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ABSTRACT Fault location techniques play an essential role in system recovery and repair. Therefore, numerous methods have been presented for fault location in power transmission and distribution networks. The contribution of this paper is to extend the state-of-art impedance-based fault location methods to support four-wire power distribution. To make this possible an algorithm is developed. The method is obtained using the principles of circuit theory and overcomes the challenges which prevent the use of most fault location methods for distribution networks in practice. This paper presents the detailed equations which are used in the algorithm and how they are obtained. The satisfactory performance of the algorithm is confirmed with numerical examples using MATLAB software.

INDEX TERMS Distribution networks, fault locating, fault estimation section, impedance-based fault locating, π line model.

I. INTRODUCTION
Distribution networks distribute the power that has already entered the cities by transmission networks among consumers. One of the important points associated to the power lines (transmission and distribution) is the problem of divulging fault in these lines. This issue is inevitable. Divulging fault can result in difficulties such as damaging network equipment, interruption in providing service for consumers, making the network unstable and finally, decreasing the network reliability. All these problems can impose financial loss to consumers, power plants and companies [1]–[3].

Four-wire three-phase systems are widely used in distribution networks since they have higher sensitivity for protection and better fault removal capabilities compared to the three-wire three-phase counterparts. The ground wire has current due to the loads imbalance in the three phase as well as the nonlinear characteristic of the loads. Even a significant imbalance in some feeders causes that the ground wire current to be higher than other phases. The ground wire in load distribution programs and available fault analysis is usually combined with wire of phases. Since the ground wire does not appear clearly in equations, its current and voltage will be unavailable. In some applications, such as fault analysis, power quality, safety issues, etc., it is very important to know the voltage and current of the ground wire. Therefore, an algorithm that can provide the location of the fault with respect to the ground wire is needed [4].

According to the conducted studies, evolutionary flow of recognizing fault location can be taken into account in the form of subscribers contact and expressing their observations, presence of the operators on the spot, the use of off-line and real-time fault finders. The literature has been focused on the real-time strategies and various methods for fault location in the transmission networks have been proposed. These techniques, however, do not thoroughly consider the attributes of distribution systems (unbalanced operation, presence of intermediate loads, laterals/sub laterals, and time varying load profile), which significantly affect the methods performance [5], [6].

Several methods have been proposed for fault distance detection in transmission lines. However, the significant structural differences of the distribution network and the transmission line have caused that the methods presented for transmission lines cannot be used for fault location in the distribution networks. Therefore, methods such as impedance, travelling waves and artificial intelligence were presented in such a way that they can solve the problem of locating faults in the distribution networks. These methods however still suffer from some problems. Travelling waves-based methods have problems such as the need for a high sampling fre-
quency, a complex structure, and the need for a data bank. The need for a large and accurate data bank that should be updated with the smallest change in the network is among the problem of the artificial intelligence methods. This is in addition to its complex structure. Due to the above problems, presenting an efficient method is necessary that can accurately determine the location of the fault with proper accuracy. In distribution networks, mainly impedance-based fault location detection methods are used to determine the possible locations of faults according to simplicity in implementation, low cost, and the use of simple equations and calculations [7]–[9].

Fault analysis in four-wire distribution networks is discussed in [4]. The methodology for fault analysis is based on the generalized backward–forward technique and hybrid compensation short-circuits method. The methodology is generally used since it enables fault analysis in most of the existing distribution networks. While the presented method in [4] is interesting for fault detection, it does not provide results on fault location.

In this paper, we extend the state-of-art impedance methods to support four-wire distribution networks. We propose a fault location algorithm considering the fourth wire separately for four wire networks using π line model. The main specifications of distribution networks including sub branches, fault resistance, fault location, error start angle and type of the fault have been presented in the proposed algorithm.

II. THE PROPOSED METHOD FOR DETERMINING FAULT DISTANCE

Distribution network consists of different sections. Each section is a part of a distribution network which is located between two sequent nodes. Single-line diagram of each section has been shown in Fig. 1. In this part, π line model has been used for modeling of each section. The circuit diagram of each section is shown in the Fig. 2.

where:
- S: sending bus
- R: receiving bus
- \( V_{abcn} \): Three-phase and neutral voltage at the end of study section.
- \( I_{abcn} \): Three-phase and neutral current at the end of study section.

From voltage rule and Kirchhoff’s current law, we have:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{abcn} \\
I_{abcn}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
d_1 & -c_1 & 0 \\
-c_1 & d_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & d_l
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{abcn} \\
I_{abcn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\((1)\)

where:
- \( V_{abcn} \): Three-phase and neutral voltage at the end of study section.
- \( I_{abcn} \): Three-phase and neutral current at the end of study section.

According to [10]:

\[
\begin{align}
a_l &= d_1 = I + 0.5 \cdot l^2 \cdot Z_{abc} \cdot Y_{abc} \\
b_l &= l \cdot Z_{abc} \\
c_l &= l \cdot Y_{abc} + 0.25 \cdot l^3 \cdot Z_{abc} \cdot Y_{abc}
\end{align}
\]

\((2)-(4)\)

where:
- \( Z_{abc} \): impedance matrix of study section (per length unit).
- \( Y_{abc} \): admittance matrix of study section (per length unit).

Equation (1) can be rewritten for fault point voltage (fault in the distance of \( x \) kilometer from the beginning of the line) as follows:

\[
V_F = d_x \cdot V_S - b_x \cdot I_S
\]

\((5)\)

Where in this equation, \( V_F \) and \( I_S \) are voltage and current at the beginning of section, \( V_S \) is the voltage of fault point and variables \( d_x \) and \( b_x \) are obtained by replacing \( x \) instead of \( l \) in (2) and (3). As it is observed from (5), the shunt admittance is considered in fault location via \( d_x \) and it will be affected, effectively. All types of faults are divided into two groups of faults: the ground faults (single phase to ground, two-phase to ground and three-phase to ground) and phase faults (two phase to each other). Suggested algorithms for fault locating for these two groups will be presented separately as follows.

A. GROUND FAULTS

Generally, ground faults are shown in Fig. 3. Fault point voltage of different phases is shown in (6) that is depended on fault impedances and fault currents. Using this equation, voltage of fault point in the phase \( k \) (\( k=a, b \) and \( c \)) can be calculated by (7).

where:
- \( I_Ln \): the phase current flow from load point to the fault point.
- \( I_{F(a, b \ or \ c)} \): the phase current flow from the upstream fault point to that.
- \( I_{F(a, b \ or \ c)} \): the phase current from the downstream fault point to that.
impedances \( Z_{F_a}, Z_{F_b} \) and \( Z_{F_c} \) are assumed to be pure resistance. Therefore, the second term is zero.

\[
\text{Re}\{V_{F_k}\} = R_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k} + R_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_0} - X_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k} = V_{S_k} + x^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot M_k - x \cdot N_k = T_k \quad (12)
\]

\[
\text{Im}\{V_{F_k}\} = R_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k} + R_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_0} + X_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k} = V_{S_k} + x^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot M_k - x \cdot N_k = T_k \quad (13)
\]

In the above equations, \( r \) and \( i \) are real and imaginary parts of variables, respectively. Based on the (12) and (13), \( R_{F_k} \) can be computed using (14) and (15). By removing the \( R_{F_k} \) from the above equations, (16) is obtained:

\[
R_{F_k} = \frac{1}{I_{F_k}} \left[ T_k - R_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k} - X_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k} \right] \quad (14)
\]

\[
R_{F_k} = \frac{1}{I_{F_k}} \left[ T_k - R_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k} - X_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k} \right] \quad (15)
\]

By multiplying \( (-I_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k}) \) to (16) the following equation can be concluded:

\[
R_{F_k} \cdot \text{Im}\{I_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k}^*\} - X_{F_k} \cdot \text{Re}\{I_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k}^*\} + T_k \cdot I_{F_k} - T_k \cdot I_{F_k} = 0 \quad (17)
\]

For the ground faults such as single phase to ground, two-phase to ground, and three-phase to ground, (17) is re-written in the following form.

\[
R_{F_k} \cdot \text{Im}\{I_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k}^*\} - X_{F_k} \cdot \text{Re}\{I_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k}^*\} + \sum_{k \in \Omega_k} T_k \cdot I_{F_k} - T_k \cdot I_{F_k} = 0 \quad (18)
\]

The first term of (18) is imaginary part of \( |I_{F_k}|^2 \) which is equal to zero. The reason is that, it is a real number and imaginary part of a real number is zero (as it can be seen in (19)). Since fault impedance usually is assumed as resistance, the second term will be also zero. Therefore, for the ground faults, (18) is converted to (20).

\[
\text{Im}\{I_{F_k} \cdot I_{F_k}^*\} = \text{Im}\{|I_{F_k}|^2\} = 0 \quad (19)
\]

\[
\sum_{k \in \Omega_k} T_k \cdot I_{F_k} - T_k \cdot I_{F_k} = 0 \quad (20)
\]

By substituting (12) and (13) in (20), (21) is extracted which is a second order algebraic equation of fault distance:

\[
x^2 \cdot \left[ 0.5 \cdot \sum_{k \in \Omega_k} \text{Im}\{M_k \cdot I_{F_k}^*\} \right] - x \cdot \sum_{k \in \Omega_k} \text{Im}\{N_k \cdot I_{F_k}^*\} + \sum_{k \in \Omega_k} \text{Im}\{V_{S_k} \cdot I_{F_k}^*\} = 0 \quad (21)
\]
This equation has been determined for all the ground faults (single phase, two-phase, and three-phase to ground). When the fault happens, the fault distance is determined using voltage and the current at the beginning of the section and the proposed impedance-based technique.

\[
x^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ (M_a - M_b) \cdot I_{F_a}^* \right\} - x \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ (N_a - N_b) \cdot I_{F_a}^* \right\} + \text{Im} \left\{ (V_{S_a} - V_{S_b}) \cdot I_{F_a}^* \right\} = 0
\]  
(27)

Equation (27) has been presented for two-phase faults between \( a \) and \( b \). Similar equations can be written for two-phase faults between \( h \) and \( k \):

\[
x^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ (M_h - M_k) \cdot I_{F_h}^* \right\} - x \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ (N_h - N_k) \cdot I_{F_h}^* \right\} + \text{Im} \left\{ (V_{S_h} - V_{S_k}) \cdot I_{F_h}^* \right\} = 0
\]  
(28)

C. DETERMINING THE PHYSICALLY CORRECT SOLUTION

The proposed fault location equations are second-order polynomials in \( x \), the fault distance. Therefore, in each iteration of the previously described algorithm, two new fault distances are obtained. Only one of the solutions is real fault location. The other solution is a mathematical answer and does not have a physical meaning. Selecting the correct answer is very critical. The answer with the following conditions is selected as the correct answer:

- Correct answer should be a real and positive number.
- Its value should be less than the length of evaluated section.

Studies show that just one of the answers from the (21) or (28) satisfies the above-mentioned conditions.

III. ALGORITHM OF FAULT LOCATING FOR DISTRIBUTION NETWORKS

A. DETERMINING EQUIVALENT LOAD AT THE END OF EACH SECTION

In previous section, the proposed technique for fault location has been presented. The voltage and current at the beginning of the section and load data at the end of the section are assumed to be known in section II. However currents and voltages are measurable only at the beginning of the feeder in distribution networks. In the following, different methods have been presented for calculating impedance of equivalent load at the end of each section and also for calculating the voltage and current at the beginning of each section. Whereas the fault has happened in section \( ij \) (see Fig. 5) which is between nodes \( i \) and \( j \), it is necessary to find impedance of fault distance.

\[
x^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ (M_a - M_b) \cdot I_{F_a}^* \right\} - x \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ (N_a - N_b) \cdot I_{F_a}^* \right\} + \text{Im} \left\{ (V_{S_a} - V_{S_b}) \cdot I_{F_a}^* \right\} = 0
\]  
(26)

Equation (27) is obtained by multiplying \( (I_{F_a}^* \cdot I_{F_a}) \) to (26). This equation is second order algebraic equation of
equivalent load at the end of this section. Equation (29) is used to obtained equivalent impedance.

\[ Z_{Leqj} = \left( Z_1^{-1} + Z_2^{-1} + Z_3^{-1} + Z_L^{-1} \right)^{-1} \] (29)

To determine each of impedances \( z_1, z_2 \) and \( z_3 \), the observed equivalent impedance of \( j \text{th} \) node is computed. To find these impedances, series and parallel of loads impedance and lines impedance have been used. For having a higher precision, \( \pi \) line model has been used for each section. By using (30), (31) and (32) the equivalent impedance of each section which is connected to the node \( j \) is determined (see Fig. 5).

\[ Y_1 = \left( \left( Z_{L_2}^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_2}^{'}}{2} \right)^{-1} + Z_{L_2}^{-1} \right)^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_2}^{'}}{2} \]

\[ + Z_{L_1}^{-1} \left( Z_{L_1}^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_1}^{'}}{2} \right)^{-1} \]

\[ + Z_{L_1}^{-1} \left( Z_{L_1}^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_1}^{'}}{2} \right)^{-1} \]

\[ + Z_{L_1}^{-1} \left( Z_{L_1}^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_1}^{'}}{2} \right)^{-1} \] (30)

\[ Y_2 = \left( \left( Z_{L_3}^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_3}^{'}}{2} \right)^{-1} + Z_{L_3}^{-1} \right)^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_3}^{'}}{2} \]

\[ + Z_{L_3}^{-1} \left( Z_{L_3}^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_3}^{'}}{2} \right)^{-1} \]

\[ + Z_{L_3}^{-1} \left( Z_{L_3}^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_3}^{'}}{2} \right)^{-1} \] (31)

\[ Y_3 = \left( \left( Z_{L_3}^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_3}^{'}}{2} \right)^{-1} + Z_{L_3}^{-1} \right)^{-1} + \frac{Y_{L_3}^{'}}{2} \]

\[ Z_1 = Y_1^{-1}, \quad Z_2 = Y_2^{-1}, \quad Z_3 = Y_3^{-1} \] (32)

B. Determining Voltage and Current at the Beginning of Each Section

To be able to use the proposed technique in part II of this paper, the voltage and current at the beginning of the section are required to be known or determined. To determine the voltage and current the recorded data from the voltage and the current at the beginning of the feeder is used. We know that each node is situated on the downstream of the first node of the feeder. Therefore, the voltage on the downstream node \( V_{k} \) and input current of this node from previous node \( V_{k} \) can be calculated via (34) and (35). Let’s assume that in Fig. 5, the voltage and current at the beginning of section \( j-1 \) \((V_{S_j}, I_{S_j})\) should be calculated. The existed voltages and currents at the beginning of section \( i-j \) can be used to find \( V_{S_j}, I_{S_j} \). In this case \( V_{k} \) is equal to \( V_{S_j} \) and is obtained by using (34) and input current of node \( j \) is obtained by using (35):

\[ V_k = V_{S_j} + I_k^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot M_k - I_k \cdot N_k \] (34)

\[ I_{kj} = -c_{kj} \cdot V_{F_k} + a_{kj} \cdot I_{F_k} \] (35)

The currents of different branches and loads which are connected to node \( j \) are required to find output current from node \( j \) to node \( (I_{S_{j-1}}, I_{D}) \).

\[ I_{S_{j-1}} = I_{kj} - I_{b_{j-3},5} \] (36)

\[ I_{kj} \]: The sum of all currents that pass over all sections and the loads which are connected to node \( j \).

\[ I_{S_{j-1}} \]: Output current of nod \( j \) towards node 1.

\[ I_{b_{j-3},5} \]: The sum of output currents from node \( j \) to all branches except the branch \( j-1 \).

\[ I_{b_{j-3},5} = \left( Z_2^{-1} + Z_3^{-1} + Z_L^{-1} \right) \cdot V_{S_j} \] (37)

C. FAULT LOCATION ALGORITHM FOR POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

1) Fault Identification

In this step, different types of faults are recognized to separate them from each other (single phase to the ground, two-phase to the ground, two-phase to each other and three-phase to the ground). Diverse strategies can be found in the literature for recognition of the fault type. In this paper, the fault type is recognized by using relay information at the beginning of the feeder.

2) Determining equivalent load impedance at the end of each section, and voltage and current at the beginning of each section.

3) Load current \((I_L)\) before and after the fault are considered to be equal. Output current from fault point to the end side of the section \((I_U)\) is considered to be equal to \((I_L)\).

4) The input current to fault point from upside \((I_D)\) and output current from the first node of the sector \((I_S)\) are assumed to be equal.

Fig. 6 shows the location of different currents.

5) Equation (38) is used to determine fault current.

\[ I_F = I_D - I_U \] (38)

6) Based on the fault type, (21) or (28) is used to find fault location.

7) Has \( x \) been convergent?

If yes, algorithm is stopped and the value \( x \) is printed, otherwise going to stage 8.

8) Determining location voltage or fault point by using (7).

9) Updating the currents \( I_D \) and \( I_U \) by using (39) and (40) and turning to stage three.

\[ I_D = -c_x \cdot V_{S_k} + a_x \cdot I_{S_k} \] (39)

\[ I_U = \left[ Z_{Total}^{-1} + 0.5 \cdot (l - x) \cdot Y_{abcn} \right] \cdot V_F \] (40)
IV. THE RESULTS OF SIMULATION

A. INTRODUCING THE STUDIED NETWORKS

For assessing the execution of the proposed technique, the changed network of 11 nodes [11] AL-1PL is considered (see Fig. 7). The Simulink toolbox is used to simulate these networks. For modeling lines in different sections, model \( \pi \) has been used. The samples of three-phase voltage and current at the beginning of the feeder are measured and saved. Domain and voltage phase and three-phase current at the beginning of the feeder is required to perform the proposed algorithm. These parameters are found based on Fourier analysis. To find how precise fault locating is, (42) is used:

\[
\text{error\%} = \frac{|x_{\text{actual}} - x_{\text{calculated}}|}{l_t} \times 100 \quad (42)
\]

In this relation:

- \( x_{\text{actual}} \): Actual location of error.
- \( x_{\text{calculated}} \): Calculated error location.
- \( l_t \): The length of the total feeder.

B. EVALUATING SUGGESTED METHOD OF FAULT LOCATING IN THE CHANGED NETWORK OF 11 NODES AL-1PL [11]

To check the proposed technique, first a single phase fault to the ground in the distance of half kilometer from the beginning of the feeder between nodes 1 and 2 is simulated. At this stage the simulation is done at fault resistance of 10\( \Omega \).

In this section, the voltage and the current at the beginning of each sector by using the saved information at the beginning of the feeder in error time is calculated. Then the method of finding distance of proposed fault for distribution network is performed. The error percent calculation for these conditions is 0.002\%. The suggested technique also has been evaluated in two other fault locations between nodes 4 and 10 and between nodes 7 and 8. The obtained results show that the errors of the suggested method are \( \%0.0153 \) and \( \%0.024 \) respectively.

In the following the effects of different parameters on the precision of the proposed method is studied. Different factors such as fault resistance, fault distance, fault inception angle, and fault type have been taken into account.

C. EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LOCATIONS OF FAULT ON PRECISION OF THE PROPOSED METHOD

To find how the suggested technique is sensitive to the fault occurrence locations, single phase fault to the ground in different locations has been simulated and the yielded results in proposed algorithm have been used. The accomplished results are presented in Fig. 8. Simulations for different distances of fault from the beginning of the feeder to the end, for single phase to the ground with fault resistance of 50\( \Omega \) have been achieved. Based on the presented results in Fig. 8, it is observed that the effect of the error distance, on precision of suggested method is not considerable. Anyway the maximum error in this feeder would not be more than 1.263\%.

D. EFFECT OF FAULT RESISTANCE ON THE PRECISION OF THE PROPOSED METHOD

Fault resistance is one of the critical parameters on the accuracy of the fault location algorithms. To evaluate the effect of fault resistance on proposed fault location technique, different simulations have been done at different resistances of fault (0, 10, 20, 50\( \Omega \)). Since, the possibility of having single phase to the ground is more than other faults; in these simulations this type of fault has been considered. The results of these simulations are shown in Fig. 9. As the Fig. 9 show the error of the proposed technique in faults without resistance is not more than 0.021\%, and for errors with fault resistance equal to 20\( \Omega \) in different locations is less than 0.205\%. Furthermore, from the results it can be found that error resistance on the proposed method doesn’t have significant effect on faults near
TABLE 1. The effect of the fault type on the precision of the proposed method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R_f$ (Ω)</th>
<th>Fault Location (Node No.)</th>
<th>A-g</th>
<th>The type of the fault</th>
<th>AB-g</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>ABC-g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0967</td>
<td>0.1920</td>
<td>0.2307</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0760</td>
<td>1.9800</td>
<td>2.3073</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1460</td>
<td>3.7735</td>
<td>4.3920</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0507</td>
<td>0.2297</td>
<td>0.2793</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0360</td>
<td>2.4287</td>
<td>3.9880</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2047</td>
<td>0.2307</td>
<td>0.6333</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1853</td>
<td>2.2967</td>
<td>2.0667</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1620</td>
<td>4.3347</td>
<td>4.1887</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2627</td>
<td>0.2307</td>
<td>1.6093</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.2413</td>
<td>2.3033</td>
<td>0.5260</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.1733</td>
<td>4.3747</td>
<td>2.6560</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. THE EFFECT OF THE FAULT TYPE ON PRECISION OF THE PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed method in this part is table to find faults of different types in distribution networks. To find how the precision of the proposed technique is changing with the fault type in the studied network, different types of faults with different resistances and in different locations have been simulated that their results are presented in table (1). The results in table (1) show that the type of the faults does not have any effect on the precision of the presented technique.

F. THE EFFECT OF THE FAULT START ANGLE ON PRECISION OF THE PROPOSED METHOD

To see how sensitive the proposed method is on the fault start angle, various simulations have been done and the results are presented in table (2). These simulations have been done at different fault start angles: 0°, 45°, 90° and 170°. For these simulations, fault resistance is assumed to be 10Ω. The presented results show that the proposed method is not sensitive to changes of the fault start angle.

G. EVALUATING THE UNBALANCED DISTRIBUTION EFFECT ON THE ACCURACY OF THE PROPOSED METHOD

The unbalanced load and feeder effects on the accuracy of proposed method have been evaluated in this section. For this investigation, the actual feeder system of Fig. 7 has been considered. 10 percent unbalance has been considered for all loads. In these simulations, the starting angle of the fault has been considered 45 degrees. The obtained results have been shown in table (3). Based on the results presented, it is clear that the proposed method is not sensitive to unbalancing of load and feeder and accuracy of the method is satisfactory.

TABLE 2. The effect of the fault start angle on the precision of the proposed method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Fault</th>
<th>Fault Location (Node No.)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>170</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-g</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0507</td>
<td>0.0507</td>
<td>0.0507</td>
<td>0.0507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0433</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
<td>0.0380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0373</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
<td>0.0680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1600</td>
<td>0.1600</td>
<td>0.1600</td>
<td>0.1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.2793</td>
<td>2.2793</td>
<td>2.2793</td>
<td>2.2793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.3980</td>
<td>4.3980</td>
<td>4.3980</td>
<td>4.3980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB-g</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2293</td>
<td>0.2413</td>
<td>0.2673</td>
<td>0.2900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.2453</td>
<td>2.3587</td>
<td>2.5993</td>
<td>2.8100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.1900</td>
<td>4.3980</td>
<td>4.8387</td>
<td>5.2260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC-g</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>0.0667</td>
<td>0.1467</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>0.1320</td>
<td>0.2833</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

Techniques for locating faults play an important role in system recovery and repair. Therefore, many research works have been carried out on fault location in power transmission networks. However, due to the specification of the current distribution grids and equipment, it is difficult to use most of these techniques in practice. To cope with these, impedance-based techniques, mobile waves and intelligent techniques have been introduced for fault location in distribution networks. Unfortunately, these methods do not support fault location in four-wire power distribution networks. In this paper the impedance-based fault location technique is extended to support four-wire power distribution. The proposed method has been studied both theoretically and with numerical examples. The results show that the proposed technique is precise.

REFERENCES


RAHMAN DASHTI was born in Bushehr, Iran, in 1982. He received the B.Sc. degree from Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran, in 2004, the M.Sc. degree from the Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran, in 2006, and the Ph.D. degree in power electrical engineering from the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran, in 2013. He is currently an Assistant Professor and the Leader of the Power System and Protection Laboratory, Electrical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Persian Gulf University, Bushehr. His research interests include distribution systems, the protection and control techniques of distribution systems, fault location, and transient signal analysis.

MOHAMMAD DAISY was born in Bushehr, Iran, in 1984. He received the B.Sc. degree from Azad University, Bushehr, in 2012, and the M.Sc. degree from Persian Gulf University, Bushehr, in 2015. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in power electrical engineering from Islamic Azad University Central Tehran Branch. His research interests are distribution systems, protection and control techniques and fault location, power quality, and renewable energy.

HAMID REZA SHAKER received the Ph.D. degree from Aalborg University, Denmark, in 2010. He was a Visiting Researcher at MIT, a Post-Doctoral Researcher and an Assistant Professor at Aalborg University from 2009 to 2013, and an Associate Professor at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway, from 2013 to 2014. He is currently an Associate Professor at the Center for Energy Informatics, University of Southern Denmark. He is the leader of fault detection and diagnosis and processes monitoring research activities in the center. His contributions have been reported in more than 80 journal and conference publications. He serves three journals as a member of editorial board and has been an IPC member for several conferences.

MARYAMSADAT TAHAVORI received the Ph.D. degree from Aalborg University, Denmark, in 2014. She was a Visiting Researcher at Imperial College London and a Researcher at the University of Southern Denmark from 2013 to 2014. She is currently an Assistant Professor with the Center for Energy Informatics, University of Southern Denmark. Her contributions have been reported in several journal and conference publications. She has been an IPC member for several conferences.

VOLUME 6, 2018