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Mercury Exposure and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease in Two U.S. Cohorts


BACKGROUND
Exposure to methylmercury from fish consumption has been linked to a potentially increased risk of cardiovascular disease, but evidence from prior studies is equivocal. Beneficial effects of the ingestion of fish and selenium may also modify such effects.

METHODS
Among subjects from two U.S. cohorts (a total of 51,529 men and 121,700 women) whose toenail clippings had been stored, we prospectively identified incident cases of cardiovascular disease (coronary heart disease and stroke) in 3427 participants and matched them to risk-set–sampled controls according to age, sex, race, and smoking status. Toenail mercury and selenium concentrations were assessed with the use of neutron-activation analysis. Other demographic characteristics, cardiovascular risk factors, fish consumption, and lifestyle habits were assessed by means of validated questionnaires. Associations between mercury exposure and incident cardiovascular disease were evaluated with the use of conditional logistic regression.

RESULTS
Median toenail mercury concentrations were 0.23 μg per gram (interdecile range, 0.06 to 0.94) in the case participants and 0.25 μg per gram (interdecile range, 0.07 to 0.97) in the controls. In multivariate analyses, participants with higher mercury exposures did not have a higher risk of cardiovascular disease. For comparisons of the fifth quintile of mercury exposure with the first quintile, the relative risks were as follows: coronary heart disease, 0.85 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.69 to 1.04; P = 0.10 for trend); stroke, 0.84 (95% CI, 0.62 to 1.14; P = 0.27 for trend); and total cardiovascular disease, 0.85 (95% CI, 0.72 to 1.01; P = 0.06 for trend). Findings were similar in analyses of participants with low selenium concentrations or low overall fish consumption and in several additional sensitivity analyses.

CONCLUSIONS
We found no evidence of any clinically relevant adverse effects of mercury exposure on coronary heart disease, stroke, or total cardiovascular disease in U.S. adults at the exposure levels seen in this study. (Funded by the National Institutes of Health.)
ONTROVERSY HAS ARisen Over the risks and benefits of fish consumption in adults. Fish intake is inversely associated with the risk of coronary heart disease, especially fatal coronary heart disease, and ischemic stroke. Fish are also the major source of exposure to methylmercury. Chronic, low-level methylmercury exposure appears to cause subtle but measurable neurodevelopmental delay in infants, and it is recommended that women of childbearing age, pregnant or nursing mothers, and infants and young children eat no more than two servings of fish per week and also limit their intake of selected species of fish that are especially high in methylmercury. In adults, however, the main health concern is potential cardiovascular toxicity, as suggested by results of experiments in animals and limited studies in humans. 

Prior clinical studies of mercury exposure and cardiovascular diseases have been relatively small, and the results have been inconsistent. Thus, government agencies, the Institute of Medicine, and risk–benefit analyses have identified the effect of methylmercury exposure on cardiovascular disease as an important area of uncertainty that warrants further investigation, since current data are not sufficient to quantitatively or qualitatively determine the potential effects. We prospectively investigated the relationships between mercury exposure and incident cardiovascular disease in two large U.S. cohorts. Because the trace element selenium provides protection against mercury toxicity in some experimental models, we also evaluated selenium exposure as a potential effect modifier.

**Methods**

**Population and Study Design**

The designs of the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS) and Nurses' Health Study (NHS) have been described previously. The HPFS is a prospective cohort study that enrolled 51,529 male U.S. health professionals 40 to 75 years of age in 1986. The NHS is a prospective cohort study that enrolled 121,700 female U.S. registered nurses 30 to 55 years of age in 1976. Participants in both cohorts are followed by means of biennial questionnaires on medical history, risk factors, lifestyle, and disease incidence. When participants provided toenail samples. Methods for ascertainment of cardiovascular events in the two cohorts have been described previously. When cardiovascular disease outcomes were reported, we obtained permission from participants (or from relatives in cases of fatal events) to review their medical records. Physicians who were unaware of other questionnaire information used standardized criteria to confirm and classify the events. Deaths were ascertained from relatives, postal authorities, and the National Death Index, and the cause of death was classified on the basis of medical records, death certificates, and autopsy findings. Permission to review medical records was granted for 95% of the requests.

A diagnosis of myocardial infarction was confirmed on the basis of standardized criteria, which included typical symptoms plus either diagnostic electrocardiographic changes or elevated cardiac enzyme levels. Deaths were ascertained by contact with family members or through the National Death Index. Fat deaths were confirmed on the basis of medical records or autopsy reports or, if heart disease was listed as the cause of death, on the basis of the death certificate and evidence of previous heart disease in the records. Stroke was diagnosed according to...
standard criteria, consisting of a constellation of neurologic deficits of sudden or rapid onset that lasted at least 24 hours or until death.23,26 Stroke subtypes were also classified as previously described23,26 (see the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org).

For each case participant, a control participant was selected randomly from those with stored toenail samples who were free of cardiovascular disease at the time of the case event (risk-set sampling). Controls were matched one to one with case subjects according to age (within 1 year), sex (cohort-specific), race, smoking status (current smoker, former smoker [matched on number of years since stopping], or never smoked), and month when toenail sample was returned to us.

**MERCURY AND SELENIUM EXPOSURES**

Total mercury and selenium concentrations were assessed in the stored toenails by means of neutron-activation analysis (University of Missouri Research Reactor). Details of the analytic methods used and information regarding validation of these measures are provided in the Supplementary Appendix.

**COVARIATE DATA COLLECTION**

Data on demographic characteristics, risk factors, and lifestyle habits were collected by means of validated, self-administered questionnaires, with the use of the closest preceding questionnaire administered before the collection of toenail samples from each participant. Smoking status was assessed, including the number of years since quitting in the case of former smokers. Hypertension and hypercholesterolemia were self-reported, with the validity of these reports confirmed on random sampling of medical records. A supplementary questionnaire was used to confirm self-reported cases of diabetes according to established criteria,27 and 98% of these cases were validated on comparison with medical records. Information on weight and height was obtained; self-reported weight was validated against technician-measured weight (r=0.96). Physical activity was assessed in terms of metabolic equivalents (METs) with the use of validated questionnaires.28 Usual dietary habits were assessed by means of validated semiquantitative food-frequency questionnaires that inquired about usual consumption of foods, beverages, and supplements during the previous year.29,30

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Associations of mercury concentrations with incident cardiovascular disease were evaluated with the use of multivariate-adjusted conditional logistic regression. Given risk-set sampling, this model provides a direct estimation of the hazard ratio (hereafter referred to as relative risk). Mercury concentrations were evaluated in quintiles as indicator variables, with the use of sex-specific cutoff points among controls. Tests for trend involved assigning participants the median value in their quintile of exposure and evaluating this as a continuous variable. Tests for interaction involved multiplying this variable by the effect modifier of interest and using the Wald test to calculate the P value associated with the multiplicative interaction term. A potential nonlinear dose–response relationship was evaluated by visual inspection of relative risks across deciles of exposure. Analyses were performed separately in each cohort and then combined on the basis of the absence of significant effect modification (multiplicative interaction) by sex (P≥0.05). Power calculations are provided in the Supplementary Appendix.

Potential confounding was assessed with the use of multivariate models adjusted for matching characteristics, other major risk factors for cardiovascular disease, fish or n–3 fatty acid consumption, and additional dietary factors associated with mercury concentrations. Multivariate modeling was guided by the principle of parsimony and by the clinical relevance of covariates, the observed strength of association between covariates and exposure or outcome, and the percent change in the risk estimate when covariates were included. Missing data for covariates (which accounted for less than 1% of all data) were imputed by means of multiple imputation.31

We performed prespecified sensitivity analyses to minimize potential misclassification due to exposure changes over time, restricting analyses to events within 10 years of toenail sampling and to participants with no substantial change in their fish consumption (i.e., a change of no more than two quintiles in either direction) from baseline to the end of follow-up. Stratified subgroup analyses were performed with the use of unconditional logistic regression adjusted for matching factors and other covariates.

All reported P values are two-tailed, with values less than 0.05 indicating statistical significance. All analyses were performed with the use of SAS software, version 9.1 (SAS Institute).
RESULTS

STUDY POPULATION
We identified 3427 participants with incident cases of cardiovascular disease: 1532 nonfatal myocardial infarctions, 831 fatal cases of coronary heart disease, and 1064 strokes. These case participants were matched with 3427 controls who had not had cardiovascular disease events during the same period of follow-up. The median follow-up interval from the time of toenail sampling to the time of a cardiovascular disease event was 11.3 years (interquartile range, 6.4 to 15.3); follow-up time was identical for controls, based on the risk-set sampling method.

Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. As expected, cardiovascular risk factors were more prevalent among case participants than among controls at baseline. Approximately two thirds of the study participants were women, reflecting the larger size of the NHS cohort as compared with the HPFS cohort and the exclusion of dentists in the HPFS cohort from the analysis. Mean (±SD) ages were 61.1±9.0 years for men and 53.8±6.1 years for women. Median toenail mercury concentrations were 0.30 μg per gram (interdecile range, 0.07 to 1.26) in case participants and 0.31 μg per gram (interdecile range, 0.07 to 1.31) in controls among men and 0.21 μg per gram (interdecile range, 0.06 to 0.77) in case participants and 0.23 μg per gram (interdecile range, 0.07 to 0.76) in controls among women.

MERCURY EXPOSURE AND CARDIOVASCULAR RISK FACTORS
Mercury concentrations correlated modestly with fish consumption ($r=0.39, P<0.001$) and with estimated dietary intake of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid (EPA–DHA) ($r=0.39, P<0.001$), as expected, given the predominance of seafood as a source of methylmercury exposure but also given the considerable variation in methylmercury and n−3 fatty acid content among fish species.$^{1,3}$ Concentrations of mercury did not correlate with those of selenium ($r=0.03$), a finding that is consistent with the multiple, varied dietary sources of selenium.

In bivariate (unadjusted) analyses at baseline among the controls, higher mercury concentrations were associated with a more frequent prevalence of hypercholesterolemia, slightly lower body mass index, modestly higher levels of physical activity, greater alcohol use, and lower total energy intake (Table 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). Mercury concentrations were also positively associated with dietary factors related to fish consumption and higher dietary intake of EPA–DHA, including slightly lower intakes of saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, trans fat, and dietary cholesterol and slightly higher intakes of protein and polyunsaturated fat. Mercury concentrations were not significantly associated with age, smoking status, family history, or presence or absence of hypertension or diabetes.

MERCURY EXPOSURE AND CARDIOVASCULAR EVENTS
After adjustment for matching factors, participants with higher mercury exposure did not have a higher risk of cardiovascular events (Table 2). In fact, those with higher mercury concentrations had a lower incidence of coronary heart disease ($P=0.006$ for trend), stroke ($P=0.09$ for trend), and total cardiovascular disease ($P=0.002$ for trend). These inverse associations were not significant after further adjustment for other cardiovascular disease risk factors plus estimated dietary EPA–DHA (Table 2). Further adjustment for consumption of saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, trans fat, dietary cholesterol, and total energy had little effect on the results: the adjusted relative risks for comparison of the fifth quintile of mercury exposure with the first quintile (“extreme-quintile relative risks”) were 0.85 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.69 to 1.06) for coronary heart disease, 0.83 (95% CI, 0.60 to 1.15) for stroke, and 0.87 (95% CI, 0.73 to 1.03) for total cardiovascular disease. Adjustment for fish consumption instead of dietary EPA–DHA also did not alter the findings (data not shown). The results were also similar for mercury concentrations evaluated in deciles (Table 2 in the Supplementary Appendix). In separate analyses according to sex, the trend toward a lower incidence of cardiovascular disease with higher mercury concentrations was seen for women but not for men (Table 3 in the Supplementary Appendix). Interaction tests for sex, however, were not significant ($P=0.12, P=0.14, and P=0.05$ for tests of interaction with coronary heart disease, stroke, and total cardiovascular disease, respectively).

When coronary heart disease subtypes were evaluated, mercury exposure was not associated with the risk of nonfatal myocardial infarction (extreme-quintile relative risk, 0.84 [95% CI, 0.65 to 1.08]; $P=0.10$ for trend) or fatal coronary heart disease (extreme-quintile relative risk, 0.85
Mercury exposure was also not associated with the risk of any stroke subtype (see the Supplementary Appendix).

Sensitivity Analyses

Because selenium above a threshold of risk may provide protection against some forms of mercury toxicity, we restricted analyses to participants with lower selenium concentrations. Mercury exposure was not associated with a higher risk of total cardiovascular disease, coronary heart disease, or stroke among participants with selenium levels in the lowest quartile (<0.70 μg per gram) or the lowest decile (<0.64 μg per gram) (Table 3). Mercury exposure was also not associated with a higher risk in analyses stratified according to fish consumption (Table 4). Results were also similar in analyses stratified according to the presence or absence of hypertension, high

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Case Participants with Incident Cardiovascular Disease and of Controls.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Case Participants (N = 1211)</th>
<th>Controls (N = 1211)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Case Participants (N = 2216)</th>
<th>Controls (N = 2216)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (yr)</strong>†</td>
<td>61.1±9.0</td>
<td>61.1±9.0</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>53.8±6.1</td>
<td>53.8±6.1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (%)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of MI (%)</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia (%)</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (%)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-mass index‡</td>
<td>26.3±3.3</td>
<td>25.5±3.0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>25.9±5.7</td>
<td>24.6±4.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (METs/wk)</td>
<td>15.8±21.3</td>
<td>19.4±26.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>11.7±16.2</td>
<td>13.5±18.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (drinks/wk)</td>
<td>0.8±1.2</td>
<td>0.9±1.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.5±0.9</td>
<td>0.6±0.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toenail selenium (μg/g)</td>
<td>0.92±0.61</td>
<td>0.92±0.6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.78±0.22</td>
<td>0.78±0.25</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toenail mercury (μg/g)</td>
<td>0.51±2.13</td>
<td>0.44±0.47</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.29±0.49</td>
<td>0.33±0.63</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish (servings/wk)</td>
<td>2.1±1.9</td>
<td>2.1±1.8</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.8±1.6</td>
<td>1.8±1.6</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA and DHA (mg/wk)</td>
<td>270±239</td>
<td>264±220</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>184±162</td>
<td>184±151</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy intake (kcal/day)</td>
<td>2024±623</td>
<td>2063±640</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1742±536</td>
<td>1727±530</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fat (% energy)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32.5±6.4</td>
<td>32.6±6.3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>34.8±6.4</td>
<td>34.6±6.4</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>11.3±2.9</td>
<td>11.3±2.8</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>12.7±3.1</td>
<td>12.6±3.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated</td>
<td>12.5±2.8</td>
<td>12.5±2.7</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>12.9±2.9</td>
<td>12.8±2.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysaturated</td>
<td>5.8±1.6</td>
<td>5.8±1.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>6.3±1.8</td>
<td>6.4±1.8</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans</td>
<td>1.3±0.5</td>
<td>1.3±0.5</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.9±0.7</td>
<td>1.9±0.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (% energy)</td>
<td>18.3±3.4</td>
<td>18.3±3.3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>18.0±3.6</td>
<td>17.9±3.4</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/day)</td>
<td>314±153</td>
<td>320±159</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>312±138</td>
<td>308±141</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole grains (g/day)</td>
<td>20.5±19.2</td>
<td>20.8±18.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>15.3±15.9</td>
<td>15.8±13.7</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Plus–minus values are means ±SD. DHA denotes docosahexaenoic acid, EPA eicosapentaenoic acid, METS metabolic equivalents, and MI myocardial infarction.
† Age and smoking status were matching factors.
‡ The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

[95% CI, 0.59 to 1.24]; P=0.41 for trend). Mercury exposure was also not associated with the risk of any stroke subtype (see the Supplementary Appendix).

Sensitivity Analyses

Because selenium above a threshold of risk may provide protection against some forms of mercury toxicity, we restricted analyses to participants with lower selenium concentrations. Mercury exposure was not associated with a higher risk of total cardiovascular disease, coronary heart disease, or stroke among participants with selenium levels in the lowest quartile (<0.70 μg per gram) or the lowest decile (<0.64 μg per gram) (Table 3). Mercury exposure was also not associated with a higher risk in analyses stratified according to fish consumption (Table 4). Results were also similar in analyses stratified according to the presence or absence of hypertension, high
cholesterol, or diabetes or, among women, use or nonuse of hormone-replacement therapy (data not shown). The results of additional sensitivity analyses are provided in the Supplementary Appendix.

**DISCUSSION**

In our study, mercury exposure as assessed by an objective biomarker measurement was not associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease among men or women in two separate U.S. cohorts. An increased risk with greater mercury exposure was also not evident among participants with lower selenium concentrations, in analyses restricted to the first 10 years of follow-up and analyses stratified according to the duration of follow-up, or in analyses restricted to those participants without substantial changes in fish consumption over time and analyses stratified according to the level of fish consumption. These findings provide no support for clinically relevant adverse effects of typical levels of dietary methylmercury exposure on cardiovascular disease in U.S. adults.

Higher mercury exposures were actually associated with trends toward lower cardiovascular disease risk, although these trends were not significant in the fully adjusted models. To our
knowledge, there is no biologic explanation for why mercury would induce cardiovascular benefits. These results plausibly reflect the extent to which mercury levels are an indirect, but nevertheless objective, biomarker of fish consumption and its correlates and thus probably provide independent information on how much fish a person consumes, even after adjustment for estimated consumption. Trends toward lower risk with higher mercury exposure appeared to be confined to women, but this sex difference was not significant and is probably due to chance. Trends toward lower cardiovascular disease risk with higher mercury levels have also been seen in some prior studies.\textsuperscript{7,11} Of six prior studies of the relationship between mercury exposure and cardiovascular disease,\textsuperscript{6,7} only two showed positive associations.\textsuperscript{6,7} The largest study (684 cases) included only nonfatal myocardial infarction and was retrospective,\textsuperscript{6} raising concern about possible selection bias. A smaller, prospective study (282 cases) showed a positive association with total coronary events but without a clear dose–response relationship or significant associations with coronary or cardiovascular mortality.\textsuperscript{7} The remaining four studies were prospective and did not show significant associations; however, they included participants with occupational exposure to mercury vapor,\textsuperscript{8} the health effects of which differ from those of methylmercury\textsuperscript{12}; they assessed erythrocyte mercury levels, which reflect a more recent exposure than do toenail or hair concentrations\textsuperscript{9}; or they had small numbers of cases (<100).\textsuperscript{10,11}

### Table 3. Odds Ratios for Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) According to Quintile of Toenail Mercury in Case Participants with Lower Selenium Levels, for Men and Women Combined from Two Prospective Cohorts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. of Case Participants</th>
<th>Sex-Specific Quintile of Toenail Mercury\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>P Value for Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>odds ratio (95% confidence interval)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subjects in lowest quartile of selenium levels\textsuperscript{†}</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(reference)</td>
<td>(0.65–1.37)</td>
<td>(0.50–1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(reference)</td>
<td>(0.39–1.27)</td>
<td>(0.49–1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CVD</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(reference)</td>
<td>(0.64–1.18)</td>
<td>(0.58–1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subjects in lowest decile of selenium levels\textsuperscript{‡}</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(reference)</td>
<td>(0.54–1.81)</td>
<td>(0.40–1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(reference)</td>
<td>(0.39–2.69)</td>
<td>(0.40–2.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CVD</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(reference)</td>
<td>(0.57–1.55)</td>
<td>(0.49–1.30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Quintile cutoff points are based on the overall control population (see Table 3 in the Supplementary Appendix). An unconditional logistic-regression model was used, as appropriate, for stratified subgroup analyses. Values were adjusted for age, sex, race, month of toenail receipt, smoking status (never smoked, former smoker, or current smoker), body-mass index (quintiles), physical activity (metabolic equivalents per week, quintiles), alcohol use (drinks per week, quintiles), diabetes (yes or no), hypertension (yes or no), elevated cholesterol level (yes or no), and estimated dietary intake of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid (mg per week, quintiles).

\textsuperscript{†} These subjects had selenium values below 0.70 μg per gram.

\textsuperscript{‡} These subjects had selenium values below 0.64 μg per gram.
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did not evaluate stroke or include women. The investigation we describe here was designed to overcome these limitations.

With respect to generalizability, it is important to consider how mercury exposures in the present study compare with those in prior studies and with average population exposures. In our highest exposure quintile, the median toenail mercury concentration was 0.68 μg per gram, and in our highest decile, 1.00 μg per gram, corresponding to hair concentrations of about 1.84 and 2.70 μg per gram, respectively, calculated from a reported toenail-to-hair ratio of mercury of about 0.37. These exposure levels are similar to those seen in two smaller studies, in which mercury levels were positively associated with coronary heart disease risk, and are also similar to higher U.S. exposures (in the 95th percentile).

Differences in population selenium levels have been hypothesized to explain discrepant findings of prior studies with respect to mercury and cardiovascular risk — in particular, a study from Finland. Before soil supplementation was begun in the 1980s, selenium levels in Finland were among the lowest in Europe (mean serum level...
<70 μg per liter). In the Finnish mercury study, average serum selenium levels at baseline (from 1984 through 1989, after soil supplementation began) were higher (117 μg per liter) but still below average U.S. levels (138 μg per liter). In our study, we found no evidence of an increased risk with higher mercury levels, even among participants with selenium levels in the lowest decile (<0.64 μg per gram in toenails, approximately equivalent to <91 μg per liter in serum). We also found no evidence that mercury was harmful among participants in different strata of fish consumption, including those with low fish consumption, in whom higher mercury levels would suggest more exclusive consumption of mercury-contaminated fish.

Our analysis cannot exclude the possibility of mercury-related cardiovascular toxicity at higher exposures than those observed in our cohorts or in the setting of frank selenium deficiency, which would be rare in U.S. cohorts. Ecologic or small cross-sectional studies in more highly exposed populations in the Amazon, the Faroe Islands, and Asia suggest that methylmercury exposure may be associated with higher blood pressure or lower parasympathetic activity; ecologic evidence of an increased risk of clinical cardiovascular events is lacking.

Our analysis has potential limitations. Although toenail concentrations of mercury provide an excellent biomarker of integrated, usual methylmercury exposure during the previous year, changes in dietary exposure over time could attenuate true relationships toward null. Toenail mercury concentration serves as a marker of fish consumption, and our findings may be partly confounded by the beneficial effects of fish intake, despite adjustment for responses to the dietary questionnaire; this might account for trends toward lower risk. Although the findings were similar in the two independent cohorts and there is little reason to believe that biologic effects of methylmercury in these populations would be different from those in the general population of women and men, these cohorts comprised largely white, educated U.S. adults, potentially limiting generalizability.

The absence of any association between mercury exposure and increased cardiovascular disease risk in adults should not alter ongoing public health and policy efforts to reduce mercury contamination in fish and the environment, which could still have the potential to offset, at least in part, the net cardiovascular benefits of fish consumption. Our findings should also not alter advisories directed toward women who are or may become pregnant or who are nursing, since methylmercury exposure from consumption of specific fish species could cause neurodevelopmental harm, or at least partly offset the neurodevelopmental benefits of fish consumption, in their children.

In summary, this prospective study of two large cohorts of men and women in the United States showed no evidence of a relationship between mercury exposure and increased cardiovascular disease risk.
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