



University of Southern Denmark

Correction

Abdi et al. Visual Evaluation of Image Quality of a Low Dose 2D/3D Slot Scanner Imaging System Compared to Two Conventional Digital Radiography X-ray Imaging Systems (Diagnostics 2021, 11, 1932)

Abdi, Ahmed Jibril; Mussmann, Bo; Mackenzie, Alistair; Gerke, Oke; Jørgensen, Gitte Maria; Bechsgaard, Thor Eriksen; Jensen, Janni; Olsen, Lone Brunshøj; Andersen, Poul Erik

Published in:
Diagnostics

DOI:
10.3390/diagnostics12051144

Publication date:
2022

Document version:
Final published version

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Abdi, A. J., Mussmann, B., Mackenzie, A., Gerke, O., Jørgensen, G. M., Bechsgaard, T. E., Jensen, J., Olsen, L. B., & Andersen, P. E. (2022). Correction: Abdi et al. Visual Evaluation of Image Quality of a Low Dose 2D/3D Slot Scanner Imaging System Compared to Two Conventional Digital Radiography X-ray Imaging Systems (Diagnostics 2021, 11, 1932). *Diagnostics*, 12(5), [1144]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12051144>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Correction

Correction: Abdi et al. Visual Evaluation of Image Quality of a Low Dose 2D/3D Slot Scanner Imaging System Compared to Two Conventional Digital Radiography X-ray Imaging Systems. *Diagnostics* 2021, 11, 1932

Ahmed Jibril Abdi ^{1,2,*} , Bo Mussmann ^{1,3} , Alistair Mackenzie ⁴ , Oke Gerke ^{1,5} , Gitte Maria Jørgensen ³, Thor Eriksen Bechsgaard ³, Janni Jensen ^{1,3} , Lone Brunshøj Olsen ³ and Poul Erik Andersen ¹

¹ Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, 5000 Odense, Denmark; bo.mussmann@rsyd.dk (B.M.); oke.gerke@rsyd.dk (O.G.); janni.jensen@rsyd.dk (J.J.); peandersen@health.sdu.dk (P.E.A.)

² Department of Clinical Engineering, Region of Southern Denmark, 5000 Odense, Denmark

³ Department of Radiology, Odense University Hospital, 5000 Odense, Denmark; gitte.maria.jorgensen@rsyd.dk (G.M.J.); thor.eriksen.bechsgaard@rsyd.dk (T.E.B.); lone.brunshoej.christiansen@rsyd.dk (L.B.O.)

⁴ National Coordinating Centre for the Physics of Mammography, Royal Surrey NHS Foundation Trust, Guildford GU2 7XX, UK; alistairmackenzie@nhs.net

⁵ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Odense University Hospital, 5000 Odense, Denmark

* Correspondence: ahmed.abdi@rsyd.dk; Tel.: +45-2042-2535



Citation: Abdi, A.J.; Mussmann, B.; Mackenzie, A.; Gerke, O.; Jørgensen, G.M.; Bechsgaard, T.E.; Jensen, J.; Olsen, L.B.; Andersen, P.E. Correction: Abdi et al. Visual Evaluation of Image Quality of a Low Dose 2D/3D Slot Scanner Imaging System Compared to Two Conventional Digital Radiography X-ray Imaging Systems. *Diagnostics* 2021, 11, 1932. *Diagnostics* 2022, 12, 1144. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12051144>

Received: 20 April 2022

Accepted: 20 April 2022

Published: 5 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

In the original publication [1], there was a mistake in the Figure 2 on page nine of the paper. Figures 2 and 3 are duplicated, and the corrected version of Figure 2 is missing. This correct figure appears below. The authors apologize for any inconvenience caused and state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. This correction was approved by the Academic Editor. The original publication has also been updated.




Figure 2. VGA estimated marginal mean comparison across the imaging systems and image quality criteria for (a) the chest PA projection and (b) the chest LAT projection.

Reference

1. Abdi, A.J.; Mussmann, B.; Mackenzie, A.; Gerke, O.; Jørgensen, G.M.; Bechsgaard, T.E.; Jensen, J.; Olsen, L.B.; Andersen, P.E. Visual Evaluation of Image Quality of a Low Dose 2D/3D Slot Scanner Imaging System Compared to Two Conventional Digital Radiography X-ray Imaging Systems. *Diagnostics* **2021**, *11*, 1932. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]