

Preferences for Discussing Life Expectancy: a Cross-sectional Survey Among Geriatric Outpatients in Denmark

Bjørk, Emma; Lundby, Carina; Ryg, Jesper; Thompson, Wade

Published in:
Journal of General Internal Medicine

DOI:
10.1007/s11606-021-07358-4

Publication date:
2022

Document version:
Accepted manuscript

Citation for polished version (APA):
Bjørk, E., Lundby, C., Ryg, J., & Thompson, W. (2022). Preferences for Discussing Life Expectancy: a Cross-sectional Survey Among Geriatric Outpatients in Denmark. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 37(12), 3224-3227. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-021-07358-4>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

1 **Preferences for discussing life expectancy: A cross-sectional survey among geriatric**
2 **outpatients in Denmark**

3 Emma Bjørk^{1,2,3} MScPharm, Carina Lundby^{1,2,3,4} MScPharm PhD, Jesper Ryg^{3,5,6} MD PhD, Wade
4 Thompson^{2,3,4} PharmD PhD

- 5 1. Clinical Pharmacology, Pharmacy and Environmental Medicine, Department of Public
6 Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense C, Denmark
7 2. Hospital Pharmacy Funen, Odense University Hospital, Odense C, Denmark
8 3. Odense Deprescribing INitiative (ODIN), Odense University Hospital and University of
9 Southern Denmark, Odense C, Denmark
10 4. Research Unit of General Practice, Department of Public Health, Odense C, University of
11 Southern Denmark
12 5. Department of Geriatric Medicine, Odense University Hospital, Odense C, Denmark
13 6. Geriatric Research Unit, Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark,
14 Odense C, Denmark
15

16 **Correspondence**

17 Emma Bjørk, Clinical Pharmacology, Pharmacy and Environmental Medicine, Department of Public
18 Health, University of Southern Denmark, J.B. Winsløvs Vej 19, 5000 Odense C, Denmark
19 (ebjoerk@health.sdu.dk, +4521227795)

20
21 Manuscript word count: 694

22 Number of references: 6

23 Number of tables: 2

24 Number of figures: 0

25 Key words: *Life expectancy, patient preferences, shared decision-making, communication*

26

27

28 **Introduction**

29 A discussion of life expectancy allows patients to make choices that fit with their health context.^{1,2}
30 Our recently published systematic review on patient preferences for discussing life expectancy
31 found that, in 24 out of 31 of the included studies, the majority of patients ($\geq 50\%$) reported a
32 positive attitude towards discussing life expectancy.³ Previous studies have focused mostly on
33 patients with cancer,³ while few have focused on older adults with frailty.³ We examined Danish
34 geriatric outpatients' preferences for discussing life expectancy with a physician.

35 **Methods**

36 We conducted our survey in the geriatric outpatient clinic at Odense University Hospital, Denmark,
37 from September to November 2020. Patients were excluded if their visit concerned a dementia
38 assessment, if they did not speak Danish, or if the nurses deemed them of low cognitive ability.

39

40 We developed a survey based on previous literature³ and with input from Danish experts on the
41 topic. We piloted the survey among four patients, using cognitive interviews, and revised it into a
42 final version. The survey had two sections, one exploring patient characteristics and one exploring
43 patient preferences for discussing life expectancy. One author (EB) interviewed patients in a private
44 room in the geriatric outpatient clinic. Patients were given as much time as needed to provide their
45 answers. The interviews lasted on average 20 minutes. The outcome of interest was patients'
46 willingness to discuss life expectancy with a physician, defined as: *not willing at all, to a low degree,*
47 *to a medium degree, or to a high degree.* We reported results using descriptive statistics.

48

49 The study was registered in the Region of Southern Denmark's repository (approval 20/35470). The
50 Regional Committees on Health Research Ethics waived registration due to the study design (case
51 number 20202000-146).

52 **Results**

53 Ninety-three patients were invited to participate in the study of which 70 completed the survey
54 (response rate: 75%) (**Table 1**).

55

56 The most prominent degree of willingness to discuss life expectancy was *to a high degree* (51%,
57 n=36) followed by *to a medium degree* (26%, n=18), *to a low degree* (11%, n=8), and *not willing at*
58 *all* (11%, n=8) (**Table 2**). Most patients (87%, n=61) had not previously been offered a discussion
59 about their estimated life expectancy by a physician. However, 81% (n=57) of patients deemed it
60 appropriate for a physician to initiate such a discussion as long as the patients had the opportunity
61 to decline the offer (**Table 2**). Over half (63%, n=44) of patients had little or no trust in their
62 physician's ability to predict life expectancy correctly. This was a prominent reason for not wanting
63 to discuss life expectancy (75%, n=12) among those unwilling to discuss (**Table 2**).

64 **Discussion**

65 Most patients in our study reported having not been previously offered a discussion about life
66 expectancy by a physician. However, four out of five patients deemed it appropriate for a physician
67 to offer the discussion. This is consistent with previous studies among similar patient groups.⁴⁻⁶
68 However, a survey conducted among 878 older persons, using an online hypothetical patient
69 scenario,⁶ found that most people unwilling to discuss their life expectancy did also not find it
70 appropriate for a patient to even be offered a discussion on the topic. This may suggest that
71 patients' preferences are affected by the context in which the topic is posed.

72

73 Our study population might have been in a different mindset compared to people considering a
74 hypothetical patient scenario, as we approached patients directly following their clinic appointment
75 and phrased the question directly about them. Given that our setting is closer to where such a
76 discussion might normally take place during a real-life consultation, it is likely more reflective of
77 preferences and attitudes in clinical practice.

78

79 Our survey was susceptible to recall bias and social desirability bias, possibly leading to an
80 overrepresentation of positive preferences and underestimation of previous discussions. Further,
81 our results may not be representative of patients of other nationalities or different healthcare
82 settings.

83

84 Our findings suggest that physicians should generally offer to discuss life expectancy with most
85 geriatric outpatients without fear of patients' reactions as long as the patients have the option to

86 decline the offer. However, this needs to be confirmed in other care contexts for older people living
87 with frailty.

88

89

90 **Acknowledgements**

91 *Contributors*

92 We would like to acknowledge physician Ove Gaardboe, Danish Society for Patient Safety, for
93 valuable input to the development of the survey and for critically reviewing the survey questions.
94 Further, we would like to thank the staff in the geriatric outpatient clinic at Odense University
95 Hospital for helping with recruitment and the patients for participating.

96

97 *Software*

98 Study data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at
99 University of Southern Denmark. REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based
100 software platform designed to support data capture for research studies, providing 1) an intuitive
101 interface for validated data capture; 2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export
102 procedures; 3) automated export procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical
103 packages; and 4) procedures for data integration and interoperability with external sources. (Harris PA,
104 Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) - A metadata-driven methodology and workflow
105 process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform.* 2009;42(2):377-381. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010, Harris PA,
106 Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform.*
107 2019;95:103208. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208)

108

109 *Funders*

110 This study was not funded.

111

112 *Declarations of conflicts of interest*

113 All authors have submitted a disclosure of potential conflicts of interest form and declare that
114 they have no conflict of interest.

115

116 **References**

- 117 1. Bibbins-Domingo K, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Aspirin Use for the Primary
118 Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease and Colorectal Cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task
119 Force Recommendation Statement. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164(12):836-845.
120 doi:10.7326/M16-0577
- 121 2. Kutner JS, Blatchford PJ, Taylor DH, et al. Safety and Benefit of Discontinuing Statin Therapy
122 in the Setting of Advanced, Life-Limiting Illness. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2015;175(5):691-700.
123 doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.0289
- 124 3. Bjørk E, Thompson W, Ryg J, Gaardboe O, Jørgensen TL, Lundby C. Patient Preferences for
125 Discussing Life Expectancy: a Systematic Review. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2021;36(10):3136-3147.
126 doi:10.1007/s11606-021-06973-5
- 127 4. Kistler CE, Lewis CL, Amick HR, Bynum DL, Walter LC, Watson LC. Older adults' beliefs about
128 physician-estimated life expectancy: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2006;7(1):9.
129 doi:10.1186/1471-2296-7-9
- 130 5. Hanson S, Brabrand M, Lassen AT, Ryg J, Nielsen DS. What Matters at the End of Life: A
131 Qualitative Study of Older Peoples Perspectives in Southern Denmark. *Gerontol Geriatr Med.*
132 2019;5:2333721419830198. doi:10.1177/2333721419830198
- 133 6. Schoenborn NL, Janssen EM, Boyd C, et al. Older Adults' Preferences for Discussing Long-
134 Term Life Expectancy: Results From a National Survey. *Ann Fam Med.* 2018;16(6):530-537.
135 doi:10.1370/afm.2309

136

Table 1. Patient characteristics for the study population and stratified for patients who wanted to discuss life expectancy and patients who did not want to discuss life expectancy.*

	Study population (n=70)†	Wanted to discuss life expectancy (n=54)†	Did not want to discuss life expectancy (n=16)†
Age, median (IQR)	82 (76-86)	81 (75-86)	82 (81-86)
Female, n (%)	45 (64)	34 (63)	11 (69)
Number of regular medications, n (%)			
0-4	12 (17)	7 (13)	5 (31)
5-9	29 (41)	25 (46)	4 (25)
≥10	29 (41)	22 (41)	7 (44)
Comorbidity, n (%)			
Hypertension	33 (47)	26 (48)	7 (44)
Osteoporosis	25 (36)	22 (41)	3 (19)
Atrial fibrillation	17 (24)	12 (22)	5 (31)
Cardiovascular disease	16 (23)	12 (22)	4 (25)
Mental and behavioral disorder	14 (20)	13 (24)	1 (6)
Musculoskeletal disorders	13 (19)	11 (20)	2 (13)
Cerebrovascular disease	10 (14)	10 (19)	-
Thyroid disease	9 (13)	9 (17)	-
Type 2 diabetes	9 (13)	5 (9)	4 (25)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	9 (13)	6 (11)	3 (19)
Digestives disease	9 (13)	7 (13)	2 (13)
Educational level, n (%)			
Primary school	18 (26)	10 (19)	8 (50)
High school	28 (40)	24 (44)	4 (25)
Business school	9 (13)	7 (13)	2 (13)
Bachelor	12 (17)	11 (20)	1 (6)
Master	3 (4)	2 (4)	1 (6)
Marital status, n (%)			
Married	21 (30)	16 (30)	5 (31)
Widowed	32 (46)	24 (44)	8 (50)
Single	13 (19)	11 (20)	2 (13)
Other‡	4 (6)	3 (6)	1 (6)
Living relative(s), n (%)			
Yes	66 (94)	50 (93)	16 (100)
No	4 (6)	4 (7)	-
Had a relative present during survey, n (%)			
Yes	17 (24)	12 (22)	5 (31)
No	56 (80)	42 (78)	11 (69)
Self-rated importance of religion, n (%)			
Not disclosed	1 (1)	1 (2)	-
Not religious	19 (27)	15 (28)	4 (25)
Low	11 (16)	8 (15)	3 (19)
Medium	31 (44)	22 (41)	9 (56)
High	8 (11)	8 (15)	-
Living situation, n (%)			
Private home with relatives, no home care	15 (21)	11 (20)	4 (25)
Private home with relatives, with home care	5 (7)	3 (6)	2 (13)
Private home alone, no home care	17 (24)	13 (24)	4 (25)
Private home alone, with home care	31 (44)	25 (46)	6 (38)
Nursing home	2 (3)	2 (4)	-

*This table comprises the full first section of the survey.
†Does not add up to 100% due to decimal round up.
‡Other includes domestic partners and significant others

Table 2. Patients' willingness to discuss life expectancy with a physician as well as reasons for wanting/not wanting to discuss life expectancy with a physician for the study population and stratified for patients who wanted to discuss life expectancy (willing to a medium degree or to a high degree) and patients who did not want to discuss life expectancy (willing to a low degree or not willing at all). * Patients indicating a positive preference towards discussing life expectancy were asked to disagree/agree to statements about wanting to discuss life expectancy, while patients indicating a negative preference were asked statements about not wanting to discuss. Both groups had the option to give other reasons. †

	Study population (n=70)‡	Wanted to discuss life expectancy (n=54)‡	Did not want to discuss life expectancy (n=16)‡
To which degree would you be willing to discuss life expectancy with your physician? n (%)			
Not willing	8 (11)	-	8 (50)
Low degree	8 (11)	-	8 (50)
Medium degree	18 (26)	18 (33)	-
High degree	36 (51)	36 (67)	-
Previously discussed life expectancy with healthcare professional, n (%)			
Yes, for myself	2 (3)	2 (4)	-
Yes, for a relative	6 (9)	4 (7)	2 (13)
No, never offered	61 (87)	47 (87)	14 (88)
No, rejected an offer	1 (1)	1 (2)	-
Level of trust that physician can predict life expectancy correctly, n (%)			
Don't know	9 (13)	7 (13)	2 (13)
None	23 (33)	16 (30)	7 (44)
Low	21 (30)	19 (35)	3 (19)
Medium	11 (16)	8 (15)	3 (19)
High	5 (7)	4 (7)	1 (6)
Is it acceptable for the physician to initiate a discussion about life expectancy? n (%)			
Yes, as long as I can say no	57 (81)	45 (83)	12 (75)
Yes, the physician should always initiate if they deemed it medically relevant, even if I do not want to discuss my life expectancy	8 (11)	7 (13)	1 (6)
No, it is not appropriate	5 (7)	2 (4)	3 (19)
Is it the physician's choice whether relatives are present during life expectancy discussion? n (%)			
Yes	10 (14)	8 (15)	2 (13)
No	60 (86)	46 (85)	14 (88)
How would you prefer a health decision were made, if it depended on your estimated life expectancy? n (%)			
The physician should decide	9 (13)	7 (13)	2 (13)
I should decide	18 (26)	14 (26)	4 (25)
The physician and I should decide together	43 (61)	33 (61)	10 (63)
Reasons for wanting to discuss life expectancy among those wanting to discuss, n (%)			
		Agree	
To ensure the best personal treatment plan	-	48 (89)	-
To get information about what the future might hold	-	30 (56)	-
To make me feel less scared	-	42 (78)	-
To make my relatives feel less scared	-	37 (69)	-
A good and trusting relationship with physician†	-	17 (31)	-

Belief that the physician will only bring it up if the discussion is relevant†	-	6 (11)	-
Reasons for not wanting to discuss life expectancy among those not wanting to discuss, n (%)			Agree
It is an uncomfortable topic to discuss	-	-	9 (56)
Death is part of god's plan/fate	-	-	6 (38)
Physicians cannot predict life expectancy correctly	-	-	12 (75)
I do not want to know when I might die	-	-	15 (94)
It would make me feel scared	-	-	6 (38)
It would make my relatives feel scared	-	-	3 (19)
Belief that life expectancy is too personal an issue to discuss with a physician‡	-	-	2 (13)

*This table comprises the full first section of the survey.

†Other reasons mentioned by patients than those listed in the survey.

‡Does not add up to 100% due to decimal round up.