

Listeriosis in pregnancy

An umbrella review of maternal exposure, treatment and neonatal complications

Khsim, Ijlas El Founti; Mohanaraj-Anton, Ahalini; Horte, Ivar Benjamin; Lamont, Ronald Francis; Khan, Khalid Saeed; Jørgensen, Jan Stener; Amezcua-Prieto, Carmen

Published in:

BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology

DOI:

10.1111/1471-0528.17073

Publication date:

2022

Document version:

Accepted manuscript

Citation for published version (APA):

Khsim, I. E. F., Mohanaraj-Anton, A., Horte, I. B., Lamont, R. F., Khan, K. S., Jørgensen, J. S., & Amezcua-Prieto, C. (2022). Listeriosis in pregnancy: An umbrella review of maternal exposure, treatment and neonatal complications. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 129(9), 1427-1433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.17073>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

1

2 KHALID S KHAN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-5084-7312)

3 DR. CARMEN AMEZCUA PRIETO (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-0957-4057)

4

5

6 Article type : Systematic Review

7

8

9 **Listeriosis in pregnancy: An Umbrella Review of Maternal Exposure, Treatment,**
10 **and Neonatal Complications**

11 Ijlas El Founti Khsim¹, Ahalini Mohanaraj-Anton², Ivar Benjamin Horte², Ronald
12 Francis Lamont^{2,3}, Khalid Saeed Khan^{1, 4}, Jan Stener Jørgensen², Carmen Amezcua-
13 Prieto^{1,4, 5}.

14 ¹ Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine,
15 University of Granada, 18016 Granada, Spain.

16 ² Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University of Southern Denmark, Institute
17 of Clinical Research, Research Unit of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Klørvænget 10,
18 5000 Odense, Denmark.

19 ³ Division of Surgery, University College London, Northwick Park Institute for Medical
20 Research Campus, Watford Road, London, HA1 3UJ, UK.

21 ⁴ Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology and Public Health
22 (CIBERESP), 28029 Madrid, Spain.

23 ⁵ Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria (ibs.Granada), 18014 Granada, Spain.

24 **Correspondence:**

25 Ronald Francis Lamont rflamont@icloud.com

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.17073](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.17073)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

26 Division of Surgery, University College London, Northwick Park Institute for Medical
27 Research Campus, Watford Road, London, HA1 3UJ, UK.

28 **Running title:**

29 Listeriosis in pregnancy: An Umbrella Review.

30 **ABSTRACT**

31 **Background:**

32 *Listeria monocytogenes* is a commonly found organism in processed and prepared food
33 and the disease of listeriosis is associated with a high morbidity and mortality.
34 Compared to the general population, the risk of being diagnosed with listeriosis
35 increased during pregnancy. Listeriosis can lead to miscarriage, spontaneous preterm
36 labour and preterm birth, stillbirth, and congenital neonatal infections.

37 **Objectives:**

38 We conducted a universal review of listeriosis in pregnancy and in the newborn.

39 **Search Strategy:**

40 The EMBASE, PubMed, Cinahl, and Web of Science databases were searched for
41 Systematic Reviews (SRs) indexed before 1st December 2020.

42 **Selection criteria:**

43 Any SR evaluating the prevalence, treatment, diagnosis, and effects of listeriosis during
44 pregnancy and up to 4-weeks postnatally were included.

45 **Data collection and analysis:**

46 Eligibility assessment, data extraction, and quality assessment by the Methodological
47 Quality Assessment of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR-2) were performed in duplicate.

48 **Main Results:**

49 We identified 397 citations of which nine Systematic Reviews comprising 330 studies
50 and 487 patients' reviews were included. Most SRs (7 of 9) were of moderate to high
51 quality. Prevention in pregnant women was based on adherence to strict dietary
52 recommendations, such as reheating leftovers until steamed and avoiding unpasteurized
53 dairy products. Listeriosis infections were likely to occur in the third trimester (66%)
54 rather than in the first trimester (3%) of pregnancy. Symptoms are mostly fever and
55 other flu-like, such as fatigue. Diagnosis was primarily made by culture of the pathogen.
56 Intravenous amoxicillin or ampicillin were first line treatment.

57 **Conclusions:**

58 Listeriosis, a rare but serious infectious disease in pregnancy, can cause devastating
59 consequences for the fetus and new-borns. Appropriate preventative treatment should be
60 initiated during early pregnancy to avoid complications.

61 **Funding:**

62 This research received no external funding.

63

64 **Keywords:** Diagnosis, Listeriosis, Neonate, New-born, Pregnancy, Prevention,
65 Treatment.

66

67 **Tweetable abstract:** Listeria is commonly found in processed and prepared food.

68 Prevention is the best way to avoid listeriosis during pregnancy.

69

70 **INTRODUCTION**

71

72 Pregnant women appear to be 10 to 20 times more likely to be diagnosed with listeriosis
73 compared with the general population, possibly due to a down-regulation of cellular
74 immunity⁽¹⁻⁴⁾. The intracellular Gram-positive bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes* is the
75 causative agent of listeriosis, a serious food-borne infection^(5, 6). *Listeria*
76 *monocytogenes* is a small, anaerobic, Gram positive, flagellated, non-spore forming,
77 linear motile rod that can affect pregnant women and neonates⁽⁷⁾. It can also be
78 transmitted vertically to the fetus⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾. Exposure to and transient colonization of the
79 gastrointestinal tract by listeria is common, but invasive disease is rare⁽¹¹⁾. During
80 pregnancy, the typical clinical presentation of listeriosis is with mild flu-like symptoms
81⁽⁸⁻¹²⁾. The bacterium is capable of crossing three host barriers: i) the intestinal mucosa;
82 ii) the placenta and iii) the blood-brain barrier⁽¹³⁾. Neonatal infection can occur because
83 of maternal chorioamnionitis, which is the cause of early-onset sepsis, or by passage
84 through a listeria-colonized birth canal from the gastrointestinal tract resulting in late-
85 onset meningitis⁽¹⁴⁾. The incidence of neonatal listeriosis is 8 in 100,000 live births with
86 a fatality rate of 20%^(7, 15, 16). The consequences can be sepsis or meningitis, permanent
87 neurological deficits, or death⁽⁷⁾.

88 Listeriosis in pregnancy presents with complications such as spontaneous abortion,
89 spontaneous preterm labour (SPTL) leading to preterm birth (PTB) and stillbirth⁽¹⁷⁾. *L.*

90 *monocytogenes* infection in neonates is a serious concern and can cause neurobehavioral
91 abnormalities, and respiratory distress (7, 15, 18).

92 To control listeriosis, it is necessary to have precise information about the magnitude of
93 the disease, the preventative measures, the diagnostic process, and treatment. The
94 current clinical guidelines on the management of listeriosis in pregnancy are based on
95 systematic reviews (SR) published more than seven years ago (12, 19). Our hypothesis is
96 that the epidemiology of listeriosis in pregnancy varies geographically, but the
97 diagnostic and treatment procedures, although they seem consensual, are not evidence
98 based. The objective of this Umbrella Review (UR) was to collect current evidence-
99 based knowledge with respect to the prevalence, diagnosis, and effects or complications
100 of listeriosis during pregnancy.

101

102 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

103

104 This UR was prospectively registered with PROSPERO CRD 42020222318. The
105 population studied was pregnant women or neonates. Outcomes were prevention,
106 diagnosis, treatment, and complications of listeriosis in pregnancy and in the neonate.

107

108 **Search and selection of reviews**

109

110 From the databases used (EMBASE, PubMed, Cinahl, and Web of Science) we selected
111 SRs or meta-analyses (MAs) indexed before December 1, 2020 (Appendix S1). The
112 following queries and search terms were used: ((*listeria* OR *listeriosis*) AND (*mothers*
113 OR *newborn**) AND *transmission* AND *review*) OR (*listeriosis* AND *pregnancy* AND
114 *literature review*) OR ((*listeria* OR *listeriosis*) AND (*pregnant** OR *newborn* OR
115 *neonate** OR *birth* OR *fetal*) AND (*vertical transmission* OR *disease transmission*)
116 AND (*systematic review* OR *meta-analysis*)) OR ((*Maternal Listeriosis* OR *neonatal*
117 *listeriosis*) AND (*systematic review* OR *meta-analysis* OR *review*)). SRs related to
118 prevention, prevalence, diagnosis or treatment and effects of listeriosis during
119 pregnancy and in the newborn, including those that carried out a search in at least one
120 international database, and were focused on pregnancy and neonatal outcomes were
121 included.

122 **Data extraction and review quality assessment**

123 Data extraction was performed independently by two reviewers (AMA & IFK).
124 Disagreements were discussed and resolved by consensus or arbitration with a third
125 reviewer (CAP). The quality of the SR was assessed by two independent reviewers,
126 using the tool A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR-2)⁽²⁰⁾.
127 AMSTAR-2 is usually used to evaluate critically the quality of SRs. This consists of 16
128 questions with detailed assessment of SRs that included randomized and non-
129 randomized studies.

130

131 **Data synthesis**

132 A tabulation and narrative description of the included reviews was made addressing the
133 epidemiology of listeriosis in pregnancy, prevention measures, diagnosis, treatment, and
134 complications in pregnancy and in the neonatal stage.

135

136 **RESULTS**

137 Our UR search yielded 397 citations indexed before 1st December 2020. Fifty-eight
138 duplicates were excluded. After reading the titles and abstracts, 283 references were
139 excluded, and 56 full-text studies remained. Of these, 47 were excluded due to lack of
140 relevant results, unavailability of the full text, or because they were animal studies.
141 Finally, nine reviews comprising (330 studies, 487 patients) were selected for data
142 extraction (Figure 1). Table S1 provides a summary of the main characteristics of the
143 selected reviews.

144

145 **Review quality**

146 Table 1 shows the quality of the SRs and MAs using AMSTAR-2. Of nine articles, only
147 six of the SRs did not explain their selection of study designs for inclusion. Seven of the
148 studies did not use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results (7, 8, 11, 15, 18,
149 21, 22).

150

151 **Epidemiology of listeriosis and listeriosis in pregnancy**

152 In the USA, listeriosis has a prevalence of 3-4 cases per million of the population, and

153 in Europe this is between 0.1 and 11.3 per million. It is 13 to 20 times more common
154 during pregnancy ⁽⁵⁻⁷⁾. Between 2008 and 2015 the number of cases of listeriosis in
155 Europe increased, such that in 2015, 28 European countries reported 2206 cases and 270
156 deaths. The prevalence of listeriosis was 8.8% in Austria, 17.7% in France, 6.5% in
157 Germany, 11% in Italy, and 16.9% in the U.K. In the USA, 116 cases were reported,
158 111 cases were hospitalized, and 15 died ⁽⁸⁾. Some reviews have found that in ethnic
159 minorities such as Hispanic women and women of African origin in France have a
160 higher incidence of listeriosis in pregnancy ^(7, 8). A study that focused on neighbourhood
161 deprivation found that listeriosis was higher in the most deprived areas of England,
162 when compared to less deprived districts. Those who were affected were more likely to
163 purchase food from local bakeries and butchers and the author's hypothesis was that
164 these small businesses may not have had the same level of food safety as the larger
165 retail outlets⁽⁵⁾.

166

167 **Prevention of Listeriosis**

168 Measures like education on food preparation, hygiene, and the avoidance of high-risk
169 foods are mandatory during pregnancy and effective in preventing listeriosis. For
170 prevention, it is crucial to educate pregnant women and raise their awareness as it is
171 important to avoid certain types of foods such as soft cheeses, hot-dogs, and refrigerated
172 smoked seafood. The potential sources of contamination are caused by ready-to-eat
173 meals such as hot-dogs (unless reheated to an internal temperature of 74°C or steaming
174 hot), unpasteurised milk, dairy products, soft cheese such as feta, brie, camembert ⁽¹⁵⁾,
175 refrigerated smoked seafood or Mexican style cheese, unless made with pasteurized
176 milk. Unwashed raw fruits and vegetable-skins should be washed thoroughly prior to
177 eating, even if peeled or cut. Listeria can grow and multiply when the refrigerator is
178 between 4-10°C ^(5, 7, 15).

179

180 **Diagnosis of listeriosis in pregnancy**

181 To diagnose maternal listeriosis, cultures from blood, placenta, cerebrospinal fluid
182 (CSF), stool, vagina, or amniotic fluid by amniocentesis for targeted cell cultures with
183 subsequent Gram staining, enzyme immunoassay (EIA), enzyme-linked immunosorbent
184 assays (ELISA), and/or polymerase chain reaction test (PCR) are recommended. The
185 standard diagnostic procedure is placental cultures ⁽⁷⁾. Even though an ELISA assay can
186 detect listeriolysin-O (LL-O) antibodies, it cannot be used to exclude completely a prior

187 infection ⁽⁸⁾ , but it could represent a useful screening tool for monitoring of suspected
188 or exposed patients. With a presentation of flu-like or gastrointestinal symptoms in an
189 afebrile pregnant woman, a presumption of exposure to listeria should be considered
190 and blood cultures performed. If a symptomatic patient presents with a fever >38.1°C,
191 blood culture should be performed for confirmation ^(12, 15, 19, 23). To diagnose neonatal
192 listeriosis, blood and CSF cultures should be performed. Alternatively, ear and
193 umbilical swabs, DNA hybridization and/or monoclonal antibodies should be performed
194 ^(5, 11).

195

196 **Listeriosis treatment in pregnancy**

197 Internationally, recommended treatment regimens differ substantially. If the pregnant
198 woman is asymptomatic or has consumed a product that was been recalled or implicated
199 after discovering listeriosis, the results of our UR suggest that no medical treatment is
200 necessary but monitoring for symptoms should be continued for up to 2 - months.
201 However, if the woman is symptomatic, the first-choice antibiotics are intravenous (IV)
202 ampicillin or amoxicillin. As an alternative in women with penicillin allergy, a
203 combination of IV trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole is recommended ^(5, 10, 12, 14).

204 In Ireland, the USA and Canada, counselling of pregnant women with respect to
205 symptomatology is recommended. Conversely, in Australia, as a precaution, starting
206 antibiotic therapy with oral amoxicillin or ampicillin 2-3 g/day for 7-days beyond the
207 first trimester of pregnancy was recommended. Pregnant women who consumed
208 products that have been recalled, and who are afebrile with minor gastrointestinal or flu-
209 like symptoms, should be treated in the same way as asymptomatic pregnant women. In
210 Ireland and the USA, in case of severe infection, where the pregnant woman is febrile,
211 the same approach is recommended, with simultaneous testing with blood cultures and
212 commencement of antibiotic therapy (unspecified) and placental cultures post-delivery.
213 If blood culture is negative, continuation of antibiotic therapy versus discontinuation is
214 a consideration ^(5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 24).

215 In Australia, antibiotic therapy with IV amoxicillin or IV ampicillin 4-6 g/day for 14
216 days is recommended. In Canada, high doses of IV ampicillin 6-12 g/day or amoxicillin
217 orally 100mg/kg/day is given for 14 days or until delivery ^(1, 8). This can be administered
218 alone or in combination with gentamicin. If the patient is allergic to betalactams and is
219 given trimethoprim in the first trimester as an alternative, it is recommended that

220 additional folic acid should be provided ⁽¹⁾. In France, IV amoxicillin is given for 2-
221 weeks or until delivery in combination with IV gentamicin for 3-5 days ^(5, 24).
222 In general, in our UR, IV ampicillin or IV amoxicillin were first line treatments ^{(1, 7, 8, 11,}
223 ^{15, 21, 23)}. In Iran, herbal medicines that contain bioactive phytochemicals such as
224 antioxidants monoterpenes, sesquiterpene, coumarin, flavonoids, tannins, saponins,
225 alkaloids, and terpenoids are used as a treatment for listeriosis ⁽²⁴⁾. Despite promising
226 results, the therapeutic mechanisms of these phytochemicals remain unknown.
227 Some experts suggest discontinuation of antibiotic therapy unless the blood culture
228 demonstrates listeriosis. Others suggest antibiotic treatment, even when the culture
229 results are still pending ⁽⁸⁾.

230

231 **Listeriosis treatment in neonates**

232 The most common complications of listeriosis in neonates are generalized sepsis and
233 meningitis. Neonatal listeriosis can be divided into two forms: early-onset and late-
234 onset disease. Early-onset disease takes place within the first week after delivery and
235 late-onset occurs after 2 - weeks or more. Early-onset is usually caused by intrauterine
236 infection and is associated with PTB ⁽¹²⁾. Late-onset probably occurs during the passage
237 through the birth canal and vertical, or nosocomial transmission ⁽¹⁵⁾. In cases with early
238 onset, the recommended treatment is IV ampicillin or IV amoxicillin 100-300
239 mg/kg/day in 4-6 doses with IV gentamicin or IV penicillin G (benzylpenicillin) for 2-
240 weeks with IV gentamicin. In the case of late-onset, treatment with IV ampicillin or
241 amoxicillin 100-300 mg/kg/day in 4-6 doses of IV penicillin G for 3 - weeks with IV
242 gentamicin is recommended ⁽⁵⁾.

243

244 **DISCUSSION**

245 This UR of other SRs and MAs has considered the epidemiology, prevention, treatment,
246 diagnosis of listeriosis and complications for the mother and the neonate within 4-weeks
247 postnatally. Listeriosis is 16-20 times more common during pregnancy than in the non-
248 pregnant population ⁽⁸⁾. According to calculations done using disability-adjusted life
249 years (DALY), the incidence of listeriosis has increased during pregnancy worldwide by
250 14.7% since 2010 ⁽¹⁸⁾. The Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated
251 a decline of about 42% in the USA but an increase in Europe between 2008 and
252 2012⁽¹⁵⁾. For correct diagnosis and confirmation of listeriosis in the mother, positive

253 blood cultures from the placenta, maternal blood and PCR after antibiotic treatment
254 should be performed.

255 Maternal listeriosis infection treatment with IV ampicillin, for 14 days, or IV
256 amoxicillin with IV gentamicin, for 2-5 days, provides the best outcome for the mother
257 (5-9). In cases of allergic reaction to penicillin, the alternative is IV trimethoprim with IV
258 sulfamethoxazole, for 14 days or until delivery (5, 6, 9). To treat neonatal infection, IV
259 ampicillin or IV amoxicillin with IV gentamicin, for at least 14 days, gives the best
260 outcome (8-10).

261 Listeriosis maternal complications include miscarriage, spontaneous preterm birth and
262 stillbirth whereas neonatal complications are sepsis, meningitis with the risk of
263 neurobehavioral problems or even death (7, 8, 11, 15, 18, 23).

264

265 Strengths of the umbrella review

266 To our knowledge, this is the first UR of listeriosis in pregnant women and neonates in
267 relation to its epidemiology, prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and complication. We
268 have conducted the UR based on PRISMA and AMSTAR-2 guidelines selecting the
269 SRs indexed before 1st December 2020. We have also included one meta-analysis,
270 which makes the results more precise. The selected reviews were of moderate to high
271 quality. Another strength of this UR is the rigorous electronic search strategy. Through
272 this review, we were able to highlight the importance of prevention in reducing both
273 maternofetal and neonatal mortality and morbidity.

274

275 Limitations

276 Three of nine SRs included, exhibited bias with respect to food consumption,
277 socioeconomic status and dietary habit related to demography and six of the SRs did not
278 demonstrate bias. In general, the heterogeneity of the methodology included in the SRs
279 and their subsequent results were poorly reported. Such heterogeneity could have been
280 due to the differing validity of reported diagnoses and outcomes, such as miscarriage,
281 which may have led to under- or over-estimation in the reported statistics. The included
282 reviews did not provide a full list of exclusion criteria and failed to justify why they
283 excluded papers from the original searches. Finally, there was a shortage of well-
284 conducted SRs, which is why we decided to include reviews which had at least one
285 search in an international database.

286

287 **Proposal for future approach**

288 Food safety and education are key to minimizing the future risks of listeriosis in
289 pregnancy (1, 7, 8, 11, 15, 23). Screening pregnant women, who are exposed or who are
290 symptomatic, for the presence of anti-LLO bodies may help prevention or detection in
291 pregnancy and minimize the risk of complications. When there is a suspicion of
292 listeriosis in an asymptomatic pregnant woman, commencement of antibiotic therapy
293 could be preventive. If a pregnant woman presents with mild symptoms, blood culture,
294 fetal surveillance and antibiotic therapy provide better control of the disease. When a
295 pregnant woman has severe infection and presents with symptoms that confirm
296 listeriosis, blood culture should be performed, and IV antibiotic therapy initiated. Future
297 diagnostics might include ear and umbilical swabs, DNA hybridization and serological
298 testing for anti-listeriolysin antibodies, as is already used in certain hospitals (5, 8, 11).
299 The epidemiological data yield different results and can affect the reported outcome and
300 prevention of listeriosis. There are several gaps in our knowledge, which should be
301 considered and would benefit from future research. It would be more precise to include
302 cases that are not detected or registered in low, medium, or high-income countries. Only
303 four countries have provided clinical guidelines for the prevention and treatment of
304 listeriosis (Ireland, USA, Canada, and Australia), the most recent being from 2017 (8).

305

306 **CONCLUSIONS**

307 Available data about listeriosis during pregnancy and the neonate are of moderate to
308 high quality. *L. monocytogenes* is an unusual pathogen due to the intracellular nature of
309 its cycle, which may explain the ready ease of transplacental passage. Listeriosis in
310 pregnancy is 13 to 20 times more common in pregnant women compared to the general
311 population. This UR on listeriosis in pregnancy emphasizes the importance of its
312 prevention during pregnancy. Furthermore, it underlines the importance of educating
313 pregnant women, particularly vulnerable subgroups to raise their awareness. The UR
314 demonstrates that the most likely reason for listeriosis during pregnancy is a lack of
315 knowledge and information. Too little information and knowledge is available about
316 which food to avoid, and caution with respect to preparation and consumption of certain
317 products like hot-dogs, unpasteurized milk, soft cheeses, and refrigerated smoked

318 seafood and fruits and vegetables. Care should be taken to prevent cross contamination
319 of foods.

320 Pregnant women with co-morbidities are at increased risk due to compromised cell-
321 mediated immunity. Maternal illness is usually mild compared to fetal illness that can
322 be devastating. Fetal and neonatal mortality are potentially preventable with early
323 diagnosis and intervention. Maternal and neonatal treatments are a combination of IV
324 antibiotics with a variable one-two week's duration.

325

326 **Author Contributions:**

327 CAP, KSK and JSJ made substantial contributions to conception and design. IBH
328 applied the screening algorithm in the searching and revised the manuscript. AMA and
329 IFK carried out the work, they were reviewers in the SR, extracted data information and
330 drafted the first version of the manuscript. CAP was a third reviewer. CAP, KSK, JSJ
331 and RFL, revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors
332 approved the final version of the manuscript.

333

334 **Funding:**

335 This research received no external funding.

336

337 **Informed Consent Statement:**

338

339 Patient consent was waived because the Umbrella Review relies on retrieval and
340 synthesis of data from existing Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis.

341

342 **Acknowledgements:**

343 KSK is a distinguished Investigator funded by Beatriz Galindo (senior modality)
344 Program grant given to the University of Granada by the Ministry of Science,
345 Innovation, and Universities of the Spanish Government.

346

347 **Conflicts of Interest:**

348 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

349

350

351 **References:**

- 352 1. Madjunkov M, Chaudhry S, Ito S. Listeriosis during pregnancy. Archives of
353 gynecology and obstetrics. 2017;296(2):143-52.
- 354 2. Noriega LM, Ibanez S, Gonzalez P, Yamamoto M, Astudillo J, Gonzalez M, et
355 al. Listeria monocytogenes: Report of a rise in pregnant women and literature review.
356 Revista Chilena De Infectologia. 2008;25(5):342-9.
- 357 3. Poulsen KP, Czuprynski CJ. Pathogenesis of listeriosis during pregnancy.
358 Animal health research reviews. 2013;14(1):30-9.
- 359 4. Sánchez L, Capdevila E, Porta R, Molina V, Viñes L, Serra B. [Perinatal
360 listeriosis: incidence also increases in other regions]. Anales de pediatria (Barcelona,
361 Spain : 2003). 2010;72(2):149-50.
- 362 5. Allerberger F, Huhulescu S. Pregnancy related listeriosis: treatment and control.
363 Expert review of anti-infective therapy. 2015;13(3):395-403.
- 364 6. Martínez-Montero I, Segura Ortega V, Martínez Jiménez L, García Jiménez A,
365 Unzetabarrenetxea Barrenetxea O, Pérez Rodríguez AF. [Cholestasis and listeriosis in
366 the third trimester of pregnancy]. Anales del sistema sanitario de Navarra.
367 2013;36(3):569-75.
- 368 7. Craig AM, Dotters-Katz S, Kuller JA, Thompson JL. Listeriosis in Pregnancy: A
369 Review. Obstetrical & gynecological survey. 2019;74(6):362-8.
- 370 8. Pucci L, Massacesi M, Liuzzi G. Clinical management of women with listeriosis
371 risk during pregnancy: a review of national guidelines. Expert review of anti-infective
372 therapy. 2018;16(1):13-21.
- 373 9. Witbooi PJ, Africa C, Christoffels A, Ahmed IHI. A population model for the
374 2017/18 listeriosis outbreak in South Africa. PloS one. 2020;15(3):e0229901.
- 375 10. Wu L, Zhang XH, Chen H, Yin XL. [Neonatal septicemia caused by Listeria
376 monocytogenes: report of 6 cases]. Zhonghua er ke za zhi = Chinese journal of
377 pediatrics. 2008;46(1):22-5.
- 378 11. Lamont RF, Sobel J, Mazaki-Tovi S, Kusanovic JP, Vaisbuch E, Kim SK, et al.
379 Listeriosis in human pregnancy: a systematic review. Journal of perinatal medicine.
380 2011;39(3):227-36.
- 381 12. Awofisayo A, Amar C, Ruggles R, Elson R, Adak GK, Mook P, et al.
382 Pregnancy-associated listeriosis in England and Wales. Epidemiology and infection.
383 2015;143(2):249-56.

- 384 13. Charlier C, Fevre C, Travier L, Cazenave B, Bracq-Dieye H, Podevin J, et al.
385 *Listeria monocytogenes*-associated biliary tract infections: a study of 12 consecutive
386 cases and review. *Medicine*. 2014;93(18):e105-e.
- 387 14. Schlech WF. Epidemiology and Clinical Manifestations of *Listeria*
388 *monocytogenes* Infection. *Microbiology spectrum*. 2019;7(3).
- 389 15. De Luca C, Donati L, D'Oria L, Licameli A, Pellegrino M, De Santis M. *Listeria*
390 infection in pregnancy: A review of literature. *Open Infectious Diseases Journal*.
391 2015;9(1):20-5.
- 392 16. Mateus T, Silva J, Maia RL, Teixeira P. Listeriosis during Pregnancy: A Public
393 Health Concern. *ISRN obstetrics and gynecology*. 2013;2013:851712.
- 394 17. Okike IO, Lamont RF, Heath PT. Do we really need to worry about *Listeria* in
395 newborn infants? *The Pediatric infectious disease journal*. 2013;32(4):405-6.
- 396 18. de Noordhout CM, Devleeschauwer B, Angulo FJ, Verbeke G, Haagsma J,
397 Kirk M, et al. The global burden of listeriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
398 *Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2014;14(11):1073-82.
- 399 19. ACOG. Committee Opinion No. 614: Management of pregnant women with
400 presumptive exposure to *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Obstetrics and gynecology*.
401 2014;124(6):1241-4.
- 402 20. Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, et al. AMSTAR 2:
403 a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-
404 randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*.
405 2017;358:j4008.
- 406 21. Feng Y, Wu S, Varma JK, Klena JD, Angulo FJ, Ran L. Systematic review of
407 human listeriosis in China, 1964-2010. *Tropical medicine & international health : TM*
408 *& IH*. 2013;18(10):1248-56.
- 409 22. Mylonakis E, Paliou M, Hohmann EL, Calderwood SB, Wing EJ. Listeriosis
410 during pregnancy: a case series and review of 222 cases. *Medicine*. 2002;81(4):260-9.
- 411 23. Wadhwa Desai R, Smith MA. Pregnancy-related listeriosis. *Birth defects*
412 *research*. 2017;109(5):324-35.
- 413 24. Rafeian-Kopaei M, Saki K, Bahmani M, Ghafourian S, Sadeghifard N,
414 Taherikalani M. Listeriosis Phytotherapy. *Journal of Evidence-Based Complementary*
415 *& Alternative Medicine*. 2017;22(2):278-83.
- 416
417

418
419
420

Author Manuscript

Table 1: AMSTAR-2 checklist items

Author, Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Craig et al, 2019 ⁷	Yes	PY	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	PY	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Wadhwa et al, 2017 ²³	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	PY	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Pucci et al, 2017 ⁸	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Madjunkov et al, 2017 ¹	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
De Luca et al, 2015 ¹⁵	Yes	PY	No	Yes	No	No	No	PY	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Noordhout et al, 2015 ¹⁸	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
Feng et al, 2013 ²¹	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	PY	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Lamont et al, 2011 ¹¹	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	PY	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Mylonakys et al, 2002 ²²	No	PY	No	PY	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No

PY: Partial Yes; 1. Did the research questions and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO?; 2. Did the report of the review contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?; 3. Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?; 4. Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?; 5. Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?; 6. Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?; 7. Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?; 8. Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?; 9. Did the review authors use a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias (RoB) in individual studies that were included in the review?; 10. Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?; 11. If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?; 12. If meta-analysis was performed, did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?; 13. Did the review authors account for RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?; 14. Did the review authors provide a satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review? 15. Did the review authors provide a satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?; 16. Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?

Author Manuscript

Figure 1: Flow chart

