Carbon dioxide partial pressure and emission throughout the Scandinavian stream network
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Abstract
Stream networks transport and emit substantial volumes of carbon dioxide (CO2) into the atmosphere. We gathered open monitoring data from streams in three Scandinavian countries and estimated CO2 partial pressure (pCO2) at 2298 sites. Most of the sites (87%) were supersaturated when averaged across the year with an overall mean pCO2 of 1464 μatm (range: 17–15646). Using remote sensing data, we modeled a realistic stream network including streams above ~2.5 m wide and calculated catchment averages of multiple variables associated with geomorphometry, stream network proximity and land cover. We compared the ability of eight machine learning models to predict pCO2 and found that the Random Forest model achieved the highest accuracy, with a root-mean-square error of 0.22 (log10(pCO2)) and R2 of 0.66. Mean catchment elevation, slope and permanent water cover were the most important predictor variables. We used the predictive model to create a high-resolution (25 m resolution) map with predicted stream pCO2 throughout the 268.807 km stream network in Denmark, Sweden and Finland. Predicted pCO2 averaged 1134 μatm (range: 154–8174). We used surface runoff, air temperature and stream channel slope to estimate gas transfer velocity and CO2 flux throughout the network. Mean stream CO2 fluxes ranged from 1.0 and 1.2 in Sweden and Finland respectively to 3.2 g C m−2 d−1 in Denmark. Better-performing models improve our ability to predict pCO2 in stream networks and reduce the uncertainty of upscaling estimates of carbon emissions from inland waters to countries and continents.

1 Introduction
Inland standing and running waters are hotspots of greenhouse gas emissions on both regional and global scales (Battin et al., 2009; Raymond et al., 2013). Knowledge of the magnitude of these emissions has grown in recent years, as efforts to reduce the uncertainty of the global freshwater carbon emissions have increased (Drake et al., 2018). In terms of both carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions and lateral transport, headwaters, streams and rivers (simplified to ‘streams’ herein) play a dominant role (Butman et al., 2015; Wallin et al., 2013); relative to the area they cover, CO2 emissions from streams are disproportionately high (Butman & Raymond, 2011; Marx et al., 2017). Improving the predictive accuracy of CO2 partial pressure (pCO2) in streams is important for constraining the role of stream CO2 flux (FCO2) in large scale greenhouse gas emission budgets.

The earlier view of streams acting only as “pipes” or closed transport ways of carbon from the terrestrial environment to coastal areas has long been outdated (Cole et al., 2007). Instead, streams are understood not only to transport carbon, but also to emit it into the atmosphere. Processing of allochthonous organic carbon in the aquatic environment and input of terrestrially derived CO2 result in pronounced supersaturation (Humborg et al., 2010). This supersaturation results in diffusive transport of CO2 from water to the atmosphere. The rate of this transport (the flux) depends on the gas transfer velocity (k) and the difference between the actual and saturation partial pressure (concentration being the product of Henry’s law constant, Hp, and the partial pressure):

Eq. 1: \( F_{CO2} = k \cdot H_p \cdot (pCO_2 - pCO_{2sat}) \)

k depends on surface water turbulence and can be estimated from physical characteristics such as stream hydraulics (Zappa et al., 2007). Recent large-scale studies have applied empirical relationships, scaling k by water velocity and
Stream slope (Raymond et al., 2012). Thus, $F_{CO_2}$ can be calculated with knowledge of $pCO_2$ in the water, water temperature and estimates of $k$ from water velocity and stream slope.

Streams connect the terrestrial environment to the ocean. Water is received along the stream network from a catchment via groundwater, soil water and surface water discharge. From the moment carbon enters a stream, it may be transformed and emitted to the atmosphere as CO$_2$ or transported further downstream. Both inorganic and organic carbon may originate from aquatic metabolic processes (Sand-Jensen et al., 2007), photodegradation (Cory et al., 2015) and hydrological inputs from the catchment (Humborg et al., 2010). CO$_2$ derived from soil respiration contributes markedly to stream $pCO_2$ (J. B. Jones & Mulholland, 1998a). This may be especially pronounced in headwaters, where 50–100-fold supersaturation has been documented (Johnson et al., 2008), and similarly high $pCO_2$ values have been observed in agricultural lowlands (Sand-Jensen & Staehr, 2012). Depending on the catchment geology, much of CO$_2$ in the soil is converted to bicarbonate ions by chemical weathering of carbonates and aluminum-silicate minerals (Berner & Berner, 2012; Marx et al., 2017). Catchment geo-morphometry, land cover and stream network proximity could provide useful proxies for hydrological carbon loading and should improve the predictability of stream $pCO_2$.

Estimates of $F_{CO_2}$ on larger scales are often based on averages of CO$_2$ concentration and $k$ for different stream order categories, thus ignoring spatial variability, which is likely to be influential. However, recent studies (Horgby et al., 2019; Rocher-Ros et al., 2019) show the importance of catchment scale processes on $pCO_2$ in stream networks. It is thus attractive to develop methods that enable easier incorporation of such processes into large-scale budgets to improve their accuracy. The use of data-driven modeling focused on prediction and leveraging geographical information systems (GIS) for the extraction of relevant drivers could provide such a framework. Multiple studies have identified average catchment slope as an important predictor of $pCO_2$ levels (Hutchins et al., 2019; Lauerwald et al., 2015; Smits et al., 2017) which, along with climate variables such as temperature and precipitation, influence soil respiration, water status and hydrological connectivity between catchment and stream. Furthermore, land use and land cover, which can be obtained by remote sensing, could also prove to be valuable. The presence of more upstream lakes is expected to result in lower downstream $pCO_2$ levels (Sand-Jensen & Staehr, 2012) while CO$_2$ production and hydrological connectivity might increase during periods with ephemeral water cover (Johnson et al., 2008; Marcé et al., 2019) as also evident in temporary wetlands (Abril et al., 2014). Higher $pCO_2$ levels in agricultural catchments compared to forest-dominated catchments, have also been observed (Borges et al., 2018). Finally, the influence on $pCO_2$ of catchment input relative to in-stream processes is expected to decrease with stream width and, thus, with distance from the source (Hotchkiss et al., 2015). This gradual shift in the contribution of in-stream and catchment processes to $pCO_2$ production is accompanied by changing downstream hydrology as the catchment area and stream discharge increase. For example, S. Liu & Raymond (2018) show that the relationship between $pCO_2$ and stream discharge was negative in small streams and positive in large streams, but the $F_{CO_2}$–stream discharge relationship was generally positive across all stream orders. Therefore, stream discharge plays a dual role in $pCO_2$–$F_{CO_2}$ dynamics, by controlling stream hydraulics and in turn $k$, the CO$_2$ input from the catchment and the in-stream CO$_2$ generation. Consequently, identifying important climate and environmental drivers of $pCO_2$ is also the key to improving the accuracy of up-scaled estimates of $F_{CO_2}$ from stream sites to fluvial networks. Additionally, if data related to the important variables are readily available, then predictions of large-scale carbon emissions can be made regarding sparsely investigated or remote areas.

Previous studies have often applied linear models and stepwise model selection (Horgby et al., 2019; Hutchins et al., 2020; Weyhenmeyer et al., 2012) to approximate the relationship between stream $pCO_2$ and its predictors. However, the proportion of explained variation has generally been low. An attractive approach for improving our ability to predict $pCO_2$ in stream networks is to apply methods from the field of machine learning. These techniques have been increasingly applied in ecological, hydrological and biogeochemical studies (Barbarossa et al., 2018; Olden et al., 2008). Machine learning offers several efficient models that may achieve high predictive accuracy of new observations (James et al., 2013). As opposed to more traditional statistical techniques such as a linear regression model, the functional relationship between response and predictors are not defined beforehand but instead “learned”
from data. The flexibility of the models is tuned based on their ability to generalize on new observations. Not only does this way of fitting models to data result in more accurate predictions, but new insights on important drivers can also emerge. These models can be applied to areas of interest because by incorporating the spatial drivers explicitly using geographical information systems (GIS), we can obtain high-resolution maps of pCO2 in streams. High resolution is crucial for determining the role of streams in large-scale carbon emissions and at the same time is useful for catchment-scale studies. Reducing the uncertainty associated with predicting pCO2 at new sites improves the accuracy of up-scaled emission estimates and, in turn, our ability to constrain the inland waters in global scale carbon emissions.

In an attempt to improve our understanding of carbon dynamics and emissions in large scale river networks we compiled open geospatial and water chemistry data and applied machine learning methods to predict pCO2. Scandinavia spans large gradients in geology, climate and land cover, and it is representative of the north temperate zone, making it a suitable study region to explore our suggested approach. Therefore, we compiled open data on alkalinity, pH and water temperature and estimated pCO2 from stream sites in Denmark, Sweden and Finland. We derived catchment and climate characteristics believed to be important predictors of pCO2. We compared multiple predictive models and combined the best one with a high-resolution model of the stream network, in order to predict pCO2 levels throughout the network. To demonstrate how this could be used in large scale carbon budgets, we also estimated the mean annual k and FCO2 using a coarser-resolution map of surface runoff. Our hypothesis: pCO2 in stream networks can be accurately predicted from catchment characteristics and form the basis for future estimates of FCO2.

2 Methods

2.1 Estimation of pCO2

We downloaded open monitoring data from environmental agencies in Denmark (DNK), Sweden (SWE) and Finland (FIN; MFVM & DCE, 2019; MVM, 2019; SYKE, 2019). We selected observations where data on water temperature, pH, alkalinity and coordinates were available for the period 1990–2018. Data on water depth were also downloaded when available; samples taken from deeper than 2 meters were discarded to retain surface water observations only. Estimation of pCO2 from pH and alkalinity is potentially biased due to the possible influence of non-carbonate alkalinity (Abril et al., 2015), especially in low-alkaline regions. To minimize alkalinity- and pH-related biases in the calculation of pCO2, we applied the corrections for pH measurement error and organic alkalinity described in Liu et al. (2020) and only used alkalinity values between 0 and 10 meq L−1. We calculated pCO2 using the seacarb R-package (Gattuso et al., 2018) and excluded observations when the calculated pCO2 exceeded 40,000 μatm, as we believed these to be biased. In order to calculate a robust annual average, we used the same procedure as Lauerwald et al. (2015). First, we calculated the monthly median pCO2 for each site discarding months with less than three observations. Secondly, we performed linear interpolation between months discarding sites with gaps exceeding three months. Finally, we calculated the annual mean pCO2 for a total of 2298 sites.

2.2 Spatial data processing

We downloaded open, remote-sensing data products made available by the EU (EEA, 2016) and previous studies and derived several variables that are likely to influence stream pCO2. We used the WorldClim version 1.4 data product, which included mean annual air temperature and annual precipitation (Hijmans et al., 2005). These include variables related to geo-morphometry, climate, land cover and stream network proximity. More specifically, we used a digital elevation model (DEM, EU-DEM version 1.1), high-resolution themes (grassland, water, forest) and Corine Land Cover (agriculture) data products. We created binary layers of the categories included in each high-resolution theme, resulting in multiple presence/absence layers of grassland, coniferous forest, broad-leaved forest, permanent water, temporary water, permanent wetness and temporary wetness.
To ease computations, the region was split into five major basins, each with a buffer of 25 km to avoid edge effects. TauDEM software (version 5.3; Tarboton, 2017) was used for hydrological processing of DEMs and to calculate height above nearest drainage (HAND) and stream proximity metrics (e.g. stream order and network length). In order to create a realistic model of the stream network, we adapted the approach described by Y. Y. Liu et al. (2018). For this purpose, we used a stream map (EU-HYDRO) based on photo-interpretation of very-high-resolution imagery (EEA, 2017). This ensures consistency between the modeled stream network and calculated flow directions. Hydrological conditioning of DEMs enabled us to remove obstacles (e.g. roads and culverts) along the stream-lines and pits; Garousi-Nejad et al. (2019) describe the procedure in detail. Following DEM preprocessing, we calculated flow direction (deterministic-8), catchment area, stream order, total flow path and longest flow path. We calculated HAND along the entire network and used the proportion of the catchment area with a HAND less than 2 m as a proxy for stream–groundwater connectivity. We determined catchment averages for continuous variables and proportions for categorical predictor variables for all grid cells in the stream network. Sites not coinciding with the stream network were moved along the flow direction for a maximum distance of 250 m (10 times the resolution) towards the modeled stream network and sites with a drainage area below 6.25 ha (10*10 times the resolution) were discarded. We used a suite of open-source software for the spatial analysis (GDAL & OGR, 2018; Hijmans, 2019; McInerney & Kempeneers, 2015; Pebesma, 2018).

2.3 Predictive modeling

To evaluate the performance of candidate models and assess the accuracy of the final model, respectively, 80 % of the initial 2293-observation dataset was designated as the training set; the remaining 20 % of observations comprised the test set. As the density distributions of many predictor variables were skewed, we applied preprocessing using the Yeo-Johnson power-transformation (Yeo & Johnson, 2000) followed by standardization (substracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation). To avoid intercorrelation between predictors, we excluded all variables (catchment proportion of agriculture, Strahler order, total and longest network path) with an r-value (Pearson correlation) greater than 0.65. This left 11 explanatory variables available for predictive modeling (Table 1). The response variable pCO2 was log10 transformed to improve the normality of the distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Resolution (m)</th>
<th>Data source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>catch. avg. elevation</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0–965</td>
<td>25/25</td>
<td>EU-DEM v1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catch. avg. slope</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>0.2–11.9</td>
<td>25/25</td>
<td>EU-DEM v1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catch. area</td>
<td>km²</td>
<td>0–50032</td>
<td>25/25</td>
<td>EU-DEM v1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catch. prop. HAND &lt; 2 m</td>
<td></td>
<td>0–0.9</td>
<td>25/25</td>
<td>EU-DEM v1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catch. prop. grassland</td>
<td></td>
<td>0–0.7</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>EU Grassland 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catch. prop. coniferous forest</td>
<td>0–0.9</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>EU Dominant leaf type 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catch. prop. broad-leaved forest</td>
<td>0–0.7</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>EU Dominant leaf type 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to select the best-performing model to predict pCO2, we compared several machine-learning models of different complexity. We compared their performance using 5-fold cross-validation repeated 25 times (outerloop for performance estimation) on the training set. For optimal performance, most predictive models depend on the tuning of hyperparameters. To estimate the optimal hyperparameters in our case, we defined probable search spaces and used random sampling (50 iterations) and 5-fold cross-validation (inner tuning loop). The performances of the trained models were compared by using the root-mean-square error (RMSE) and the coefficient of determination ($R^2$). The best-performing model was then tuned and trained on the training set. Here, the optimal hyperparameter settings were identified after a more exhaustive search using sequential model-based optimization with a maximum of 200 iterations (Bischl et al., 2017). With the training set and the same tuning procedure used for model comparison, we also assessed model performance when extrapolating to new geographical regions using a “leave-one-country-out” cross-validation scheme. Predictive modeling was performed using the machine-learning meta-package \textit{mlr} in \textit{R} (Table 2; Bischl et al., 2016).

\textbf{Table 2.} Candidate models for prediction of pCO2, their associated R-packages and the hyperparameters tuned during model training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Package</th>
<th>Hyperparameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear Model</td>
<td>stats</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k-Nearest Neighbour</td>
<td>FNN</td>
<td>(K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>rpart</td>
<td>(cp, \text{maxdepth, minbucket, msplit})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLM with Elasticnet regularization</td>
<td>glmnet</td>
<td>(\alpha, \lambda)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Network</td>
<td>nnet</td>
<td>(\text{size, decay})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Vector Machine</td>
<td>kernlab</td>
<td>(C, \sigma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td>ranger</td>
<td>(\text{mtry, num.trees, sample.fraction, min.node.size})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme Gradient Boosting</td>
<td>xgboost</td>
<td>(\text{nrounds, max_depth, eta, subsample, min_child_weight, alpha, lambda})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 Estimating FCO₂

Annual mean runoff data from Beck et al. (2015) and empirical relationships were used to estimate annual mean k and subsequently FCO₂ throughout the stream network. We accumulated runoff throughout the stream network to estimate annual mean discharge (Q, m³ s⁻¹). Empirical relationships were used to estimate flow velocity (V, m s⁻¹) from discharge (Raymond et al., 2012) and k₆₀₀ (m d⁻¹) from flow velocity and stream slope (S, m m⁻¹; eq. 5 in Table 2 in Raymond et al. (2012)). Stream length (L) was approximated as the diagonal length of the 25-m-resolution grid cells. In order to estimate stream water temperature throughout the network, we determined the relationship between annual mean water temperature (measured at the pCO₂ sites) and air temperature (WorldClim) using linear regression. We used this model (95 % CI in brackets, slope = 0.55 [0.53, 0.57], intercept = 5.08 [4.97, 5.18], R² = 0.59) to estimate stream water temperature, which in turn enabled us to calculate the gas transfer velocity (k) from k₆₀₀ by the ratio of Schmidt numbers (Jähne et al., 1987). The FCO₂, reported as g C m⁻² d⁻¹, was calculated using eq. 1 for the entire network with a pCO₂sat of 400 μatm. Stream segments intersecting inland water bodies in the EU-HYDRO data product were removed.

All data analysis was performed in R version 3.5 (R Core Team, 2018) and Python version 3.7 (Van Rossum & Drake, 2011). All code used in the analysis, the predictive model and resulting high-resolution grids (25 m resolution) with predicted stream pCO₂, k and FCO₂ throughout the stream network have been deposited in an open repository.

3 Results

3.1 Stream pCO₂

Water chemistry data were collected across three Scandinavian countries, spanning a large geographical region from 54.5–70 °N and 8–31.5 °E (Fig. 1). The region covers a broad, north-temperate climate zone with mean annual air temperature ranging from -7–9.4 °C and very different degrees of anthropogenic influence and land use. Observations were spread evenly across the countries except for the northern parts of Sweden and Finland, where alkalinity were very close to or zero. We calculated annual mean pCO₂ and determined catchment characteristics for 2298 sampling stations. The average observed pCO₂ was 1494 (SD: 1426 and range: 17–15646) μatm; 13 % of the sites were below atmospheric saturation. The degree of supersaturation (mean pCO₂) was generally much more pronounced at sites in Denmark (3158, SD: 2403 and range: 0.12–6.8 meq L⁻¹ and pH 7.6, SD: 0.4 and range: 6.1–8.4) relative to Sweden (0.7, SD: 1.0 and range: 0.12–6.0 meq L⁻¹ and pH 7.2, SD: 0.3 and range: 6.1–8.3) and Finland (0.4, SD: 0.3 and range: 0.12–2.9 meq L⁻¹ and pH 6.7, SD: 0.3 and range: 6.1–9.4). High pCO₂ levels were found to occur in both high- and low-alkaline areas.
Figure 1. Density distributions and spatial variation of pCO₂, alkalinity and pH. a) World map showing the study region in red. Violin plots show the density distribution and median (horizontal line) of pCO₂ (c), alkalinity (e) and pH (g) by country. Gridded maps (40 km resolution) show the number of sites (b) and mean pCO₂ (d), alkalinity (f) and pH (h).

3.2 Predictive model selection

In order to predict pCO₂ throughout the stream network, we used nested cross-validation to compare the predictive performance of eight machine-learning models (Fig. 2). The simplest models (linear model, decision tree and k-Nearest Neighbor) did not perform well; the best of these was k-Nearest Neighbor. The Random Forest model showed the best performance, achieving the lowest RMSE and highest $R^2$. Generally, models with higher flexibility performed the best, especially tree-based ensemble models utilizing bagging or boosting (Random Forest and extreme gradient boosting). The distributions of predictive performance estimates showed some skewness but generally within a narrow range, suggesting decent model stability. The superior performance of the most flexible models indicates that the relationship between pCO₂ and catchment variables is complex, likely due to non-linearity and interactions between variables. In short, the more flexible models generated markedly more accurate predictions of pCO₂ than the traditional linear model.
Figure 2. Violin plots showing the density distribution of 125 evaluations of predictive performance (5-fold cross-validation repeated 25 times) of eight candidate models as the root-mean-square error (RMSE; a) and explained variation ($R^2$; b). Models are sorted by decreasing predictive performance.

3.3 Predictive model performance

The Random Forest model trained and tuned on the training set, showed good performance on the test set with low RMSE \(0.22 \log_{10}(\mu \text{atm})\) and high $R^2$-values (0.66; Fig. 3). These performance estimates are slightly worse than the estimates found during model selection but within the expected distribution (Fig. 2). The $p\text{CO}_2$ predictions clustered around the 1:1 line, with slight underestimation where $p\text{CO}_2$ was observed to be high and overestimation where it was observed to be low (Fig. 3).
Figure 3. Performance of the final Random Forest model on the test set sample; shown as predicted (y-axis) versus observed (x-axis) values (log$_{10}$ pCO$_2$). The dotted line is a 1:1 relationship.

Variables of most categories (except stream proximity) were included in the top six most important predictor variables in the final model (Fig. 4a): geo-morphometry (elevation, slope), land cover (permanent, temporary water cover and grassland) and climate (precipitation). The single most important variable was the average catchment elevation. The partial dependence plots show the response profile of the important variables in relation to pCO$_2$ (Fig. 4b). The response profiles of the most influential variables were non-linear. pCO$_2$ decreased with increasing catchment elevation and slope showing that the highest pCO$_2$ values are generally found in streams in lowland areas with flat terrain. Higher catchment precipitation, temporary water cover and especially the proportion of permanent water cover also resulted in lower stream pCO$_2$ levels. The catchment proportion of grassland had a positive influence on pCO$_2$ at low values.

The performance of the Random Forest model was worse when extrapolating beyond the geographical region used for training the model. Leaving one country out for testing while training the model on the two remaining resulted in much higher RMSE (log$_{10}$(µatm), Denmark: 0.28, Sweden: 0.31 and Finland: 0.28) and poor R$^2$-values (Denmark: -0.09, Sweden: 0.09 and Finland: 0.06). A negative R$^2$ implies that model performance is worse than using the mean of the response.
Figure 4. Variable importance (a) and partial-dependence plots (b) of the six most important variables in the Random Forest model. In b, the x-axis is the predictor variables after they have been transformed and scaled to unit standard deviation.
3.4 Estimated stream pCO$_2$ and F$_{CO2}$

We applied the trained Random Forest model to predict stream pCO$_2$ throughout the modeled stream network consisting of 7.8 million grid cells at a resolution of 25 m. For 7.6 million grid cells in this network we were also able to estimate F$_{CO2}$ and $k$ using supplementing data on runoff and air temperature. This modeled stream network visually appeared to correspond well with the network observed from very-high-resolution map imagery (Fig. 5a) and had an approximate length of 268.807 km (Denmark: 20.828 km, Sweden: 179.030 km and Finland: 68.949 km). The high-resolution pCO$_2$ network enabled local comparisons and yielded expected pCO$_2$ in a wide range of stream branches and confluences (Fig. 5b).
Figure 5. Example of the grids resulting from the analyses. Stream network on a map (OSM, 2020) showing the agreement between real stream lines and the network modeled and used here (a). Predicted pCO$_2$ (b), $k$ (c) and F$_{CO2}$ (d). The stream network resolution is 25 m and the depicted region is 4 by 4 km.
The mean of all pCO₂ predictions was 1134 (SD: 780 and range: 154–8174) µatm. The mean estimated $k$ was 3.4 (SD: 4.1) m d⁻¹ and $F_CO₂$ was 1.2 (SD: 1.4) g C m⁻² d⁻¹. We calculated density distributions from 10 thousand random cells in the final grids (Fig. 6). The predicted pCO₂ was similar to the observations used for modeling (Fig. 1c), with a higher mean in Denmark (2721, SD: 900 and range: 592–8174 µatm) compared with Finland (1274, SD: 560 and range: 246–5640 µatm) and Sweden (896, SD: 584 and range: 154–5871 µatm; Fig 6a). Mean estimated $k$ values were generally low in Denmark (2.2 and SD: 1.4 m⁻¹) and Finland (2.0 and SD: 2.6 m⁻¹), while higher values were more common in Sweden (4.0 and SD: 4.6 m⁻¹; Fig. 6b). These opposites (high pCO₂, low k and vice versa) yielded more similar $F_{CO₂}$ distributions (Fig. 6c), though with higher mean emission rates in Denmark (3.2 and SD: 1.8 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) compared with Finland (1.2 and SD: 1.4 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) and Sweden (1.0 and SD: 1.1 g C m⁻² d⁻¹).
4 Discussion

4.1 Predicting pCO₂ in large stream networks

We combined open data from several sources and a Random Forest model to produce high-resolution maps of stream pCO₂ and estimates of $k$ and FCO₂ covering three Scandinavian countries. As hypothesized, stream pCO₂ can be predicted from catchment characteristics. Our use of a high spatial resolution of 25 m is an essential improvement, as it enables both local comparisons and calculations of more accurate national carbon emissions. Since the data required for our predictive model is obtained via remote sensing products whose use is widespread, our model can be used to predict pCO₂ levels over much larger geographical areas than is possible otherwise. Background data covering the European Union are already available and global coverage is likely within reach, which should make it possible to predict European and global pCO₂ levels and estimate FCO₂ emissions from streams using our modeling approach. Expanding the predictive capacity to larger geographical regions will require more observations of pCO₂ covering a wider range of environmental conditions in order to train performant models. It is evident that leaving countries out during model training leads to poor predictive performance showing that extrapolation to new geographical regions is still difficult. It should be possible to achieve similar model performance when training new models with data for larger regions and it is not uncommon to see improvements in predictive performance when including more observations.

The primary goal of our analysis was to predict pCO₂ throughout a realistic model of a large stream network. Due to the scale chosen, we likely missed the smallest streams. A Danish stream inventory found that 19,260 km of streams were wider than 2.5 m (Sand-Jensen et al., 2006). Wallin et al. (2018) used a virtual stream network for Sweden in which streams of order 3 and above made up 95,353 km. Comparing these numbers with the stream lengths included in our analysis, lead us to believe that we missed only streams less than ~2.5 m wide, or of 1st and 2nd stream order. Furthermore, our stream lengths are likely conservative estimates due to bifurcation and sinuosity, which are approximated here as the diagonal of the grid cell size. Ultimately, our modeled stream network depends on data that is based on photo-interpretation of remote sensing imagery, where extraction of small streams is limited by both image resolution and obstructions such as forest canopies. These biases may provide an explanation for the discrepancy between the length of our network and that of Wallin et al. (2018). Modeling a realistic stream network that captures higher levels of detail than our models, will be possible with higher-resolution elevation models and finer-scale stream networks, which are likely available from national governmental agencies.

4.2 Models for predicting stream pCO₂

The mean of all our pCO₂ predictions (1134 μatm) is lower than Lauerwald et al.’s (2015) and Raymond et al.’s (2013) estimates of global stream pCO₂ of 2400 and 3100 μatm (indirect estimation of pCO₂), as well as those of Wallin et al. (2018), who estimated 2468 μatm based on direct measurements in Swedish low-order (1–4) streams. For Sweden, our mean resembles that of previous studies when streams of all sizes are included (Humborg et al., 2010; Weyhenmeyer et al., 2012), while being slightly lower when only small streams are included (Wallin et al., 2018). For this study region which is dominated by low-alkaline streams, the pH and organic alkalinity corrections of Liu et al. (2020) are important for reducing the bias of pCO₂ calculations and result in much lower values. Our
The manuscript describes the accuracy of predictions for stream pCO2 using a random forest model. The model achieved a root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.22 and an R2 value of 0.66, indicating good accuracy and explained variation. This suggests that a random forest model using only catchment predictors as input variables was a suitable model for stream pCO2 in the network. The methodology might also be applicable for other carbon species such as methane or streams solutes in general which are believed to be driven by catchment scale processes.

The paper contrasts the use of machine learning methods, such as random forests, with traditional linear models often used in similar studies. For example, Lauerwald et al. (2015) used a linear model with an R2 of 0.47 using 1182 global samples. While the performance of this model is difficult to compare directly to the approach presented here, in part due to the different scales of the analyses, it is notable that part of the variation remains hard to explain, as also observed in other studies (Horgby et al., 2019; Humborg et al., 2010; Hutchins et al., 2019; Teodoru et al., 2009; Weyhenmeyer et al., 2012). A potential bias is introduced during modeling when a functional form of a relationship, e.g. linear, is assumed because non-linear relationships between pCO2 and predictors seem to be prevailing. Also, poor model fits could result from the omission of important predictors due to them being unknown or unavailable. Including drivers at multiple scales ranging from very proximate point processes, to catchments (as done here) and regions could likely improve models further. Hutchins et al. (2019) found that the inclusion of regional structure, correlated to terrestrial NPP and soil carbon, improved prediction of stream DOC, pCO2 and methane. Including such regional baseline effects could be useful if regions or basins with distinctive carbon availability can be delineated. This should likely include subsurface processes that reflect pCO2 in groundwater and geological influences such as weathering processes. Currently, it is difficult to identify the contribution of such regional processes. The performance of linear models could likely be improved somewhat, compared to the simple way they were implemented here, by including interactions and quadratic terms. However, because structural relationships are complex and often unknown, it is left upon the researcher to uncover these relationships, which makes the modeling process difficult.

Training a random forest model, we automatically approximate the functional relationships and attain high predictive accuracy (Breiman, 2001). As downside, we are left to interpret the influence of predictor variables after model training. Since our end goal is improved predictability of stream pCO2 on a large scale, sacrificing model interpretability for predictive performance seems like a reasonable choice.

4.3 Drivers of stream pCO2

The responses of pCO2 to the most important catchment variables are generally in agreement with previous studies. The influence of the most important variables such as catchment elevation and slope has been observed before (J.B. Jones & Mulholland, 1998; Lauerwald et al., 2015). The underlying mechanisms might be related to changes in stream channels slope and, in turn, higher k and Fcc in upgradient reaches, but other mechanisms might also be relevant. Catchment slope may influence carbon loading in streams by acting as a proxy for wetland formation and soil accumulation (Smits et al., 2017). Lower slope and lower altitude catchments are likely to have thicker soil profiles, which influences the in-stream processing of organic matter (Jankowski et al., 2014). Because catchment slope, and to some degree elevation, influence both k and carbon loading, this may explain its success as a predictor of stream pCO2 on large scales. An improved model with higher accuracy and better predictions for stream pCO2 is introduced during modeling when a functional form of pCO2 and related variables are included. This contrasts with the simpler models and traditional linear models which have often been used in previous similar studies. For example, Lauerwald et al. (2015) trained a linear model with an R2 of 0.47 using 1182 global samples. While the performance of this model is difficult to compare directly to the approach presented here, in part due to the different scales of the analyses, it is notable that part of the variation remains hard to explain, as also observed in other studies (Horgby et al., 2019; Humborg et al., 2010; Hutchins et al., 2019; Teodoru et al., 2009; Weyhenmeyer et al., 2012). A potential bias is introduced during modeling when a functional form of a relationship, e.g. linear, is assumed because non-linear relationships between pCO2 and predictors seem to be prevailing. Also, poor model fits could result from the omission of important predictors due to them being unknown or unavailable. Including drivers at multiple scales ranging from very proximate point processes, to catchments (as done here) and regions could likely improve models further. Hutchins et al. (2019) found that the inclusion of regional structure, correlated to terrestrial NPP and soil carbon, improved prediction of stream DOC, pCO2 and methane. Including such regional baseline effects could be useful if regions or basins with distinctive carbon availability can be delineated. This should likely include subsurface processes that reflect pCO2 in groundwater and geological influences such as weathering processes. Currently, it is difficult to identify the contribution of such regional processes.

Training a random forest model, we automatically approximate the functional relationships and attain high predictive accuracy (Breiman, 2001). As downside, we are left to interpret the influence of predictor variables after model training. Since our end goal is improved predictability of stream pCO2 on a large scale, sacrificing model interpretability for predictive performance seems like a reasonable choice.
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increasing proportion of permanent water cover (primarily lakes) in the catchment also influences pCO$_2$ negatively. While the lake and stream pCO$_2$ may differ substantially, especially during summer (Weyhenmeyer et al., 2012), the influence of lake processes on downstream pCO$_2$ may diminish fairly quickly as their impact is overtaken by that of atmospheric exchange and new groundwater inputs (Crawford et al., 2014). However, it is likely that, due to long residence times accompanied by photosynthetic consumption, carbon retention and atmospheric emission of CO$_2$, pCO$_2$ is lower in streams whose catchment areas have higher proportions of lakes.

We derived a range of stream network proximity metrics, e.g., different stream orders and network lengths; as expected, all were highly correlated with catchment area characteristics, which was the only variable kept onwards for predictive modeling. We found that catchment area did not strongly influence pCO$_2$, however, it decreased with elevation, slope and permanent water suggesting that position along the stream network (e.g. downstream reaches) generally is important. This is not surprising, because as the stream-flow increases, so does the influence of in-stream metabolism relative to catchment contributions of CO$_2$ from soil respiration or groundwater inflow on stream pCO$_2$ (Hotchkiss et al., 2015). Furthermore, pCO$_2$ may decline downstream due to the ongoing emissions of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere, resulting in rapid declines on a scale ranging from meters to kilometers (Duvert et al., 2018). The influence of stream discharge, therefore, depends on the balance between its effect as a delivery mechanism of surface- and groundwater CO$_2$ — both directly and indirectly by organic matter (S. Liu & Raymond, 2018) — and as a sink that controls FCO$_2$ through stream hydraulics (Long et al., 2015). Headwaters and smaller streams often have higher pCO$_2$ because they often are subsidized by terrestrial inputs; the influence of these inputs declines as stream size and discharge increase. Input of readily degradable organic matter does, however, contribute to CO$_2$ supersaturation, which was the prevailing state (87% of sites) of the stream sites included in this analysis. One could expect oxygen and inorganic carbon to be in molar balance, but this is rarely the case (Torgersen & Branco, 2008). Instead, the imbalance could likely be used to examine the relative contribution of the catchment to in-stream processes (Vachon et al., 2020). The size-dependent metabolic scaling of streams appears similar to that of lakes, where the imbalance between the F$_{\text{oxygen}}$ and F$_{\text{CO}_2}$ changes with lake surface area (Martinsen et al., 2019). A comparison of such integrating measures within and between ecosystems could provide new insight into the drivers of pCO$_2$ production and the “metabolic fingerprint” of streams.

### 4.4 Upscaling gas transfer velocity and flux

Using the predicted pCO$_2$ throughout the large stream network, we show how stream F$_{\text{CO}_2}$ can be calculated throughout the network by estimating $k$ from empirical relationships. Such upscaling exercises are necessary to advance our understanding of streams in large scale carbon budgets. Increasing the temporal resolution from annual to monthly time scales might provide further improvements. Especially seasonal variations in hydrology and also ice cover, which we did not include in this analysis, are expected to have large influence on $k$ and in turn F$_{\text{CO}_2}$. High resolution data on runoff and remote sensing imagery could help alleviate these issues. The high influence of $k$ on F$_{\text{CO}_2}$, especially in steep and rugged terrain, makes it a reasonable target for new model refinements that could further improve estimation of large scale F$_{\text{CO}_2}$, despite recent studies suggesting that the temporal variability is difficult to predict (Wallin et al., 2013).

Our work demonstrates the ease with which pCO$_2$ grids can be used to improve F$_{\text{CO}_2}$ estimates in stream networks across a large spatial scale. However, while the predictive pCO$_2$ model has been validated here, the uncertainties of the $k$ and F$_{\text{CO}_2}$ estimates are unknown because validation is lacking. Interpretations of localized phenomena should thus be made more cautiously. Future studies should improve the estimation of these processes and focus on quantifying the associated uncertainty.
5 Conclusions

Using open and readily available data sources, we used a Random Forest model to produce a high-resolution grid of stream pCO2. Using additional data on runoff and air temperature, we also estimated stream $k$ and $F_{CO2}$. Due to its high resolution, our modeled stream network is both realistic and covers a large proportion of the region’s total stream network. We have shown how the use of more flexible predictive models can improve the accuracy of prediction. Furthermore, we have demonstrated how emissions of an important greenhouse gas can be predicted in a streams network using only catchment characteristics derived from remote sensing products. This suggests that our approach can easily be adapted for work on other regions and likely other carbon species. We have provided an approach to predict aquatic carbon species which could be relevant for future studies of carbon cycling and budgeting from catchment to global scale.
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