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Abstract

Objective: Patients considering or engaged in exercise as treatment may expect or experience transient increases in joint pain, causing fear of exercise and influencing compliance. This study investigated the pain trajectory during an 8-week neuromuscular exercise (NEMEX) program together with acute exercise-induced pain flares in persons with knee or hip pain.

Design: Individuals above 35 years self-reporting persistent knee or hip pain for the past 3 months were offered 8 weeks of supervised NEMEX, performed in groups twice weekly. The program consisted of 11 exercises focusing on joint stability and neuromuscular control. Participants self-reported joint pain on a 0 to 10 numerical rating scale (NRS) at baseline and 8–weeks follow-up. NRS pain ratings were also collected before and immediately after every attended exercise session.

Results: Joint pain was reduced from baseline (NRS 3.6; 95% CI 3.2 to 4.1) to 8-weeks follow-up (2.6; 95% CI 2.1 to 3.1), (p<0.01). Pain decreased 0.04 NRS (95% CI 0.02 to 0.05, p<0.01) on average per exercise session and pre- to post-exercise pain decreased 0.04 NRS (95% CI 0.03 to 0.05, p<0.01) on average per session, approaching no acute exercise induced pain in the last weeks.

Conclusion: This study found a clear decrease in size of acute exercise induced pain flares with increasing number of exercise sessions. In parallel, pain ratings decreased over the 8 weeks exercise period. Our findings provide helpful information for clinicians, which can be used to educate and balance patient expectation when starting supervised neuromuscular exercise.
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Introduction

Exercise is effective for relieving lower extremity joint pain [1, 2] and recommended as first-line treatment in clinical guidelines for osteoarthritis (OA) treatment [3]. However, patients with lower limb joint pain may experience increased pain during physical activity or exercise and may therefore be hesitant to participate in exercise treatment [4]. Furthermore, joint pain may fluctuate over the course of an exercise intervention period. Knowledge about the trajectory of joint pain during an exercise treatment would be important knowledge for both clinicians and patients; as such information could influence patients’ compliance with the exercise therapy. Patients may be more willing to accept transient increases in joint pain during exercise, if knowing what to expect.

There are no specific recommendations regarding type of exercise for treating musculoskeletal pain such as OA. However, exercise programs that are supervised and have specific aims relief pain more effectively than unsupervised or generic exercise programs [5]. Neuromuscular training, such as the NEuroMuscular EXercise (NEMEX) program, has previously been proven feasible, well tolerated and effective in relieving joint pain and improving function in different populations with knee or hip pain [6-8]. The NEMEX program is an individualised and goal-based program focusing on lower-limb alignment and functional stability during movement [7]. The study aimed to investigate the trajectory of joint pain during an 8 week neuromuscular exercise program together with the acute pain flare evoked from each exercise session in middle-aged individuals with knee or hip pain.

Methods
This study presents ancillary data to a randomized controlled trial (RCT) investigating context effects in exercise (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02043613). As the current study investigates pain trajectory in relation to exercise, only the exercise groups from the RCT have been included. Ethical approval was obtained by The Regional Scientific Ethical Committee for Southern Denmark (S-20130130). All participants gave their written informed consent.

Participants were recruited through newspaper advertisements, social media and through referrals from general practitioners or the orthopaedic department at Odense University Hospital. Eligibility criteria: men and women aged 35 years or older, self-reporting persistent knee or hip pain for the past 3 months, willingness and ability to participate in exercise program twice weekly. Exclusion criteria: co-morbidities prohibiting exercise, not reading or understanding Danish or already attending structured supervised exercise or other treatment aimed to relieve joint pain. Participants were examined at baseline to assess clinical signs of knee or hip OA, respectively[9] although this was not a specific entry criteria.

**Neuromuscular exercise**

All participants were offered 8 weeks of NEMEX. The NEMEX program is based on biomechanical and neuromuscular principles aiming to improve sensorimotor control and achieve functional stability [7]. The exercise program is structured with a 5-10 min warm-up on an ergometer bicycle followed by 11 specific exercises focusing on core stability, postural function and orientation, lower limb muscle strength and functional tasks [7]. All exercises were performed with 2-3 sets with 10-15 repetitions. Every exercise had four levels and participants progressed when performing an exercise at its current level with good movement quality and sufficient volume. Sessions were performed in groups, lasting one hour and were supervised by certified instructors.
Participant’s attendance was registered at each exercise session. Good compliance was defined as attending 75% or more of the exercise sessions.

**Pain measures and registration**

Self-reported pain was assessed for the index joint using an 11-point Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst imaginable pain) [10]. Participants rated their pain for the index joint at the baseline visit and at the 8-week follow-up, when the exercise period was completed. Additionally, participants rated joint pain in an exercise diary before and after every exercise session they attended. Pain was accepted during exercise and was used to monitor and guide progression and regression in exercise levels during the 8-week exercise period. Pain from 0-2 was considered safe, from 3-5 was acceptable and pain above 5 was categorized as high-risk. If participants were reporting pain within the high-risk range, exercise volume or level was reduced to suit the individual at the next exercise session [7].

**Statistics**

A Students paired t-test was used to compare difference in joint pain from baseline to 8 weeks follow-up. To check if compliance had any effect on the pain relief from exercise an unpaired Student’s t-test was used to compare change in pain from baseline to follow-up between the compliant and non-compliant groups. Pain ratings from the 16 exercise session were used in the pain trajectory analysis. Linear regression analysis was performed to investigate pain trajectory over time, using the group mean pre-exercise pain ratings from each individual exercise session as dependent variable and time as independent
variable. Similarly, linear regression was performed to investigate the acute pain flare evoked by the individual exercise session (i.e. group mean difference in pain between before and after each of the 16 exercise sessions) (dependent variable) during the exercise period (independent variable). P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

In total 82 participants were offered the NEMEX program in the RCT trial; 3 participants never started the exercise program and 1 exercise diary was lost. These 4 participants were excluded from this study. The remaining 78 participants (46 women) had a mean age at baseline of 58.6 years (standard deviation 10.4) and a mean Body Mass Index (BMI) of 28.1 (5.3). Forty-nine participants reported the knee as the primary site of pain. Of these 36 had clinically diagnosed knee OA [9]. The hip was the primary site of pain in 29 participants, of which 10 had clinically diagnosed OA [9]. One participant was lost to follow-up.

Joint pain was reduced by 1.0 NRS (95% CI 0.5 to 1.6) from 3.6 at baseline (95% CI 3.2 to 4.1) to 2.6 NRS (95% CI 2.1 to 3.1) at 8 weeks follow-up (p<0.01), (Figure 1). When dividing the group into compliant (n=52) and non-compliant (n=25), there was no significant difference in pain relief between the groups (p=0.09). The compliant group had a pain reduction of 1.3 NRS (95% CI 0.8 to 2.0) and the non-compliant had a reduction of 0.4 NRS (95% CI -0.7 to 1.6). No differences were found in age, sex, BMI or pain at baseline between the compliant and non-compliant groups.

(Insert Figure 1)
In total 98.5% of all possible pre-exercise pain ratings were available in the dataset. Number of participants contributing with data at the different time-points is reported in Figure 1. A clear relationship was observed between time (i.e. increasing number of exercise sessions) and pre-exercise pain. The pain level decreased over time with 0.04 NRS per exercise session (95%CI 0.02 to 0.05, p-value<0.01). Time (i.e. increasing number of exercise sessions) explained 64 % ($r^2=0.64$ p=0.00) of the change in pain level (Figure 1).

(Insert Figure 2)

In total 97.2% of all possible pre- to post-session pain ratings were available. The number of participants contributing with data at the different time-points is reported in Figure 2. The acute pain flare evoked by an exercise session decreased over time by 0.04 NRS per session (95% CI: 0.03 to 0.05, p-value<0.01). Time (i.e. increasing number of exercise sessions) explained 84 % ($r^2=0.84$, p=0.00) of the variation in size of acute pain flare (Figure 2).

Discussion

Patients with knee or hip pain reported a pain reduction of 1.0 NRS from the baseline visit to 8 weeks follow-up of twice weekly, supervised neuromuscular exercise. The pain trajectory decreased linearly over the 8-week exercise period. Similarly, the acute pain flare from an exercise session gradually decreased over time and approached no flare at all during the last weeks of the 8-week period.
The 1 point NRS pain reduction from baseline to 8-week follow-up corresponds to an effect size of 0.48 (95% CI: 0.16 to 0.80), which is in line with effect sizes reported in recent meta-analyses on exercise as treatment for knee and hip OA [1, 2]. The effect size is also similar to what has been reported previously in a study investigating pain relief from neuromuscular exercise in patients with lower limb OA awaiting total joint replacement[8]. The minimal clinical important improvement has been reported to be 1 NRS-point (corresponding a 15% change) in a population with chronic musculoskeletal pain [11] and in patients with painful knee or hip OA [12]. However, another study including patients with a variety of conditions such as diabetic peripheral neuropathy and post-herpetic neuralgia, OA, chronic low back pain and fibromyalgia, reported a 2-point reduction (30% change) as a clinical important improvement in NRS pain [13]. The 1.0 NRS-point (95% CI 0.5 to 1.7) improvement observed from baseline to 8-weeks follow-up in this study corresponded to a 27% improvement in pain and an effect size of 0.48 which we consider a clinical important improvement given the population in this study.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the pain trajectory in participants attending neuromuscular exercise therapy for knee and hip pain. A major strength of this study is the high resolution of pain ratings, including pain ratings not only at baseline and follow-up but also from all 16 exercise sessions. Pain ratings from before and after exercise have previously been reported, however only as a median for all exercise sessions during an exercise period, rather than separately for each exercise session. These studies found no differences in pain before and after exercise for patients with severe knee or hip OA awaiting total joint replacement [7, 14].

Information that regular physical activity and individualized exercise can reduce joint pain and improve physical function has the highest priority, when informing patients with knee or hip OA about their disease [15]. However, patients may feel hesitant to start exercise because of fear of
increased joint pain as a result of exercise [4]. The average pain flares within the first 2 weeks was
0.79 NRS for the non-compliant group, compared to 0.43 NRS in the compliant group, (p=0.046).
This difference in initial pain flares may have affected compliance. This study provides detailed
information on the magnitude and direction of pain relief, which can be expected from
neuromuscular exercise for patients with knee and hip pain. This information is important for
clinicians, who can inform patients that small transient pain flares from exercise should be expected
starting exercise treatment; however the pain flares diminish over time and should not be expected
with exercise after 6-8 weeks. This may motivate patients to start and be compliant with exercise
treatment in spite of initial pain flares.
It is a limitation to this study that a comparison of pain trajectories for exercising participants and
passive controls is not possible, as the waiting-list group in the RCT did not register pain during the
8 weeks. However, there was no difference in pain at baseline and follow-up for the RCT’s waiting-
list group (p=0.55). It is also a limitation that all participants did not undertake all 16 exercise
sessions. It cannot be eliminated that some participants stopped early because of pain. Similarly, the
number of participants included in the regression analyses at the specific exercise sessions
decreased with time (see figure 1 and 2). Both factors could create a selection bias potentially
overestimating the decrease in acute pain flare with increased number of exercise sessions.
However, all participants took part in the follow-up examination where a pain decrease was seen,
thereby making this scenario less likely. Also, persisting self-reported pain was an inclusion
criterion, but no predefined cut-off for NRS pain was used. Consequently, participants with both
very little and very severe joint pain could be included in the study. Mean pain at baseline
corresponded to mild to moderate pain.
In conclusion, this study found a clear decrease in size of acute exercise induced pain flares with increasing number of exercise sessions. In parallel, pain ratings gradually decreased over the 8 weeks exercise period. This study provides detailed information about the pain trajectory during exercise treatment. This information is helpful for clinicians as it can help educate and balance patients’ expectations when starting supervised neuromuscular exercise as treatment for knee and hip pain.
Acknowledgements

Contributions

LFS, ER and JBT were all involved in the design of the study. All authors contributed to drafting the manuscript or revising it. All authors read, commented and approved the manuscripts for publication. LFS is the trial manager and responsible for coordinating and conducting the study. SJB supervised the exercise intervention, performed data collection and data entry. LFS screened, included and performed all baseline and follow-up testing.

Role of the funding source

The study is supported by The Swedish Research Council (2006-4129), Good Life with Osteoarthritis in Denmark and the Faculty of Health at University of Southern Denmark by funding LFS’ salary. The Danish Rheumatism Association supported the RCT with a grant (May, 2013). The funding sources had no role in the design of this study and did not have any role during its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data, or decision to submit results.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.
References


10. Hawker GA, Mian S, Kendzerska T, French M. Measures of Adult Pain Visual Analog Scale for Pain (VAS Pain), Numeric Rating Scale for Pain (NRS Pain), McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ), Short-Form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ), Chronic Pain Grade Scale (CPGS), Short Form-36 Bodily Pain Scale (SF-36 BPS), and Measure of Intermittent and Constant Osteoarthritis Pain (ICOAP). Arthritis Care & Research 2011; 63: S240-S252.


12. Perrot S, Bertin P. "Feeling better" or "feeling well" in usual care of hip and knee osteoarthritis pain: determination of cutoff points for patient acceptable symptom state (PASS) and minimal clinically important improvement (MCII) at rest and on movement in a national multicenter cohort study of 2414 patients with painful osteoarthritis. Pain 2013; 154: 248-256.

