



University of Southern Denmark

## Reliability characteristics and applicability of a repeated sprint ability test in male young soccer players

Castagna, Carlo; Francini, Lorenzo; Krusturup, Peter; Fenarnandes-da-Silva, Juliano; Póvoas, Susana C A; Bernardini, Andrea; D'Ottavio, Stefano

*Published in:*

Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research

*DOI:*

10.1519/JSC.0000000000002031

*Publication date:*

2018

*Document version:*

Accepted manuscript

*Citation for pulished version (APA):*

Castagna, C., Francini, L., Krusturup, P., Fenarnandes-da-Silva, J., Póvoas, S. C. A., Bernardini, A., & D'Ottavio, S. (2018). Reliability characteristics and applicability of a repeated sprint ability test in male young soccer players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 32(6), 1538–1544.  
<https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000002031>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

### Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.

Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.

If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim. Please direct all enquiries to [puresupport@bib.sdu.dk](mailto:puresupport@bib.sdu.dk)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

*Title of the Article:*

**Reliability characteristics and applicability of a repeated sprint ability test in male young soccer players**

*Submission Type:*

**Original Research**

*Authors:*

Carlo Castagna<sup>1,2</sup>, Lorenzo Francini<sup>1</sup>, Peter Krustrup<sup>3</sup>, Juliano Fenarnandes-da-Silva<sup>4</sup>, Susana C. A. Póvoas<sup>5</sup>, Andrea Bernardini<sup>2</sup> and Stefano D'Ottavio<sup>2</sup>

*Authors' Affiliations:*

- 1) Fitness training and biomechanics laboratory, Italian Football Federation (FIGC), Technical Department, Coverciano (Florence), Italy;
- 2) University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy;
- 3) Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark;
- 4) Sports Center, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Physical Effort Laboratory, Florianópolis, Brazil;
- 5) Research Center in Sports Sciences, Health Sciences and Human Development, CIDESD, University Institute of Maia, ISMAI, Maia, Portugal.

*Contact Details for the Corresponding Author:*

**Carlo Castagna PhD**, via Sparapani 30, 60131, Ancona, Italy;

tel: +39 071-2866532, @mail: [castagnac@libero.it](mailto:castagnac@libero.it)

*Preferred Running Head:* **Repeated sprint testing in youth soccer**

*Abstract Word Count:* **177 words**

*Text-Only Word Count:* **3844 words**

*Number of Figures and Tables:* **2 tables**

*References number:* **30 citations**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

## **ABSTRACT**

The aim of this study was to examine the usefulness and reliability characteristics of a repeated sprint ability test considering 5 line sprints of 30-m interspersed with 30-s of active recovery in non-elite outfield young male soccer players. Twenty-six (age  $14.9 \pm 1.2$  years, height  $1.72 \pm 0.12$  cm, body mass  $62.2 \pm 5.1$  kg) players were tested 48 hours and 7 days apart for 5x30-m performance over 5 trials (T1-T5). Short- (T1-T2) and long-term reliability (T1-T3-T4-T5) were assessed with Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) and with typical error for measurement (TEM). Short- and long-term reliability ICCs and TEMs for total sprint time and best sprint performance were nearly perfect and satisfactory, respectively. Usefulness (as smallest worthwhile change and TEM ratio) resulted acceptable (i.e. =1) and good (i.e. >1) for total sprint time and best sprint performance, respectively. The present study revealed that the 5x30-m sprint test is a reliable field test in the short and long-term when the sum of sprint times and the best sprint performance are considered as outcome variables. Sprint performance decrements variables showed large variability across trials.

**Keywords:** Association football, talent detection, anaerobic fitness, team sports, intermittent high-intensity exercise.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Soccer is an intermittent high-intensity team sport with players performing as much as 150-200 high speed bouts interspersed with activities of lower intensity or rest (2). In an average competitive soccer-match sprinting accounts for 1-11% of total match-time depending on the arbitrary speed thresholds considered for detecting sprint performance (5). Additionally, players are reported to perform 1000-1400 changes of activity at different speeds with turns and changes of directions according to match progress (32). Indeed, during a soccer match, players may sprint with change of

25 direction to gain ball possession or better positioning (28). However, a recent study showed that line  
26 sprints led more frequently to a scored goal than other match activities performed with different  
27 speeds and exercise modes (i.e. heading, turning, change of direction, etc.) (12).

28 Match analysis using the arbitrary speed-thresholds method have reported sprint distances in the  
29 range of 15-20-m during a competitive match (32). However, this method does not consider the  
30 acceleration phases that lead the run into the chosen sprint speed-threshold category and may  
31 therefore, underestimate the actual sprint-bout distance covered by players during the match (21).  
32 Given this, longer than usually reported sprint-bouts should be considered, with 30-m suggested as  
33 a relevant paradigm to test line-sprint performance in soccer (6, 11, 32).

34 The ability to perform repeat sprint bouts with short recovery time (repeated sprint ability, RSA)  
35 was reported to be relevant for soccer performance and worth being evaluated and trained in elite  
36 soccer (6, 27, 32). Furthermore, RSA test paradigms showed to discriminate between competitive  
37 levels and age groups in youth football, and to serve as a predicting variable in talent detection,  
38 selection and development (3, 24, 30). Despite the various forms of RSA protocols proposed, the  
39 only paradigm that was tested for match sprint-fatigue considered 5 sprints of 30-m each (5x30-m)  
40 repeated after 25-s of active recovery (20). This protocol profiled temporary and cumulative fatigue  
41 during the first and second halves of a soccer match respectively, showing its validity in tracking  
42 relevant physiological phenomena of competitive soccer (20). Moreover, with the same RSA  
43 protocol, Mohr et al. (23) were able to follow-up the recovery of RSA performance during the hours  
44 following an experimental match in male professional soccer-players. Interestingly, a shorter  
45 version, using only three 30-m sprints, described the effect of muscle temperature on RSA  
46 performance in soccer players after the match interval (22). Chaouachi et al. (6) reported in  
47 professional players that 5 bouts of 30-m was the minimum sprint number to detect significant RSA  
48 performance changes when an active recovery of 25-s was considered. Additionally, this test has

49 shown to be associated with relevant match performance in elite assistant referees during  
50 competitive matches (19).

51 The reliability of RSA tests is of fundamental importance in sports science as no RSA gold standard  
52 are currently available (6, 25, 27, 30, 31, 35). Detailed information about test score stability is of  
53 particular interest when dealing with young soccer players that usually train few times per week  
54 across the competitive season and RSA tests are used to track individual changes for talent  
55 detection, selection, and later development (9, 10, 17). For a comprehensive figure of data  
56 reproducibility either at individual or group level, relative and absolute reliability need to be  
57 assessed (17). In this regard, multiple research designs are deemed as ideal in evaluating the  
58 learning effect (i.e. short-term reliability) and physiological stability of RSA test variables across  
59 the time (i.e. long-term reliability) (1, 16) However, long-term reliability studies are difficult to be  
60 carried out in the training set-up, discouraging strength and conditioning coaches in implementing  
61 these time consuming evaluation procedures, forcing them to consider day-to-day designs at best  
62 (1, 16).

63 Test usefulness of a test was defined as the ratio between the estimation of the smallest worthwhile  
64 change (i.e. test signal) and the typical error of the measurement (i.e. test noise) (25, 26). Usefulness  
65 is ideally an “a priori” indicator of test sensitivity and together with relative reliability a proof of  
66 test feasibility as it evaluates the familiarization capacity of subjects and thus the ability to provide  
67 stable between subjects test scores across the trials (25, 26).

68 Despite the interest of RSA test in the form of 5x30-m with 25-30-s recovery between sprint bouts,  
69 no study has yet addressed any form of reliability of the 5x30-m test in young soccer players.  
70 Information in this regard would be of great interest for the assessment of RSA for talent detection,  
71 selection and development.

72 Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine various forms of reliability of the 5x30-m test in  
73 young soccer-players. Specifically, the interest was in evaluating short- and long-term relative and  
74 absolute reliability together with test usefulness of 5x30-m (4, 26). In this study, the usefulness and  
75 relative and absolute good reliability of the 5x30-m test were considered as work hypothesis.

76

## 77 **METHODS**

### 78 **Experimental Approach to the Problem**

79 In this study the considered RSA test consisted of five 30-m line-sprints performed with 30-s of  
80 recovery (5x30-m) according to the procedures developed by Chaouachi et al. (6). This recovery  
81 time was chosen as it permitted young players to comfortably reach the start line before the next  
82 sprint, though not allowing full recovery. Sprint number for the RSA test was assumed according to  
83 the suggestions provided by Chaouachi et al. (6) and preliminary testing with young soccer-players  
84 of similar characteristics to those participating in this study. In this study the RSA paradigm (i.e.  
85 5x30-m) short- and long-term reliability were evaluated according to general procedures suggested  
86 by Impellizzeri et al. (18). The 5x30-m was tested for short- and long-term reliability with the  
87 involved players performing the 5x30-m after 48-h (i.e. short-term reliability, T2) and at one-week  
88 interval from first (T1) testing session (T3), 2-weeks (T4) and 3-weeks (T5), respectively (31). Data  
89 collection was performed over three training weeks during the competitive season.

90 Short-term reliability was assessed with all players having no training session during the 48-h re-  
91 test time. The aim of this procedure was to evaluate the 5x30-m performance consistency (i.e.  
92 learning effect) without the influence of possible confounding variables such as match and training  
93 fatigue (18, 23). Long-term reliability was assessed to test 5x30-m for sensitivity across the time,  
94 with measures being taken always during the first training session of each studied week, that was  
95 carried out at least 48-h after the last match (23). This testing design was considered in order to

96 avoid, as much as possible, the effect of possible post-match cumulative fatigue on 5x30-m  
97 performance, nevertheless providing ecological validity on testing procedures according to this  
98 study aims (18, 20, 23).

99 During this study design testing period, no modification of the usual training schedule as per  
100 training content and match fixture was performed by coaches and fitness trainers. In order to  
101 warrant this study ecological validity, all the testing procedures took place at the beginning of the  
102 training session.

103

#### 104 **Subjects**

105 Participants were 26 young outfield male soccer players (age  $14.9 \pm 1.2$  years, height  $1.72 \pm 0.14$  cm,  
106 body mass  $62.2 \pm 5.1$  kg) with at least 4 years of experience in soccer competitions and training. At  
107 the time of the study the players trained 3 times per week with a competitive match performed  
108 during the weekend. All the procedures involved in this study were carried out during the  
109 competitive season of players' regional-level federal championship (Italian Football Federation,  
110 FIGC). Written informed consent was obtained from of each of the players and parents or guardians  
111 after a detailed verbal and practical explanation of the benefits and potential risks of the testing  
112 procedures considered in this study. The players were aware that they could withdraw from the  
113 study at any requested time without any penalty. All the procedures used in this study were  
114 approved from the Institutional Internal Research Board before the commencement of this study.

115

116

117

118

## 119 **Procedures**

120 The players were familiarized with the test procedures with two training sessions performed in the  
121 week before the commencement of the study. During these sessions the players practised subjective  
122 maximum speed sprints over 30-m with full recovery and repeated sprinting over the same distance  
123 using the considered RSA protocol (6). Great emphasis was provided on players' maximal-effort  
124 production over each of the sprint considered in this study (i.e. 2x30-m sprints with full recovery for  
125 reference and 5x30-m sprints with 30-s between bouts recovery). Testing procedures took place at  
126 the same time of the day (3-5 p.m.) in order to avoid possible circadian bias (6). Before each test  
127 session the players performed a standardized warm-up consisting in 10 min self-paced jogging  
128 (score 2 of CR10 Borg scale average intensity), followed by 2-min of skipping and striding  
129 exercises over 10 and 30-m, respectively. After the standardized warm-up, the players actively  
130 rested for two minutes before starting the testing procedures. During the first testing session, 5-min  
131 before the 5x30-m test, the players performed two maximal 30-m sprints interspersed with 3-min of  
132 passive recovery to establish maximum-performance reference after the standardized warm-up. In  
133 all testing trials players had to, at least, cover the first 5x30-m sprint in a time no slower than 5% of  
134 the individual reference maximum-performance over 30-m (14). In case of failure players had to  
135 repeat the RSA 10 min later as a rule. However, no players violated the assumed test criteria for  
136 RSA in this study. In order to avoid sprint pacing, maximal effort was stressed in each of the 5x30-  
137 m bouts with strong verbal encouragements provided by coaches and peers during all the test  
138 sprints. Calculations for either short and long-term 5x30-m reliability were performed using the  
139 following outcome variables (25, 31):

- 140 • Best 5x30-m sprint (i.e. the fastest 30-m test-sprint, BS);
- 141 • Total sprint time as sum of the time in the 5 sprints (TT);
- 142 • Percentage of change from the ideal total time (ITT), calculated as the fastest sprint of the 5  
143 repetitions multiplied by 5 (i.e. %ITT) (31);



- 144 • Percentage of change from the first to the last sprint (%First-Last).

145 All the test procedures were performed with wind absence and similar environmental conditions  
146 (i.e. 23-26°C temperature, 50-60% humidity). The 5x30-m performance was assessed using a  
147 telemetric photocells system (Witty System, Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). In order to avoid undue  
148 switch-on of the timing system players had to position the front foot immediately before a line set  
149 50-cm from the first photocell beam. The photocell beam was positioned at 0.5-m height and 1.5-m  
150 apart (6). All players performed the 5x30-m test with a self-administered first sprint start and  
151 successive 30-s recovery time timed with a computerized count-down (Witty System, Microgate,  
152 Bolzano, Italy). After each sprint the players were requested to decelerate and slowly jog back to  
153 the starting line in 25-s to in order to position in time for the next sprint bout. Each player was  
154 tested singularly and over the same artificial-turf soccer pitch and wearing football boots. In order  
155 to avoid possible bias on sprint time related to starting technique all players had to maintain a split  
156 stance position standing start position throughout the test and the testing sessions.

## 158 **Statistical Analyses**

159 Results are expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).  
160 Normality assumption was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk W-test. A one-way repeated  
161 measurements analysis of variance with post-hoc Bonferroni tests was used to compare testing  
162 occasions (T1 to T5). The Cohen's *d* was used to evaluate the effect size (7) with values above 0.8,  
163 between 0.8 and 0.5, between 0.5 and 0.2 and lower than 0.2 considered as large, moderate, small,  
164 and trivial, respectively (7). The IntraClass Correlation Coefficient (ICC<sub>3,1</sub>) was used to assess  
165 5x30-m relative reliability and rated according to Hopkins et al. (15, 17, 34). A paired successive-  
166 comparison design was used for ICCs (i.e. T1vT2, T1vT3, T3vT4 and T4vT5) according to  
167 Hopkins et al. (17) Absolute reliability was assessed calculating the Typical Error of Measurement  
168 (TEM), that was reported as row data and as % of coefficient of variation (%CV) according to

169 Hopkins et al. (17). Satisfactory reliability was assumed for variables with TEM as %CV < 5%  
170 (17). Test usefulness (signal-to-noise ratio) was assumed as smallest worthwhile change (0.2 x  
171 standard deviation, SWC) and the TEM ratio (17). The usefulness was rated as marginal, useful and  
172 good when the SWC/TEM was below, equal or higher than 1, respectively (26). Significance was  
173 set at 5% ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

174

## 175 RESULTS

176 The values of the variables considered in this study to characterize the 5x30-m performance are  
177 presented in table 1. The best sprint-time BS at T1 was significantly lower ( $p < 0.003$ ) from those at  
178 T3 (95%CI -0.152, -0.0384;  $d=1.36$ ), T4 (95%CI -0.144, -0.030;  $d=1.23$ ) and T5 (95%CI -0.132, -  
179 0.018;  $d=1.09$ ). No between T1 and T2 significant differences were reported for BS ( $p=0.08$ ,  
180 95%CI -0.111, 0.003;  $d=0.82$ ). Total time at T1 was significantly lower ( $p < 0.004$ ) than at T2 (-  
181 0.701, -0.088;  $d=1.02$ ), T3 (-0.956, -0.344;  $d=1.56$ ), T4 (-0.850, -0.237;  $d=1.67$ ) and T5 (-0.827, -  
182 0.215;  $d=0.81$ ) for TT. No significant differences were found across the trials for %IT ( $p > 0.24$ ,  
183 95%CI -1.467 – 1.039;  $d=0.39-0.63$ ) and %First-Last ( $p > 0.07$ , 95%CI -0.032 – 0.100;  $d=0.24 -$   
184 0.51) variables.

185 The short- (i.e. T1vT2) and long-term (i.e. T1vT3, T3vT4, T4vT5) reliability ICCs were nearly  
186 perfect for BS and TT variables (Table 2). The ICC ranged from small-to-moderate for %IT and  
187 %FLS in either the reliability categories (i.e. short and long-term reliability). The %CV for the BS  
188 and TT were lower than 2% either in the short and long-term reliability conditions. Huge variability  
189 in %IT and %FLS were detected with %CV ranging from 32.4 to 78.2% across the reliability  
190 conditions. The SWC for the TT and BS were 0.32 and 0.06s, respectively. The signal-to-noise ratio  
191 for TT and BS were 1.23 and 1.00, respectively.

192

193 -----Please insert tables 1-2 about here-----

194

## 195 **DISCUSSION**

196 This is the first study that addressed the reliability characteristics and usefulness of the 5x30-m  
197 sprint test in youth male soccer. The main finding of this research was the excellent (i.e. nearly  
198 perfect) relative and the satisfactory absolute reliability of the 5x30-m sprint test when performance  
199 outcome was expressed as TT (8). Similar reliability values were found for BS showing  
200 maintenance of within and between subjects' variability in maximal effort across the trials  
201 considered in this study. Interestingly, the usefulness of the 5x30-m resulted "good" when the TT  
202 was considered as outcome variable (26).

203 The ability to repeat sprint during the match has been reported of relevance in male soccer  
204 performance (27, 30, 32). Despite the consensus over the interest of RSA in soccer performance,  
205 only few RSA test paradigms were examined for reliability with a systematic approach. Impellizzeri  
206 et al. (18) reported a satisfactory absolute reliability in male professional soccer players in the short-  
207 (48-h apart) and long-term (seasonal changes) when using a 20-m shuttle run to be repeated every  
208 20-s for six times. Indeed, short-term RSA test mean and best sprint-time showed a TEM as %CV  
209 of 0.8% and 1.3% respectively, with similar results for the long-term reliability sub-study (18). The  
210 authors reported a very large ICC for mean RSA sprint-time (0.81; CI90% 0.64–0.90) in the short-  
211 term reliability study, but a small to large inter-subject consistency in either reliability conditions  
212 (i.e. short- and long-term) for best sprint and sprint performance decrement (ICC from 0.15 to 0.63).  
213 Wragg et al. (35) using a supposed soccer relevant RSA test, consisting of seven 34.2-m sprints  
214 with change of directions and 25-s of active recovery in-between, reported a %CV of 1.8% across  
215 the 6 trials that they used to test absolute reliability (long-term reliability). Unfortunately, Wragg et  
216 al. (35) did not report any measure of relative reliability and provided results using a small simple

217 size (n=7). With the considered 7x34.2-m test, the players showed performance stability only after 3  
218 test sessions, suggesting practical issues for this test applicability under field conditions even in  
219 professional soccer (35). Spencer et al. (31) investigated long-term reliability (re-test 7 days apart)  
220 in 10 highly-trained male field-hockey players using 6x30-m sprint with 25-s of active recovery.  
221 The test showed very good absolute reliability for TT (i.e. TEM as %CV, 0.7%) and satisfactory  
222 usefulness (i.e. signal-to-noise ratio=1). However, also in this study the authors failed to report any  
223 relative reliability information questioning the test-retest inter-individual consistency (i.e. rank  
224 order) of test performance (17). In a longitudinal RSA development study, Valente-dos-Santos et al.  
225 (33) reported for the 7x34.2-m sprint test reliability (i.e. 7 day apart retest) coefficients of 0.86 and  
226 0.91 for ideal time and total sprint time, respectively, in regional level young soccer players.

227 This study results are in line with absolute reliability reported by other authors with young soccer  
228 players using RSA testing protocols (6, 18, 33). However, the 5x30-m showed higher relative  
229 reliability supporting the fair potential of this RSA paradigm in tracking individual changes across  
230 the testing trials. Given this, 5x30-m may be considered as a valuable research tool to assess the  
231 ability to repeat sprint in young soccer players in the initial stages of their training career. This  
232 finding is of specific interest as RSA was deemed to discriminate between competitive level and  
233 chronological ages in young soccer players, suggesting consideration for RSA in developmental  
234 soccer (13, 24, 29).

235 The ability to repeat sprint was defined as the players' capacity of performing maximal sprints over  
236 time with incomplete active or passive recovery (i.e.  $\leq 30$ s) (30). Thus, promoting the use of sprint  
237 decrement variables to describe the cumulative fatigue built-up experienced by players during  
238 repeated sprinting (25). This issue was of specific interest in young soccer with authors reporting no  
239 variation of %IT across the ages despite age-dependent changes in TT (6, 18, 24, 33). Nonetheless,  
240 the considered papers used a cross-sectional design not providing information whether the reason(s)  
241 for a stable %IT across the ages was a statistical artefact or an effect of maturation (24, 31).

242 Furthermore, the 6x30m test used by the cited authors was not tested for any kind of reliability in  
243 the population of interest (24, 31).

244 The scientific literature that studied the reliability of RSA paradigms has shown poor absolute and  
245 relative reliability of sprint decrement variables across testing trials (18, 31). The findings of the  
246 present study strongly confirmed previous investigations suggesting the use of global performance  
247 variables to track individual and group changes across the trials. Indeed, in our study the ICC for  
248 %IT and %First-Last were moderate to small with huge, and thus practically not acceptable %CV  
249 (i.e. from 32.4 to 78.2%).

250 Usefulness is a key issue for assessing test quality and together with short-term reliability can be  
251 used to evaluate test protocol practical interest (4, 26). In the present study, the 5x30-m has shown  
252 to possess good applicability resulting from a SWC higher than TEM and a nearly perfect  
253 association between TT at T1 and T2. These findings are in line with other studies that used RSA  
254 paradigms similar to the 5x30-m sprint test (31).

255 In this study, control on possible confounding variables was performed looking for standard test  
256 conditions to avoid possible effect of variations in circadian rhythms, climatic conditions,  
257 training/match cumulative strain (i.e. test performed at least 48-h from training/matches), players'  
258 equipment and venue conditions (23). Despite this, significant and practical differences in  
259 performance were detected across the trials with respect to T1. Indeed, the TT scores were lower at  
260 T1 than in T2 (large effect), T3-T5 (large effect) and for the BS difference emerged from the T3  
261 trial (large effect). It could be speculated that this was the effect of a cumulative training and match  
262 fatigue, that may have affected 5x30-m performance progressively during the study course (23, 33).

263 In line with this Mohr et al. (23) reported RSA performance impairment in the 2 post-match days of  
264 an experimental friendly match in male professional adult players using the 5x30-m test.  
265 Additionally, Valente-dos-Santos et al. (33) documented a cumulative effect of long-term training  
266 load on RSA in young regional-level soccer players. Unfortunately, this study design did not

267 involve any form of training load assessment to evaluate the actual possible effect of  
268 acute/cumulative fatigue on this population of soccer players ENREF 36. Future studies investigating  
269 on the effect of young soccer training on long-term variation of 5x30-m test are warranted.

270 This study reported for the first time the reliability characteristics and usefulness of a test that was  
271 reported to be convenient for tracking soccer related RSA fatigue such as 5x30-m (20, 23). The  
272 reported findings suggested that the 5x30-m even in an ecological set-up (i.e. Academy  
273 development activity) can be successful considered for tracking RSA performance in young non-  
274 elite soccer players (20, 33). In this regard, Valente-dos-Santos et al. (33) using the multilevel  
275 approach reported that RSA performance was independent from chronological age and maturation.  
276 Given that, the interest of a reliable and useful test for RSA like 5x30-m in talent detection  
277 procedures (i.e. talent identification, detection and development) in soccer seems warranted. Future  
278 studies are needed addressing further aspects of reliability (i.e. test sensitivity) and ecological  
279 validity of the 5x30-m sprint test in young soccer players.

## 280 **Practical Applications**

281 Information related to test short- and long-term reliability are of great practical interest for the  
282 strength and conditioning coach as they are an expression of test applicability (i.e. learning time)  
283 and sensitivity (i.e. stability of metric result over time), respectively. This allowing the calculation  
284 of the minimum detectable change on individual base (e.g. short-term reliability) and the estimation  
285 of the sample sizes necessary to evaluate meaningful changes (e.g. long-term reliability) in response  
286 to training interventions (18).

287 In this study the most accredited metrics of RSA performance such as speed decrements in the form  
288 of ratio between ideal and actual performance time, difference between fastest and slowest sprint  
289 performance and total sprint time (25, 26, 31) were tested for short- and long-term reliability.

290 Despite the interest of speed decrements for RSA performance the evaluation of this supposed  
291 relevant variable have shown to provide unreliable results (18, 25). The present study showed that

292 this was the case also with young soccer players over controlled repeated testing trails using 5x30-  
293 m as RSA paradigm. Given that, the longitudinal assessment of RSA performance should be  
294 preferably undertaken using the sum of sprint times or sprints' average (31). These findings suggest  
295 that speed decrements are, at best, temporary indicators of RSA fitness level in young soccer  
296 players (31). The detected nearly perfect reproducibility of the BS notation may be used by strength  
297 and conditioning coaches operating in soccer academies to estimate the RSA ability of young soccer  
298 player across the competitive season with a single sprint bout. Indeed, in this study BS performance  
299 showed to be near-perfectly associated with TT ( $r= 0.96-0.99$ , nearly perfect) and occurring always  
300 as the first or second sprint across the testing trials. This finding may suggest the use of 30-m BS as  
301 reference of sprint abilities in young soccer players with a less demanding test protocol, therefore  
302 enabling a “non-invasive” longitudinal measurement option in club academies (13).

303 Given this study results, the 5x30-m test should be considered a useful and reliable test to evaluate  
304 RSA in male young soccer players. This with a very limited learning time on part of players. In  
305 light of this findings, strength and conditioning professionals may consider the 5x30-m test scores  
306 as representative of their players individual RSA performance since their first data collection.

### 307 **Acknowledgments**

308 No financial support was provided for the completion of this study. The authors declare no conflict  
309 of interest with the finding reported in this study.

310

311

312

313

314

315 **References**

- 316 1. ATKINSON, G. and A.M. NEVILL. Statistical methods for assessing measurement error (reliability) in  
317 variables relevant to sports medicine. *Sports Medicine*. 26(4):217-238. 1998.
- 318 2. BANGSBO, J., F.M. IAIA, and P. KRUSTRUP. Metabolic response and fatigue in soccer. *Int J Sports*  
319 *Physiol Perform*. 2(2):111-27. 2007.
- 320 3. BIDAURRAZAGA-LETONA, I., H.M. CARVALHO, J.A. LEKUE, J. SANTOS-CONCEJERO, A.J.  
321 FIGUEIREDO, and S.M. GIL. Longitudinal field test assessment in a Basque soccer youth academy: a  
322 multilevel modeling framework to partition effects of maturation. *International journal of sports*  
323 *medicine*. 36(3):234-40. 2015.
- 324 4. BUCHHEIT, M., M. SPENCER, and S. AHMAIDI. Reliability, usefulness, and validity of a repeated  
325 sprint and jump ability test. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 5(1):3-17. 2010.
- 326 5. CARLING, C., J. BLOOMFIELD, L. NELSEN, and T. REILLY. The role of motion analysis in elite  
327 soccer: contemporary performance measurement techniques and work rate data. *Sports Med*.  
328 38(10):839-62. 2008.
- 329 6. CHAOUACHI, A., V. MANZI, P. WONG DEL, A. CHAALALI, L. LAURENCELLE, K. CHAMARI, and C.  
330 CASTAGNA. Intermittent endurance and repeated sprint ability in soccer players. *Journal of strength*  
331 *and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association*. 24(10):2663-9. 2010.
- 332 7. COHEN, J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 2nd ed. ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence  
333 Erlbaum Associates, 1988.
- 334 8. COPPIETERS, M., K. STAPPAERTS, K. JANSSENS, and G. JULL. Reliability of detecting 'onset of pain'  
335 and 'submaximal pain' during neural provocation testing of the upper quadrant. *Physiother Res Int*.  
336 7(3):146-56. 2002.
- 337 9. DEPREZ, D., J. FRANSEN, M. LENOIR, R.M. PHILIPPAERTS, and R. VAEYENS. A retrospective study  
338 on anthropometrical, physical fitness and motor coordination characteristics that influence drop out,  
339 contract status and first-team playing time in high-level soccer players, aged 8 to 18 years. *Journal*  
340 *of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association*. 2014.



- 341 10. DEPREZ, D., J. VALENTE-DOS-SANTOS, M.J. SILVA, M. LENOIR, R. PHILIPPAERTS, and R.  
342 VAEYENS. Multilevel Development Models of Explosive Leg Power in High-Level Soccer Players.  
343 *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2014.
- 344 11. DI SALVO, V., W. GREGSON, G. ATKINSON, P. TORDOFF, and B. DRUST. Analysis of high intensity  
345 activity in Premier League soccer. *International journal of sports medicine*. 30(3):205-12. 2009.
- 346 12. FAUDE, O., T. KOCH, and T. MEYER. Straight sprinting is the most frequent action in goal situations  
347 in professional football. *J Sports Sci*. 30(7):625-31. 2012.
- 348 13. FIGUEIREDO, A.J., M.J. COELHO E SILVA, and R.M. MALINA. Predictors of functional capacity and  
349 skill in youth soccer players. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*. 21(3):446-54.  
350 2011.
- 351 14. GIRARD, O., A. MENDEZ-VILLANUEVA, and D. BISHOP. Repeated-sprint ability - part I: factors  
352 contributing to fatigue. *Sports Med*. 41(8):673-94. 2011.
- 353 15. HOPKINS, W.G. Measures of reliability in sports medicine and science. *Sports Medicine*. 30(1):1-15.  
354 2000.
- 355 16. HOPKINS, W.G., E.J. SCHABORT, and J.A. HAWLEY. Reliability of power in physical performance  
356 tests. *Sports Med*. 31(3):211-234. 2001.
- 357 17. HOPKINS, W.G., S.W. MARSHALL, A.M. BATTERHAM, and J. HANIN. Progressive statistics for  
358 studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*.  
359 41(1):3-13. 2009.
- 360 18. IMPELLIZZERI, F.M., E. RAMPININI, C. CASTAGNA, D. BISHOP, D. FERRARI BRAVO, A. TIBAUDI,  
361 and U. WISLØFF. Validity of a repeated-sprint test for football. *Int J Sports Med*. 29(11):899-905.  
362 2008.
- 363 19. KRUSTRUP, P., M. MOHR, and J. BANGSBO. Activity profile and physiological demands of top-class  
364 soccer assistant refereeing in relation to training status. *J Sports Sci*. 20:861-871. 2002.
- 365 20. KRUSTRUP, P., M. MOHR, A. STEENSBERG, J. BENCKE, M. KJAER, and J. BANGSBO. Muscle and  
366 blood metabolites during a soccer game: implications for sprint performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc*.  
367 38(6):1165-1174. 2006.

- 368 21. MANZI, V., F. IMPELLIZZERI, and C. CASTAGNA. Aerobic fitness ecological validity in elite soccer  
369 players: a metabolic power approach. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National*  
370 *Strength & Conditioning Association*. 28(4):914-9. 2014.
- 371 22. MOHR, M., P. KRUSTRUP, L. NYBO, J. NIELSEN, and J. BANGSBO. Muscle temperature and sprint  
372 performance during soccer matches – beneficial effect of re-warm-up at half-time. *Scand J Med Sci*  
373 *Sports*. 14:156-62. 2004.
- 374 23. MOHR, M., D. DRAGANIDIS, A. CHATZINIKOLAOU, J.C. BARBERO-ALVAREZ, C. CASTAGNA, I.  
375 DOUROUDOS, A. AVLONITI, A. MARGELI, I. PAPASSOTIRIOU, A.D. FLOURIS, A.Z. JAMURTAS, P.  
376 KRUSTRUP, and I.G. FATOUROS. Muscle damage, inflammatory, immune and performance responses  
377 to three football games in 1 week in competitive male players. *European journal of applied*  
378 *physiology*. 2015.
- 379 24. MUJKA, I., M. SPENCER, J. SANTISTEBAN, J.J. GOIRIENA, and D. BISHOP. Age-related differences in  
380 repeated-sprint ability in highly trained youth football players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*.  
381 27(14):1581-90. 2009.
- 382 25. OLIVER, J.L. Is a fatigue index a worthwhile measure of repeated sprint ability? *J Sci Med Sport*.  
383 12(1):20-3. 2009.
- 384 26. PYNE, D.B. Interpreting the results of fitness testing. In: *Gastrolyte VIS international science and*  
385 *football symposium*. Melbourne, Australia: Victorian Institute of Sport.2003. pp.
- 386 27. RAMPININI, E., D. BISHOP, S.M. MARCORÀ, D. FERRARI BRAVO, R. SASSI, and F.M. IMPELLIZZERI.  
387 Validity of simple field tests as indicators of match-related physical performance in top-level  
388 professional soccer players. *International journal of sports medicine*. 28(3):228-35. 2007.
- 389 28. RAMPININI, E., F.M. IMPELLIZZERI, C. CASTAGNA, A.J. COUTTS, and U. WISLOFF. Technical  
390 performance during soccer matches of the Italian Serie A league: effect of fatigue and competitive  
391 level. *Journal of science and medicine in sport / Sports Medicine Australia*. 12(1):227-33. 2009.
- 392 29. REILLY, T., J. BANGSBO, and A. FRANKS. Anthropometric and physiological predispositions for elite  
393 soccer. *J Sports Sci*. 18(9):669-83. 2000.

- 394 30. SPENCER, M., D. BISHOP, B. DAWSON, and C. GOODMAN. Physiological and Metabolic Responses  
395 of Repeated-Sprint Activities Specific to Field-Based Team Sports. *Sports Med.* 35(12):1025-1044.  
396 2005.
- 397 31. SPENCER, M., M. FITZSIMONS, B. DAWSON, D. BISHOP, and C. GOODMAN. Reliability of a repeated-  
398 sprint test for field-hockey. *Journal of science and medicine in sport / Sports Medicine Australia.*  
399 9(1-2):181-4. 2006.
- 400 32. STØLEN, T., K. CHAMARI, C. CASTAGNA, and U. WISLØFF. Physiology of Soccer: An Update.  
401 *Sports Med.* 35(6):501-536. 2005.
- 402 33. VALENTE-DOS-SANTOS, J., E.S.M.J. COELHO, V. SEVERINO, J. DUARTE, R.S. MARTINS, A.J.  
403 FIGUEIREDO, A.T. SEABRA, R.M. PHILIPPAERTS, S.P. CUMMING, M. ELFERINK-GEMSER, and R.M.  
404 MALINA. Longitudinal study of repeated sprint performance in youth soccer players of contrasting  
405 skeletal maturity status. *Journal of sports science & medicine.* 11(3):371-9. 2012.
- 406 34. WEIR, J.P. Quantifying test-retest reliability using the intraclass correlation coefficient and the SEM.  
407 *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 19(1):231-40. 2005.
- 408 35. WRAGG, C.B., N.S. MAXWELL, and J.H. DOUST. Evaluation of the reliability and validity of a  
409 soccer-specific field test of repeated sprint ability. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 83(1):77-83. 2000

410

#### 411 **Legend of Tables and Figures**

412 **Table 1.** Mean values of the repeated sprint ability (RSA) variables considered in this study (see  
413 methods) across the testing occasions (T1-T5).

414 **Table 2.** Relative and absolute reliability variables across repeated sprint ability (RSA) trials.

415

416

417

418

419

420

421 **Table 1.**

Variable	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Best Sprint (s)	4.63±0.30	4.69±0.30	4.73±0.30**	4.72±0.30**	4.71±0.30**
Total Time (s)	23.58±1.60	23.98±1.60**	24.23±1.80**	24.13±1.70**	24.10±1.60**
%Ideal Time	1.86±1.00	2.38±1.30	2.52±1.10	2.29±1.20	2.43±1.30
%First-Last	-0.19±0.10	-0.22±0.10	-0.25±0.10	-0.22±0.10	-0.23±0.10

422

423

424 **Table 2.**

Trials	T2-1	T3-1	T4-3	T5-4
<b>ICC Best Sprint</b>	0.97 (0.93–0.99)	0.97 (0.94–0.99)	0.98 (0.95–0.99)	0.94 (0.88–0.97)
TEM raw (s)	0.06 (0.05–0.08)	0.06 (0.05–0.08)	0.06 (0.04–0.08)	0.08 (0.07–0.11)
TEM as %CV	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	1.1 (0.9–1.7)	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	1.9 (1.4–2.8)
Change in Mean (s)	0.05 (0.02–0.09)	0.10 (0.06–0.13)	-0.01 (-0.04–0.02)	-0.01 (-0.06–0.04)
<b>ICC Total Time</b>	0.98 (0.95–0.99)	0.96 (0.92–0.98)	0.98 (0.95–0.99)	0.93 (0.86–0.96)
TEM raw (s)	0.26 (0.22–0.35)	0.36 (0.29–0.47)	0.29 (0.24–0.39)	0.47 (0.38–0.61)
TEM as %CV	1.2 (1.0–1.7)	1.5 (1.2–2.1)	1.4 (1.1–1.9)	1.7 (1.4–2.3)
Change in Mean (s)	0.39 (0.27–0.52)	0.65 (0.48–0.82)	-0.11 (-0.25–0.03)	-0.02 (-0.24–0.20)
<b>ICC %Ideal Time</b>	0.34 (0.02–0.60)	0.52 (0.23–0.72)	0.38 (0.07–0.63)	0.27 (-0.05–0.55)
TEM raw (s)	0.94 (0.76–1.22)	0.76 (0.62–0.99)	0.94 (0.77–1.24)	1.11 (0.90–1.45)
TEM as %CV	66.1 (49.6–100.4)	46.5 (35.4–68.7)	45.3 (34.5–66.8)	78.2 (58.2–120.7)
Change in Mean (s)	0.52 (0.07–0.96)	0.65 (0.29–1.01)	-0.22 (-0.67–0.22)	0.14 (-0.38–0.67)
<b>ICC %First-Last</b>	0.24 (-0.09–0.52)	0.30 (-0.02–0.57)	0.33 (0.01–0.59)	0.07 (-0.26–0.38)
TEM raw (s)	0.08 (0.06–0.10)	0.07 (0.06–0.09)	0.08 (0.07–0.11)	0.10 (0.08–0.13)
TEM as %CV	43.1 (32.9–63.4)	43.5 (33.2–64.0)	40.6 (31.0–59.4)	70.2 (52.6–107.3)
Change in Mean (s)	0.03 (0.00–0.07)	0.06 (0.03–0.10)	-0.03 (-0.07–0.01)	0.01 (-0.03–0.06)

425