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Background and purpose — The number of patients who are suitable for outpatient total hip and knee arthroplasty (THA and TKA) in an unselected patient population remains unknown. The purpose of this prospective 2-center study was to identify the number of patients suitable for outpatient THA and TKA in an unselected patient population, to investigate the proportion of patients who were discharged on the day of surgery (DOS), and to identify reasons for not being discharged on the DOS.

Patients and methods — All consecutive, unselected patients who were referred to 2 participating centers and who were scheduled for primary THA and TKA were screened for eligibility for outpatient surgery with discharge to home on DOS. If patients did not fulfill the discharge criteria, the reasons preventing discharge were noted. Odds factors with relative risk intervals for not being discharged on DOS were identified while adjusting for age, sex, ASA score, BMI and distance to home.

Results — Of the 557 patients who were referred to the participating surgeons during the study period, 54% were potentially eligible for outpatient surgery. Actual DOS discharge occurred in 13–15% of the 557 patients. Female sex and surgery late in the day increased the odds of not being discharged on the DOS.

Interpretation — This study shows that even in unselected THA and TKA patients, same-day discharge is feasible in about 15% of patients. Future studies should evaluate safety aspects and economic benefits.

Fast-track total hip and knee arthroplasty (THA and TKA) has been shown to reduce perioperative morbidity and mortality and result in shorter convalescence (Malviya et al. 2011, Husted 2012, Kehlet 2013). Such optimized patient treatment through well-described evidence-based clinical pathways with multimodal opioid-sparing anesthesia, early mobilization with full weight bearing, and modern surgical techniques has led to a worldwide decrease in length of stay (LOS) after THA and TKA in the last decades. Outpatient arthroplasty can be seen as the ultimate goal of fast-track surgery, and it has gained popularity in recent years (Argenson et al. 2016, Parvizi 2017). In this context, increased focus on reducing healthcare costs has further fueled interest in outpatient surgery (Healy et al. 2002, Bertin 2005, Aynardi et al. 2014, Lovald et al. 2014b). Studies in selected patients have shown that outpatient arthroplasty is possible, both for THA (Berger 2007, Dorr et al. 2010, Hartog et al. 2015) and for TKA (Berger et al. 2005, Lovald et al. 2014a). While it is widely accepted that outpatient arthroplasty should only be performed on selected patients (Rozell et al. 2017) and with several selection criteria for outpatient cases (Kort et al. 2016), the optimal patient selection remains debatable. Furthermore, the number of patients suitable for outpatient THA and TKA in an unselected patient population remains unknown, limiting the external validity of previous studies.

The purpose of this prospective study was (1) to identify the proportion of patients suitable for outpatient THA and TKA in an unselected patient population, (2) to investigate the proportion of patients who can be discharged on the day of surgery (DOS), and (3) to identify reasons preventing patients from being discharged on the DOS.
Patients and methods

All consecutive and unselected patients referred to 2 surgeons at Vejle Hospital and to all but 1 surgeon (n = 7) at Hvidovre University Hospital and scheduled for primary THA or TKA were screened for participation in the study between December 2015 and June 2016. In general, as part of the Danish socialized healthcare system (with no “private” patients), all patients for THA and TKA at both participating centers are randomly referred to a surgeon without selection. However, to avoid selection bias, we identified 22 selected patients (who were referred specifically to a particular surgeon by name) and excluded them. All referred patients were screened for possible outpatient surgery using well-defined criteria, during the initial outpatient visit. Patients with sleep apnea requiring treatment were excluded due to safety concerns, if those patients were to be sent home with opioids (Van Ryswyk and Antic 2016). Unselected patients with an ASA score of < 3, who could be operated as number 1 or 2 in the operating room, were considered eligible for possible outpatient surgery. Surgeons assigned patients to be number 1, 2, or 3 in the operating room at random, based on personal preference and logistics in the operating room. Patients operated as number 3 in the operating room were excluded, as those patients were not likely to be back in the patient ward in time to be seen by a physiotherapist, to fulfill the functional discharge criteria and to be then sent home on the DOS. Patients who were deemed eligible for outpatient surgery were informed of possible discharge on the DOS, and that the treatment and the discharge criteria were the same for all patients. An adult had to be present at home for at least 24 h following discharge in order for the patients to participate in outpatient surgery, and patients who did not fulfill this criterion were excluded before surgery. The treatment of all patients was standardized at both departments, and was the same for all patients—both those who underwent outpatient surgery and those who did not. All operations were performed in a standardized fast-track setup (Husted 2012) by experienced surgeons specialized in THA and TKA surgery. The standard surgical protocol for both THA and TKA included spinal anesthesia, standardized fluid management, use of preoperative intravenous tranexamic acid (TXA), preoperative single-shot, high-dose methylprednisolone (Lunn et al. 2011, 2013), and absence of drains. All THAs were performed using a standard posterolateral approach. All TKAs were performed with a standard medial parapatellar approach without the use of a tourniquet and using local infiltration analgesia (LIA) (Andersen and Kehlet 2014). The patients were transferred from the postoperative recovery unit to the patient ward after a few hours, where immediate mobilization was attempted, allowing full weight bearing. Physiotherapy was started on the day of surgery and continued until discharge. Rivaroxaban (Bayer, Denmark) was used as oral thromboprophylaxis, starting 6–8 h postoperatively and continuing daily until discharge. Mechanical thromboprophylaxis and extended oral thromboprophylaxis were not used. After the patients were back in the ward, the nurse and the physiotherapist continuously screened them for fulfillment of discharge criteria on the DOS. Discharge criteria for DOS discharge consisted of functional discharge criteria that applied to all patients, together with some additional criteria (Table 1). Patients who fulfilled the DOS discharge criteria were discharged to home. If a patient did not fulfill the discharge criteria, the reasons preventing discharge were noted. If several discharge criteria were not met, all of them were noted. Distance to home was registered for all patients as < 10 km, 10–50 km, and > 50 km. Patients discharged on the DOS received a courtesy phone call at 10 p.m., and were asked to contact the department in case of any problems.

Statistics

Data were compared using the Pearson chi-squared test. Multiple logistical regression analysis was used to identify odds factors for not being discharged on the DOS (while adjusting for age, sex, ASA score, BMI, and distance to home). An odds ratio (OR) for increased odds of not being discharged on the DOS was calculated for all factors from the regression analysis. To illustrate the difference between the OR and the relative risk (RR), a range for RR has been calculated for each factor, based on all possible strata/subgroups for a given factor (Grant 2014). Correlations were considered significant when p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed in R 3.0.2 (R Foundation for Statistical computing, Vienna, Austria).
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**Results**

557 patients were referred to the participating surgeons during the study period and were screened for eligibility for outpatient surgery (209 patients from Vejle Hospital and 348 patients from Hvidovre Hospital). 304 patients (54%) fulfilled the criteria for undergoing outpatient surgery, 167 THA patients and 137 TKA patients (Figure 1 and 2). The proportion of patients who were not eligible for outpatient surgery differed slightly between the 2 departments, with respect to ASA score and selected patients (Table 2, see Supplementary data). Of the 302 patients who were eligible for outpatient surgery, 28% of the THA patients (n = 47) and 24% of the TKA patients (n = 33) were discharged on the DOS (Figures 1 and 2). This accounts for 15% (95% CI: 12–20) and 13% (95% CI: 9–18) of all referred and screened THA and TKA patients, respectively. Apart from lack of motivation and not fulfilling the discharge criteria, lack of safe mobilization was the most common reason for THA and TKA patients not being discharged (Table 3, see Supplementary data). Female sex and being number 2 in the operating room statistically significantly increased the odds of not being discharged on the DOS, with the RR of not being discharged being 0.4–0.7 for male patients and 1.0–1.7 for patients operated as number 2 in the operating room (Table 4). A male patient, < 75 years old, with BMI < 35, ASA ≤ 2, undergoing THA surgery as the first in the operating room and living > 50 km from the hospital had a 68% chance of being discharged on the DOS (Table 5). A female patient, > 75 years old with BMI > 35 had only a 9% chance of being discharged on the DOS (Table 6).
In this prospective 2-center study, we found that 28% of THA patients and 24% of TKA patients who were eligible for outpatient surgery were discharged on DOS, which accounted for 15% (95% CI: 12–20) and 13% (95% CI: 9–18) of all unselected patients who were referred to THA and TKA and screened in this study. In both THA patients and TKA patients, we also found that besides lack of motivation and not fulfilling discharge criteria, inability to mobilize safely was the most common reason for not being discharged.

To our knowledge, no previous studies have investigated eligibility and feasibility of outpatient surgery in an unselected healthcare population. Patient selection for outpatient surgery is the subject of debate, and many different criteria have been suggested (Kort et al. 2016) based on age, comorbidities, and BMI (Berger et al. 2005, 2009, Kolisek et al. 2009, Dorr et al. 2010, Aynardi et al. 2014, Hartog et al. 2015, Goyal et al. 2017) Some proposed patient selection criteria for outpatient surgery are quite extensive, and the question remains how many patients would be eligible from an unselected population. To our knowledge, only 1 study has described the background population from which the candidates for outpatient surgery were selected: Goyal et al. (2017) assessed 70% of all referred patients and found that only 14% did not fulfill the inclusion criteria for outpatient arthroplasty. This contrasts with our findings, as we only found 54% of patients to be eligible candidates for outpatient surgery, despite having much broader inclusion criteria. A more selective patient referral at other centers may be a possible explanation, as all the patients who were assessed for eligibility in our study were unselected, and in a non-private, socialized healthcare system. The proportion of patients who were found to be eligible for outpatient THA and TKA differed between the 2 hospitals, as did the proportion of patients excluded due to having an ASA score of > 3. This was most likely due to different patient demographics in the referral population, as the 2 hospitals are located in different parts of the country. Since both hospitals receive the majority of unselected referrals from the local region (with very few selected patients from other regions), our findings demonstrate that outpatient TKA and THA is feasible in an unselected patient population.

Around 25% of the patients who were eligible for outpatient surgery in our study were discharged on the DOS. This contrasts with the much higher discharge rates for DOS reported by Goyal et al. (2017) (75%), Hartog et al. (2015) (89%), Parcells et al. (2016) (98%), Aynardi et al. (2014), Hartog et al. (2015), Goyal et al. (2017) Some proposed patient selection criteria for outpatient surgery are quite extensive, and the question remains how many patients would be eligible from an unselected population. To our knowledge, only 1 study has described the background population from which the candidates for outpatient surgery were selected: Goyal et al. (2017) assessed 70% of all referred patients and found that only 14% did not fulfill the inclusion criteria for outpatient arthroplasty. This contrasts with our findings, as we only found 54% of patients to be eligible candidates for outpatient surgery, despite having much broader inclusion criteria. A more selective patient referral at other centers may be a possible explanation, as all the patients who were assessed for eligibility in our study were unselected, and in a non-private, socialized healthcare system. The proportion of patients who were found to be eligible for outpatient THA and TKA differed between the 2 hospitals, as did the proportion of patients excluded due to having an ASA score of > 3. This was most likely due to different patient demographics in the referral population, as the 2 hospitals are located in different parts of the country. Since both hospitals receive the majority of unselected referrals from the local region (with very few selected patients from other regions), our findings demonstrate that outpatient TKA and THA is feasible in an unselected patient population.
When comparing studies on outpatient surgery, it is important to consider definitions for outpatient surgery and discharge location for patients. In our view, “true” outpatient surgery involves discharge of the patients to their own home, as discharge to a care facility simply moves the admission and associated resources to a different location (cost shifting). Also, while most studies have defined outpatient surgery as discharge on DOS, some studies have defined it as a length of stay of < 23 hours (Kolisek et al. 2009). From a financial point of view, only patients who are discharged on DOS should be considered to be true outpatients, as these patients could be treated in a dedicated outpatient care facility without the need for an overnight stay. Overall, our finding that 54% of unselected patients were eligible candidates for outpatient surgery, with 13–15% of all unselected screened patients actually being discharged on DOS, shows that while outpatient surgery is definitely feasible in an unselected healthcare population, it is not for everyone, and strict selection criteria should be applied.

We found that in both THA patients and TKA patients, inability to mobilize safely was the most common reason for not being discharged, while there was blood loss of > 500 mL in 27% of THA patients—which is in accordance with previous studies showing postoperative nausea and vomiting and muscle weakness in 12% of THA patients and 23% of TKA patients on the first postoperative day (Husted et al. 2011). This gives surgeons targets for intervention to optimize early safe mobilization, with focus on orthostatic intolerance (Jans and Kehlet 2016) and blood saving strategies.

We found that male patients had a substantially higher chance of being discharged on DOS, which is in accordance with previous findings showing that male patients have a shorter LOS than female patients (Hayes et al. 2000, Mathijssen et al. 2016, Sibia et al. 2016). Also, we found that older patients (> 75 years old) and patients with BMI > 35 showed a trend of having a lower chance of being discharged on DOS, which is also supported by some previous findings showing that higher BMI and higher age have a negative effect on LOS (Maradit Kremers et al. 2014, Mathijssen et al. 2016, Petis et al. 2016, Sibia et al. 2016) This, however, contrasts with a recent study which found that only THA patients with high BMI had an increased risk of prolonged LOS, and that this did not apply to TKA patients (Husted et al. 2016). An important finding in the present study was that about 25% of patients who were eligible candidates for outpatient surgery could not participate, as they were living alone and could not have an adult with them for > 24 hours after same-day discharge (Figures 1 and 2). Thus, social support and social network should be part of the selection criteria for outpatient surgery.

The main limitation of the present study is that we only considered the process of selection of patients and possible same-day discharge without investigating the safety aspects of outpatient surgery. However, this was not the purpose of the study, as our goal was firstly to investigate the feasibility of outpatient arthroplasty in an unselected healthcare population. The safety aspects of outpatient surgery are of crucial importance and are a matter of debate, as some studies have shown that outpatient arthroplasty surgery is safe (Berger et al. 2009, Kolisek et al. 2009, Hartog et al. 2015) while others have found an increased risk of complications and re-admissions in outpatient patients (Lovecchio et al. 2016). A large follow-up study focusing on aspects of safety, such as complications, re-admissions, outcomes, and patient satisfaction is warranted. Also, a higher discharge percentage can be achieved by more selective patient inclusion and by using dedicated outpatient pathways. However, the broad patient selection for this study can be considered to be a strength, as it allows investigations with higher external validity regarding future health economic analyses. Our discharge criteria can be debated, and may have differed from those at other institutions. For instance, the 500-mL blood loss limit was not based on evidence but was set only as a safety consideration. Similarly, the requirement for relatives to be present for 24 hours for patients discharged on the day of surgery was also a safety consideration, and might be required for a shorter period of time or be abandoned altogether. Finally, the surgeons assigned patients the number 1, 2, or 3 in the operating room randomly, based on personal preference and logistics. While this allows for some selection “bias”, as patients eligible for same-day discharge (based on other criteria) could be selected as number 1 or 2 in the operating room, this does not affect the conclusions from the study, as we have described the characteristics of all the participating patients and screened patients.

In summary, we found that 54% of unselected patients were eligible candidates for outpatient surgery and that 13–15% of all screened patients were discharged on the day of surgery. Possible targets for outpatient pathway optimization were social network support, safe mobilization and improved blood saving strategies. Thus, further studies investigating safety considerations and the potential financial benefits of outpatient surgery are warranted.

Supplementary data

Tables 2 and 3 are available as supplementary data in the online version of this article http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17453674.2017.1314158.
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