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Abstract
Policies can influence health of a population in various ways. Numerous epidemiological studies supported by toxicological investigations demonstrate a positive association between ambient concentrations of airborne particulate matter and increased adverse cardio-respiratory events, including morbidity and mortality. The aim of this paper was to present the concept of the top-down health policy risk assessment approach model developed to estimate the expected health risk reduction associated with policy aiming at attaining the new particulate matter ≤ 10 μm in diameter (PM\(_{10}\)) standards in Poland. The top-down approach guides the analysis of causal chains from the policy to health outcomes. In this case study we tried to estimate the predicted health effects of the policy change over the past 20 years. Since Polish annual standard for PM\(_{10}\) changed from 50 μg/m\(^3\) in 1990 to 40 μg/m\(^3\) in 2010, we calculated the relative risk associated with decreasing PM\(_{10}\) in diameter to 10 μg/m\(^3\) in the annual level of PM\(_{10}\) for 6 adverse health effects. The relative risk slightly decreased for almost all adverse health effects, which means that the relative decrease in the incidence of health effects from the baseline incidence should range from about 0.5–0.6% for heart disease admissions to > 1% for respiratory admissions. The obtained results indicate that implementation of the new ambient air standards could influence improvement of the health status of Polish population. A top-down policy health risk assessment model can be one of the main tools in this process, providing harmonized guidance how to seek evidence-based information, which could serve policy-makers.
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Premature deaths from all causes, including cardiopulmonary deaths and lung-cancer deaths, could be prevented annually if long-term exposure to particulate matter (PM) ≤ 10 μm in diameter levels was reduced, even by very small and achievable amounts [20,21]. The Apheis (Air Pollution and Health: a European Information System) study [21] assumes that, due to the reduction in total mortality, the potential gain in life expectancy of a 30-year-old person would range from 1 month to more than 2 years if the annual mean of converted PM$_{2.5}$ would not exceed 15 μg/m$^3$. It is important to note, though, that such a benefit may be achieved only much later than expected. Therefore, consistent implementation of a range of policies could lead to significant reductions in population exposure and associated health impacts. Despite insufficient knowledge on the exposure-effect relationships between particles with an aerodynamic diameter (d) ≤ 10 μm (PM$_{10}$) and human health, PM$_{10}$ standards have been developed. In 1987 in the WHO guidelines [22], the recommended level, below which health effects were unlikely to occur (around 100 μg×m$^{-3}$ annual mean for both smoke and sulphur dioxide), was set and a safety factor of 2 was applied.

It should be stated, however, that the World Health Organization concluded that health risks are present at any level of particles. This conclusion has been absolutely fundamental and has strongly influenced the philosophy of the policy of airborne particles in the context of their impact on human health. Beginning with the 2nd revision of the WHO guidelines for particulate matter in 2000 [23], and continuing with the most recent ones [24], the concept of no observed effect level was abandoned in favor of a model in which no threshold of adverse effects within the usual ambient range was assumed [25]. Under this concept, the recommended value of particulates concentration, while set at a level that gave reasonable protection for public health, was higher than that at which the effect could be observed.
This shift in thinking was strongly influenced by accumulating results of time-series studies of mortality, which tended not to observe a threshold of effect within the ambient range [25]. The Agency for Public Health Education Accreditation (APHEA) study of 29 European cities [26] can be one of the examples. Authors of this paper have documented that no threshold appeared in the relationship between exposure to PM$_{10}$ and daily mortality. No threshold relationship also applied to the long term effects.

Polish experiences provide examples that reveal health benefits following legislation progressively limiting PM levels. The implemented policy has led to appreciable lowering of pollutant levels. Achievement of this target required implementation of a range of policy settings and enforcing emissions standards at the beginning of the 1990s.

The objective of this paper is to present the concept of the top-down policy risk assessment approach, developed to estimate the predicted health risk reduction associated with policy aiming at attaining new particulate matter standards in Poland. Our consideration refers only to the ambient air.

The study was a part of the European Commission’s public health research – RAPID (Risk Assessment from Policy to Impact Dimension) project [27]. The aim of the project was to develop and provide policy makers with methodological guide for the assessment of a complex impact structure of policies in relation to the health of a population [28].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We performed a study based on a literature review and documentation analysis. Epidemiological literature from the period 1989–2012 and electronic databases PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase and CINAHL were searched using the following keywords: “air pollutants,” “airborne particles,” “PM$_{10}$,” “health hazards,” “health impact assessment.” As documentation, all Polish laws related to air pollution and health statistics were studied for the period 1980–2010.

The top-down risk assessment approach follows a logical structure, which starts with the selection of a concrete policy, followed by a description of wider determinants of health influenced by the policy and the risk factors linked to these determinants of health. As a final step, health outcomes related to the selected risk factors are identified. Using this model should allow quantification of health outcomes from an existing or a new policy through its implications on health determinants and associated risk factors, and provide decision-makers with practical information on the expected changes of health outcomes. This approach guides the analysis of causal chains from the policy to health outcomes. A general scheme of such a model is presented in Figure 1. Methodological considerations were pilot tested. The authors tried to estimate the predicted/expected health effects of the policy change. It is important to notice that the main aim of this paper was to develop the assessment model, not the assessment itself. Development of the “full chain” approach model is the key issue here and naturally, the model does not change due to changes in data. It is a robust model and can be applied both with older and more recent data. The important thing; however, is to draw the impact chain across 4 levels.
RESULTS

Policy description

General remarks

Air pollution can basically be regulated in various ways [29]: by emission standards, by air quality standards and by emission taxes. The classical, and in principle the soundest way, is to limit emissions from a source (1 producer), entire country (emission quotas), or even from a sector (for example, emission level from heating plants or from power stations may be restricted). The pragmatic way is to state how much pollution there may be in particular ambient air and regulate the dispersion accordingly. The 1st approach, i.e., establishing emission standards, has been attempted for centuries. The 2nd approach is fairly new, being dependent upon more or less sophisticated measurements and computational techniques. In modern practice, these 2 approaches work together with rules and regulations for both emissions and concentrations.

Analysis of regulation of air pollution
from historical perspective in Poland

Polish national standards have been developed according to the development of knowledge on health implications of inhalation of air pollutants, although with some delay comparing to the so-called Western-European countries and the USA. Therefore, first, a standard for the dust deposition together with a standard for concentration of the total suspended particles have been established. A short review of historical changes of Polish standards for airborne particles is contained in Table 1.

Period 1980–1990

The particulate pollution of ambient air has been assessed by measuring concentration of the total suspended particles matter (TSP) and the deposition level [29,31]. The standard for the deposition was 250 t/(km²/year) and, next, 50 t/(km²/year). In Upper Silesia, the daily values of the TSP levels were often 500–1000 μg×m⁻³, while the deposition of particles was about 600 t/(km²/year). However, in some areas, for example in Zabrze, even values such as 800 t/(km²/year) were reported, i.e., the appropriate standards were significantly exceeded.

Up until the political and economic changes in Poland in the 1980s, all environmental data was confidential. Access to these historical materials (reports and papers) is now unlimited, but the analysis of the published and unpublished data should be made carefully (even national journal papers were typically not reviewed by independent reviewers). Historical PM₁₀ data in Poland cannot be immediately used because the Polish Standard for PM₁₀ established in 1984 indicated that the measurements of PM₁₀ level could be carried out by various samplers without any selector of coarse particles. As a result, for some years monitoring stations in Poland reported the levels of PM₁₀ obtained by various methods and by using various samplers, including samplers used earlier for collecting total suspended particles.

These problems were investigated [37,38], and the reports have shown that in Poland it is possible to use the existing/reported PM concentration data to predict historical values of PM₁₀, but only for the selected areas and for selected periods during the past 30 years. In addition, it should be noted that the PM₁₀/TSP ratio has changed significantly along with time. Significant political and economic transformation in Poland changed the sources of anthropogenic pollution. Emissions of air pollutants from the metallurgical, chemical, and coal mining industries were reduced in few regions, especially in Upper Silesia. Very soon it became clear that it was mostly a reduction of emissions of particles that were larger than 10 μm – from a technical point of view, it is relatively easy to remove coarse particles (especially > 10 μm) from the emitted load of pollutants. On the other hand, decreasing emissions of fine particles needs more advanced and costly techniques, typically using electrostatic collection of particles.
Period 1990–2002

Polish legislation has concentrated on implementing the European Union’s standards. This process was not easy because since 1990 the fractionation of the total suspended particles (TSP) was attempted in Europe by measuring airborne particles with an aerodynamic...
diameter \leq 10 \mu m (PM_{10}). A major part of PM_{10} is often of a natural origin (sea spray and mineral dust), and therefore, it is also important to measure fine particles, i.e., smaller than 2.5 \mu m (PM_{2.5}) or even submicrometer particles, PM_{1} [29]. During this period in Poland, the Ministry for Environmental Protection, Natural Resources and Forests was created and the standards for PM_{10} were established (annual level 50 \mu g \times m^{-3}) [32]. As we highlighted above, a significant political and economic transformation in Poland changed the sources of anthropogenic pollution. For example, total emissions of dust from Polish territory decreased from 1950 Gg in 1990 to 470 Gg in 2002 [34,35]. Very soon it became clear that it was mostly a reduction of emissions of particles larger than 10 \mu m. Nevertheless, rapid decreases in concentration of TSP and the deposition levels were observed (in Upper Silesia daily levels of TSP were less than 100 \mu g \times m^{-3}, while the deposition level decreased below 50 t/km²/year).

**Recent period: 2002–2012**

Polish regulations that were in force up to 1984, applied to the total suspended particles (TSP), which resulted in extremely high limits when compared to the annual and daily limiting values established by the Directive for PM_{10} [39]. In 2002 the Polish Ministry of Environment established new, standards for PM_{10}; 24-h standard 50 \mu g \times m^{-3} with acceptable tolerance of 10 \mu g \times m^{-3}, in 2003 and 5 \mu g \times m^{-3}, in 2004, and the annual standard of 40 \mu g \times m^{-3} with acceptable tolerance of 3.2 \mu g \times m^{-3} in 2003, as well as 1.6 \mu g \times m^{-3} in 2004 [34,35]. New standards established in 2008–2010 [40–42] introduced the annual standard for PM_{10} as 40 \mu g \times m^{-3} without any tolerance. This work has certainly contributed to a significant improvement of air quality in Poland, especially after 1990. It should be also noted that significant economic transformations in Poland during the last 20 years have changed the profile of anthropogenic pollution sources, and the traffic emissions of particles have become a new important source of airborne particles. On the other hand, municipal emissions, along with industry, still contribute the most to the aerosol particles on Polish territory. Therefore, the possibility of establishing new emission limits for the municipal sources as well as for cars and trucks should be considered.

**European policy context**

Particulate matter exposure reduction targets for the sake of protection of human health are included in several European Union (EU) documents. In 1999 the European Commission (EC) included PM_{10} monitoring and limits values in the Air Quality Directive [39] and new limit values for several atmospheric pollutants were introduced in 2005, and met by 2010 (a mean PM_{10} of 40 \mu g/m³ for 2005, and 20 \mu g/m³ in 2010 were the targets to achieve). The mass concentration level of PM_{10} has been established as the main parameter used for measuring and controlling particulate pollution of ambient air.

One of the key documents in European environmental policy, approved recently, is the EC Directive on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe [43], which regulates ambient air concentrations of pollutants including PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} indicating upper and lower assessment thresholds for PM_{10} to be achieved in the nearest future by the EU Member States. It has been transposed into national legislation of all the EU countries. The European Environment Agency in its reports on air quality in Europe [44,45] highlighted that although in Poland the annual PM_{10} standard (40 \mu g/m³) is still exceeded in many locations, a considerable improvement in the number of exceedances of PM_{10} has been reported over the last years (Table 2).

**Determinants of health**

Particulate matter regulations implemented in Poland over the past 20 years have introduced quality standards for ambient air and have reduced the most serious air...
Due to the simplicity reason in our assessment, we decided to model only the direct, environmental determinants of health driven pathway as the most likely to be the most powerful pathway.

Risk factors

Air quality includes many different potential hazards, which under certain conditions become risk factors in relation to the exposed population. Concentrations of sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$), nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$), carbon monoxide (CO), other chemicals in the air, as well as their mixtures, are all potential risk factors in relation to a given population. In this work, we focus on particulate matter.

A full model of calculating the impact of a change in regulation on health outcomes would require inclusion of these pathways as well. However, due to the simplicity reason in our assessment, we decided to model only the direct, environmental determinants of health driven pathway as the most likely to be the most powerful pathway.

### Table 2. Development of the European Union legislation related to the limit values of PM$_{10}$ in ambient air

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>European Commission regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Council Directive, Air Quality Framework Directive (96/62/EC) described the basic principles as to how air quality should be assessed and managed in the Member States; it listed the pollutants for which air quality standards and objectives would be developed and specified in legislation [46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Council Decision (97/101/EC) establishing a reciprocal exchange of information and data from networks and individual stations measuring ambient air pollution within the Member States [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Air Quality Directive (1999/30/EC) related to the limit values for PM$_{10}$ in ambient air, 24-h limit: 50 μg/m$^3$ and fixed the annual limit value of 40 μg/m$^3$ to be achieved 1st Jan 2005 and 20 μg/m$^3$ in 2010 [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Commission Decision (2004/461/EC) laying down a questionnaire for annual reporting on ambient air quality assessment [50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Directive on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (2008/50/EC) for PM$_{10}$, the exemption from the limit value for a 3 year period, ending in June 2011 [43]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM$_{10}$ – particulate matter ≤ 10 μm in diameter.
The relative risk (RR\(_{\Delta x}\)) is defined as follows:

\[
RR_{\Delta x} = \frac{y}{y_0} = 1 + \frac{\Delta y}{y_0}
\]  

(1)

where:

\(y\) – the incidence of a health endpoint of interest at the PM level \(x\),

\(\Delta y\) – describes the change in the incidence of health effects from the baseline incidence, \(y_o\) (the incidence at PM concentration \(x_o\), attending the appropriate standard) to \(y\) (the incidence for PM concentration equal to \(x\)).

Typically, the relative risk is calculated for the growing concentration of airborne particles, which means \(\Delta x = x - x_o > 0\), therefore \(\Delta y > 0\), and \(RR_{\Delta x} = y/y_o > 1\). Assuming that the concentration level will decrease (\(\Delta x < 0\)), the relative risk should be \(< 1\). Such a defined relative risk could be a suitable factor for characterization of the various scenarios of expected health effects related to the implementation of PM standards.

Most epidemiological studies estimating relations between PM and adverse health effects assume an exponential concentration – response function. In this model [51]:

\[
y = Be^{\beta x}
\]  

(2)

where:

\(\beta\) – the coefficient of ambient PM concentration,

\(B\) – the incidence at \(x = 0\), that is, when there is no ambient PM.

From equation (2) the difference \(\Delta y = y - y_o\) can be calculated:

\[
\Delta y = B(e^{\beta x} - e^{\beta x_o}) = B(e^{\beta(x-x_0)} - e^{\beta x_o}) = Be^{\beta x_o}(e^{\beta \Delta x} - 1)
\]  

(3)

Using equation (2) the above equation can be written:

\[
\Delta y = y_o(e^{\beta \Delta x} - 1) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\Delta y}{y_o} + 1 = e^{\beta \Delta x}
\]  

(4)

Combining equations (1) and (4) the following can be obtained:

\[
RR_{\Delta x} = e^{\beta \Delta x}
\]  

(5)

Generally, estimates of \(\beta\) can be taken from epidemiological literature. We calculated appropriate values of \(\beta\) (Table 3) from the weighted averages of the relative risk of respiratory admissions for a 100 μg/m\(^3\) increase in PM\(_{10}\) in the ambient air, published by Schwartz [51]. Data for daily mortality was taken from Schwartz [51] and from O’Neill [52].

Since Polish annual standard for PM\(_{10}\) changed from 50 μg/m\(^3\) in 1990 to 40 μg/m\(^3\) in 2010, we calculated the relative risk associated with 10 μg/m\(^3\) decrease in the annual level of PM\(_{10}\) (Table 3). The relative risk associated with a 10 μg/m\(^3\) decrease in the annual level of PM\(_{10}\) was calculated for 6 adverse health effects: all respiratory admissions, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), pneumonia admissions, heart failure, dysrhythmia, asthma emergency room visits and daily mortality. The relative risk ranged from 0.971 (asthma emergency room visit) to 0.995 (dysrhythmia) and almost 1 (daily mortality). The obtained results indicate that implementation of the new Polish standard could result in an improvement of the health status of Polish population.

Health outcome

Assuming that the concentration level of PM\(_{10}\) decreases according to the change in the Polish standard, the relative risk slightly decreases for almost all the adverse health effects. This means that the relative decrease in the incidence of health effects from the baseline incidence (\(\Delta y/y_o\)) should range from about 0.5–0.6% for heart disease admissions to more than 1% for respiratory admissions. In particular, the decrease in asthma emergency room visits reaching almost 3% seems to be significant, but the real situation may not be so optimistic due to the growing role of other asthma-generating factors. Nevertheless, the relative decrease in all the health effects, excluding asthma
and daily mortality, is 5.2% (summarizing \(\Delta y / y_o\) for all health effects except of the last 2).

During future studies the updated monitoring data must be carefully considered, as it may have impact on the decision-making and/or subsequent steps in the change in the national particulate standards (also for PM\(_{10}\)). In particular, their grouping or dividing into several subcategories, such as long-term exposure to PM\(_{10}\), additional exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS), and atmospheric sulphur dioxide may reduce or increase their significance, especially, when other non-environmentally related risk factors, such as: smoking, alcoholism or immune-suppression, are considered [54].

**DISCUSSION**

Polish population health statistics in the period 1990–2010 reflect a positive trend – a steady decrease in mortality rates, due to cardiovascular disease (CVD) and due to respiratory diseases, has been observed over the past 20 years. This decrease has many reasons, and it is hard to assess how much of the recent fall could be explained by reductions in major risk factors (life style, environmental, health system).

As it was mentioned above, in terms of chronic diseases of the lower respiratory tract it is important to note that there are slight differences between various regions in Poland. The highest CVD incidence is in the most urban agglomerations; Upper Silesian region and Łódź, where PM standards have been still considerably exceeded [55]. For example, in Zabrze, Upper Silesia, during 2 days on January 2006 concentration of PM\(_{10}\) reached the level of 513 μg×m\(^{-3}\) [56]. It is important to note that, as a rule, in Poland, residential sources (household coal combustion) are mainly responsible for this situation [57,58].

**Table 3. Relative risk associated with 10 μg/m\(^3\) decrease in the annual level of PM\(_{10}\) in the ambient air**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse health effect</th>
<th>(\beta) ([\text{m}^3/\text{μg}])</th>
<th>(\text{RR}_{\Delta x})</th>
<th>(\Delta y / y_o) ([%])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All respiratory admissions</td>
<td>1.22×10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>–1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>1.74×10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>–1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia admissions</td>
<td>1.22×10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>–1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>0.58×10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>–0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysrhythmia</td>
<td>0.49×10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>–0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma emergency room visits</td>
<td>2.93×10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>–2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily mortality</td>
<td>0.05×10(^{-3}) – 0.86×10(^{-3})</td>
<td>1.000–0.991</td>
<td>≤ –0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PM\(_{10}\) – particulate matter ≤ 10 μm in diameter; \(\text{RR}_{\Delta x}\) – relative risk; \(\Delta y / y_o\) – the relative decrease in the incidence of health effects from the baseline incidence. \(\beta\) calculated from Schwartz [51] and O’Neill et al. [52].
show a significant increase in Poland in the incidence of both, and the values are similar to those observed in highly developed countries, where it is estimated at 20–30%. The highest values of allergies (allergic rhinitis) and asthma prevalence rates are reported in children urban inhabitants, 25% more than in the rural areas. Although finding trends in the prevalence of allergic disorder and respiratory symptoms is rather difficult; a study carried out in children aged 7–10 has indicated some positive results in health status of these children due to the improvement of ambient air quality [60].

Potential contribution of environmental risk factors in cardio-respiratory diseases mortality remains unclear. The prevalence of smoking in men and women has also dropped considerably over the past 20 years. Changes in other lifestyle risk factors (i.e., dietary changes, alcohol consumption, physical activity) might also have played an important role. Some experts highlight lifestyle risk factors reduction [61] as the main contribution to the CVD fall, others the evidence based treatment (pharmacotherapy, i.e., statins, coronary angioplasty and angiography) and better rescue system [62]. The most recent research based on modeling study using the Improving Mood – Promoting Access to Collaborative Treatment (IMPACT) model explains 37% of the decrease in the death rate from coronary heart disease over the past 20 years in Poland, as attributable to evidence based medical treatments, and about 54% of the fall as related to the changes in the lifestyle risk factors [61]. The same research confirms that among the major risk factors 15% of the observed decrease in mortality was related to the reduced smoking in men (negligible in women). Better health outcomes of the population have been achieved mainly due to the implementation of health promotion programs, effective legislation, more active public health policy in CVD prevention and treatment in Poland since the beginning of 1990s.

The developed model for assessment of a regulation’s population health impact provides a great opportunity to systematize both the assessment process and, even more importantly, the process of putting new regulations on the agenda of national legislation. A new regulation always results in a chain reaction influencing key determinants of health, risk factors and, in the last step, health of a population. As the determinants of health are rather at a population and structural level, policies can carefully plan how, by which means and for what funding they will change the status of health determinants. Risk factors are often in the reach of individuals within a population, so, interventions can be planned both at a population and an individual levels.

Another significant issue to discuss is time; realization of the impact of policies needs time. Description of the probable latency of effects is important information that should be considered in the decision-making process. There is a latency period between the planning and implementation of a policy, as well as a lag phase between policy implementation and development of health effects.

CONCLUSIONS

Air pollution is an important risk factor for health. In the face of environmental problems and resultant health outcomes, policy-makers may attempt to adopt a range of actions to identify and implement the most effective emission reduction measures. Polish case demonstrates public health benefits of the update of PM$_{10}$ limit value. If policy can achieve reductions in human exposure, potential health benefits will probably constitute a natural consequence.

The presented assessment is substantially simplified; other environmental determinants could be also included in the model, and other risk factors like smoking, occupational risks, diet, physical activity, etc. should be included in the risk factor analysis, and relative risk calculations. However the aim of this work was to develop and test the chain with focus on this simplified impact.

New legislative efforts as a result of political and economic transformations have caused PM levels to fall
substantially over the last years, and the new standards seem to be appropriate for the new hierarchy of the emission sources. Implementation of more restrictive air quality standards has brought along improvement of health outcomes, such as attributable number of cardio respiratory deaths years.

Effective public health policy needs translating scientific research into policies and practice. Supporting implementation of national legal instruments to control PM level seems very important from the public health policy point of view as also providing guidelines and evidence. Besides, the issue of exposure and health inequalities in relation to ambient air quality requires a broad, cross-sectoral and multisector engagement. A top-down policy health risk assessment model can be one of the main tools in this process, providing harmonized guidance how to seek an evidence-based information, which could serve policy-makers and also be accessible by the media and the general public.
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