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Higher-order structures that originate from the specific and reversible DNA-directed self-assembly of microscopic building blocks hold great promise for future technologies. Here, we functionalized biotinylated soft colloid oil-in-water emulsion droplets with biotinylated single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides using streptavidin as an intermediary linker. We show the components of this modular linking system to be stable and to induce sequence-specific aggregation of binary mixtures of emulsion droplets. Three length scales were thereby involved: nanoscale DNA base pairing linking microscopic building blocks resulted in macroscopic aggregates visible to the naked eye. The aggregation process was reversible by changing the temperature and electrolyte concentration and by the addition of competing oligonucleotides. The system was reset and reused by subsequent refunctionalization of the emulsion droplets. DNA-directed self-assembly of oil-in-water emulsion droplets, therefore, offers a solid basis for programmable and recyclable soft materials that undergo structural rearrangements on demand and that range in application from information technology to medicine.

C O M P L E X higher-order structures that spontaneously arise from the specific and reversible self-assembly of simple building blocks hold great promise to become the foundation of tomorrow’s technology (1). In the context of DNA-directed self-assembly of hard colloids and artificial vesicles, linear DNA oligonucleotides were shown to be adhesive elements that allowed the self-assembly process not only to be specific but also to be reversible by a variety of external stimuli such as temperature (2–4), changes in the electrolyte concentration (4, 5), addition of competing oligonucleotides (6), and exploitation of the secondary structure of DNA oligonucleotides (7).

Oil-in-water (o/w) emulsion droplets (EDs) offer a robust, configurable, and recyclable soft-colloid system with interesting properties for the fabrication of new delivery systems and advanced synthetic materials with adaptable properties (8). By exploiting the well-characterized ligand–receptor pair biotin–streptavidin, EDs were immobilized on glass surfaces (9) and actively transported along microtubules (10). In the context of self-assembly, both polymer-mediated (11–13) and biotin-streptavidin-mediated aggregation of EDs was shown (14). Despite the advantages a combination of EDs as building blocks and DNA oligonucleotides as linking agent offers, a protocol for DNA-directed self-assembly of EDs is currently missing.

To close this gap, here we prepared an o/w emulsion composed of heavier-than-water diethyl phthalate (DEP) dispersed in an aqueous medium, stabilized by phospholipids, and functionalized with single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) oligonucleotides. Palmitoyl-lcteoleoyl phosphatidylcholine (POPC) and distearoyl phosphatidyl ethanolamine (DSPE) in a 9:1 molar ratio were used to stabilize the EDs. The DSPE was grafted with flexible poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) tethers with a molecular weight of 2,000 (DSPE-PEG). The PEG tethers are known to prevent nonspecific aggregation of lipid surfaces driven by attractive van der Waals and other less pronounced aggregating potentials such as polymer bridging and the hydrophobic effect (15). Ten mole percent of the PEG tethers were additionally functionalized with terminal biotin-moieties (DSPE-PEG-btn). This allowed the ED surface to be functionalized in a highly modular way with biotin–ssDNA (btn-ssDNA) oligonucleotides using streptavidin as a connecting element (Figs. 1A and 24). Exploiting the noncovalent biotin–streptavidin binding to functionalize the ED surface instead of incorporating DNA oligonucleotides covalently attached to a large hydrophobic group (16) eases the reuse of the components involved (17).

Results

Surface Tension, Stability of the Surface Functionalization, and Size Distribution. Certain basic characteristics of the ED system were characterized before assembly. The surface tension of the DEP–water interface was found to be 16.24 ± 0.05 mN/m (SD) for pure DEP and 4.08 ± 0.04 mN/m (SD) for DEP stabilized by phospholipids, indicating the formation of a phospholipid surface surrounding the EDs. To assess the stability of the ED surface functionalization over time, a binary mixture of ED populations functionalized with noncomplementary btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides (ED1:ED2) was incubated for 1 wk. Because both streptavidin molecules and one of the btn-ssDNA oligonucleotide populations differed in the covalently attached fluorescent label (Fig. 1A), the location of each component was readily accessible by fluorescent signal. The absence of a significant interdroplet exchange of streptavidin or btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides at the end of the incubation period (Fig. 1B) reveals the stability of the ED surface functionalization. The size distributions of two functionalized ED populations (ED3 and ED3′) used in subsequent assembly assays were skewed to the right (Fig. S1B and C) with mean diameters of 10.29 ± 4.47 μm (SD) for ED3 and 10.97 ± 5.14 μm (SD) for ED3′, medians of 9.59 μm (ED3) and 10.15 μm (ED3′), and modes of 6.75 μm (ED3) and 6.89 μm (ED3′).

Specificity of the Self-Assembly Process. The specificity of the DNA-directed self-assembly of ED aggregates was analyzed both on individual and on population levels by comparing binary mixtures of ED populations functionalized either with complementary (ED3:ED3′) or noncomplementary (ED3:ED4) btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides. On both levels, aggregates were found exclusively if the ssDNA oligonucleotides were of complementary sequence (Figs. 2B and 3C and D), whereas no aggregates were found otherwise (Figs. 1B and 3E and F). Under the conditions demonstrated here, the majority of the EDs participated in the aggregation, resulting in very large aggregates visible on the macroscopic scale.
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Reversibility of the Self-Assembly Process. The reversibility of the assembly process and the recyclability of the EDs both were analyzed by a variety of manipulations on the population level, summarized in Fig. 3A. Starting from extensive ED3:ED3′ aggregates (Fig. 3A, state ii), decreasing the sodium iodide concentration from 25 mM to 12.5 mM (Fig. 3A, step 2) resulted in the disassembly of the aggregates (Fig. 3G). Reestablishing the original electrolyte concentration induced the reassembly of the ED aggregates (Fig. S2H). In step 3 (Fig. 3A), the ED aggregates were disassembled by an incubation above the dsDNA melting temperature ($T_m$). The disassembled state (Fig. 3H) was found to be stable only if the temperature was kept above the dsDNA $T_m$. A decrease in temperature below the dsDNA $T_m$ resulted in the spontaneous reassembly of the ED aggregates. To prevent the EDs from reassembling, in step 4 (Fig. 3A) an excess of competing nonbiotinylated (*) ssDNA* oligonucleotides of complementary sequence was added before decreasing the temperature below the dsDNA $T_m$. When cooled below dsDNA $T_m$, this blocked the rehybridization of the anchored ssDNA oligonucleotides (Fig. S2C, state v, 1.2) and preserved the disassembled state (Fig. 3A). Addition of excess soluble ssDNA* oligonucleotides of noncomplementary sequence as a control did not block the reassembly (Fig. S2F). Repeated washing above the dsDNA $T_m$ removed the soluble ssDNA* oligonucleotides and left behind solely the anchored complementary ssDNA oligonucleotides still bound to the surface of the two ED populations. This restored the ability of the EDs to reassemble through dsDNA when cooled below the dsDNA $T_m$ (Fig. S2N). A subsequent temperature-induced disassembled state was then again preserved by a second addition of an excess of competing ssDNA* oligonucleotides of complementary sequence (Fig. S2P).

Recyclability of EDs. The first three disassembly methods exploited properties of the DNA hybridization. In step 5 (Fig. 3A), the biotin–streptavidin linkage was broken by the addition of soluble biotin and an incubation above the dsDNA $T_m$, this resulted not only in a disassembly of the ED aggregates but also in a removal of the biotinylated ssDNA oligonucleotides and/or of the streptavidin from the biotinylated ED surface. Consequently, the two ED populations lost their identity previously defined by their distinct surface functionalization as the EDs were reset to their initial state, that is, to the biotinylated ED surface without DNA oligonucleotides (Fig. S2K). Neither addition of water and washing above the dsDNA $T_m$ (Fig. S2L) nor addition of biotin but washing below the dsDNA $T_m$ (Fig. S2M) successfully removed the streptavidin and the biotinylated ssDNA oligonucleotides from the biotinylated ED surface in two independent control experiments. Consequently, aggregation was not prevented. The reset of the surface functionalization to biotinylation without DNA oligonucleotides (Fig. S24) unified the two previously distinct ED populations and enabled a refunctionalization of the EDs in step 6 (Fig. 3A) using a different btn-ssDNA oligonucleotide–streptavidin combination. We used nonfluorescent streptavidin for the refunctionalization to be able to qualitatively evaluate the removal of the fluorescently active streptavidin in step 5. As indicated by the slight fluorescence activity of the resulting ED5 (Fig. S2Q), the replacement of the fluorescently labeled streptavidin of the first functionalization operation by nonfluorescent streptavidin molecules of the refunctionalization operation was not complete. However, no ED aggregates were found in state vii (Fig. 3K), indicating that the removal of linking agents was sufficient to prevent reassembly induced by ssDNA oligonucleotides still linked to the ED surface (Fig. S2L and M). In step 7 (Fig. 3A), binary mixtures of ED populations functionalized with complementary btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides (ED5:ED5′) resulted in reassembled ED aggregates (Fig. 3L). No reassembly was observed in the control experiment with ED populations functionalized with noncomplementary (ED5:ED6) btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides.

Fig. 1. Surface functionalization and stability. (A) Scheme of the surface functionalization of a binary mixture of emulsion droplet (ED) populations functionalized with noncomplementary biotinylated single-stranded DNA (btn-ssDNA) oligonucleotides. ED1 (Left) and ED2 (Right) were both stabilized by a ternary mixture of phospholipids POPC (PL1), DSPE-PEG (PL2), and DSPE-PEG-biotin (PL3) in a molar ratio of 90:9:1. The surface of the EDs was functionalized with btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides (btn-ssDNA1:AF488 and btn-ssDNA2) using streptavidin (Strept.) as a connecting element. Both Strept. populations and one btn-ssDNA population were fluorescently modified by Alexa Fluor (AF), resulting in ED1 labeled green (AF488) and red (AF532) and ED2 labeled blue (AF650). (B) Representative fluorescence micrograph of a binary mixture of ED1 and ED2. The cross-section intensities of the two EDs were acquired along the centerline of the micrograph (arrow) and show no interdroplet exchange of fluorescence signal after 1 wk of coincubation. Each fluorescent marker was measured across the micrograph and superimposed on the image as intensity. For full names of phospholipids see text. [Scale bar (SB): 10 μm.]

Fig. 2. Specific detail of emulsion droplet aggregation. (A) Scheme of the surface functionalization of a binary mixture of emulsion droplet (ED) populations functionalized with complementary biotinylated single-stranded DNA (btn-ssDNA) oligonucleotides. The surface of the EDs was functionalized with btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides using streptavidin (Strept.) as a connecting element. Both Strept. populations were fluorescently modified by Alexa Fluor (AF), resulting in ED3 (Left) labeled green (AF488) and ED3′ (Right) labeled red (AF532). (B) Representative fluorescence micrograph of a binary mixture of ED3 and ED3′ functionalized with complementary btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides. $R_1$ and $R_2$, angles of contact; $R_1$, and $R_2$, radii of EDs. For numerical values see text. [Scale bar: 5 μm.]
The results on the reset of the EDs in step 5 indicate that EDs could optionally be rereset in step 8 (Fig. 3A) and rerefucntionalized in step 9 (Fig. 3A) with the original functionalization for a fully recursive assembly procedure.

(Fig. S2R). The results on the reset of the EDs in step 5 indicate that EDs could optionally be rereset in step 8 (Fig. 3A) and rerefucntionalized in step 9 (Fig. 3A) with the original functionalization for a fully recursive assembly procedure.
Discussion
In this study, we showed the surface functionalization of EDs to be stable and the DNA-directed self-assembly of EDs to be highly specific and reversible by several distinct external triggers. The higher-than-water density of the DEP eased the removal of the supernatant during the decoration, refunctionalization, and reassembly procedures and also increased the encounter probability of EDs due to a pellet formation at the bottom of the incubation vessel as the EDs spontaneously sedimented. The large diameter of the EDs made them readily available for facile imaging with optical microscopy. The EDs were shown to maintain their structural integrity during numerous manipulation steps: repeated freeze-thawing; long-term storage up to several months at −20 °C, increasing the reproducibility and comparability by using the same batch of EDs for all experiments; several washing steps (i.e., removal of the supernatant); and repeated cycles of assembly, disassembly, reassembly, and refunctionalization operations—up to more than 20 different manipulations for some ED populations. The positive skew of the size distribution of ED3 and ED3’ (Fig. S1 B and C) may be a consequence of a positive selection for larger particles. Because the sedimentation speed depends linearly on the reference area of an object, smaller EDs had an increased probability of being removed when the supernatant was replaced during the wash procedure.

To estimate the DNA surface coverage of an ED, we estimate the average lipid head-group area as 65.8 Å² and the average packing area per PEG tether Γ as 65 Å² using an area per lipid head group of 68.3 Å² for POPC (18) and 43 Å² for DSPE (19) and a 9:1 molar ratio of POPC to DSPE. Because only 10 mol % of all PEG tethers were biotinylated, the average packing area of the PEG-btn tethers is Γbtn = 6,580 Å². We assume the four biotin binding sites of a streptavidin molecule to be occupied by two btm-DNA oligonucleotides on average. This assumption is based on the 2:1 molar ratio of the btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides to streptavidin that we have chosen during the coinubcation. This leads to a 1:1 ratio of DSPE-PEG-btn to btm-ssDNA oligonucleotides and consequently to a DNA surface coverage of about 15,200 strands/μm². However, for btm-DNA coupled via streptavidin to a biotinylated supported phospholipid bilayer, Lanson et al. (20) showed that the intuitive assumption of a 2:1 molar ratio of btm-ssDNA oligonucleotides may be too simplistic and that the combination of electrostatic repulsion and steric hindrance may prevent efficient coupling of two btm-DNA oligonucleotides per streptavidin. In this case, only one btm-DNA oligonucleotide would be coupled per streptavidin; consequently, the DNA surface coverage would be halved.

In the next step, we estimate the force acting on the linkers composed of the anchoring DSPE-PEG-btn, streptavidin, and dsDNA. Following Chiruvolu et al. (21), the interdroplet adhesion force \( F_0 \) for a contact angle θ is given by

\[
F_0 = 4 \pi n \sigma_0 (1 - \cos \theta)
\]  

[1]

for the ED radius \( R \) and surface tension \( \sigma_0 \). For the two aggregated EDs shown in Fig. 2B with contact angles \( \theta_1 = 29° \) and \( \theta_2 = 26° \), and radii \( R_1 = 5.03 \) μm and \( R_2 = 5.53 \) μm, and the measured surface tension \( \sigma_0 = 4.08 \pm 0.04 \) mN/m (SD) for DEP stabilized by phospholipids, the adhesion force is between 28.7 and 32.3 nN. The contact area is \( A_0 = \pi (R \sin \theta) \) = 18.5 ± 0.1 μm² (SD). With \( \Gamma_{\text{btn}} \) calculated above, the number \( n \) of linkers is given by

\[
n = \frac{A_0}{\Gamma_{\text{btn}}} \left( \frac{\pi (R \sin \theta)^2}{\Gamma_{\text{btn}}} \right)
\]  

[2]

and resolves to 280,000. This number results in a force per linker \( F = 110 \) nN. Consequently, the force acting on the linkers is between 100 and 1,000 times lower than the force needed to separate the 15-mer dsDNA (22), for the biotin–streptavidin linkage to fail (23), and to extract the lipid anchor from the membrane (24). Thus, the force will result only in a deformation of the PEG moiety even if the DNA surface coverage is halved (see above). To estimate \( d \), the average extension of the PEG-btn tether at the adhesion site, the force per PEG-btn tether can be expressed in relation to \( d \) by the phenomenological model of Alexander (25) and De Gennes (26, 27) as

\[
F(d) = \frac{5}{4} kT \left( \frac{N\alpha}{\Gamma} \right)^{4/3} d^{-9/4} - \frac{7}{4} kT \left( \frac{N\alpha}{\Gamma} \right)^{1/3} d^{3/4},
\]  

[3]

where \( n = 45 \) is the number of PEG monomer units and \( \alpha = 3.5 \) Å is the length of a PEG monomer ([(CH₂CH₂O)₅]). We express \( d \) by relating Eqs. 1–3:

\[
F(d) = F_0 = \frac{4 \pi n \sigma_0 \Gamma_{\text{btn}}}{R(1 + \cos \theta)} = \frac{5}{4} kT \left( \frac{N\alpha}{\Gamma} \right)^{4/3} d^{-9/4} - \frac{7}{4} kT \left( \frac{N\alpha}{\Gamma} \right)^{1/3} d^{3/4}
\]  

[4]

Numerically solving this equation for \( d \) yields 36.8 Å, which is in good agreement with \( R_0 = 35 \) Å for 2,000-M, PEG tethers. These calculations ignore a potential accumulation of linkers at the site of contact that was reported for aggregated vesicles (28). However, assuming that all ssDNA oligonucleotides in the contact area undergo hybridization may overestimate the number of bridging linkers. To what extent these opposing effects cancel out or affect the ED self-assembly is not clear.

Interestingly, we found no ED aggregates at room temperature and a sodium iodide concentration of 12.5 mM (Fig. 3G), although a salt-adjusted estimation of \( T_m \) for the DNA strands in solution (i.e., 0.25 μM) using OligoCalc (29) reveals a \( T_m \) of 30.6 °C. Although calculations of the melting temperature and melting curve are routine tools for DNA strands in solution, existing models for DNA-induced particle interactions typically overpredict the melting temperature (30). Dreyfus et al. (31) showed that the formation of interparticle bonds is accompanied by a significant configurational entropy loss due to binding and confinement of the tethered DNA oligonucleotides between neighboring particles. This entropy loss is not present for the hybridization of DNA in bulk solution and weakens the interaction of colloids, shifting the dissociation curve downward. Only recently, a model for DNA-induced interactions between pairs of polystyrene hard colloids was reported that quasi-quantitatively captures the temperature-dependent strength of these interactions, without empirical corrections (32). However, Mognot et al. (33) soon after challenged this model and pointed out that “to obtain more reliable predictions of experimental results on DNA-coated colloids, we must go beyond the current level of description of DNA-mediated interactions.” This clearly shows that predicting DNA-mediated colloidal-pair interactions is subject to ongoing debates even for hard colloids. To what extent such models are applicable to the more complex soft, malleable colloids is currently unknown. The influence of the mechanical properties of the system of interest becomes apparent when the current study is compared with a previously reported study by the authors. There, DNA-directed aggregates of artificial giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) were still found at a sodium iodide concentration of 12.5 mM (34). These GUVs were not only of comparable size but also functionalized in the same way as the EDs in this study. Evans and Parsegian (35) recognized that extensive adherent contacts can only be formed if the adhering bodies can deform. In addition, the linkage-induced accumulation of linkers we showed for GUVs (34) is reported to increase the binding strength significantly (36). One can therefore speculate that the relatively larger adherent contacts of aggregated GUVs not only compensate the weakening of the DNA hybridization because of the reduction in the Na⁺ concentration, but also impede the disassembly of GUV aggregates.
by elevated temperatures, as has been reported by Beales and Vanderlick (4).

Another interesting finding is the need for elevated temperatures for soluble biotin to induce disassembly of ED aggregates (Fig. S2M). Due to its higher binding affinity for streptavidin than grafted biotin (37), soluble biotin was reported to be efficient at room temperature and could effectively reverse the streptavidin-induced aggregation process of building blocks at the nanoscale at room temperature [e.g., 50-nm vesicles (21) and 12-nm nanoparticles (38)]. For colloidal systems at the microscale, mechanical disturbance, for example, shaking and induced flow, was applied to reverse the streptavidin-induced aggregation process of cholesterol-PEG-biotin-modified liposome dispersions (39). The average diameter of 2-μm GUVs (40) at room temperature. Although the dependency of soluble biotin on the mechanical agitation was not analyzed in these studies, we speculate that the elevated temperatures per se did not considerably affect the strength of the biotin–streptavidin binding, but induced a convective mixing. The resulting flow of fluids both allowed the soluble biotin to penetrate the densely packed ED pellets faster than at room temperature and carried unbound binding elements (i.e., biotin-ssDNA oligonucleotides and streptavidin) off the binding site, thereby reducing their local concentration and thus the probability of a reattachment to the surface of the EDs.

Regarding the handling and the technical potential of EDs, EDs rank between soft artiﬁcial vesicles, for which the aqueous internal compartment is widely used to encapsulate chemical cargo but for which the deliberate lipid bilayer limits the versatility, and hard colloids, which are stable but lack both an internal compartment and properties inherent to lipid membranes (e.g., dynamic rearrangements and controlled transport across membranes). One potential advantage of using EDs is that the anhydrous interior is ideal for hosting hydrophobic substances and therapeutics, such as amphotericin B (41). Pontani et al. (14) recently reported on the exploitation of the biomimetic characteristics of ED membranes when they used protein-mediated self-assembly of EDs as mimics of cell–cell adhesion. In addition, the internal compartments of EDs are reported to possess fluid dynamic properties leading to self-division (42–44) and self-propelled motion (45). Aggregate of EDs, therefore, offer compartments for embedded (bio)-chemical information processing that act cooperatively to configure and reconfigure themselves into structures to trigger functions and may be used, for example, in the generation of novel biocompatible, biologically inspired, and recyclable programmable smart materials. The use of DNA oligonucleotides as adhesive elements may provide a common “programming language” for the assembly of hybrid structures composed of artificial vesicles, hard colloids, and natural cells (46). In this context, we intentionally chose the solution to host the EDs to contain glucose and sodium iodide, which the authors already reported to successfully host DNA-directed assemblies of GUVs (34) and to affect the vesicle stability the least (47). This will ease, for example, the development of a hybrid system of speciﬁcally assembled vesicles and EDs that offers carriers for combination therapies of hydrophilic and hydrophobic therapeutic or diagnostic substances. The specific and reversible DNA-directed self-assembly of EDs may therefore bring about a universe of customizable, scalable new materials for use in various sectors from smart materials to medicine.

Materials and Methods
Preparation of EDs. The phospholipids POPC, DSPE-PEG, and DSPE-PEG-btn, all provided in chloroform by Avanti Polar Lipids, were mixed in a molar ratio of 90:9:1. After chloroform was removed (under vacuum, 60 min), DEP (1 mL, Sigma-Aldrich) was added, resulting in a 2-mM ﬁnal concentration of phospholipids. The phospholipid solution (PS) was then sonicated (30 min, 50 °C) using a Sonorex Digitec DT 156 BH (Bandelin GmbH), followed by an overnight incubation at room temperature. For the emulsiﬁcation, PS (20 μL) was placed in an aqueous medium (450 μL), called the hosting solution (HS). The HS1 contained 25 mM sodium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich), 475 mM glucose (Sigma-Aldrich), and 2 mM potassium phosphate buffer. The mixture was emulsiﬁed by mechanical agitation. For the disassembly and reassembly experiments involving changes in the electrolyte concentration, two additional HS solutions were prepared: HS2 lacking sodium iodide and HS3 with an increased sodium iodide concentration of 37.5 mM. High-quality water (Milli-Q; Millipore) was used throughout the experiments.

Tensiometry. Interfacial tension of the oil–water interface was determined using a PAT1D tensiometer (Sinterface Technologies) by the pendent drop method using the Sinterface software. The samples were prepared with either DEP or PS as the internal phase and an external aqueous phase containing 25 mM sodium iodide without glucose. Values used in the analysis (100–600 s for DEP; 200–400 s for PS) were taken after the interfacial tension values reached a plateau. For PS, no further change in tension was observed up to 1,200 s.

Functionalization of EDs. Unlabeled streptavidin (Strept.) from Sigma-Aldrich and streptavidin Alexa Fluor 350, 488, and 532 conjugates (Strept.-AF350, Strept.-AF488, and Strept.-AF532) from Invitrogen were dissolved to a ﬁnal concentration of 1 mg/mL. 15-mer ssDNA oligonucleotides (ssDNA1–ssDNA4) were synthesized, modiﬁed, puriﬁed by HPLC, and dissolved to a ﬁnal concentration of 100 μM by the supplier (Sigma-Genosys); the sequences and the modiﬁcations of the DNA oligonucleotides are shown in Fig. S3A. For the surface functionalization of ED1, equal volumes of ED solution and a 1.350.0:9.9:15 (volume ratio) mixture of preincubated (30 min at room temperature) Strept.-AF350: bttn-ssDNA1–AF488: HS1 were incubated with a procedure was repeated for combinations of Strept.-AF350: bttn-ssDNA2 (ED2), Strept.-AF488: bttn-ssDNA1 (ED3), Strept.-AF532: bttn-ssDNA1 (ED1), and Strept.-AF532: bttn-ssDNA3 (ED4), Strept.: bttn-ssDNA3 (ED5), and Strept.: bttn-ssDNA4 (ED6). A schematic representation of the surface functionalization of all ED populations is shown in Fig. S3A. After a 1-h incubation, the supernatant was removed and replaced with fresh HS1. This washing procedure was repeated four times. The functionalized EDs were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at ~20 °C until use.

Stability of the Surface Functionalization. Equal volumes of ED1 and ED2 were mixed by aspiration and incubated for 7 d at 4 °C. Analysis of the distribution of ﬂuorescence was done by ﬂuorescence microscopy, described below. Fig. S3B provides a schematic representation of the hybridization state of the DNA oligonucleotides.

Size Distribution. The data acquisition for the size distribution (Fig. S1) was done by using a polydimethylsiloxane microfluidic chip with channels 130 μm in diameter. The particles were transferred to a carrying ﬂuid containing sucrose (1,700 g/L) to avoid on-chip sedimentation and sequentially pumped through the channels at a ﬂow rate of 0.5 μL/min. Transmission micrographs were taken as described below. A custom Matlab algorithm (Matlab R2012a 7.14.0.739; Mathworks) was used to analyze the images to extract the pixel intensity for contrast enhancement, to threshold the images for black-and-white conversion, and to automatically measure particles of ED3 and ED3’. The accuracy of the method was tested in two independent control experiments using polystyrene beads (1.0 μm; Duke Scientiﬁc Corporation) and silica beads (5.0 μm; Bangs Laboratories; Fig. S1A). One thousand (controls) and 4,000 (ED3 and ED3’) measurements, respectively, were randomly picked from the original datasets. These processed datasets were subjected to the Grubbs outlier test with a P-value cutoff of 0.05, resulting in 893 (1.0 μm beads), 963 (5.0 μm beads), 3,966 (ED3), and 3,990 (ED3’) measurements plotted in Fig. S1. The histograms of ED3 and ED3’ were constructed using the Freedman–Diaconis rule. Because the histograms of ED3 and ED3’ were found to be skewed to the right, log-normal distributions were ﬁtted to these histograms (Fig. S1B and C). Normal distributions were ﬁtted to the histograms of the two controls (Fig. S1A). Matlab was used for data processing, plotting, and ﬁtting operations.

Specificity and Reversibility of the Self-Assembly Process and Recyclability of EDs. A detailed representation of the steps mentioned hereafter is provided in Fig. S2. Fig. S3C in addition provides a schematic representation of the hybridization state of the DNA oligonucleotides. In steps 1, 7, and 7c, binary mixtures of ED3:ED3’, ED3:ED4, ED3’:ED5, and ED5:ED6 were incubated for 60 min at room temperature. For assessing the speciﬁcity of the DNA-directed self-assembly on the level of individual EDs (Fig. S2), the ED solutions were diluted 1:10 before incubation. In step 2f, the hosting medium was 1:1 (vol/vol) diluted with HS2 (HS3 for step 2r), mixed by aspiration, and incubated for 60 min. For the transition to state iv (i.e., step 3), the ED solution was incubated at 60 °C for 30 min using a standard analog dry-block heater (VWR Scientiﬁc) equipped with VWR modular heating blocks for microcentrifuge tubes. In step 4r, the sample was heated to 60 °C, ssDNA1*
rescence microscope Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S with a Nikon Intensilight light source. Images were captured with a Photometrics Cascade II 512 camera and Micro-Manager open-source microscopy software (version 1.4) for the size distribution, and in-house software otherwise. A 40x air objective (Nikon) was used for the transmission micrograph acquisition for the size distribution. A 100x oil-immersion objective (Nikon) was used for the micrographs of Figs. 18 and 28. A 4x air objective (Nikon) was used otherwise, except for Fig. 3 D and F, for which a 10x air objective (Nikon) was used. The fluorescence micrographs of Figs. 18 and 28 were rotated and cropped. The transmission and fluorescence micrographs of Figs. 1–3 and Fig. 52 were automatically and independently for each channel contrast-adjusted (equally across the entire image). The cross-section intensity of Fig. 18 was normalized to 1 after contrast adjustment for all manipulations and data acquisition except size distribution measurements (Adobe Photoshop CC5, version 12.0.4) was used. All figures were prepared using Adobe il- lustrator (CS5, version 15.0.2).
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Fig. S1. Size distribution. Histograms for control samples containing polystyrene and silica beads and emulsion droplet (ED) populations 3 and 3′: (A) In two independent control experiments, the custom Matlab algorithm was found to have good accuracy in determining particle sizes, as evident by the calculated particle means of 0.97 ± 0.17 µm (SD) for the polystyrene beads (light gray) with a 1.0-µm diameter (according to the supplier) and 4.83 ± 0.14 µm (SD) for the silica beads (dark gray) with a 5.0-µm diameter (according to the supplier). Lines represent individual Gaussian fits. (B and C) The same Matlab algorithm revealed the mean diameter of 10.29 ± 4.47 µm (SD) for ED3 (B) and 10.97 ± 5.14 µm (SD) for ED3′ (C). Lines represent log-normal fits.
Fig. 52. Specificity and reversibility of the self-assembly process and recyclability of emulsion droplets. Extended scheme of all steps (Arabic numerals) and states (Roman numeral). (A–R) For all states, illustrated by representative samples shown as both fluorescence and transmission micrographs, the temperature was at room temperature (i.e., below the melting temperature of the dsDNAs), and the sodium iodide concentration was 25 mM unless stated otherwise. The labeling of the states and steps corresponds to the labeling scheme used in Fig. 3A. Extensions compared to Fig. 3A are state 0, step 0, and all control experiments labeled with “c” or “control” (e.g., step 1c, state ii.control). The forward (f) and reverse (r) reactions depicted in Fig. 3A as arrows pointing in both ways are depicted individually for most steps (e.g., step 2f). Biotinylated emulsion droplets (EDs) before (A) after (B) surface functionalization with biotinylated single stranded DNA oligonucleotides of sequence 1 (btn-ssDNA1) anchored to the biotinylated emulsion droplet surface by an fluorescently active streptavidin Alexa Fluor conjugate (Strept.-AF488). Binary mixtures of ED populations functionalized with (C) noncomplementary and (D) complementary btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides. (E) Disassembled state after decreasing the electrolyte concentration; (H) reassembled state after increasing the electrolyte concentration. (G) Disassembled state after increasing the temperature. (J) Preserved disassembled state after addition of competing non-biotinylated ssDNA (ssDNA1*). (F) The disassembled state was not preserved by the addition of noncomplementary non-biotinylated ssDNA (ssDNA2*). (N) Reassembled state after removal of competing ssDNA1*. (P) Disassembled state after second addition of competing ssDNA1*. EDs after (K) surface reset and (O) refunctionalization. (L) Neither addition of water (M) nor washing at room temperature successfully reset the surface. Binary mixtures of ED populations functionalized with (Q) complementary and (R) noncomplementary btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides. (Scale bar: 250 μm.)
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Fig. S3. Surface functionalization, sequences of the ssDNA oligonucleotides, and DNA hybridization schemes. (A) Fluorescently labeled streptavidin Alexa Fluor (AF) 350, 488, and 532 conjugates were used to functionalize the emulsion droplet (ED) populations with biotinylated single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides (btn-ssDNA) of different sequences. (B) In the experiment to analyze the stability of the surface functionalization, a binary mixture of ED populations functionalized with noncomplementary btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides (ED1:ED2) was used. In addition to the fluorescently labeled streptavidin used throughout the subsequent experiments, AF488-labeled btn-ssDNA oligonucleotides were used. (C) For all states of the experiments, to assess the specificity and reversibility of the assembly process and recyclability of the EDs the temperature was at room temperature (i.e., below the melting temperature of the dsDNAs) and the sodium iodide concentration was 25 mM unless stated otherwise.