Jellyfishing in Europe: Current Status, Knowledge Gaps, and Future Directions towards a Sustainable Practice
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Abstract: Jellyfish are often described as a nuisance species, but as our understanding shifts to more ecosystem-based conceptions, they are also recognized as both important components of marine ecosystems and a resource for humans. Here, we describe global jellyfish fisheries and review production, fishing methods, and applications based on the existing literature. We then focus on future development of a European jellyfish fishery based on current and recent EU research initiatives. Jellyfish have been a staple food in East Asia for eons and now show a potential for non-food applications as well. The main fishing methods are mostly traditional, with set-nets, driftnets, hand-nets, and scoop-nets utilizing small crafts or beach-seines. All require a lot of manual labor, thus providing vital, albeit seasonal, occupation to weaker populations. Larger commercial vessels such as purse seines and trawlers are newly introduced methods which may enable a larger catch per unit effort and total catch, but pose questions of selectivity, bycatch, vessel stability, and transshipment. Social concerns arising from the seasonality of jellyfish fisheries must be met in SE Asia, Latin America, and in any location where new fisheries are established. In the EU, we recognize at least 15 species showing potential for commercial harvesting, but as of 2021, a commercial fishery has yet to be developed; as in finfish fisheries, we advise caution and recognition of the role of jellyfish in marine ecosystems in doing so. Sustainable harvesting techniques and practices must be developed and implemented for a viable practice to emerge, and social and ecological needs must also be incorporated into the management plan. Once established, the catch, effort, and stock status must be monitored, regulated, and properly reported to FAO by countries seeking a viable jellyfish fishery. In the near future, novel applications for jellyfish will offer added value and new markets for this traditional resource.
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1. Introduction

Jellyfish and particularly scyphomedusae have widely been regarded more as a nuisance than a beneficial resource [1], but they are newly recognized as contributing to many ecosystem services [2]. Jellyfish outbreaks can have serious and deleterious impacts on humans and socio-economic activities, including stinging of bathers, economic losses...
for tourism, clogging of cooling water intakes of ships and coastal power and desalination plants, damages to fisheries and aquaculture [1,3], and references therein [4,5].

On the other hand, jellyfish are a natural ecosystem component providing various services, such as sequestering carbon, offering habitats for juvenile fish [6], contributing to medical advances [7,8], cancer therapy [9], and even enhancing biodiversity [4]. Some researchers (e.g., [2]) have suggested that jellyfish blooms may be portrayed in a positive light as they may contribute to all four categories of ecosystem services (regulating, supporting, provisioning, and cultural services).

The global demand for jellyfish biomass is on the rise [10]. Humans have fished and used jellyfish for millennia, especially in China, where they are a staple food [11]. China continues to be the main producer and consumer of jellyfish, although Japan and Korea are emerging as large markets [12]. Other countries emerging as significant producers include Thailand, India, Vietnam, Indonesia, and Mexico [10]. Recent advances have been achieved in assessing the value of jellyfish in traditional industries and in finding novel uses for jellyfish products [13–15], (www.gojelly.eu) (access on 25 August 2021), including as (1) marine natural products for biomarkers and biomedical applications [16], (2) agricultural fertilizer [15,17,18], (3) farmed fish and animal feed [9,19], (4) a collagen source [20–22], (5) microplastic filters in wastewater treatment plants [23], and (6) novel human food products [8,14,24,25], along with (7) the exploration of jellyfish palatability in western markets [14]. This is expected to lead to new markets for jellyfish as a raw material and thus to large-scale jellyfish fisheries or even aquaculture and jellyfish cultivation. However, there is an urgent need to implement state-of-the-art fishing techniques and management tools to enable a sustainable jellyfish fishery in Europe.

To date, large numbers of jellyfish are harvested as bycatch and are typically discarded [10]. Several nations outside China, especially in Southeast Asia and Central America, have started jellyfishing to meet the demand for jellyfish as a human food source. While jellyfish blooms have been considered a consequence of overfishing and “fishing down marine food webs” [26], edible jellyfish appear to have declined in Chinese coastal waters due to their own overexploitation [13]. To prevent this, there is a need to make jellyfish harvest sustainable, both ecologically and socio-economically [11,27–29]. While commercial jellyfish fishing may reduce the number of adult jellyfish, it will not stop jellyfish blooms [27]. Bycatch, effort regulation, and habitat integrity need to be effectively managed for a viable practice to grow. A selective fishery for commercial species is not expected to remove other nuisance jellyfish that might bloom after removal of the target species. Even when a sustainable jellyfish fishery is implemented, conservation challenges may arise if market-driven restocking of jellyfish populations takes place, as it is currently the case in China, where the most valuable edible species, Rhopilema esculentum, is restocked in the Yellow Sea [30].

While significant efforts were made to evaluate jellyfish fisheries worldwide, key data regarding product diversification, species and market characterization, palatability, stock assessment capabilities, industrial fishing methods, and seasonal, socio-economic, and operative structures are missing with respect to European jellyfish fisheries. This study aims to review the existing literature on jellyfish fisheries, to update some production figures, and to outline novel products, potential markets, and fishing methods. Further, potential commercial jellyfish species in European seas are collated, and needs and knowledge gaps are identified to allow for sustainable and efficient jellyfish harvesting, emphasizing the European seas.

2. Methods

A comprehensive literature search was conducted of scientific papers, books, book chapters, theses, and reports published between 1980 and 2021 and recorded in Google Scholar using the keywords “Jellyfish Fishery” or “Jellyfish Fisheries” or “Jellyfish Fishing” or “Jellyfish Catch”. Patents, as well as citations of other works already included, were excluded from the search results and the publications were screened for relevance, to
include only original sources. The remaining publications were surveyed for information on jellyfish catches (species and biomass), fishing techniques, and processing methods. These were supplemented by publications cited by Brotz [10] that did not appear in the initial Google Scholar search. Target jellyfish species, biomass, and fishing methods were extracted from the publications and supplemented by data from recent jellyfish harvesting activities. Due to the sparsity of available literature in English, data was complemented with observations made during a 2018 visit to Shandong fisheries in China. Additional aspects seen as vital for sustainability of the practice were also reviewed in order to facilitate the planning of a future sustainable jellyfish fishery in the EU. Catch data were downloaded from the FAO repository of global capture production of the fishery statistical collections—http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-capture-production/en (accessed on 17 August 2021).

3. Results and Conclusions
3.1. Literature Survey
A total of 648 publications referring to jellyfish fisheries were found in the search. Subsequently, 89 relevant publications were validated as contributing to jellyfish fisheries, their management, fishing methods, landings, markets, and products between 1980 and 2021. The number of relevant publications increased with time, particularly indicating our increasing knowledge in the 21st century (Figure 1). Most of the earlier publications were from Japan or North America, but since the turn of the century, important contributions have also been added from Europe, Australia, South America, and China.

![Figure 1. The number of jellyfish fisheries publications found in a Google Scholar search, after screening for relevance.](image)

Important and often cited contributions were made at the turn of the century [11,13]. By far the most extensive efforts to date were carried out in a series of reviews by Brotz et al. [10,31,32]. These comprise the main body of knowledge. Recent publications from SE Asia, e.g., [9,30,33,34] and America [35–37] update and complement them. Several international initiatives were covered in Brotz [10] and Brotz and Pauly [31], but EU efforts emerge from this survey as almost nonexistent (Figure 2), which provides us with a rare opportunity to lay the foundations for a sustainable practice before the establishment of a commercial fishery.
Other recent publications add to our knowledge on potential and existing fisheries: in Asia, China is still the world leader in production, consumption, and even aquaculture of jellyfish, and Dong et al. [30] have recently advanced stock enhancement and assessments for *R. esculentum* in Liaodong Bay (China), which forms the base for their fishery [38]. Hatcheries produce large numbers of juvenile jellyfish that are supplied to farmers to grow in tanks and ponds to eventually release medusae into the ocean for restocking purposes [30]. The jellyfish fishing season is very short (days to weeks). Fishing grounds and fishing methods are well defined and monitored, and life history, habitat, and natural dispersal of the species are known [30]. The Liaodong fishery thus provides an extreme example of a human-dominated and human-controlled process. This should be complemented by information on the impacts of jellyfish fishery on the ecosystem and other services it renders in order to ensure sustainable management from a wider perspective [4]. In India, jellyfishing started in 1980, mainly of *Crambionella annandalei*, but, similar to the *R. esculentum* fishery in Japan, it was not reported to FAO (Figure 3). Behera et al. [39] elaborate on the scale and practice of Indian jellyfish harvesting and Baliarsingh et al. [40] discuss the distribution and monitoring of jellyfish in India. Up to 33,000 tons per annum of *C. annandalei* are reported as landed by gillnets in Andhra Pradesh alone, out of ~100,000 tons for the entire country [39]. This seasonal monospecific fishery employs 60–70 small vessels with 6–7 persons on each boat, deploying nets at 10–40 m depth. Other Indian jellyfish fisheries were previously described from Tamil Nadu, Odisha, West Bengal, Karnataka, and Gujarat [8]. Nishikawa et al. [41] presented an update on fisheries in Thailand, and in Pakistan two species of scyphozoans, *Catostylus perezi* and *Rhopilema hispidum*, are commercially exploited [33] alongside a candidate species, *Crambionella orsini* [42]. In America, Lopez-Martinez [43] described the growth of *Stomolophus meleagris* in the Gulf of California, facilitating stock assessment and estimates on harvest frequency, which are a prerequisite to establish a sustainable fishery. Fahrenbruch [28] and Cruz-Colín et al. [29] provided information on Latin American jellyfish fisheries, focusing on Nicaragua and Mexico, respectively, and discussed the magnitude and practices in light of economic and often neglected social aspects of jellyfish fisheries. Bazi et al. [36] provided updates on Brazilian jellyfish fisheries. The species *Rhacostoma atlanticum*, *Chiropsalmus quadrumanus*, and *Lycnorhiza lucerna* are common as bycatch in finfisheries in Brazil and were recently considered as potential species for human consumption after protocols for processing were developed and jellyfish distribution and abundances were modeled [36]. In Australia, Rault and Gaston [44] estimated jellyfish stocks for *Catostylus mosaicus* using drones, highlighting the need for a rapid, accurate stock assessment, considering the boom-
and-bust nature of jellyfish blooms. In Africa, Adongo [45] described jellyfish belonging to four families (Catostylidae, Chirodropidae, Rhizostomatidae, and Pelagiidae), bycaught in artisanal net fisheries landings in Ghana as a potential resource. In Europe, Cruz [46] described the nonindigenous *Blackfordia virginica* as a potential food source in Portugal and Rodriguez et al. [37] added *Cyanea capillata*, *Cyanea lamarckii*, and *Rhizostoma luteum* to the list of species for a potential fishery. Risk-based approaches to the health and safety of jellyfish (e.g., *Catostylus tagi*, *Aurelia coerulea*, *Cotylorhiza tuberculata*, *Rhizostoma pulmo*) consumption were developed and reviewed by several groups [24,47–51], and palatability and potential western markets for jellyfish were tested [14].

![Figure 3. Global annual jellyfish catches from 2000 to 2019 in thousands of tons (A) and catch proportion by country (B) as reported to FAO. Note that catches from India (mainly *Crambionella* spp.), Japan (*R. esculentum*), Vietnam, and from several other countries are not included as they are reported to FAO under “miscellaneous invertebrates”. Source: FAO (2021).](image)

The increase in published papers on jellyfish fisheries (Figure 1) mirrors an increase in publications dealing with damages caused by jellyfish [5]. It stands to reason that calls for reduction of jellyfish populations by fishing them will keep increasing [52] alongside pleas for cautious, responsible fisheries [27].

### 3.2. Jellyfish Harvest

While claims of a human driven global increase in jellyfish populations may have been exaggerated or even erroneous [53], jellyfish blooms are expected to persist locally and temporally [54]. The average annual catch of jellyfish in SE Asia was estimated at 169,000 tons (wet weight) between 1988 and 1999 and the global catch at approximately 321,000 tons [11]. This catch has since almost tripled, reaching 900,000 tons in 2016, harvested by 19 countries, predominately in SE Asia [31]. More than half of the catch is
consumed in China, by far the largest producer and importer of jellyfish. Thailand, India, Vietnam, Indonesia, and Latin America are emerging producers, while Japan and Korea are emerging markets. In 2020, despite a surge of novel applications, almost all landed jellyfish biomass was still used as food for humans in SE Asia. While this is not reflected in the official data reported by FAO (Figure 3), these higher figures likely represent more accurate estimates of the actual catch, considering common underreporting and conversion of the reported catch to wet weight [26,31]. For example, the typical dry weight of *R. esculentum* is a mere 15% of its wet weight [31].

Brotz [10] reported catches that are about double those reported in Figure 3 and are much closer to actual production, as they include conversion to wet weight. The large differences in reported data are considered to be mainly a result of Chinese underreporting to FAO [31], the absence of India, Japan, Korea, and Vietnam (Figure 3), and a lack of detailed information on whether fresh, dried, or semi-dried jellyfish biomass was reported. This highlights the need for standardized reporting protocols, as the processed dried product typically represents a mere 8–15% of the wet weight [10]. Some of the declines in global production may be explained by the volatile nature of some newly established fisheries in the early years, such as in Thailand after the turn of the century, or in Ecuador and Mexico in recent years where a steady practice has yet to be established [28].

The high interannual and seasonal variability in landed biomass (mainly in Thailand and Latin America) and the decline in landings since 2015 (Figure 3) reflect the instability of jellyfish populations and jellyfishing operations. A sustainable management of jellyfish resources thus requires adaptive regulations and modular infrastructure that allow for rapid deployment, stowing, and re-use of gear for a seasonal fishery to develop alongside a fair and long-term employment program for fishers and other workers once the fishery closes for the season. Such an adaptive management process should rely on ecosystem models and jellyfish stock assessments [31] that determine maximum sustainable yields (MSY), quota allocations, appropriate fishing techniques, bycatch regulations, and fishery opening–closure procedures. In addition, a profound knowledge on the ecological roles of target jellyfish species in marine food webs needs to be obtained and implemented into management strategies [35]. Unfortunately, the present review finds that almost all jellyfish fisheries are managed to increase short-term profits rather than plan for more sustainable long-term goals.

### 3.3. Edible Jellyfish Species

There are about 400 species of Scyphomedusae, and among these about 92 species of rhizostomes [56], which are considered the top choice for human consumption [11]. To date, about 40 species of jellyfish are commercially fished for food purposes. Despite this, jellyfish did not appear on the public statistics of FAO until very recently and were either missing entirely or listed under “other marine invertebrates” [31]. In 2020, jellyfish were finally featured as a separate group in FAO official reports. However, they are only divided into two major taxa (*Rhopilema* spp. and *Stomolophus melegaris*) representing catches from Asia and America, respectively, as well as minor mentions of *Chrysaora plocamia* from Chile and *Catostylus* spp. from Pakistan [31]. At the turn of the century, at least 11 species in five families were recognized as being commercially harvested in Southeast Asia [11], setting the stage for a later expansion of jellyfishing to new ecoregions and species in the 21st century. Brotz [10] listed 39 jellyfish taxa which have been commercially utilized for food purposes. The present review adds several species that show potential for a commercial jellyfish fishery, although they are not yet consumed by humans on a large scale, namely, *Rhacostoma atlanticum*, *Chiropsalmus quadrumanus* in Brazil [36], *Cyanea capillata*, *Cyanea lanarckii* and *Rhizostoma luteum* in the eastern Atlantic [37], and *Aurelia coerulea*, *Mnemiopsis leidyi*, and *Chrysaora hysoscella* in European Seas (present review). Most commercially exploited species belong to the order Rhizostomeae, characterized by large and rigid bells, which are more amenable to processing [57]. The main two species currently harvested are *Rhopilema esculentum* and *Nemopilema nomurai*. While *R. esculentum* is the most sought-after target
species and is also artificially enhanced in restocking operations in China [30]. *N. nomurai* is also widely exploited in East Asia, probably in much larger quantities than those appearing in FAO data [10]. Within-species variations in morphological features, size, and coloration often render jellyfish taxonomy and species identification challenging without genetic studies. It is thus probable that several different species are currently identified under a single species, e.g., [58,59].

### 3.4. Non-Human-Food Uses for Jellyfish Biomass

Today, main non-food uses of jellyfish include (1) pharmaceutical applications, e.g., purified collagen [60], anticoagulants, mucins, antimicrobics, and antihypertensive peptides [10] and therein, as well as bioactive compounds [8] and antioxidant peptides [22]; (2) cosmetics based on moisturizing [61], anti-aging, and UV protection properties of jellyfish extracts [20,62,63]; (3) agriculture as organic fertilizers and pesticides [17,64,65] and seed germination agents for sand dune restoration [15]; (4) animal and aquaculture feeds [10] and therein [66,67]; (5) biotech, with Nobel Prize-winning green fluorescent protein (GFP) hailed as “one of the most useful tools in modern medicine” [7]; and (6) decontamination development using coagulative properties of jellyfish mucus for capturing nano- and microparticles [23,68,69].

### 3.5. Jellyfishing Methods

A variety of vessels and gear are used for jellyfishing. Bottom trawlers are used in places like the Georgia cannonball jellyfish fishery in the US [35] and China [10]. However, most fishing is still performed from artisanal vessels using dip-nets [10]. Suction fishing and process automation may help boost the efficiency of harvesting jellyfish, as demonstrated by Chi [70], but are still under development. Omori and Nakano [11] described jellyfish as being caught by set-nets, drift-nets, hand-nets (Figure 4), scoop-nets, beach-seines, and hooks. Further, weirs, purse seines and trawlers, and gear combinations may be used, such as in Japan and Malaysia where jellyfish are typically concentrated using set-nets and then collected using dip-nets (Figure 4) [10]. A combination of methods is also popular among the fishermen in small communities north of Qingdao, China (J. Javidpour and C. Andrade, personal communication). They use gill nets placed for about a week along the coast perpendicular to the main current. These nets have typical mesh sizes of ~10 cm and are about 30 m long and 20 m deep. Anchors secure nets at both ends, with two accessory anchors in between, and floats are used on the surface. Fishermen visit the site daily and harvest jellyfish of variable sizes (mostly above 2 kg) with a dip net. The average yield per trip is about 50 kg (J. Javipour and C. Andrade, personal communication). Operations using suction to extract jellyfish from the water into ship hulls were attempted recently in China [70] and Mexico (D. Edelist, personal communications), but such endeavors are still mostly in the experimental phases [70]. As both food and non-food use emerge and proliferate [2,11,15,60], problems such as “scarring” of jellyfish bells by trawl or other nets cutting into the flesh may be overcome by using thicker netting material, or by division of the catch into edible and non-edible phases.

Jellyfishing tows often do not exceed five minutes when fishing in dense blooms [11]; and when trawling for jellyfish in Georgia (US), vessels are allowed to drag nets for a maximum of 30 min to reduce marine mammal and sea turtle bycatch and mortality [35]. Within 3 NM from shore, trawlers are required to use turtle excluder devices (TEDs) in order to reduce unwanted bycatch; however, some jellyfishers operate in federal waters, where these state laws do not apply [32].
In addition to traditional methods (i.e., fishing), there may be other means to collect jellyfish that have not been exploited yet. To date, there is no known utilization of jellyfish (for food) that are captured on screens and intake filters at coastal power utilities or desalination plants despite the massive amounts that accumulate in these facilities. Development of non-food uses would allow for the use of jellyfish of less complete form and shape, facilitating such innovative solutions, or even the collection of stranded specimens from the shore.

Jellyfishing is mainly a nearshore activity using small (5–10 m) boats powered by outboard engines [10]. Fishers in northern Qingdao make a 20–30 nautical mile trip in 8–10 m long, 20 HP boats to set their nets (J. Javidpour and C. Andrade, personal communication; Figure 4, right). Conversely, in the Gulf of Bohai, the Chinese jellyfish fleet includes 200 government-issued 17 m long wooden boats with diesel engines fishing with trawls and set nets [71]. Jellyfish are visually located in surface waters and most often collected using dip-nets or scoop-nets. Dip-nets are considered highly selective fishing gear, with little bycatch, while set nets, purse seining, and particularly trawling might entail larger amounts of bycatch [11]. Moreover, the quality of the catch by a dip-net is considered higher than that of set nets or trawls as jellyfish are less stressed and scarred when fished by hand (Figure 5).

As many fish and invertebrate species associate with jellyfish in different life stages, bycatch also needs to be accounted for in industrial fisheries. Larger mesh sizes may reduce bycatch of smaller individuals, including smaller medusae. Several countries (e.g., Australia, USA, Mexico) have implemented minimum jellyfish size limits (MSLs). In Australia, fishers targeting jellyfish are required to use dip-nets to ensure high selectivity [10] and a minimum size limit of 23 cm (bell diameter) is designated for C. mosaicus, well above the size at which sexual maturity is attained—13–16 cm [10]. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of MSLs in jellyfish fisheries has not been evaluated scientifically [32]. Moreover, larger mesh sizes or thinner netting material might damage the bells of the bigger and more valuable medusae.

Jellyfishing may also require structural changes to vessels. Large jellyfish loads might interfere with vessel stability and increase the risk of capsize. The small crafts typically used in jellyfish fisheries normally catch 1–5 tons of jellyfish [32] and their capacity is limited by safety and sea state issues. Placing baffles in the holds of vessels may reduce shifting of the catch in rough seas [10] and thus reduce the risk of capsize. Another severe (but rarely lethal) risk is stinging and irritation to the fishers [72]. Fishers typically handle the catch bare-handed, as gloves may be awkward, uncomfortably hot, or simply not
available [32]. Development of a per species risk assessment and safe handling protocols is thus deemed necessary.

![Damage assessment for jellyfish extracted in different fishing methods](image)

**Figure 5.** Damage assessment for jellyfish extracted in different fishing methods (n = 34; figures above each bar represent the number of fishing operations). Source: GoJelly Project ([www.gojelly.eu](http://www.gojelly.eu)) (access on 25 August 2021).

JF fisheries are characterized by large inter-annual fluctuations and short fishing seasons, typically two to four months at most [10,11]. Jellyfish fishers in China and the Caribbean may travel along the coast, following the bloom in order to harvest its biomass [10,28]. Jellyfishing is usually a daytime operation; however, nocturnal jellyfishing may be beneficial during the summer season or in tropical areas, to prevent the catch from getting spoiled, as often jellyfish must be processed on land within hours of extraction and warmer temperatures might shorten this transition period considerably [32]. Another benefit of nocturnal jellyfishing is derived from the vertical diel migration of jellyfish, as they often ascend to the surface at night [13] (but see [39]).

### 3.6. Jellyfish Fisheries in European Seas and Adjacent Waters

In the European Seas, Turkey was the only country to run a fully commercial, large-scale jellyfish fishery, between 1984 and 2006 (Figure 3) [73]. Catches of *Aurelia* spp. (for human and pet food), and *Rhizostoma pulmo* and *Rhopilema nomadica* (for human food) reached a maximum of 4000 t × y⁻¹, totaling 14,862 tons for the entire period. Most of this catch originated from the Black Sea (53%) and the Marmara Sea (31%), with a smaller amount from the Mediterranean (11%) and the Aegean (4%) Seas [10]. It is postulated that *R. pulmo* was a main target species in the Black Sea [74]. By 2008, this practice ceased, and *Aurelia* spp. was since mentioned only as a bycatch discarded species in the Black Sea [75]. Today, despite calls for reinstalling a Mediterranean jellyfish fishery [54], no commercial fishery is active.

In the only other semi-commercial scale fishery in Europe [60], the barrel jellyfish *Rhizostoma octopus* is commercially harvested from the Bay of Biscay and Wales (Atlantic), respectively, to produce medical-grade collagen. In 2015, a total of 4.3 tons (wet weight) of *R. octopus* was collected for this purpose [60].

Norway has considered harvesting the mesopelagic *Periphylla periphylla* [76]. Despite its large abundance in Norwegian fjords, a commercial fishery has still not been developed due to a lack of demand and market (R. Tiller, A. Hosia, R. Slizyte, personal communication). In Israel, ~100 kg (wet weight) of *R. nomadica* was harvested in 2018 as a pilot for export
to China. Both *R. nomadica* and *R. pulmo* were approved by Chinese practitioners as palatable; however, a lack of investment and interest from regulatory bodies currently delays the establishment of such a fishery (D. Edelist, personal communication). To assess the applicability of developing jellyfishing in European seas, the main relevant species are identified here with their distribution and references for the types of fisheries that may be developed for them (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Main jellyfish species in EU waters that show potential for a commercial fishery. (*) : Non-indigenous species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Distribution in European Seas and Ecoregions (Following Spalding et al., 2007)</th>
<th>Explored Uses</th>
<th>Reference for Potential Fisheries Development in European Seas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Aurelia</em> spp.</td>
<td>Baltic Sea, North Sea, Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay and Iberia, Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea, Macaronesia: 24–36, 44</td>
<td>Food, animal feed, pharmaceuticals, fertilizer, bioactive molecules, microplastic filter</td>
<td>[10,24,31,58,77,78], present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Catostylus tagi</em></td>
<td>Bay of Biscay and Iberia (Tagus estuary) Macaronesia: 27</td>
<td>Food, pharmaceuticals, animal feed</td>
<td>[3,47,79,80], present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysaora hysoscella</em></td>
<td>Baltic Sea, North Sea, Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay and Iberia, Mediterranean Sea, Macaronesia</td>
<td>Food, pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>[77,81–83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cotylorhiza tuberculata</em></td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea: 24–36, 44</td>
<td>Food, pharmaceuticals, animal feed</td>
<td>[10,24,84], present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyanea capillata</em></td>
<td>Baltic Sea, North Sea, Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay, and Iberia: 21–29</td>
<td>Food, fertilizer</td>
<td>[31,77], present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyanea lamarckii</em></td>
<td>Baltic Sea, North Sea, Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay, and Iberia: 21–29</td>
<td>Food, feed</td>
<td>[31,77], present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mnemiopsis leidy</em> *</td>
<td>Baltic Sea, North Sea, Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea: 24, 25, 31–36, 44</td>
<td>Microplastic filter</td>
<td>[85–87], present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pelagia noctiluca</em></td>
<td>Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay and Iberia, Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea, Macaronesia: 21, 25–36</td>
<td>Food, bioactive molecules</td>
<td>[31,88,89], present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Periphylla periphylla</em></td>
<td>North Sea: 22, 25</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals, microplastic filter</td>
<td>[76], present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phyllorhiza punctate</em> *</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea: 31, 32, 44</td>
<td>Food</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhizostoma luteum</em></td>
<td>Bay of Biscay, Iberia, and Macaronesia: 27–29</td>
<td>Food</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhizostoma octopus</em></td>
<td>Baltic, North, and Celtic Seas: 21–26</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhizostoma pulmo</em></td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea: 31–36, 44</td>
<td>Food, pharmaceuticals, animal feed, microplastic filter</td>
<td>[24,50,51,74], Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhopilema nomadica</em> *</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea: 31–34</td>
<td>Food, pharmaceuticals, fertilizer, bioactive molecules, microplastic filter</td>
<td>[13,65,91,92], present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The distribution, availability, products, markets, and fishing methods of jellyfish were reviewed in the European regions regarding their uses (Table 1), distribution, and investigated fishing seasons (Table 2). Since 2018, a total of 2850 kg of nine jellyfish species have been harvested by GoJelly partners from Norway, Italy, Slovenia, Israel, Germany, and Portugal for research purposes. The main species included *Periphylla periphylla* (1280 kg, 44% of the catch), harvested in Trondheimsfjorden (Figure 6A); *Rhizostoma pulmo* (534 kg, 18%), harvested in the Mediterranean Sea; and *Aurelia* spp. (500 kg, 17%), harvested in the Baltic and the Mediterranean seas. Jellyfish were harvested using gillnets (Mediterranean), benthic trawl (Norway), pelagic trawl (Baltic), landing nets (Adriatic), dip nets (Mediterranean), and a simple 20 L bucket (Portugal) (Figure 6B). The nets were mainly made from nylon (89%); polyethylene fishing nets were rarely used (11%). The mesh size varied from 35 to 50 mm. The gear type reflected sampling depth and the vessel used.

The harvested species were used to test their potential in various economic fields: *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* and *Catostylus tagi* harvested in Spain (Mar Menor) and Portugal (Lisbon) were tested as feed ingredients for aquaculture and ornamental fish. Texture, biochemical characterization, and temperature effects were investigated for *Rhizostoma pulmo* and *Pelagia noctiluca* to explore their potential as food for humans. Mucus was extracted from jellyfish caught in the Adriatic Sea (*Rhizostoma pulmo* and *Cotylorhiza tuberculata*), Mediterranean Sea (*Rhopilema nomadica*), and Red Sea (*Aurelia* sp.) to develop a microplastics filter for use in wastewater treatment plants [23]. Also, the potential use of jellyfish biomass (*Aurelia aurita* and *Cyanea capillata*) as a fertilizer was also assessed [15].

![Figure 6](image_url). Jellyfish fishing in European seas using (A) benthic trawl for *Periphylla periphylla* and (B) hand net for *Rhizostoma pulmo* (Photo credit: A: Nicole Aberle-Malzahn, B: Bor Krajn).
Table 2. Jellyfish species harvested in the framework of the GoJelly project (2018 to 2020) and their fishing areas and periods. Dark green fields indicate the harvesting period, turquoise fields indicate estimated periods of occurrence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>Adriatic Sea, Gulf of Trieste, Slovenia</td>
<td><em>Rhizostoma pulmo</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cotylorhiza tuberculata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aurelia solida</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ionian Sea, Italy</td>
<td><em>Mnemiopsis leidyi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rhizostoma pulmo</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pelagia noctiluca</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israeli coast</td>
<td><em>Bycistoma nominata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cotylorhiza tuberculata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar Menor, Spain</td>
<td><em>Bycistoma nominata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cotylorhiza tuberculata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern North Atlantic</td>
<td>Tagus, Portugal</td>
<td><em>Catostylus tagi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aurelia aurita</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cyanea spp.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baltic Sea</td>
<td><em>Mnemiopsis leidyi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aurelia aurita</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norwegian Sea</td>
<td><em>Cyanea spp.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Persphylla periphylla</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion: Towards Establishment of an EU Jellyfish Fishery

The general attitudes of European (Italian) consumers towards jellyfish as food have recently been examined [14]. Overall, young men with higher education who travel frequently presented more positive attitudes toward this new exotic food, but differences were not always significant and other crowds may thus also be drawn towards eating jellyfish. European jellyfish species could thus be of interest not only for the East-Asian market but should be considered as a new regional food resource, as well as for a variety of new products in western markets. However, many crucial factors need to be considered if jellyfishing should become a common practice in Europe.

4.1. Environmental Considerations

Ecosystem stability, minimizing bycatch, and competition with other species are the prime environmental concerns for managers [10,27,60]. Further, overexploitation of jellyfish has been shown to create risks to ecosystem stability [11]. A European jellyfishing practice that complies with SDG 14 [93] and sustainably exploits this untapped resource can help protect the marine environment by alleviating existing coastal fishing pressure while providing small-scale fishers with alternative livelihoods.

4.2. Ecological Interactions and Ecosystem Stability

Upon founding a jellyfish fishery, competition of the fishery with other species feeding on jellyfish should be considered, as well as impacts on lower trophic levels [10]. Jellyfish are consumed by many marine predators, including fish, birds, turtles, octopuses, sea cucumbers, and crustaceans [94]. Some of the co-occurring species may be endangered, such as large predatory fish and marine mammals [94] or leatherback turtles [95]. Therefore, an extensive fishery seeking to mitigate jellyfish impacts on humans might interfere with natural predator–prey relationships in marine ecosystems and reduce prey availability for consumers. Further, several juvenile fish species aggregate near jellyfish and maintain a commensal relationship with them during crucial developmental phases. A removal of jellyfish would thus have a negative effect on associated biota. In the wider context, large scale jellyfish removal can affect the entire marine food web, from phytoplankton to apex predators, via trophic cascades [27].

Fishery activities for jellyfish need to be performed in a responsible manner to avoid interference with ecosystems’ stability. In the case of native jellyfish species, state-of-the-art stock assessments, fishing techniques, and management tools need to be applied to guarantee a sustainable European jellyfish fishery, thus minimizing disturbance to the regional ecological balance upon harvesting. In the case of invasive (harmful) non-indigenous species (NIS), however, different approaches may be considered. For example, a framework for determining whether stocks of NIS should be managed using sustainable or unsustainable exploitation (e.g., overfishing as eradication measures) has recently been developed [52]. Overfishing of jellyfish might pose a concern for ecosystem stability, as it can stimulate the growth of other planktivorous taxa and reduce carbon turnover rates. To avoid overexploitation, the biomass and size composition of jellyfish populations need to be determined and detailed knowledge on demography and reproduction cycles of target jellyfish species need to be addressed. A stock assessment for jellyfish may be achieved similar to how finfish stocks are assessed [96]. New methodologies are being developed for aerial, underwater, and combined estimates of jellyfish biomass and abundances in situ [44,97,98], as well as citizen science approaches [92] and image recognition technology [98,99], that may prove useful for the development of sustainable jellyfish fishery operations.

4.3. Bycatch

Commercially or environmentally important species such as fish, sea turtles, and marine mammals may be unintentionally caught by the fishers when associating with jellyfish [94,95]. Bycatch depends mostly on the fishing method: while selective hand
and scoop nets do not entail any significant bycatch, more industrial methods often do. Gillnetting may involve the bycatch of sea turtles or cetaceans, while purse-seining entails a comparably modest amount of bycatch since hauls are short and bycatch may be released with relatively little harm. Bottom and pelagic trawling, however, entail much heavier concerns of bycatch. In Georgia (USA), industrial jellyfish fishing with a pelagic trawl recorded 38 species of fish and three species of invertebrates as bycatch, presumed to be an underestimate of the actual figures. Turtle excluder devices are mandated in Georgia state waters in order to minimize this bycatch [35]. Specific permits for jellyfish fisheries are required by various countries (e.g., Australia, USA, Canada). In Europe, there are still no specific guidelines or regulations concerning jellyfish fisheries. However, the 2013 reform of the Common Fishery Policy (CFP) aims to phase out discarding (and thus bycatch) through a landing obligation, similar to practices in countries like Chile, Iceland, or New-Zealand [100]. This EU discard ban presents the EU with an opportunity for landing jellyfish and developing a market for them. For example, 11 pelagic trawl hauls in the Black Sea yielded 9.54 tons of horse mackerel alongside 8.22 tons of Aurelia aurita [78]. Whether jellyfish are counted as part of the landing obligation is unclear, but if a fishery is to be established, the issue of bycatch must be addressed. This includes both jellyfish as bycatch of other fisheries and sensitive species bycaught in targeted jellyfish fisheries.

4.4. Overexploitation (Overfishing)

Overexploitation of jellyfish is a concern, as shown for the coastal R. esculentum fishery in the South China Sea [11] and may lead to blooms of less desirable species such as N. nomurai [72] or Aurelia spp. [4]. In Liaodong Bay (China), a dramatic increase during the 1980s in the jellyfishing fleet size, from hundreds of boats to approximately 10,000 vessels, has reduced the jellyfish fishing season from months to single hours over which almost the entire stock is extracted [34]. Jellyfish overexploitation has caused a marked reduction in population size, and subsequent mismanagement has led to an increase in illegal jellyfish fishing, sometimes weeks or even months ahead of the official season opening [34], thus lowering the fishery efficiency considerably. Management should also seek to capitalize on conservation opportunities that arise from fishery establishment by defining no-take zones and seasonal no-take periods where no harvesting activities are allowed. You et al. [34] proposed a quota system for the Chinese jellyfish fishery, and seasonal benthic trawl moratoria have been enforced in the Bohai Sea since the 1980s, protecting R. esculentum polyp beds as part of the benthic habitat [71].

4.5. Social Considerations

While East-Asian fisheries are well established, we know relatively little about their social sustainability. Fahrenbruch [28] describes a new Nicaraguan Caribbean fishery for cannonball jellyfish (Stomolophus meleagris) that supplies jellyfish to the Chinese market. The supply chain is driven by what he calls “roving bandits”—foreign-based traders who “typically hold little vested interest in the localities they exploit and seek only to extract as much as they can before moving on to the next location”. These traders perform seasonal fisheries that land as much as 5000 tons per day, but leave only high expectations, low revenues, and crumbling processing plants for the locals when blooms are depleted [28]. A further description of the complex and inequitable power dynamics developing among stakeholders with varying needs and interests in the jellyfish fishery was given for the Gulf of California cannonball fishery in Mexico [29]. Similar to Nicaragua and Ecuador, the marginalized and weakened Mexican populations, especially women workers, are the most vulnerable sides when policy guidelines and stern management are not applied [28]. Despite being the most economically important emerging fishery in the Gulf, the cannonball fishery is already described as unsustainable and inequitable [29]. Jellyfish are a high-volume product with narrow profit margins, and their fisheries exhibit large interannual fluctuations. Socio-economic considerations such as fair employment and wages, safe working conditions for fishers and factory workers, sufficient profit margins, low pollution reusable infrastructure,
proper product storage and shipping, and clear regulation and long-term planning based on sound fisheries management should therefore be met by decision-makers as early as possible before the establishment of new jellyfish fisheries.

4.6. Future Perspectives for Jellyfish Fisheries

Ecosystem-based fishery management (EBFM) under the ecosystem approach (EcAp) is hailed as the comprehensive approach towards sustainable fisheries. It mandates an integrated approach that includes state-of-the-art fishery management within known ecological boundaries, the integration of fishing with other human activities, and the preservation of biodiversity and ecosystem stability alongside the processes necessary to safeguard ecosystem stability and services [101]. A structured framework that considers the range of costs and benefits to ecosystems, ecosystem services, and fisheries should be adopted and regulated regionally but applied locally, thus requiring that fishing nations proactively participate in the regional regulatory process. Management scenarios and options should be developed for a highly seasonal and relatively unstable resource like jellyfish which are species-specific and ecosystem-specific [101]. Alongside ecological considerations, the EBFM of jellyfishing should be fitted with human dimension principles such as employment, well-being, science-based decision-making, and workers’ health and safety [28,102]. This is pivotal in overcoming socioeconomic challenges and preventing the negative outcomes that may arise from an opportunistic profit-based fishery as reported from Latin America [28,29]. EBFM is typically directed towards managing stocks in a sustainable manner, not eradicating them. For invasive harmful jellyfish species, however, some control can be considered under EBFM [52]. There are at least 40 jellyfish species exploited for food so far and a vast potential exists for other uses of jellyfish products and species. To facilitate both stock assessment and fishery management, countries must strive to improve taxonomic resolution, as only four taxa representing about 15 main species currently appear in FAO records. Additionally, international frameworks like ICES as well as regional bodies like GFCM should play a leading role in formulating basic research and regulations required for stock assessment and fishery management.

Jellyfishing and processing operations are often interlinked, as fresh jellyfish biomass must be rapidly processed to avoid spoilage. While Asian processing typically involves soaking in salts and alum, jellyfish appeal to Western and European taste and standards (e.g., jellyfish chips) may be increased by the reduction of alum usage [24]. This can be achieved by thermal processing as a first stabilizing treatment for jellyfish biomass [24], or by preservation in 96% ethanol as polyelectrolyte [25]. General attitudes of consumers towards jellyfish as food were recently observed [14] and further testing was advised to pinpoint target markets and seek consumers with a high propensity to accept jellyfish as food.

What Is Required for a Sustainable EU Jellyfishery to Emerge and What Should It Look Like?

A new, sustainable jellyfishery must primarily rely on a solid knowledge base on the demography, reproduction biology, and ecology of the fished jellyfish species and the ecosystem they inhabit (Figure 7).
The motives and risks for fishery establishment should be identified and expectations managed accordingly. Jellyfish stocks should be assessed and monitored to allow for long-term planning alongside adaptive measures. Standardized bycatch surveys must be executed for each fishing method and region, and the fishery should strive to reduce bycatch to minimal levels. Reported data need to be standardized regarding the use of gear and fishing effort, and all catches must be reported with wet weight as the unit.

A rapid enhancement of the entire value chain in exploitation operations can be allowed (inbound logistics, operations, outbound logistics, marketing, sales, service, transportation, communication, and dissemination), but flexibility for downscaling that accommodates the seasonality and instability must be planned. For example, land use issues can be resolved by sharing coastal workspaces with other industries or by planning for adaptive, mobile facilities that are easy to assemble and stow. Processing byproducts (brine) ought to be dealt with in an environmentally responsible way, and odors may require sensitive location of facilities away from population and residential centers. An EU fishery is also likely to modernize traditional labor-intensive extraction methods, depending on region, ecosystem, species, market, and use. Production models that allow for the high spatio-temporal variance of jellyfish fisheries to compromise fisher rights and incomes should be phased out.

We must promote public awareness of the benefits of safe jellyfish consumption as food in the EU, and mandate proper labelling of jellyfish products [88]. To this end, technologies that reduce or eliminate health risks are highly advised [24] and studies that highlight the health benefits of consuming them should be encouraged [50,51].

5. Conclusions

Jellyfishing, particularly in Europe, will probably not occur as a bottom-up initiative by fishers. It will be driven by demand, and thus diversification of uses and end products can contribute substantially to fishery development. Creation of a sound knowledge base is key to sustaining any fishery and here we shed light on the needs of such an EU jellyfishery. Issues of monitoring, bycatch, habitat integrity, seasonality, and product life cycle must be considered and managed to assure environmental sustainability, while health, safety, human rights, financial resources, and modularity-adaptivity need to be planned for and
managed to make sure socio-economic concerns are met. In conclusion, improving our understanding of both human and ecological systems is essential in order to promote the sustainable exploitation of jellyfish.
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