

Pesticide Poisonings in Low- and Middle-Income Countries

Jørs, Erik; Neupane, Dinesh; London, Leslie

Published in:
Environmental Health Insights

DOI:
10.1177/1178630217750876

Publication date:
2018

Document version:
Final published version

Document license:
CC BY-NC

Citation for pulished version (APA):
Jørs, E., Neupane, D., & London, L. (2018). Pesticide Poisonings in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Environmental Health Insights*, 12, 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1178630217750876>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Pesticide Poisonings in Low- and Middle-Income Countries

Erik Jørs¹, Dinesh Neupane², and Leslie London³

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. ²Duke Kunshan University, Kunshan, China. ³University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

Environmental Health Insights
Volume 12: 1–3
© The Author(s) 2018
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/1178630217750876



AIMS AND SCOPE

This editorial is an introduction to the papers making up the special issue on 'pesticide poisonings in low- and middle income countries'.

This Special Issue on “Pesticide Poisonings in Low- and Middle-Income Countries” gives voice to studies on a complex thematic with growing importance as low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) boost their often ineffective agricultural production with an increased use of pesticides.^{1,2} With 16 papers from Latin America, Africa, and Asia, this publication contains a broad presentation of the main problems with pesticides. This includes numbers and reasons for the poisonings, classes and toxicity of pesticides used, knowledge and level of personal protection, diagnosis and treatment of poisonings, dangers to consumers due to pesticide residues in food, and the environment and possibilities for prevention. The studies point to the need to understand pesticide poisoning as a multifactorial public health problem resulting in an increased burden for vulnerable populations especially in LMICs. To deal with this, attention to both proximal causes and the distal policy and contextual factors is required.

In papers published so far, a relatively high percentage of farmers in LMICs reported acute symptoms of pesticide poisonings after spraying, and objective measures have confirmed this.^{3–5} As can be seen from many studies and in the papers presented here, the incidence of poisonings among farmers varies in accordance with spraying circumstances. Various global estimates on incidence of pesticide poisonings have been made, and the most often cited numbers are 3 000 000 hospitalized acute pesticide poisonings, 25 000 000 less severe poisonings, and around 300 000 deaths from pesticide poisoning per year.^{6–8} Farmers and spray men in public vector programs are the ones most at risk of less serious acute and chronic poisonings, whereas children often suffer from more serious accidental poisonings, and pesticides are the most popular means of self-harm in LMICs leading to most of the deadly pesticide poisonings.^{6–8}

The number of poisonings might be overjudged in some epidemiologic studies due to inaccurate diagnosis and exposure measurements. A paper published few years ago in the World Health Organization (WHO)-Bulletin did set up a matrix for diagnosing pesticide poisonings that should meet at least one of the following criteria: (a) documentation of exposure, (b) health effects (at least 3 symptoms present and possibly a

depressed ChE), and (c) causality.⁹ The papers in this Special Issue highlight the importance of improving diagnostic skills among health care workers as better ascertainment of acute pesticide poisoning is a prerequisite for better surveillance data to improve policy decisions. It is shown that training of health care workers can successfully improve their diagnostic skills of pesticide poisoning cases.

Severe chronic diseases have been linked to pesticide exposure including cancer and impaired neurological development of children exposed in utero.^{10,11} Apart from acute poisonings, exposures leading to such chronic effects might also stem from pesticide intake from residues in food and drinking water,¹² as also shown in some of the papers presented. Generally, there is little public concern on consumer safety in LMICs and there are weak or no government pesticide residue surveillance mechanisms. Empty pesticide containers leading to pollution are commonly found abandoned in the environment because of a lack of support from the authorities and unwillingness on the part of the pesticide companies to recycle containers. The study from Bolivia suggests that solutions involving collecting and recycling containers are possible and can generate benefits for both the farmers and the industry—a model that might be copied in other settings as well.

Preventive measures of occupational exposure with an education of farmers and other users on integrated pest management (IPM) with good agricultural practices and greater use of ecologic alternatives have proven effective not only in reducing number of poisonings but, in some cases, also in increasing profits.^{13,14} Yet, IPM has not spread as should have been expected probably due to too weak control with pesticide imports and sales giving ground for sale of cheap, banned, or low-quality pesticides; IPM being too complicated to learn; a lack of public policy to invest in national IPM extension services for training farmers; a strong lobbyism by the pesticide companies; pesticides providing an effective and simple answer to the problems farmers are facing; and IPM being too costly to diffuse, among others,¹³ and as shown in one of the presented studies pointing out a disproportion between labor efforts and market prices of the IPM grown products.



Upstream interventions show the most promise, eg, banning of the most toxic WHO class I pesticides has been shown to decrease the number of poisonings due to suicide in Sri Lanka with no evidence of method substitution.^{15,16} However, toxic pesticides are still produced in high- and middle-income countries to be marketed in low-income countries. Interventions to prevent self-harm and accidental poisonings through restricting access have been tried out with varying success, largely because of failure to take account of adequate training and awareness about why locking up pesticides is vital.¹⁷ Social determinants that trigger self-harm (eg, poverty, domestic violence, hunger) must also be addressed if this problem of suicide is to be comprehensively prevented.

The papers presented in this Special Issue largely confirm a need for strategies most effective for preventive actions as adequate knowledge on toxicity, prevention, and treatment of pesticide poisonings is lacking among users, health care workers, and the population in general. As indicated, IPM education is a powerful tool but might be difficult to implement on a large scale if no central political action is taken to support its dissemination and uptake. In addition, government policies that promote increased use of synthetic inputs in agriculture as well as the vigorous marketing strategies of the pesticide industry undermine IPM promotion and adoption efforts.

It is understandable that a modernization of agriculture in LMICs with their often hot and humid climates might also imply an increased use of pesticides as was seen in the green revolution in high-income countries decades ago. Documentation of the problems arising from increased pesticide use suggests that solely promoting increased use of pesticides may be associated with greater harm than benefit. Possible solutions that avoid negative health effects and environmental damage have been successfully tested and are ready to implement.

The main responsibility to promote safe and sustainable agricultural production lies with governments and intergovernmental agencies. They have to dual role to play—on the one hand, to invest in awareness-raising among the general population, continuously support, and educate pesticide users on the hazards of pesticide use, how to reduce exposures, and availability of alternatives to pesticides as well as better training of health care workers in the diagnosis, treatment, and surveillance of pesticide poisoning; on the other hand, government needs to regulate the pesticide industry and ensure they contribute to programs to reduce exposure to hazardous pesticides and not just rely on educational interventions. The

United Nations (UN) Guiding Principles for Business and Human Rights point to responsibilities of pesticide companies to support such efforts and UN organizations and civil society organizations can assist.¹⁸ However, it does require political will and the lead must be taken by politicians and policymakers in LMICs.

REFERENCES

1. Pretty J, Bharucha ZP. Integrated pest management for sustainable intensification of agriculture in Asia and Africa. *Insects*. 2015;6:152–182.
2. Schreinemachers P, Tipraqsa P. Agricultural pesticides and land use intensification in high, middle and low income countries. *Food Policy*. 2012;37:616–626.
3. Mancini F, Van Bruggen AH, Jiggins JL, Ambatipudi AC, Murphy H. Acute pesticide poisoning among female and male cotton growers in India. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 2005;11:221–232.
4. Tomenson JA, Matthews GA. Causes and types of health effects during the use of crop protection chemicals: data from a survey of over 6,300 smallholder applicators in 24 different countries. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2009;82:935–949.
5. Jørs E. *Acute Pesticide Poisoning Among Bolivian Small-Holder Farmers—Frequency, Risk Factors and Prevention*. Odense, Denmark: Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark; 2016. (ISBN: 978-87-91245-10-7).
6. Jeyaratnam J. Acute pesticide poisoning: a major global health problem. *World Health Stat Q*. 1990;43:139–144.
7. Litchfield MH. Estimates of acute pesticide poisoning in agricultural workers in less developed countries. *Toxicol Rev*. 2005;24:271–278.
8. Gunnell D, Eddleston M, Phillips MR, Konradsen F. The global distribution of fatal pesticide self-poisoning: systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2007;7:357.
9. Thundiyil JG, Stober J, Besbelli N, Pronczuk J. Acute pesticide poisoning: a proposed classification tool. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2008;86:205–209.
10. London L, Beseler C, Bouchard MF, et al. Neurobehavioral and neurodevelopmental effects of pesticide exposures. *Neurotoxicology*. 2012;33:887–896.
11. Cocco P. Pesticides and human health environment and human health *Environmental Science* [published online ahead of print August 2016]. doi:10.1093/acrefor/9780199389414.013.82.
12. Reiler E, Jørs E, Bælum J, Huici O, Alvarez MM, Cedergreen N. The influence of tomato processing on residues of organochlorine and organophosphate insecticides and their associated dietary risk. *Sci Total Environ*. 2015;527–528:262–269.
13. Waddington H, Snilstveit B, Hombrados J. Farmer field schools for improving farming practices and farmer outcomes: a systematic review. *Campbell Syst Rev*. 2014;6: 1–331.
14. Jørs E, Lander F, Huici O, Morant RC, Gulis G, Konradsen F. Do Bolivian small holder farmers improve and retain knowledge to reduce occupational pesticide poisonings after training on Integrated Pest management? *Environ Health*. 2014;13:75.
15. Gunnell D, Fernando R, Hewagama M, Priyangika WD, Konradsen F, Eddleston M. The impact of pesticide regulations on suicide in Sri Lanka. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2007;36:1235–1242.
16. Roberts DM, Karunaratna A, Buckley NA, Manuweera G, Sheriff MH, Eddleston M. Influence of pesticide regulation on acute poisoning deaths in Sri Lanka. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2003;81:789–798.
17. Pearson M, Metcalfe C, Jayamanne S, et al. Effectiveness of household lockable pesticide storage to reduce pesticide self-poisoning in rural Asia: a community-based, cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2017;390:1863–1872. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31961-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31961-X).
18. UN Human Rights Council. Protect, respect and remedy: a framework for business and human rights: report of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on the Issue of Human Rights and Transnational Corporations and Other Business Enterprises, John Ruggie (A/HRC/8/5). April 7, 2008; <http://www.refworld.org/docid/484d2d5f2.html>. Accessed October 22, 2017.

Guest Editors

DR ERIK JØRS

Dr Erik Jørs is a senior consultant at the Odense University Hospital, Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, and a lecturer at the University of Southern Denmark. He completed Master in International Health at Copenhagen University in 2004 and PhD at the University of Southern Denmark in 2016. He has been developing and coordinating projects in developing countries on public health and prevention of poisonings in agriculture and mining since 1985. He is chairing the Scientific Committee on Occupational Health in Mining within the International Committee on Occupational Health (ICOH). He is a founding board member of the Danish NGO Dialogos and chairing a subcommittee on International Collaboration on OHS in the Danish Association of Specialists in Environmental and Occupational Medicine. He has published 34 peer-reviewed papers, most of them on pesticide poisonings.



Email: erik.joers@rsyd.dk

DR DINESH NEUPANE

Dr Dinesh Neupane is a postdoctoral NCD fellow at Duke Kunshan University, Kunshan, China. He completed his PhD at Department of Public Health, Aarhus University. He now works primarily in chronic diseases prevention and control in low- and middle-income countries. He is a founding chairperson of Nepal-based NGO called Nepal Development Society which focuses on research, innovation, and development. Dr Neupane is the author or coauthor of 35 published papers, many of them are in environmental health. Learn more about Dr Neupane's work by visiting https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Dinesh_Neupane3.



Email: neupane.dinesh@gmail.com

DR LESLIE LONDON

Dr Leslie London is head of the Division of Public Health Medicine and professor of Public Health in the School of Public Health and Family Medicine in the University of Cape Town, South Africa. He has worked in the field of pesticide toxicity for the past 28 years and did his doctorate on the neurobehavioural effects of pesticide exposure for farmworkers in 1995. His research interests span areas of environmental justice, pesticide policy, farmworker health, and the prevention of pesticide-related adverse impacts, with particular emphasis on neurotoxic effects. He has published more than 150 peer-reviewed papers and supervised numerous postgraduate students, including many studying pesticide toxicity.



Email: leslie.london@uct.ac.za

TYPE: Editorial

FUNDING: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Erik Jørs, Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Odense 5230, Denmark. Email erik.joers@rsyd.dk