Temperature effects on Microalgae Photosynthesis—Light responses measured by O₂ production, Pulse-Amplitude-Modulated Fluorescence, and 14C assimilation

Hancke, Kasper; Hancke, Torunn; Olsen, Lasse M.; Johnsen, Geir; Glud, Ronnie N.

Published in:
Journal of Phycology

Publication date:
2008

Document version:
Submitted manuscript

Citation for published version (APA):

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use
This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark. Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving. If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

• You may download this work for personal use only.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim. Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Download date: 15. Sep. 2023
Short-term temperature effects on photosynthesis were investigated by measuring O$_2$ production, PSII-fluorescence kinetics, and $^{14}$C-incorporation rates in monocultures of the marine phytoplankton species *Prorocentrum minimum* (Pavill.) J. Schiller (Dinophyceae), *Prymnesium parvum* f. *patelliferum* (J. C. Green, D. J. Hibberd et Pienaar) A. Larsen (Coccolithophyceae), and *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* Bohlin (Bacillariophyceae), grown at 15°C and 80 μmol photons m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$. Photosynthesis versus irradiance curves were measured at seven temperatures (0°C–30°C) by all three approaches. The maximum photosynthetic rate ($F_{\text{m}}$) was strongly stimulated by temperature, reached an optimum for *Pro. minimum* only (20°C–25°C), and showed a similar relative temperature response for the three applied methods, with Q$_{10}$ ranging from 1.7 to 3.5. The maximum light utilization coefficient ($\alpha$) was insensitive or decreased slightly with increasing temperature. Absolute rates of O$_2$ production were calculated from pulse-amplitude-modulated (PAM) fluorometry measurements in combination with bi-optical determination of absorbed quanta in PSII. The relationship between PAM-based O$_2$ production and measured O$_2$ production and $^{14}$C assimilation showed a species-specific correlation, with 1.2–3.3 times higher absolute values of $F_{\text{m}}$ and $\alpha$ when calculated from PAM data for *Pry. parvum* and *Ph. tricornutum* but equivalent for *Pro. minimum*. The offset seemed to be temperature insensitive and could be explained by a lower quantum yield for O$_2$ production than the theoretical maximum (due to Mehler-type reactions). Conclusively, the PAM technique can be used to study temperature responses of photosynthesis in microalgae when paying attention to the absorption properties in PSII.
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**Abbreviations:** ETR, electron transport rate; PAM, pulse amplitude modulated; $P_E$, photosynthesis-irradiance; POC, particular organic carbon; PQ, photosynthetic quotient; Q$_{10}$, temperature coefficient

Pelagic photosynthesis can be estimated by measuring O$_2$ evolution, PSII-fluorescence kinetics, or $^{14}$C assimilation. Each of the methods has its advantages and disadvantages, and all have been applied to assess the ecosystem primary production in various environments. The techniques, however, measure different products of the photosynthetic pathway and reflect different physiological processes with potentially different responses to environmental variables, such as temperature or salinity (Geider and Osborne 1992, Geel et al. 1997, Morris and Kromkamp 2003).

O$_2$-evolution measurements using O$_2$ electrodes allow for net O$_2$-production measurements in light and O$_2$-respiration measurements in the dark (Glud et al. 2000). Gross O$_2$ production can then be estimated as the net production added to the respiration (assuming constant respiration in light and dark). As such, the approach quantifies the O$_2$-production rate from the water-splitting complex in PSI. PSII fluorescence can be measured by PAM fluorometry and can be used to measure the operational quantum yield of PSII ($\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$, Schreiber et al. 1986). From multiplying $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ with the quanta absorbed in PSII, the electron transfer rate in PSII can be calculated (Genty et al. 1989). The electron transfer rate (ETR) is a proxy for the gross photosynthetic rate (Kroon et al. 1993). The electrons generated in PSII are closely coupled to O$_2$ evolution but follow several pathways, among those, reduction of CO$_2$ via NAD(P)H production (Falkowski and Raven 1997). $^{14}$C-assimilation rate measurements quantify the amount of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) converted into cell biomass and reflect an activity intermediate to net and gross photosynthesis.
dependent on the incubation time (Falkowski and Raven 1997). For 1 h incubations, the technique is commonly assumed to indicate gross rates.

Photosynthetic O\textsubscript{2} production, \(\Phi_{\text{PSII}}\), and/or \(^{14}\text{C}\) assimilation have been compared in a number of studies of vascular plants (Demmig and Bjorkman 1987, Seaton and Walker 1990), macroalgae (Hanelt and Nultsch 1995, Longstaff et al. 2002), microphytobenthos (Hartig et al. 1998, Barranguet and Kromkamp 2000, Glud et al. 2002a), and marine phytoplankton (Falkowski et al. 1986, Koon et al. 1993, Geel et al. 1997, Flameling and Kromkamp 1998, Rysgaard et al. 2001, Morris and Kromkamp 2003). Although the investigations have been conducted under a variety of experimental conditions, a majority of studies on microalgae find a linear relationship between O\textsubscript{2} evolution and \(\Phi_{\text{PSII}}\) under moderate irradiance (Falkowski et al. 1986, Genty et al. 1989, Geel et al. 1997), sometimes with deviation at very low (Schreiber et al. 1995, Flameling and Kromkamp 1998, Masojidek et al. 2001) or very high irradiance conditions (Falkowski et al. 1986, Flameling and Kromkamp 1998). Different explanations for the deviation have been proposed: spectral difference in PAR sources, changes in O\textsubscript{2} consumption in the light, cyclic electron transport around PSII, and Mehler-type reactions [see Flameling and Kromkamp (1998) for an overview]. The relationship between O\textsubscript{2} production and \(\Phi_{\text{PSII}}\) is far from universal, and apparently there exists interspecies variance in the shape of the relationship and of the slope-coefficient (Barranguet and Kromkamp 2000, Masojidek et al. 2001). Additionally, it must be expected that environmental variables, such as temperature, can affect established relations for a given species. Even so, detailed comparison studies accounting for environmental variables, such as temperature, are still very limited (Barranguet and Kromkamp 2000, Morris and Kromkamp 2003). If fluorescence measurements are to be applied successfully for quantifying photosynthetic production, more careful and detailed studies of the temperature effect on the relationship between O\textsubscript{2} evolution, \(\Phi_{\text{PSII}}\), and \(^{14}\text{C}\) assimilation are required (Schofield et al. 1998, Kuhl et al. 2001, Glud et al. 2002b, Morris and Kromkamp 2003).

The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between temperature and photosynthetic parameters derived from measurements of O\textsubscript{2} production, \(\Phi_{\text{PSII}}\), and \(^{14}\text{C}\) assimilation, using three culture-grown phytoplankton species—\textit{Pro. minimum}, \textit{Pry. parvum} f. \textit{patelliferum}, and \textit{Ph. tricornutum}—selected to represent typical species of Scandinavian waters. Photosynthetic activity was quantified from (i) measured rates of O\textsubscript{2} production by O\textsubscript{2} microsensors (\(I_{\text{O2}}\), \(\mu\)mol O\textsubscript{2} [mg POC]\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}, where POC stands for particulate organic carbon), (ii) calculated rates of O\textsubscript{2} production based on \(\Phi_{\text{PSII}}\) in combination with biooptical determination of quanta absorbed in PSII (\(I_{\text{PSII}}^{\text{PSII}}, \mu\)mol O\textsubscript{2}·[mg POC]\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}), and (iii) measured rates of \(^{14}\text{C}\) assimilation (\(M_{\text{14C}}, \mu\)mol \(^{14}\text{C}\)·[mg POC]\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}). The temperature influence on photosynthetic parameters is discussed in a physiological context.

**Materials and Methods**

**Algal cultures.** Unialgal cultures of \textit{Pro. minimum} (strain 79A, Oslofjord, isolated by K. Tangen, culture at Trondhjem Biological Station [TBS]), \textit{Pry. parvum} f. \textit{patelliferum} (isolated in Ryfylke, S-Norway, culture from University of Oslo), and \textit{Ph. tricornutum} (unknown origin, TBS culture collection) were grown in semicontinuous cultures in 1/2 medium (Guillard and Ryther 1962), prefiltered (0.2 \(\mu\)m sterile filters [Minisart, Santorius, Goettingen, Germany] pasteurized at 80°C in 3 h), and enriched with silicate (\(Ph. tricornutum\) only). All cultures were subsampled from the culture collection of TBS and grown at 15 ± 1°C, 33 ppt salinity seawater, and constantly bubbled with filtered air. The illumination was continuous white fluorescent light (Philips TL 40 W/55 tubes, Guildford, Surrey, UK), providing 80 \(\mu\)mol photons·m\textsuperscript{-2}·s\textsuperscript{-1} as measured by means of a QSL-100 quantum sensor ( Biospherical Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA) placed inside the culture flasks. The growth rate and the chl \(a\) concentration were maintained semiconstant by diluting the cultures once per day corresponding to a specific growth rate of 0.2 \(\mu\)d\textsuperscript{-1} for \textit{Pro. minimum} and \textit{Pry. parvum}, and 0.7–0.8 \(\mu\)d\textsuperscript{-1} for \textit{Ph. tricornutum} both prior to and during the time of the experiments. The cultures were enriched with 1 g NaHCO\textsubscript{3}·L\textsuperscript{-1} to avoid a depletion of inorganic carbon and limiting pH conditions caused by high rates of photosynthesis (Olsen et al. 2006).

While growing, the physiological state of the cultures was monitored daily by measuring the ratio of in vivo chl \(a\) fluorescence before and after addition of DCMU (3-[3,4 dichlorophenyl]-1,1-dimethylurea, 50 \(\mu\)M final concentration) in a Turner Designs (Sunnyvale, CA, USA) fluorometer. DCMU blocks the electron transport in PSII and results in a maximal fluorescence. The ratio of fluorescence measured before and after the addition of DCMU >2.5 indicates a healthy state of the cell (Sakshaug and Holm-Hansen 1977). In our study, the ratio generally ranged from 2.7 to 3.5.

**Experimental conditions.** Cultures were subsampled every morning to perform parallel measurements of photosynthesis versus irradiance (P-E curves) from O\textsubscript{2}-evolution, PAM, and \(^{14}\text{C}\)-assimilation measurements. The subsamples were placed in a water bath set at one of the seven experimental temperatures (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30°C), and the experiment started after the respective temperatures had stabilized within the sample (<30 min). Incident irradiance was maintained. Subsequently, the sample was simultaneously introduced to each of the experimental setups.

O\textsubscript{2}-evolution and \(^{14}\text{C}\)-assimilation rates were measured in parallel after placing samples in a photosyntheron (Lewis and Smith 1983) in the dark and at 10 levels of irradiance from 3 to 570 \(\mu\)mol photons·m\textsuperscript{-2}·s\textsuperscript{-1} (PAR), determined by the QSL-100 quantum sensor ( Biospherical Instruments). The photosyntheron was placed in a temperature-controlled laboratory at the respective temperature. The samples were illuminated from below with an adjustable xenon light source (Ostram 250 W, München, Germany), while a water-flow-through system prevented radiation heat. The correct temperature was ensured by continuous (1 s frequency) temperature measurements using small waterproof data loggers (TidbiT; Onset Computer Corp., Pocasset, MA, USA) installed in dummy samples.

Triplicate samples were incubated in 20 mL polyethylene scintillation vials for 1 h. Vials for O\textsubscript{2}-evolution measurements
were filled completely and closed with a lid mounted with a miniature pipe (internal diameter = 0.8 mm, length = 5 mm). The miniature pipe excluded headspace of air, avoided potential pressure accumulation from photosynthetic O2 production, and allowed for insertion of an O2 microsensor. Two milliliters of sample was incubated for carbon-assimilation measurements.

**O2-microsensor measurements.** All oxygen measurements were carried out using Clark-type O2 microelectrodes with a guard cathode (Revsbech 1989), having an external tip diameter of ~100 μm, stirring sensitivity of <1.5%, and a 90% response time of <4 s. The electrodes were calibrated using anoxic and air-saturated solutions at the specific temperature setting, as oxygen electrode signals are sensitive to temperature (Gunderson et al. 1998, Glud et al. 2000). The sensor current was measured using a picoammeter (Unisense, Aarhus, Denmark) connected to a strip-chart recorder (Kipp & Zonen, Delft, the Netherlands) and a PC (Revsbech and Jørgensen 1986). The gross O2-production rate (P_O2) was estimated by adding the dark respiration to the net O2-evolution rate (both measured at each temperature), determined from the O2-concentration change corrected for incubation time. All samples were mixed gently with a Pasteur pipette introduced through the miniature pipe prior to measuring, ensuring a homogeneous O2 concentration within the vial. In all cases, the concentration of O2 was monitored continuously during incubation by an electrode installed in a randomly selected sample, confirming linear O2 evolution.

**PAM measurements.** Fluorescence was measured using a PAM-101 fluorometer with a 102 and 103 module (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany; Schreiber et al. 1986) equipped with a photomultiplier detector (PM-101/N, PMT, Walz, Germany). A red light-emitting diode (655 nm peak, <0.15 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, at 1.6 kHz) was used as probe light at an intensity too low to induce variable fluorescence. In the following, we used the nomenclature of van Kooten and Snel (1990). The minimum fluorescence (F0) and the maximum fluorescence (Fm) was measured at the end of a dark-acclimation period (15 min), when approximately all reaction centers were closed. F_s was measured during a saturating light pulse from a halogen lamp (0.6 s, at >5,000 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹; KL1500, Schott, Mainz, Germany) exposed to the sample via an optical fiber. The maximum quantum yield of PSII charge separation (ΦPSII_max) in the dark-acclimated cells was calculated as follows:

\[
\Phi_{PSII} = \frac{\Delta F^'/F_{m}'}{F_{m}'} = \frac{F_{m}' - F_{s}}{F_{m}'}
\]  

Under actinic illumination, the operational quantum yield of PSII (ΦPSII) was calculated from the steady-state fluorescence (F_s) and the maximum fluorescence after a saturation pulse (Fm) at each incubation irradiance (Genty et al. 1989):

The incubation light was provided by a slide projector (Prodovit; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a halogen lamp and slide frames with different layers of neutral filters. After F_s and Fm were measured, the samples were exposed for 5 min at each of the irradiances (1–500 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), before measuring F_s and Fm'. The incubation irradiance (E, PAR) was measured inside the incubation chamber using a cosine-corrected (2r) light collector of the DIVING-PAM (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). The spectral distribution of the incubation light was measured using a RAMSES spectroradiometer (TRIOS, Oldenburg, Germany) from 400 to 700 nm [E(λ), 1 nm resolution]. The irradiance and the spectral distribution of the incubation light were used for further calculations of the amount of light absorbed by PSII. A Pelletier cell (US-T/S Walz) kept the temperature constant (±0.2°C) during incubations.

**Biooptics.** To calculate O2 evolution per biomass and time from ΦPSII, the light absorbed by PSII was quantified in absolute units from the in vivo chl a-specific absorption coefficient, a_s(λ), (m⁻² mol chl a⁻¹), and the PSII-scaled in vivo fluorescence excitation spectrum FPSII(λ) (m⁻² mol chl a⁻¹). The optical density (OD) was measured on glass fiber filters (GF/F, Whatman Inc., Florham Park, NJ, USA), according to Yentsch (1962) and Mitchell and Keifer (1988), and converted to OD in suspension (Mitchell 1990). Absorption was calculated according to Mitchell and Keifer (1988) and normalized to chl a to give a_s(λ). In vivo fluorescence excitation spectra were measured according to Neori et al. (1988) and Johnsen and Sakshaug (1993), and quantum corrected using the dye Basic Blue 3 (Kopf and Heinze 1984). FPSII(λ) was obtained from scaling the fluorescence excitation spectrum to the corresponding a_s(λ) using the ‘no overshoot’ procedure by matching the two spectra at wavelengths between 540 and 650 nm (Bidigare et al. 1989, Johnsen et al. 1997). The light absorption in PSII (aPSII, m⁻² mol chl a⁻¹) was obtained by spectrally weighting FPSII(λ) against the incubator light source according to the following equation:

\[
\alpha_{PSII} = \sum_{400}^{700} \frac{F_{PSII}(\lambda) \cdot E(\lambda) \cdot d\lambda}{E(PAR)}
\]

where (λ) is the spectral irradiance of the incubator light source, and E(PAR) is the integrated irradiance from 400 to 700 nm. The applied biooptical procedure above is described in detail in Hancke et al. (in press). Definitions of biooptical parameters used are given in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Definitions of the productivity, photosynthetic, and biooptical parameters used in the text. Photosynthetic parameters according to Sakshaug et al. (1997).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P_O2</td>
<td>Carbon-specific measured O2 production (net production + dark respiration; μmol O2·[mg POC]⁻¹ · h⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_PSI</td>
<td>Carbon-specific O2 production calculated from ΦPSII and aPSII in absolute units (μmol O2·[mg POC]⁻¹ · h⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_14C</td>
<td>Carbon-specific 14C assimilation (μmol 14C·[mg POC]⁻¹ · h⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>Maximum light utilization coefficient normalized to carbon (μmol O₂ or 14C·[mg POC]⁻¹ · h⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦPSII</td>
<td>Light-saturation index (μmol photons · m⁻² · s⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦPSII</td>
<td>Operational quantum yield for PSII charge separation (eq. 2, mol electrons · [mol quanta]⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦO2, PSII, 14C_max</td>
<td>Maximum quantum yield for O₂, PSII, or 14C, respectively (mol product · [mol quanta]⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Spectrally weighted in vivo chl a-specific absorption (m⁻² mol chl a⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPSII</td>
<td>Spectrally weighted in vivo PSII-specific absorption (m⁻² mol chl a⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POC, particulate organic carbon.
Calculation of \( O_2 \) evolution from PAM measurements in combination with biooptics. Electron transport rate is equal to the product of \( \Phi_{\text{psii}} \) and the amount of quanta absorbed by PSII (\( \Delta \text{psii} \)). By knowing the stoichiometric ratio of oxygen evolved per electron generated in PSII, the rate of \( O_2 \) evolution (\( I^P_{\text{psii}} \)) can be quantified (Kroon et al. 1993). Instead of calculating ETR, we directly calculated the \( O_2 \)-production rate in absolute units (\( I^P_{\text{psii}}, \mu\text{mol} \cdot [\text{mg POC}]^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \)), from equation 4. (See Hancke et al. in press for a discussion on different approaches for quantifying the amount of quanta absorbed by PSII.)

\[
I_{\text{psii}} = \Phi_{\text{psii}} \cdot E \cdot \Delta_{\text{psii}}
\]

where \( \Gamma \) is the stoichiometric ratio of oxygen evolved per electron generated at PSII. According to the standard Z-scheme of photosynthesis, four stable charge separations take place in both PSI and PSII, to evolve one \( O_2 \) molecule (i.e., eight electrons to yield one molecule of oxygen). According to this assumption, \( \Gamma \) will be 0.25 \( O_2 \) electrons \(^{-1} \) (Kroon et al. 1993, Gilbert et al. 2000). Empirically, a higher number than eight electrons has been found, which may be due to alternative electron “loss” (e.g., Mehler-type reactions; Kromkamp et al. 2001, Longstaff et al. 2002. Hancke et al. in press). For simplicity, we assumed \( \Gamma \) to be 0.25 in this study.

Most papers that use PAM-estimated \( \Phi_{\text{psii}} \) to calculate \( O_2 \)-evolution rates assume that absorbed irradiance is distributed between PSI and PSII, with a ratio of 0.5 (Gilbert et al. 2000). This is a rough estimate, and the ratio is higher for most phytoplankton classes, with the consequence of underestimating the \( O_2 \) evolution from PSII (Johnsen and Sakshaug 2007).

In this study, we have applied a biooptical procedure to measure the PSII-specific absorption directly.

Photosynthetic \( O_2 \)-production rates obtained in the photo- and in the PAM cuvette were compared in a pilot study by measuring PE curves of \( O_2 \) evolution in both experimental setups. An \( O_2 \) microsensor was inserted directly in the PAM cuvette (Hancke et al. in press), and measured rates were compared with the \( O_2 \)-production rates measured in the photosynthron. The PE curves calculated from the two experimental setups showed equivalent shapes and similar rates and had an average difference and a standard deviation for \( \epsilon K^* \) and \( \epsilon K^* \) of 2.2 ± 21.3% and 22.7 ± 23.8%, respectively. Simultaneous measurements of \( \Phi_{\text{psii}} \) verified reproducible photosynthetic responses between the pilot study and this study.

\(^{14}C\) assimilation. Carbon-assimilation rate (\( P^{14C}_{\text{psii}} \)) was calculated from equation 5 (Geider and Osborne 1992):

\[
P^{14C} = \int \left( \frac{\text{dpm}_{\text{org}}}{\text{dpm}_{\text{org}}} \right) \cdot [\text{TCO}_2] \left( \frac{1}{dt} \right)
\]

where \( \delta \) is the isotope discrimination factor, assumed to be 1.06; \( \text{dpm}_{\text{org}} \) is the \(^{14}C \) activity in organic matter (disintegrations per minute); \( \text{dpm}_{\text{org}} \) is the total \(^{14}C \) activity added to the sample; \( [\text{TCO}_2] \) is the total inorganic carbon concentration; and \( dt \) is the incubation time.

After incubation, the samples were acidified with HCl to pH between 1.5 and 2 and left overnight in a fume hood without caps to remove all inorganic C (Geider and Osborne 1992). Samples were back-titrated with NaOH to pH ~8 before scintillation cocktail (Ultima Gold; Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) was added, and the activity was measured in a scintillation counter (Packard Tri-Carb 1900; GMI, Ramsey, MN, USA). \([\text{TCO}_2] \) was estimated from measured pH and total alkalinity (AT). AT was calculated after titration with HCl (Wedborg et al. 1999) and total inorganic carbon from Andersson et al. (1999). The dark-incubated uptake was generally <20% (<10% at temperature >15°C) of the light-incubated uptake and was subtracted in the rate calculations.

We observed no temperature influence on the dark-incubated \(^{14}C \) uptake.

Curve fit regression and calculations of \( Q_{0} \). The PE curves were fitted from equation 6 (Webb et al. 1974), as no tendency of reduction of \( P \) at irradiance \( >E_k \) (photo-inhibition) was observed for the applied range of irradiance (0–566 \( \mu \text{mol photons} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \)).

\[
P^C = P^C_{\text{max}} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{P^C_{\text{max}}} \right) \right)
\]

The maximum photosynthetic rate (\( P^C_{\text{max}} \), \( \mu \text{mol} \cdot [\text{mg POC}]^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \)), the maximum light utilization coefficient (\( \epsilon K^* \)), \( \mu \text{mol} \cdot [\text{mg POC}]^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \), and the light saturation index (\( E_k = P^C_{\text{max}}/a \); \( \mu \text{mol}\) photons \( \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \)) were calculated from fit of the PE curves. All curve fitting was carried out using ordinary least-squares criterion in SigmaPlot 9.0 (SYSTAT Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

For \( \epsilon K^* \) or \( P^C_{\text{max}} \) (response variables), the relationship with temperature and the covariance with method was analyzed using the statistical tool analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with method as the test factor. Calculations were computed using S-Plus 6.2 (Insightful Corporation, Seattle, WA, USA).

The temperature response of \( P^C_{\text{max}} \) was quantified by calculating the apparent activation energy (\( E_a \), kJ mol\(^{-1} \)) and the corresponding \( Q_{0} \) from each method and species. \( E_a \) was calculated as the slope of the data between 5°C and 20°C in an Arrhenius plot (eq. 7), where \( \ln(k) \) was plotted as a function of temperature \((R/T)^{-1} \), according to Raven and Geider (1988) as follows:

\[
\ln(k) = \ln(A) + \frac{-E_a}{R \cdot T}
\]

where \( k \) is the rate of the reaction, \( A \) is the Arrhenius constant, \( R \) is the gas constant \((8.314 J \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1})\), and \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K). \( Q_{0} \) was calculated from equation 8, for the temperature interval of 10°C to 20°C (Isaksen and Jørgensen 1996).

\[
Q_0 = \exp \left( \frac{-E_a}{R \cdot (T_1 + 10)} \right)
\]

The maximum quantum yield for \( O_2 \) production (\( \Phi_{\text{psii}} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot [\text{mol quanta}]^{-1} \)) was calculated from the PSI-specific light absorption (\( \Delta \text{psii} \)) and was calculated for each temperature as follows:

\[
\text{PSII} \Phi_{\text{psii}} = \frac{\alpha^*}{115 \cdot \Delta \text{psii}}
\]

where 115 is a constant required to obtain consistent dimensions.

RESULTS

P-E data. PE curves were fitted to POC normalized production rates derived from \( O_2 \)-microsensor measurements (\( I^P_{\text{psii}}, \mu\text{mol} \cdot [\text{mg POC}]^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \)), quantum yield of charge separation in PSII (\( \Phi_{\text{psii}} \)), by PAM fluorescence (\( I^P_{\text{psii}}, \mu\text{mol} \cdot [\text{mg POC}]^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \)), and \(^{13}C\) assimilation (\( I^{13C}, \mu\text{mol} \cdot [\text{mg POC}]^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \)) at temperatures from 0°C to 30°C, at 5°C intervals. PE curves at 5°C and 20°C are shown for \( \text{Pr. minimum} \), \( \text{Pry. parvum} \), and \( \text{Ph. tricornutum} \) (Fig. 1). \( O_2 \)-microsensor and \(^{13}C\) assimilation rates were measured in triplicate, and
Error bars are shown (Fig. 1, a–c, g–i). Evident for all three species and three methods, the maximum production rates were clearly higher (2.2–6.0 times) at 20°C than at 5°C. We observed no sign of photoinhibition for the applied irradiance range (0–566 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). The relationship between temperature and the photosynthetic parameters, calculated from O₂ evolution, \( \Phi_{\text{PSII}} \), and \(^{14}\text{C} \) assimilation, was first investigated for relative values (excluding the significance of the light absorption) normalized at 5°C, being the lowest temperature with minimal scatter (Fig. 2), and then for absolute values (calculated by the use of \( \bar{a}_{\text{PSII}} \), Fig. 3).

**Temperature effects on relative P-E parameters.** The relative response of the maximum photosynthetic rate (\( P_{\text{max}}^{\text{O}_2} \)) increased 2.5–6.0 times relative to the rate at 5°C, with increasing temperature, for all of the three investigated algal species and varied overall little between species and method (Fig. 2, a–c). \( P_{\text{max}}^{\text{O}_2} \) showed a temperature optimum at 20°C–25°C for *Prorocentrum minimum*, followed by a decrease (Fig. 2a), whereas no clear sign of a temperature optimum was observed for *Prymnesium parvum* or *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* within the investigated temperature range (Fig. 2, b and c). The relative values for \( P_{\text{14C,max}}^{\text{O}_2} \) increased more with temperature than \( P_{\text{O}_2,max}^{\text{PSII}} \), indicating a slightly stronger temperature response for \(^{14}\text{C} \) assimilation than for O₂ production, most apparent for *Pro. minimum*. The relative response of \( P_{\text{PSII,max}}^{\text{PSII}} \) with increasing temperature fell in between \( P_{\text{14C,max}}^{\text{O}_2} \) and \( P_{\text{O}_2,max}^{\text{PSII}} \) for *Prymnesium parvum* and showed slightly lower temperature responses for *Pro. minimum* and *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*.

The temperature response on \( P_{\text{max}}^{\text{PSII}} \) was quantified by the Q₁₀ factor (Table 2) calculated from Arrhenius plots (not shown). The average Q₁₀ was 2.1 ± 0.2 (mean ± SE), and Q₁₀ showed only small variance between methods and species, with an exception of \( P_{\text{14C,max}}^{\text{PSII}} \) for *Pro. minimum*. Apparently, Q₁₀ values for \( P_{\text{O}_2,max}^{\text{PSII}} \) and \( P_{\text{14C,max}}^{\text{PSII}} \), supporting the observation of a stronger temperature response for C assimilation than for the two other methods.
Temperature had no or only little effect on relative values of $a^C$, showing similar temperature responses for each of the three species and an average $Q_{10}$ of 1.0 ± 0.2 (mean ± SE). $Q_{10}$ values of 0.9 for Pry. parvum and Ph. tricornutum indicated a slight decrease of $a^C$ for this species. No difference was observed among the three methods as a function of temperature for any of the species, arguing for an equivalent temperature response on photosynthetic $O_2$ production, $\Phi_{PSII}$, and $^{14}C$ assimilation in the light-limited part of the photosynthesis versus irradiance curve.

Relative values of $E_k$ showed a strong temperature response (Fig. 2, g–i) and increased 2.6–6.5 times (relative to the rate at 5°C). As $a^C$ generally was insensitive to temperature, the temperature response of $E_k$ mirrored $r_{\text{max}}^C$. Similarly, as $a^C$ did not differ between methods, the temperature response of $E_k$ tended to be stronger for $^{14}C$ assimilation than for $O_2$- and $\Phi_{PSII}$-based production rates.

Temperature effects on absolute values of P-E parameters. Increased temperature significantly increased the absolute values of $r_{\text{max}}^F$ for the three investigated species (Fig. 3, a–c), in accordance with the relative response, but varied more between species and in some cases between methods. The absolute values of $r_{\text{max}}^F$ supported the observation of a temperature optimum for Pro. minimum at 20°C–25°C and no temperature optimum for Pry. parvum and Ph. tricornutum within the investigated temperature range. The absolute values of $r_{\text{max}}^F$ were overall lowest for Pro. minimum (Fig. 3a) and highest for Ph. tricornutum (Fig. 3c). $r_{\text{max}}^F$ for the latter decreased slightly at 30°C, giving a weak indication of a temperature optimum at 25°C for $r_{O_2,\text{max}}^F$ and $r_{^{14}C,\text{max}}^F$. As $r_{\text{max}}^F$ values are carbon specific, the rates do correlate directly to maximum growth rates and reflect the
productivity of the studied species (MacIntyre et al. 2002).

Between methods, the absolute values showed some interspecies variation of $P_C^{\text{max}}$ as a function of temperature. The method used had a significant effect on $P_C^{\text{max}}$ for all three species ($P < 0.05$); however, the interaction between temperature and method (temperature × method) was significant for Prymnesium parvum only, as $P_{PSII}^{\text{max}}$ showed 1.8–2.9 times higher absolute values than for the two other methods as a function of temperature ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 3b). The response of $P_{O2}^{\text{max}}$ and $P_{14C}^{\text{max}}$ was not significantly different. The temperature × method interaction was nonsignificant for Proorocentrum minimum ($P = 0.43$, Fig. 3a) and for Phaeodactylum tricornutum ($P = 0.07$, Fig. 3c), emphasizing that there was no difference of $P_C^{\text{max}}$ among the three methodological approaches. Despite the statistical insignificance, $P_{PSII}^{\text{max}}$ for Phaeodactylum tricornutum (seemed to) show slightly higher absolute values than $P_{O2}^{\text{max}}$ and $P_{14C}^{\text{max}}$ ($P$-values are shown in Table 3).

The temperature effect on absolute values of $\alpha_C$ was insignificant (Proorocentrum minimum, Fig. 3d) or slightly decreasing with increasing temperature (Prymnesium parvum and Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Fig. 3, e–f). The slight decrease of $\alpha_C$ was observed as $\alpha_C^{O2}$ (Prymnesium parvum), and $\alpha_C^{PSII}$ (Phaeodactylum tricornutum) decreased marginally. The additional values of $\alpha_C$ did not change with increasing temperature ($P$-values are shown in Table 2).

**Table 2.** The temperature effect expressed as Q$_{10}$ for the maximum photosynthetic rate of $P_{O2}^{\text{max}}$, $P_{PSII}^{\text{max}}$, and $P_{14C}^{\text{max}}$ for Proorocentrum minimum, Prymnesium parvum, and Phaeodactylum tricornutum, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pro. minimum</th>
<th>Pry. parvum</th>
<th>Ph. tricornutum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{O2}^{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{PSII}^{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{14C}^{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q$_{10}$ was calculated from the slope of $P_C^{\text{max}}$ as a function of temperature, from 5°C to 20°C, in an Arrhenius plot. The maximum photosynthetic rates of $P_{O2}^{\text{max}}$, $P_{PSII}^{\text{max}}$, and $P_{14C}^{\text{max}}$ were calculated from measured rates of O$_2$ production, $\Phi_{PSII}$, and $^{14}$C assimilation, respectively.

**Fig. 3.** Effect of temperature on the absolute values for the maximum photosynthetic rate ($P_C^{\text{max}}$, upper panel), the maximum light utilization coefficient ($\alpha_C$, middle), and the light-saturation index ($E_k$, lower panel) for Proorocentrum minimum (left), Prymnesium parvum (middle), and Phaeodactylum tricornutum (right). Calculation of photosynthetic parameters and growth conditions as in Figure 2.
The temperature × method interaction was not significant for all of the species, demonstrating no difference between the slopes for the three methods applied. Consequently, the temperature response on the three methods was the same. The method, however, had a significant effect on $\alpha^C$, resulting in significantly higher absolute values of $\alpha^C_{\text{PSII}}$ compared with $\alpha^C_{\text{O}_2}$ and $\alpha^C_{14\text{C}}$ for all three species. This offset was especially clear for Prymnesium parvum, as $\alpha^C_{\text{PSII}}$ was 1.7–3.3 times higher than $\alpha^C_{\text{O}_2}$ and $\alpha^C_{14\text{C}}$ (Fig. 3c). The two latter values were not significantly different. For Phaeodactylum tricornutum, $\alpha^C_{\text{PSII}}$ was 1.1–1.7 times higher than values for $\alpha^C_{\text{O}_2}$ and $\alpha^C_{14\text{C}}$ (Fig. 3f). Two outliers of $\alpha$ for Phaeodactylum tricornutum ($\alpha^C_{\text{O}_2}$ at 0°F, and $\alpha^C_{14\text{C}}$ at 15°F) have been eliminated from the data set due to unrealistic values caused by high scatter at low irradiances.

As $\alpha^C$ was constant or slightly decreasing with increasing temperature, the light saturation index ($E_k$) vaguely increased or mirrored the $F_{\text{max}}$ temperature response (Fig. 3, g–i). $E_k$ for Pro. minimum increased linearly to a temperature optimum at 20°C–25°C followed by a subsequent decrease. For Prymnesium parvum and Phaeodactylum tricornutum, $E_k$ increased continuously with increasing temperature for all three methods. The relatively higher values of $\alpha^C_{\text{PSII}}$ and $F_{\text{PSII}_{\text{max}}}$ compared with the two other methods, for Prymnesium parvum and Phaeodactylum tricornutum, counteracted each other, resulting in very similar values of $E_k$ for the three methods as a function of temperature.

Temperature effects on the maximum quantum yield. The temperature effects on the maximum quantum yield ($\Phi_{\text{max}}$) seemed to be negligible (Pro. minimum) or lead to a minor decrease with increasing temperature (Prymnesium parvum and Phaeodactylum tricornutum; Fig. 4). $\Phi_{\text{PSII}_{\text{max}}}$ values were in the range of 0.6–0.75 and were the lowest for Pro. minimum. $\Phi_{\text{PSII}_{\text{O}_2_{\text{max}}}}$ was the lowest for Prymnesium parvum (0.06–0.13), but within the same range for Pro. minimum and Phaeodactylum tricornutum (0.08–0.15), respectively. The lower $\Phi_{\text{PSII}_{\text{O}_2_{\text{max}}}}$ lead to a higher minimum quantum requirement (QR, the inverse of the maximum quantum yield; $1/\Phi_{\text{max}}$) for Prymnesium parvum than for the two other species: 0.8–2.7 times higher than for Pro. minimum ($1.9 \pm 0.7$ times, mean ± SD) and 1.7–3.1 times higher than for Phaeodactylum tricornutum ($2.2 \pm 0.5$ times).

Table 3. $P$-values of statistical tested variance and covariance (ANCOVA) for the significance of temperature, method, and the interaction between temperature and method (temperature × method).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pro. minimum (0–20°C)</th>
<th>Pro. minimum (0–30°C)</th>
<th>Pro. minimum (0–30°C)</th>
<th>Phaeodactylum tricornutum (0–30°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_{\text{PSII}_{\text{O}<em>2</em>{\text{max}}}}$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$P = 0.07$</td>
<td>$P = 0.07$</td>
<td>$P = 0.07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$P = 0.23$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$P = 0.71$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha^C$</td>
<td>NS ($P = 0.43$)</td>
<td>NS ($P = 0.50$)</td>
<td>NS ($P = 0.07$)</td>
<td>$P = 0.07$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A significance of temperature × method indicates that the relationship between the response variable ($\alpha^C$ or $F_{\text{max}}$) and temperature depended on the method used.

***A significant effect ($P < 0.05$).
NS, nonsignificant ($P > 0.05$).
times, mean ± SD). The QR for Pro. minimum and Ph. tricornutum was similar.

The calculated PsII$\Phi_{14C_{\max}}$ was lower than psII$\Phi_{O2_{\max}}$ for Pro. minimum but slightly higher for the other two species, in contradiction to established theory. We have no obvious explanation for this finding other than it is likely that $x^{14C}$ was overestimated because of few measuring points and high scatter within the light-limited part of the P-E curve, which would lead to an overestimation of $\Phi_{14C_{\max}}$. Data for $\Phi_{14C_{\max}}$ are not shown.

**DISCUSSION**

The relationship between P-E parameters calculated from rates of O$_2$ production, $\Phi_{\text{psII}}$, and $^{14}$C assimilation was investigated as a function of short-term changes in temperature. The results demonstrated that $P_{\text{max}}^c$ increased and $x^{14C}$ was more or less insensitive to increasing temperature for all three species investigated, as is typical for most eukaryote algae (Davison 1991). Generally, this observation is not surprising as $x^{14C}$ represents light-limited photosynthesis and, as such, is primarily a function of photochemical light reactions (not enzyme dependent), and $P_{\text{max}}^c$ describes the light-saturated processes of photosynthesis and appears to be limited by enzyme activity associated with the carbon metabolism of the dark reactions (assuming excess nutrients; Davison 1991, Sakshaug et al. 1997).

**Temperature effects on $P_{\text{max}}^c$**. The relative values for $P_{\text{max}}^c$ tended to increase more with temperature than $P_{\text{max}}^{O_2}$, indicating a slightly stronger temperature response for $^{14}$C assimilation than for O$_2$ production, most apparent for Pro. minimum (Fig. 2). This observation was supported by the $Q_{10}$ values (Table 2). Theoretically, this finding was expected since $P_{\text{max}}^{14C}$ expresses gross carbon-uptake rates excluding respiratory activity (Sakshaug et al. 1997), whereas $P_{\text{max}}^{O_2}$ probably underestimated the gross O$_2$ production rate due to an enhanced O$_2$ consumption in the light compared with the dark, which $P_{\text{max}}^{O_2}$ did not account for. Enhanced O$_2$ consumption in the light is well documented for marine microalgae, as both intercellular (photorespiration and mitochondrial activity) and extracellular (e.g., bacterial metabolism) O$_2$ consumption is stimulated by photosynthesis (Weger et al. 1989, Beardall et al. 1994, Lewitus and Kana 1995, Xue et al. 1996). On average, for several algae classes, true gross O$_2$ production (i.e., measured by the dual isotope technique) has been observed to yield 20%–30% higher rates compared with rates obtained by adding the dark respiration to the net O$_2$ production rate (Weger et al. 1989, Lewitus and Kana 1995). All the above processes are stimulated by temperature, and, hence, the discrepancy between the dark and the light O$_2$ consumption rate will increase with increasing temperature (Davison 1991, Morris and Kromkamp 2003). This trend explains the relatively stronger temperature response for $P_{\text{max}}^{14C_{\max}}$ than for $P_{\text{max}}^{O_2_{\max}}$, which will be further enhanced if the temperature response ($Q_{10}$) on the O$_2$ consumption processes exceeds the response of photosynthesis, as found for benthic microphytes (Hancke and Glud 2004).

The potential for photorespiration increases with increasing temperature, as the affinity of RUBISCO for O$_2$ is reduced relative to the affinity for CO$_2$ with increased temperature (Berry and Raison 1981). However, the importance of photorespiration in microalgae might be suppressed by the occurrence of a CO$_2$-concentrating mechanism (Lewitus and Kana 1995).

Although the maximum photosynthetic rate is related only to the number of photosynthetic units ($n$) and the minimum turnover time for electrons ($\tau$), $P_{\text{max}} = n \cdot \tau^{-1}$ (Dubinsky et al. 1986), the rate-limiting step of the photosynthetic pathway has been widely debated (Sakshaug et al. 1997). The relative temperature response of $P_{\text{max}}^{\text{PSII}_{\max}}$ followed the temperature response of the two other techniques. This observation demonstrated that $\Phi_{\text{psII}}$ from intact algae cells responded similarly to the rate of O$_2$ evolution and $^{14}$C assimilation, to a short-term temperature change. This is consistent with the hypothesis that the overall rate-limiting reaction for light-saturated photosynthesis is carbon fixation rather than electron transport, as suggested by Sukkivik et al. (1987). For our data, this finding implies that $\Phi_{\text{psII}}$ as well as O$_2$ production must be limited by carbon-fixing enzymes (i.e., the RUBISCO complex), and stresses that $\Phi_{\text{psII}}$ and O$_2$ production rates were not separated from the $^{14}$C-fixation rate, as a function of short-term temperature changes. These data are consistent with the observation of a linear relationship between $P^B$ (chl a normalized rates of $P_{\text{O2}}$) and ETR as function of temperature, for temperatures between 10°C and 30°C (Morris and Kromkamp 2003). However, their data deviated from linearity at the extremes of the investigated temperature range (5°C and 35°C).

For absolute values of the maximum photosynthetic rate, the relationship between rates of O$_2$ production and $^{14}$C assimilation is known as the photosynthetic quotient, PQ (Laws 1991). Calculating PQ as the ratio between $P_{\text{max}}^{O_2}$ and $P_{\text{max}}^{14C_{\max}}$ resulted in values between 1.2 and 3.6 (average for all data = 1.8 ± 0.7), which is consistent with a general PQ of ~1.4 (Laws 1991, Sakshaug et al. 1997). As mentioned above, $P_{\text{max}}^{O_2}$ might be an underestimate of the gross O$_2$ production rate. However, $P_{\text{max}}^{14C_{\max}}$ may underestimate the gross carbon uptake, as 15 min incubations have been shown to result in higher carbon-uptake rates than 60 min incubations, which are used in this study (Lewis and Smith 1983, Machtyne et al. 2002). PQ tended to decrease with increasing temperature for the three species investigated, with a slope coefficient of −0.03 to −0.05 (~$Q_{10}$ of 0.81–0.90), and was thus
shown to be temperature sensitive. This finding could be explained by a more pronounced increase in \( F^0_{\text{14C}} \) compared with \( F^0_{\text{O2}} \) as seen from the Q_{10} (Table 2). An alternative explanation to a light-enhanced O2 consumption decreasing PQ with increasing temperature is a potential increase in electron cost for nutrient uptake (Laws 1991).

In this study, we quantified the PSII electron flow and calculated the absolute rate of O2 production in PSII (\( \mu \text{mol O2·[mg POC]}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \)) by combining \( \Phi_{\text{PSII}} \) (from PAM measurements) with the biooptically determined quanta absorbed in PSII, \( \bar{a}^+_{\text{PSII}} \) (eq. 4; Genty et al. 1989, Johnsen and Sakshaug 2007, Hancke et al. in press). The aim was to compare absolute rates of calculated O2 production from PSII with measured rates of O2 production and 14C assimilation, where most studies relate only to relative rates of PSII efficiency (e.g., relative ETR) due to the challenge of measuring the light absorption in PSII. The results demonstrated a species-specific correlation among the three methods, with \( I^0_{\text{PSII}} \) showing higher absolute values of \( I^0_{\text{PSII}} \) and \( \gamma^C \) than those determined from measured O2 production (\( I^0_{\text{O2}} \)) and 14C assimilation (\( I^0_{\text{14C}} \)) in most cases (Fig. 3).

The absolute values of \( I^0_{\text{PSII}} \) showing a species-specific offset compared with \( I^0_{\text{O2}} \) and \( I^0_{\text{14C}} \) might originate in assuming that \( \Gamma = 0.25 \) (eq. 4). Assuming that \( \Phi_{\text{PSII}} \) is accurately measured by the PAM technique, which is reasonable (Genty et al. 1989), the divergence between measured O2 production and calculated O2 production (from PSII fluorescence) can only be caused by two parameters: the absorption properties (\( \bar{a}^+_{\text{PSII}} \)) or the amount of O2 evolved per electron generated in PSII (\( \gamma \)). As we believe that \( \bar{a}^+_{\text{PSII}} \) is a good measure of the PSII absorption (Johnsen and Sakshaug 2007, Hancke et al. in press), we suggest that the electrons needed per O2 evolved are the major source for the difference between measured and calculated rates of O2 production. [See Johnsen and Sakshaug (2007) for a discussion on the absorption by nonphotosynthetic versus photosynthetic efficient pigments and the relation to PSII and light-harvesting complexes.]

The calculated \( \Phi_{\text{PSII}}I^0_{\text{O2}} \) for Pry. parvum was in the range of 0.06–0.13 (Fig. 4), corresponding to a QR of 8.0–17.3 mol photons · (mol O2 produced)^{-1}. This rate is 1.1–2.5 times higher than the theoretical minimum (see below) and was on average 1.9 and 2.2 times higher than the QR for Pro. minimum and Ph. tricornutum, respectively. For the two latter species, the QR was in the range of 5.7–10.4 and 5.1–9.4, respectively. As \( \Phi_{\text{PSII}}I^0_{\text{PSII}} \max \) did not differ markedly between the three species, the higher \( \Phi_{\text{PSII}}I^0_{\text{PSII}} \) for Pry. parvum (of 1.1–2.5 times) is likely the explanation for the offset of \( I^0_{\text{PSII}} \) compared with \( I^0_{\text{O2}} \) and \( I^0_{\text{14C}} \) for this species. The offset was apparently temperature insensitive, which is consistent with the above explanation and is further supported by the equivalent Q_{10} values of the three methods.

The theoretical maximum quantum yield for O2 when calculated from total absorption (\( \bar{a}^+ \), not the PSII-specific absorption) is 0.125 O2 electron^{-1} (equivalent to a QR = 8 electrons O2^{-1}). To correct

| Table 4. Measured \( \bar{a}^+ \) and \( \bar{a}^+_{\text{PSII}} \) for each subsample incubated in the pulse-amplitude-modulated (PAM) fluorometry setup (halogen light source) and O2 production/14C-assimilation setup (xenon light source) for each experimental temperature, for Proorocentrum minimum, Prymnesium parvum, and Phaeodactylum tricornutum. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Temp (°C) | \( \bar{a}^+ \) | \( \bar{a}^+_{\text{PSII}} \) | \( \bar{a}^+_{\text{PSII}} / \bar{a}^+ \) | \( \bar{a}^+ \) | \( \bar{a}^+_{\text{PSII}} \) | \( \bar{a}^+_{\text{PSII}} / \bar{a}^+ \) |
| Pro. minimum | 0 | 0.0075 | 0.0058 | 1.29 | 0.0067 | 0.0054 | 1.24 |
| | 5 | 0.0065 | 0.0047 | 1.38 | 0.0058 | 0.0044 | 1.32 |
| | 10 | 0.0071 | 0.0053 | 1.34 | 0.0063 | 0.0050 | 1.26 |
| | 15 | 0.0073 | 0.0056 | 1.30 | 0.0065 | 0.0050 | 1.30 |
| | 20 | 0.0068 | 0.0055 | 1.24 | 0.0060 | 0.0049 | 1.22 |
| | 25 | 0.0074 | 0.0057 | 1.30 | 0.0066 | 0.0052 | 1.27 |
| | 30 | 0.0062 | 0.0049 | 1.27 | 0.0057 | 0.0043 | 1.33 |
| Pry. parvum | 0 | 0.0087 | 0.0074 | 1.18 | 0.0078 | 0.0068 | 1.15 |
| | 5 | 0.0085 | 0.0073 | 1.16 | 0.0077 | 0.0066 | 1.17 |
| | 10 | 0.0093 | 0.0076 | 1.22 | 0.0083 | 0.0070 | 1.19 |
| | 15 | 0.0092 | 0.0077 | 1.19 | 0.0083 | 0.0070 | 1.19 |
| | 20 | 0.0090 | 0.0080 | 1.19 | 0.0083 | 0.0072 | 1.15 |
| | 25 | 0.0098 | 0.0087 | 1.13 | 0.0088 | 0.0078 | 1.13 |
| Ph. tricornutum | 0 | 0.0075 | 0.0062 | 1.27 | 0.0072 | 0.0054 | 1.33 |
| | 5 | 0.0075 | 0.0062 | 1.27 | 0.0072 | 0.0054 | 1.33 |
| | 10 | 0.0073 | 0.0057 | 1.28 | 0.0071 | 0.0053 | 1.34 |
| | 15 | 0.0074 | 0.0057 | 1.30 | 0.0072 | 0.0053 | 1.36 |
| | 20 | 0.0094 | 0.0076 | 1.24 | 0.0088 | 0.0071 | 1.24 |
| | 25 | 0.0099 | 0.0083 | 1.19 | 0.0092 | 0.0076 | 1.21 |
| | 30 | 0.0101 | 0.0078 | 1.29 | 0.0094 | 0.0073 | 1.29 |

All cultures were grown at 80 \( \mu \text{mol photons} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) at 15°C.
for light absorption by PSI and photoprotective pigments, we based the quantum yield calculation on the light absorption in PSII ($\tilde{a}^*_{\text{PSII}}$) only. Consequently, the theoretical maximum quantum yield must be between 0.125 and 0.25, and we propose that it can be calculated from equation 10 as follows:

$$\text{theoretical}^{\text{PSII}} \Phi_{O_2, \text{max}} = 0.125 \cdot \left( \frac{\tilde{a}^*}{\tilde{a}^*_{\text{PSII}}} \right)$$ (10)

Applying this equation to our data gave theoretical maximum quantum yields for $O_2$ in the range of 0.155–0.165, 0.141–0.157, and 0.151–0.170 mol O$_2$·(mol photons)$^{-1}$ for Pro. minimum, Pry. parvum, and Ph. tricornutum, respectively (Fig. 4, small open circles). The theoretical maximum quantum yield for $O_2$ was temperature insensitive, as $\tilde{a}^*_{\text{PSII}}$ (Table 4). The average of the corresponding theoretical minimum QR was then 6.3 ± 0.2, 6.8 ± 0.2, and 6.3 ± 0.3 for the three species, respectively.

Values for the QR for $O_2$ production well higher than the theoretical minimum have commonly been published (Myers 1980, Gilbert et al. 2000). For freshwater phytoplankton, Gilbert et al. (2000) determined that absolute ETRs obtained from PSI fluorescence tend to overestimate primary production rates of $^{14}$C fixation. They ascribe the discrepancy to the package effect of pigments in phytoplankton cells and to a noncarbon-related electron flow (e.g., nitrogen fixation), photorespiration, and the Mehler reaction. They assumed a PSI:PSII ratio of 0.5 but corrected the absorbance spectra for nonphotosynthetic pigments according to Schofield et al. (1996).

Dividing $\Phi_{\text{PSII, max}}$ by $^{\text{PSII}} \Phi_{O_2, \text{max}}$ yields the exact number of electrons generated in PSI needed to produce one O$_2$ molecule. However, since $\Phi_{\text{PSII, max}}$ and $^{\text{PSII}} \Phi_{O_2, \text{max}}$ were measured in two different experimental setups, our data do not support such a calculation. However, as $\Phi_{\text{PSII, max}}$ differed by only little, the result would follow the trend of $^{\text{PSII}} \Phi_{O_2, \text{max}}$. The higher QR for Pry. parvum than for Pro. minimum and Ph. tricornutum would influence both $I_{C, \text{max}}$ and $\tilde{a}^C$. The temperature effect on $\Phi_{\text{max}}$ is discussed below.

The lower quantum yield for O$_2$ production than the theoretical maximum, leading to the offset between $I_{PSII}^C$ and $I_{O2}$ can be caused by several electron-consuming or oxygen-consuming pathways (e.g., cyclic electron transport in PSI, pseudocyclic transport in the Mehler reaction, and light-dependent mitochondrial respiration; Flameling and Kromkamp 1998, Longstaff et al. 2002). Our data do not offer a separation between these processes, but it seems likely that cyclic electron transport around PSI or a Mehler-type of reaction (where the O$_2$ produced at PSI is reduced again at PSI) could contribute to the offset.

Nutrient-enriched treatments have been shown to lower the quantum requirement from ~8 to 5 (mol electrons absorbed per mol O$_2$) in experiments with the marine macroalga Ulva lactuca (Chlorophyta, Longstaff et al. 2002). In our experiments, all of the cultures were grown in f/2 medium, and, hence, we assumed that the nutrients were not limited and that no reduction of the quantum yield was caused by this reason.

Temperature acclimation of light-harvesting properties in the form of pigment complexes involves adjustment in both number and ratio of several photosynthetic pigments (Davison 1991). However, it is unlikely that the light-harvesting properties changed in our short-term temperature incubations, as all the cultures were grown at a constant temperature (15°C) and irradiance regime (80 µmol photons·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$). Besides, neither $\tilde{a}^a$ or $\tilde{a}^a_{\text{PSII}}$ showed any correlation with temperature, nor did the relationship between them. Additionally, $\tilde{a}^a_{\text{PSII}}$ excludes the absorption by PSI and any photoprotective carotenoids, including both diadinoxanthin and diatoxanthin (Johnsen et al. 1997, Johnsen and Sakshaug 2007). Hence, a potential change in the absorption properties caused by photoacclimation, during the incubations, would not influence $\tilde{a}^a_{\text{PSII}}$ or the rate of $I_{PSII}^C$.

Temperature effects on $\tilde{a}^C$ and $E_k$. The relative and absolute values of $\tilde{a}^C$ showed an analogous response to a short-term temperature change and were demonstrated to be insensitive (Pro. minimum) or slightly decreasing (Pry. parvum and Ph. tricornutum) with increasing temperature. This trend was tested using a statistical test of covariance (Table 3). As the slope of $\tilde{a}^C$ as a function of temperature was similar for the three methods and the interaction of temperature × method was insignificant ($P = 0.5–0.96$), we concluded that the temperature response for the three methods was the same for all three species. This is visually evident as seen from the plot of the relative values, as normalized at 5°C (Fig. 2, d–f). The absolute values of $\tilde{a}^C$ demonstrated an offset of $\tilde{a}^C_{PSII}$ compared with $\tilde{a}^C_{O2}$ and $\tilde{a}^{14C}$, which was constant for the entire temperature range, arguing for a linear temperature-insensitive relationship between rates obtained from the three methods, in the light-limited part of the PE curve. The offset of $\tilde{a}^C_{PSII}$ was similar to the offset of $I_{PSII}^C$, and we therefore conclude that the offset was general for the $I_{PSII}^C$-based O$_2$-production rates ($I_{PSII}^C$), for the entire irradiance range.

A linear offset of $I_{PSII}^C$ compared with $I_{O2}^C$ argues for a linear relation between the PSI electron transport and the measured O$_2$ production; however, our experimental setup did not support a direct comparison, as $I_{PSII}^C$ and $I_{O2}^C$ were measured at different irradiance levels (but within the same range). However, in a previous study, we observed a linear relationship between $I_{PSII}^C$ and $I_{O2}^C$ (as well as for $\Phi_{PSII}$ and $^{\text{PSII}} \Phi_{O2}$) for the same
A linear relation between $F_{PSII}$ and $F_{O2}$ aligns with Geel et al. (1997) who also found a linear relation between PSII ETRs and $O_2$-production rates at light-limited conditions in several marine phytoplankton species, including *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. The relation between ETR and photosynthetic $O_2$ evolution has been investigated in a range of studies. Although the investigations were conducted under a variety of experimental conditions, a majority of these studies describe a linear relationship between $O_2$ production and $F_{PSII}$ under moderate irradiances (Falkowski et al. 1986, Genty et al. 1989, Geel et al. 1997). Nonlinear or curvilinear correlations are described at high irradiance conditions (Falkowski et al. 1986, Schreiber et al. 1995, Flameling and Kromkamp 1998, Masojidek et al. 2001), with an excess of electron transport compared with $O_2$ production, or at very low irradiance presumably due to light-enhanced dark respiration (Flameling and Kromkamp 1998). A close coupling between the quantum yield for $O_2$ production and charge separation in PSII, but not between the quantum yield for $O_2$ production and $14C$ fixation, has also been reported (Kroon et al. 1993). For the deviations, explanations such as spectral difference in PAR source, changes in $O_2$ consumption in the light, cyclic electron transport around PSII, and Mehler-type reactions have been proposed.

The slight decrease of $\alpha^C$ with temperature for *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* could be explained by an apparent decrease of the chl $a$ to C ratio, as $\alpha^C$ (carbon-specific) often is correlated with the chl $a$ to C ratio, since light absorption is correlated with chl $a$ (MacIntyre et al. 2002). The chl $a$ to C ratio for *Pro. minimum* and *Pry. parvum* was constant across the temperature range (except for a drop at 30°C for *Pry. parvum*, Table 5).

A mathematical consequence of the similar offset of $F_{PSII}$ compared with $F_{O2}$ and $F_{14C}$, for both $F_{\text{max}}$ and $\alpha^C$, resulted in similar values for $E_k$ for the three methods. Hence, $E_k$ for the three applied methods responded in parallel across the entire range of temperature, and we conclude that temperature responses on $E_k$ can be studied quantitatively by the PAM technique, applying the present procedure to calculate $O_2$-production rates from $F_{PSII}$. Contradictory results have been published (Gilbert et al. 2000, MacIntyre et al. 2002). Gilbert et al. (2000) found that $F_{PSII}$-based $O_2$-production rates most often overestimated the measured $O_2$-production rates during light saturation, while the rates were similar during light-limited photosynthesis.

### CONCLUSIONS

(i) Both calculated and measured $O_2$-production rates along with $14C$-assimilation rates showed the same relative response to a short-term temperature change, for the three studied microalgal species. This finding implies that the PAM technique analogous to $O_2$-production and $14C$-assimilation measurements can be applied to study relative temperature responses of photosynthesis versus irradiance relations. (ii) Absolute rates of calculated $O_2$ production based on $F_{PSII}$ showed a species-specific correlation and overestimated the measured $O_2$-production rates of $\sim 1$–3 times during both light-limited ($\alpha^C$) and light-saturated ($F_{\text{max}}$) photosynthesis. The offset of the $F_{PSII}$-based measurements was due to a lower quantum yield for $O_2$ production than the theoretical maximum and seemed to be insensitive to temperature. The lower quantum yield for $O_2$ production can possibly be ascribed to irradiance-induced Mehler-type reactions. (iii) The maximum quantum yield for both PSII and $O_2$ production decreased with increasing temperature, the latter considerably stronger than the first. (iv) $F_{PSII}$ obtained with the PAM technique in combination with biooptically determined light absorption in PSII can be used as a valuable tool for studying temperature dependence of physiological processes in combination with $O_2$ and $14C$ studies.
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