mente? Eller er etik og pædagogik, som Dietrich Benner hævder, klart adskilte praksisformer, der fungerer ud fra hver sin distinkte logik? Og hvordan skal man forstå de seneste årtiers bestræbelser på at udvikle professionsetikker for de pædagogiske professioner? I mit oplæg diskuterer jeg nogle af de måder man historisk har forstået forholdet mellem etik og pædagogik, og de problemer, som disse forskellige forståelser rejser.

**Fænomenologi og Pædagogisk Filosofi v. Thomas Schwarz Wentzer
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 1**

Nielsen, Lasse

Multiple-Threshold Sufficientarianism

Sufficientarianism faces the following dilemma: either (i) the view sets a fairly low threshold, in which case it becomes vulnerable to the indifference objection, or (ii) it defends a very high threshold, which make it less intuitive that everyone is entitled to reach that level. This paper investigates different strategies for resolving the dilemma. It then shows that these

strategies—which has so far been seen as distinct approaches—are indeed not incompatible and argues that this makes way for a specifically plausible hybrid account of multiple-threshold sufficientarianism.

**Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 2**

Nielsen, Thor Hennelund

Transgressing the Psychologization of Illness

Phenomenologists of health have developed comprehensive theories of the phenomenology of illness - “what it is like” to be ill. However, while phenomenology of illness proposes a strong hypothesis of embodied consciousness, it still operates with a psychologizing understanding of phenomenology, which equates illness with experiences of alienation, loss, suffering etc. In the talk, I argue that these two perspectives are incompatible. Moreover, that illness is not primarily constituted by experiences, but by broaches upon temporal, conatively guided activities.

**Philosophy of Medicine v. Simon Okholm
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 1**

Nottelmann, Nikolaj

Degrees of practical ignorance

The latest decades have seen an untiring dispute between various forms of intellectualism and anti-intellectualism about know-how. I here look upon these disputes from the side of ignorance, based on the assumption that a satisfactory conception of know-how must be able to make sense of degrees of practical ignorance in terms of the absence of practical knowledge.

**Epistemology, Logic and Philosophy of Language v. Patrick Blackburn
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 1.1**

Nørager, Preben

PARAKLETOS.dk : Den transcendentale republik

*Webstedet parakletos.dk er en eksegese af Helligånden indenfor strukturen af Kants filosofi. Eksegesen fokuserer på aftenen da Helligånden blev givet. Indenfor strukturen af Kants filosofi er Helligånden den grundlovgivende magt i en transcendental republik. Den transcendentale republik er Guds hus i Guds have. Enhver sekulær republik (*respublica phaenomenon*), der legemliggør den transcendentale republik (*respublica noumenon*), er et eksempel på Guds hus i Guds have.*

**Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 16:30-17:15, Mødelokale 1.3**

Okholm, Simon

What is an antiaging intervention?

Our healthcare system will face a great socioeconomic pressure due to the growing population of comorbid elderly, and the commitment of clinicians to treat age-related diseases individually and successively. Advances in geroscience suggest to 'treat' these indirectly by slowing down the biology of aging. This shift in therapeutic site requires a theoretical definition of 'antiaging intervention', and criteria for measure aging slowed down. By drawing on the scientific about a purported antiaging drug, rapamycin, I will explicate a definition and some problems related to its measurability.

**Philosophy of Medicine v. Simon Okholm
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 1**

Olsen, Anne-Marie Eggert

Adornos marxisme

Adornos kritik og videreførelse af Freud er præcist og fokuseret fremstillet i en række artikler fra begyndelsen af 50'erne. Det samme gælder ikke for hans reception af Marx. Kritikken af Marx er sjældent lige så direkte og utvetydigt tematiseret og drejer sig som oftest om, at noget er for snævert eller ikke tilstrækkelig dybtgående konciperet. Oplægget vil forsøge at karakterisere nogle af disse kritikpunkter, der i det væsentlige handler om magt, erkendelse og praksis.

**Marxisme v. Andreas Beck Holm
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 1**

Overmark, Rasmus

Naturalism and Introspection

The philosophical picture of introspection has come under empirical pressure. The data suggests that our own minds are often opaque to us, which threatens notions like our ability to make up our mind through rational deliberation. This counts against naturalism about introspection. I argue that naturalist approaches can still make sense of making up one's mind. I develop a naturalist theory of introspection that draws on the emerging mindshaping literature. This account respects the data while saving deliberation. This is a good way to retain naturalism without undermining rationality.

**Naturalisme v. Kristoffer Willert
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 2.2**

Paul, Victoria

Chronic Illness as Chronic Condition

Chronic diseases are among the most widespread health problems of our

time. Due to their duration and irreversibility, they occupy a special position within the spectrum of diseases. Increasingly, the term chronic disease is used as an umbrella term for persistent illnesses. In the phenomenology of illness, the chronic illness experience is associated with experiences of loss and disruption. In my presentation I would like to challenge this view and propose to extend the concept of chronic disease by the concept of chronic condition.

**Philosophy of Medicine v. Simon Okholm
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:45-15:30, Mødelokale 1**

Pedersen, Rasmus

Naturalizing the Manifest Present

There is a tension between the manifest concept of the present that we infer to from our temporal experiences and the concept of time we get from our best physics. I claim that we can eliminate this tension, by explaining how the problematic features of manifest present, fails to correspond to anything once we have a naturalised account of temporal perception. This explanation will for example show how our temporal experiences of order and simultaneity are indeterminate and prone to many unconscious interventions, while it continuously seems to us that our experiences are fully determinate.

**Naturalisme v. Kristoffer Willert
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 2.2**

Petersen, Esben Nedenskov

Hateful questions

While outright assertions of hateful opinions may be the examples of hate speech that come to mind most readily, the existing research on hate speech makes it clear that hateful views may also be communicated by less direct means. Since a speaker asking a question does not assert its content one place to look for such indirect hate speech is in questions. In this paper, I draw on examples from a corpus of Facebook comments to investigate some of the linguistic mechanisms that a speaker may employ to convey hateful content by asking a question.

**Epistemology, Logic and Philosophy of Language v. Patrick Blackburn
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 1.1**

Ploug, Anna Cornelia

‘La position problématique’: problembegrebet i fransk epistemologi

Blandt de problemfilosofiske traditioner udmærker fransk epistemologi sig ved at fokusere på problemets aktive konstruktion. Men problemets katalyserende og konkretiserende effekt skildres ofte som en spontan og

intentionel skabelsesproces. Og på grund af den udbredte anti-hegelianisme i fransk tænkning, negligeres dets dialektiske karakter. Jeg argumenterer for at hegeliansk dialektisk logik må spille en væsentlig rolle for at problembegrebet kan blive virksomt for en kritisk analyse ved at se på Jacques Martin, Gaston Bachelard og Louis Althussers respektive forståelser af begrebet.

**Videnskabsfilosofi og Politik v. Andreas Beck Holm
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:00-09:45, Mødelokale 2.3**

Poulsen, Anders Hee Nørbjerg

A broadening of the scope of ethics through an event?

Kommer hurtigst muligt.

**Etik v. Morten Dige
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 1.1**

Rendtorff, Jacob Dahl

Kritisk hermeneutik og sociale systemer

Jürgen Habermas deltog i løbet 1970'erne i to debatter om hermeneutik og ideologikritik med Gadamer (1971) og samfundsteori eller socialteknologi med Luhmann (1971). Dette skal ses i forlængelse af Habermas udvikling af en kritisk samfundsfilosofi og videnskabsteori i hovedværket om Erkendelse og interesse (1968). Men lykkes det Habermas at fastholde sin kritiske teoretiske position mellem Gadamers hermeneutiske kritik på den ene side og Luhmanns systemteoretiske kritik på den anden side? Er det muligt at finde en kritisk hermeneutisk tredje ved mellem systemforklaring og hermeneutisk indlevelse?

**Samfundsetik & Politisk Filosofi v. Øjvind Larsen
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 2**

Schou-Juul, Frederik

Development and validation of Ethical Decision-Making Self-Efficacy Scale in Dementia care: for professional caregivers

Since high ethical self-efficacy it is likely to reduce moral distress and promote mental health, it is central to have a specific measure, which is tailored to capture the construct of ethical self-efficacy, when designing interventions to promote confidence in decision making. In this study we develop and provide a prima facie validation for a scale for measuring ethical self-efficacy. We operationalise the notion of ethical dilemmas and combine both qualitative and quantitative methods of scale validation to aid the identification of domain, item generation and validation of the scale.

**Filosofiske problemstillinger i demensforskning v. Sigurd Lauridsen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 1.2+1.3**

Sønderholm, Jørn

Should the 2022 Soccer World Cup in Qatar be boycotted?

On November 21, 2022, the FIFA Men's World Cup begins in The State of Qatar. In the years leading up to this event, there has been a sometimes heated discussion about whether the event should be boycotted because of the working conditions endured by the guest workers in Qatar who have built the stadiums and other physical infrastructure for the tournament. This discussion has taken place both in the press and in the academic literature. In this article, I construct and critically discuss several arguments for a boycott of the World Cup.

**Politisk Filosofi v. Sune Lægaard
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 2**

Sørensen, Asger

Alienation and Human Nature. Recuperating the Classical Discussion

My ambition is to develop a conceptual framework for alienation enabling both the understanding and the practical transcendence of alienation, thus returning to a classical metaphysical realism inspired by Marx's Economic and Philosophic Manuscripts, relying in particular on Marcuse's ground-breaking interpretation. This lays the basis for criticizing the alienation of a highly developed industrial society, stressing how both capitalism and militarism violates human substance, but also indicating how this detrimental challenge may be overcome, at least conceptually.

**Marxisme v. Andreas Beck Holm
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 10:45-11:30, Mødelokale 1**

Sørensen, Asger

Kritisk teori som politiseret videnskabsteori

Når positivisme kræver afpolitisering af videnskab, så kræver kritisk teori omvendt politisering. Påstanden er, at kun derved bliver videnskab til god videnskab. Værdifrihed som ideal er utroværdigt, i hvert fald indenfor humaniora og samfundsvidenskab. Dette enkle budskab har jeg argumenteret for i forskellige sammenhænge og på forskellig måde i løbet af det sidste år, f.eks. i Ræson, Philosophy and Society og Philosophy and Social Criticism. I dette oplæg præsenterer jeg nogle af argumenterne.

**Videnskabsfilosofi og Politik v. Andreas Beck Holm
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 12:15-13:00, Mødelokale 2.3**

Toft, Kristian Olesen

Derrida og oversættelsen af khora

Jeg vil præsentere nogle foreløbige resultater af mit arbejde med Jacques Derridas udgivne manuskripter, der opbevares i Caen, særligt Derridas forelæsninger fra 1985-86 om ordet "khora", som det optræder i Platons Timaios. En mindre del af disse forelæsninger blev udgivet som en selvstændig tekst af Derrida i 1993, men væsentlige lag af denne træder først tydeligt frem, når den genplaceres i den kontekst, som forelæsningerne oprindeligt udgjorde, særligt deres gennemgående undersøgelse af nationalitet og nationalisme i filosofien og af filosofiens oversættelsesproblemer.

**Filosofihistorie v. Guido Kreis
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:00-14:45, Mødelokale 1.3**

Tække, Jesper

Den algoritmiske differentiering af samfundet

Præsentationen foreslår og diskuterer en ny form for samfundsmæssig differentiering. Luhmanns teori er at en ny differentieringsform opstår, når samfundets dynamik og kompleksitet, med nye mediers mellemkomst er steget i en sådan grad, at nye former for differentiering udvikles i latens. Spørgsmålet er om vi kan tolke samtidens samfundsmæssige udviklinger baseret på maskinlæringsalgoritmer og kunstig intelligens, som tegn på en ny differentieringsform. Konklusionen er at funktional differentiering aktuelt underlejres af en ny grundlæggende form for differentiering: den algoritmiske.

**Teknologifilosofi v. Tom Holmgaard Børsen
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:00-09:45, Richard Mortensen Stuen**

Wentzer, Thomas Schwarz

The Dative of Phenomenology - fænomenologiens dativ

Foredraget diskuterer aspekter af den responsive fænomenologi i forlængelse af Waldenfels, Romano og Heidegger, med særlig fokus på den syntaktiske forskydning af subjektet fra nominativ til dativ. Det er os, det handler om.

**Fænomenologi og Pædagogisk Filosofi v. Thomas Schwarz Wentzer
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 15:45-16:30, Mødelokale 2.3**

Willert, Kristoffer B

The prospects of neutral monism

The existence of mental phenomena rests on shaky ground. By contrast, physical objects are taken to exist beyond any reasonable doubt. This is the post-cartesian ontological asymmetry, which I shall discuss by framing an emerging position within the philosophy of mind: neutral monism, which holds - in response to reductive naturalism - that the constituents of reality are

neutral between the physical and mental and are of one kind, e.g., information or processes. I will not give a detailed argument for or against some version of neutral monism, but present its motivations and prospects.

**Naturalisme v. Kristoffer Willert
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 11:30-12:15, Mødelokale 2.2**

Willert, Kristoffer

Planetary properties: On the posthumanist confounding of normative and non-normative properties

The planetary crisis is often taken as a result of an anthropocentric Weltanschauung, which has bifurcated humans from the rest of nature. A predominant view has gained ground in recent years, profoundly informed by Bruno Latour, which opts for some kind of 'posthumanist' response to the crisis that purports to break down normative and ontological hierarchies. I will present this view and evaluate its theoretical and political worth. I will argue that posthumanism simply conflates essential philosophical distinctions, such as the normative and non-normative, which entails political deadlocks.

**Climate Philosophy v. Tidsskriftet Paradoks og Johannes Lundberg
, fredag den 4. marts 2022, 14:45-15:30, Preben Hornung Stuen**

klercke, Kirsten Søtoft

HJEMLÆNGSEL. Ontologi og politik hos Heidegger

I Andreas Holm Becks overordentligt klare teser om videnskab og filosofi vil jeg indirekte uddybe noget af, hvad han mener går under radaren. Højrepopulister har en diffus identifikatorisk samhørighed i opposition til den generelle politiske orden i mange vestlige demokratier. Den består i ikke at føle sig hørt som identisk med ikke at høre til hvad der ender anderledes.. Men jeg vil holde mig til Heidegger, oprindelsestemaet i hans værenstænkning og den ontologiske differens (ikke biografisk-historiske detaljer om Heideggers nazistiske tilhørsforhold) især ud fra "Brief über den 'Humanismus'" med strejftog til Adornos Jargon der Eigentlichkeit.

**Videnskabsfilosofi og Politik v. Andreas Beck Holm
, lørdag den 5. marts 2022, 09:45-10:30, Mødelokale 2.3**