Diagnosis, treatment, and response assessment in solitary plasmacytoma: updated recommendations from a European Expert Panel


Abstract: Solitary plasmacytoma is an infrequent form of plasma cell dyscrasia that presents as a single mass of monoclonal plasma cells, located either extramedullary or intraosseous. In some patients, a bone marrow aspiration can detect a low monoclonal plasma cell infiltration which indicates a high risk of early progression to an overt myeloma disease. Before treatment initiation, whole body positron emission tomography–computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging should be performed to exclude the presence of additional malignant lesions. For decades, treatment has been based on high-dose radiation, but studies exploring the potential benefit of systemic therapies for high-risk patients are urgently needed. In this review, a panel of expert European hematologists updates the recommendations on the diagnosis and management of patients with solitary plasmacytoma.
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Background
Plasma cell (PC) neoplasms can present in different clinical forms. Multiple myeloma (MM) is generally located in the bone marrow (BM) and associated with a wide spectrum of clinical, laboratory, and radiological findings [1]. Conversely, solitary plasmacytoma (SP) is characterized by a single mass of clonal plasma cells, with no or minimal BM plasmacytosis and with no other symptoms than those derived from the primary lesion. It can present either as extramedullary (extraosseous) plasmacytoma (EMP), i.e., in soft tissues, or as solitary bone plasmacytoma (SBP). SP is a rare condition with a cumulative incidence of 0.15/100,000 [2]. Liebross et al. reported that out of 1354 patients treated for plasma cell neoplasms at the MD Anderson Cancer Center between 1963 and 1996, 1272 patients (94%) had MM, 60 patients (4%) had SBP, and 22 patients (2%) had EMP [3].
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A recent Swedish population study showed a similar distribution of patients, with a global incidence of 0.191/100,000 for male and 0.090/100,000 for female patients [4]. SBP comprises 70% of all SP cases and occurs primarily in red marrow-containing bones such as vertebrae, femurs, pelvis, and ribs. EMP can involve any site or organ, with the most frequent being the head and neck region (sinuses, naso- and oropharynx), gastrointestinal tract, and lungs [3, 5]. Patients presenting with SBP, especially those cases with minimal BM plasmacytosis, have a higher risk of developing symptomatic MM: approximately 50% of patients with SBP and 30% of patients with EMP develop MM within 10 years after the initial diagnosis [6]. Here, we provide a consensus statement on the diagnostic criteria, prognostic factors, treatment, and response criteria for SP. Readers should be aware that the management of patients with localized or solitary plasmacytomas is different from patients with soft-tissue plasmacytomas in the context of overt multiple myeloma.
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Methodology
These guidelines were developed by a working group of clinical hematologists with expertise in MM. Literature review was performed up to September 2017 and included published clinical studies, meta-analyses and reviews (Medline), and abstracts from the American Society of Hematology (ASH) and European Hematology Association annual meetings (keywords: plasmacytoma, solitary, myeloma, PET/CT, MRI, radiotherapy, diagnosis, and treatment). Key recommendations were developed based on randomized, controlled clinical trial evidence. If data were insufficient, expert consensus was used to suggest recommendations. The drafted recommendations were circulated among the working group members who all provided comments. In addition, the recommendations were discussed by working group members at the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG), European Myeloma Network (EMN), and ASH meetings. After three rounds of revisions, all working group members reviewed and validated the final recommendations which were assigned using the GRADE criteria, which incorporate recommendation strength and quality of evidence (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Definition and diagnostic criteria
The advent of more sensitive techniques to assess BM plasmacytosis has recently led to an updated definition of SP by the IMWG [1]. SPB is defined by the presence of a single lytic lesion due to monoclonal PC infiltration, with or without soft-tissue extension, and EMP consists of a soft-tissue mass that is not in contact with bone [7]. Accordingly, conventional morphology or immunohistochemistry typically show no BM plasmacytosis, but minimal BM infiltration by clonal plasma cells (PCs < 10%) was still considered consistent with a SP diagnosis, provided that no other lesions are observed (Table 1).

Table 1 Diagnostic criteria for solitary plasmacytoma and overlapping disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of disease</th>
<th>Serum monoclonal protein</th>
<th>Bone marrow cytology</th>
<th>End organ damage</th>
<th>Radiological work-up</th>
<th>Risk of progression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solitary plasmacytoma</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>No other lesions</td>
<td>10% will progress to MM within 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary plasmacytoma with minimal bone marrow involvement</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Monoclonal PC infiltration &lt; 10%</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>60% with SPB or 20% with EMP will progress to MM within 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrofocal myeloma</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Multiple lesions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Monoclonal PC infiltration ≥ 10%</td>
<td>Other lesions could be present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MM multiple myeloma, BM bone marrow, PC plasma cell, EMP extrameddulary plasmacytoma
patients, skeletal surveys are not sensitive enough to
detect early lytic bone lesions which are only visible
when more than 30% of cortical bone is destructed [15,
16]. Moreover, conventional X-ray imaging does not
reveal EMP located in soft tissues. This underlines
the need for other imaging techniques for the evaluation
of skeletal and extramedullary lesions, i.e., computed
tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and
positron emission tomography (PET)/CT.

In the context of EMP, CT may be helpful for an
adequate loco-regional staging as regional lymph node
recurrences occur in 7% of EMP cases. CT can also be
used to identify spinal cord and/or nerve root compres-
sion when MRI is unavailable. Importantly, compression
due to a soft tissue mass may be missed on CT scans
without contrast injection [15]. Whole-body (WB)-CT
provides high-resolution images of cortical and trabecu-
lar bone with a fast scanning time and it is able to detect
small (< 5 mm) lytic bone lesions [17–21]. Clinical stud-
ies addressing the use of WB-CT in comparison with
other imaging techniques for SP are currently lacking.
Low-dose WB-CT is currently proposed as the initial
imaging technique of choice to detect bone disease in
patients with MM [22].

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)
Although the sensitivity of MRI to detect lytic bone le-
isions is lower than that of CT, MRI is able to detect soft
tissue and BM lesions and is the gold standard to detect
spinal cord compression. SBP appears as an infiltration
with a low T1 and a high T2 signal intensity. Moulopoulos
et al. prospectively studied the role of MRI in the staging
of 12 patients with SBP [23]. In order to identify other
regions of BM involvement in addition to the primary SBP
lesion, patients underwent spinal MRI. Additional foci of
marrow replacement were found in one third of patients,
and these patients showed persistent elevated serum
monoclonal protein levels after radiotherapy. Conversely,
patients without additional BM lesions displayed a signifi-
cant reduction or disappearance of serum monoclonal
protein after radiotherapy [23]. Liebross et al. confirmed
these results in a second study in which 57 patients with
SBP were staged with either conventional radiography
alone or in combination with MRI prior to radiotherapy
[24]. Among 23 patients with thoracolumbar spine
disease, 7 of 8 patients, who had a solitary lesion by
plain radiographs alone, developed MM in compari-
son with 1 of 7 patients who also had only one lesion
by MRI. Together, these prospective studies underline
the importance of precise staging. Consequently, ex-
cluding additional lesions is mandatory for the diag-
nosis of SP as per IMWG criteria [25]. The use of
diffusion-weighted MRI, a new highly sensitive tech-
nique to detect and monitor tumor lesions in the BM

Bone marrow assessment
A unilateral BM aspiration and trephine biopsy is rec-
commended for all patients with suspected SP. In order
to exclude > 10% of monoclonal PCs in the BM, a BM
aspiration with immunophenotyping to define the pro-
portion of monoclonal cells by kappa/lambda labeling
should be performed. When the possibility for immuno-
phenotyping is missing, a BM biopsy is recommended
with immunohistochemistry to detect monoclonal PCs.
A biopsy might reveal more monoclonal cells because of
a sampling error with aspiration. The higher PC count
of either aspiration or biopsy should be considered in
cases of discrepancy between both techniques.

Noteworthy, the malignant phenotype of clonal PCs
among patients with SP resembles that of cases with
MM; accordingly, we recommend that laboratories use
the same immunophenotypic strategy used in MM such
as that established by the EuroFlow consortium for the
assessment of minimal residual disease (MRD), which
has a median limit of tumor cell detection of 2 × 10^-6,
and is virtually applicable to all patients with PC dyscra-
sias [13]. BM plasmacytosis > 10% constitutes a definitive
MM diagnosis.

Recommendations: All patients with suspected SP
should receive a BM aspiration and a BM biopsy to eval-
uate PC morphology and the degree of total PC infiltr-
ation (Grade 1A). Given the diagnostic and prognostic impor-
tance, the degree of clonal PC infiltration should be deter-
mined by flow cytometry or by kappa/lambda labeling on
the BM aspirate or by immunohistochemistry on a BM bi-
opsy (Grade 1B). When a monoclonal PC infiltration is
present at baseline, the BM aspiration and biopsy should
be repeated when a progression to MM is suspected.

Imaging
Detection and localization of SP depends on imaging
studies. In addition, since SP is defined by the absence
of other bone or extramedullary lesions, imaging is
required to exclude a MM diagnosis.

Conventional radiography of the skeleton and computed
tomography
Conventional radiography of the skeleton (skeletal sur-
vey) has been recommended for the initial assessment of
bone lesions for decades. SBP preferentially replaces the
trabecular bone, while the cortical bone is partly
conserved or even sclerotic [14]. In two thirds of the
cases, the radiographic appearance is characteristic with
a mixed, predominantly lytic pattern. Less commonly,
SBP has a multicystic appearance. Similar to in MM
patients, skeletal surveys are not sensitive enough to
and soft tissues, has not been reported in the management of SP.

**Positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT)**

While 18F-FDG (fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose) PET/CT is extensively studied in MM, only a few small-scale studies have addressed its role in SP [26]. 18F-FDG PET or 18F-FDG PET/CT may show additional lesions and have therapeutic implications in 33–55% of patients with presumed SBP as patients with a normal PET/CT did not develop MM [27–29]. Salaun et al. reported that 18F-FDG PET/CT is superior to MRI for the diagnosis and follow-up of plasmacytoma patients, although it should be noted that this study was performed in the context of extramedullary spread during MM [30]. At diagnosis, the sensitivity and specificity of PET/CT was higher than that of MRI of the spine and pelvis, because PET/CT was able to detect plasmacytoma lesions with a larger scope compared to MRI, i.e., in soft tissues, skull, ribs, and limbs. Also, the specificity of PET/CT was 99% to evaluate treatment responses, compared to 89% for MRI. A second study evaluated PET/CT and axial MRI at diagnosis and follow-up in 43 patients with either EMP (10 patients) or SBP (33 patients) [26]. PET/CT at diagnosis identified 10 patients with at least two hypermetabolic lesions. Six of these patients progressed to MM, confirming a diagnostic role for PET/CT at the time of diagnosis identified 10 patients with at least two hypermetabolic lesions. Six of these patients progressed to MM, confirming a diagnostic role for PET/CT in SP. FDG-uptake was recently found to be correlated to the tumor size [31]: FDG-avid lesions are generally larger (41.4 mm) vs. FDG-negative lesions (23.5 mm) and the statistical risk of progression was higher in these FDG-avid lesions [31]. Based on these studies, the IMWG recommended PET/CT as part of the initial evaluation of patients with SP [32].

**Recommendations:** In addition to the skeletal survey or CT, MRI or PET/CT are needed to exclude the presence of additional lesions and the use of at least one of these examinations is mandatory (Grade 1A). Both are recommended based on small prospective studies, while PET/CT has additional prognostic value. Using both PET/CT and MRI may offer complementary information. When both PET/CT and MRI are not available, a WB-CT approach can be used to exclude other lesions. (Grade 2C). To detect additional soft tissue lesions, PET/CT is recommended for patients with EMP (Grade 1B).

**Prognostic factors**

Progression of SP is defined as local recurrence, appearance of additional plasmacytoma without signs of MM, or a development of an overt MM. Different prognostic factors have been identified for SP and this has led to the proposal of different prognostic scoring methods (Table 2). However, none of these have been thoroughly validated and widely adopted.

**Prognostic factors at diagnosis**

EMP is usually indolent, in contrast to extramedullary spread of MM which is associated with poor prognosis [6, 7]. When compared to EMP, SBP has a worse prognosis with increased progression rates to MM, although the differences not always translate into a significant difference in overall survival (OS) [3, 4, 33–36] (Table 3). At diagnosis, the presence of minimal BM infiltration by clonal PCs is a strong prognostic factor. In a retrospective study, Warsame et al. found minimal BM infiltration, assessed by immunofluorescence, in 24 out of 61 patients and this was associated with an inferior progression-free survival (PFS 15 vs. 42 months for patients without BM involvement) [37]. These results were confirmed in recent studies in which flow cytometry was used to identify monoclonal PCs in BM samples [10, 11]. Paiva et al. detected BM clonal PCs in 49% of SBP and 38% of EMP patients. Seventy-one percent of SBP patients with minimal BM involvement progressed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Progression free survival</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>At diagnosis</strong></td>
<td>Higher risk for progression to MM for SBP patients (progression rate of 65–84% at 10 years) compared to EMP patients (25–35% at 10 years)</td>
<td>[36, 47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow plasmacytosis detected by flow cytometry*</td>
<td>Minimal bone marrow plasmacytosis is associated with decreased progression-free survival</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow plasmacytosis detected by flow cytometry</td>
<td>About 70% of patients with minimal bone marrow plasmacytosis progress to MM with a median time to progression of 26 months</td>
<td>[10, 11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum SFLC ratio</td>
<td>An abnormal serum SFLC ratio is associated with a higher risk of progression to MM compared to a normal SFLC ratio (44 vs. 26% at 5 years and 51 vs. 32% at 10 years)</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological abnormalities</td>
<td>Histologic score and degree of angiogenesis have prognostic value</td>
<td>[40, 41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At follow-up</strong></td>
<td>9% of progression for patients with resolved serum monoclonal protein vs. 71% of progression for patients with persistent serum monoclonal protein.</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only studied in the context of SBP

Abbreviations: SBP Solitary bone plasmacytoma, EMP Extrameddullary plasmacytoma, FLC Free light chain ration, MM multiple myeloma
Alike other PC disorders, such as monoclonal gammapathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) and smoldering MM (SMM), the serum free light chain (SFLC) ratio is an independent prognostic factor in SP for progression to MM when it is outside the normal range (<0.26 or >1.65) [38, 39]. These results were recently confirmed by Fouquet et al., who developed a prognostic scoring method incorporating lesions on PET/CT and an abnormal SFLC ratio at diagnosis as risk factors for progression [26]. Other proposed prognostic scoring methods include histologic scoring [40] and assessment of the degree of angiogenesis [41].

### Table 3 Reported outcomes for patients presenting with solitary plasmacytoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Local recurrence, %</th>
<th>Overall survival, % (years)</th>
<th>Progression-free survival, % (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nahi et al. [4]</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>53% (8 years)</td>
<td>75% (2 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>56% (8 years)</td>
<td>65% (2 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>51% (8 years)</td>
<td>94% (2 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Waal et al. [36]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>68% (10 years)</td>
<td>50% (15 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>64% (10 years)</td>
<td>30% (15 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>77% (10 years)</td>
<td>88% (15 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katodritou et al. [6]</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>78% (10 years)</td>
<td>59% (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>69% (10 years)</td>
<td>40% (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>86% (10 years)</td>
<td>50% (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed et al. [48]</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>78% (5 years)</td>
<td>47% (5 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>76% (5 years)</td>
<td>56% (5 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>85% (5 years)</td>
<td>30% (5 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kılıçszıı et al. [45]</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>73% (10 years)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>68% (10 years)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>89% (10 years)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozsahin et al. [5]</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>55% (10 years)</td>
<td>35% (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>52% (10 years)</td>
<td>28% (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>72% (10 years)</td>
<td>74% (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsang et al. [47]</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>65% (8 years)</td>
<td>50% (8 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>36% (8 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>84% (8 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liebross et al. [24]</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>50% (10 years)</td>
<td>47% (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>50% (10 years)</td>
<td>68% (10 years)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR not reported, SBP solitary bone plasmacytoma, EMP extramedullary plasmacytoma

### Prognostic factors during follow-up

The presence of serum monoclonal protein at the time of diagnosis has no prognostic value in SP. Conversely, persistence of the serum monoclonal protein after radiation therapy is a prognostic factor for progression to MM among SP patients with detectable serum monoclonal protein prior to treatment [23, 24, 34, 42–44]. For example, Wilder et al. reported a 10-year PFS of 29% in patients with SBP whose serum monoclonal protein did not disappear after radiotherapy, compared to 91% in patients whose serum monoclonal protein did resolve [42]. Interestingly, not only the presence of serum monoclonal protein but also its levels yield prognostic information in SBP. Dingli et al. proposed a prognostic scoring method incorporating an abnormal SFLC ratio and a persistent serum monoclonal protein level >0.5 g/dl as adverse prognostic factors. Using this method, three groups with a different risk of progression could be identified [44]. Of note, only the persistence of serum monoclonal protein 1 year after treatment has a clear prognostic value, as its level can remain stable for several months before declining [44].

**Recommendations:** The rate of progression to MM is higher in patients with SBP compared to patients with EMP. At diagnosis, the presence of minimal BM infiltration by aberrant plasma cells, the presence of additional hypermetabolic lesions on PET/CT, and an abnormal SFLC ratio give prognostic information and should be included in the initial assessment (Grade 1A). Persistence of a serum monoclonal protein (determined by serum electrophoresis and immunofixation) after initial radiation therapy predict a higher risk of progression to MM. Therefore, it is mandatory to monitor serum electrophoresis and immunofixation after treatment completion (Grade 1A).

### Treatment

**Radiation therapy**

Despite their locally destructive and aggressive characteristics, clonal PCs are highly sensitive to radiation and initial clinical trials confirmed the high response rates of SP to radiotherapy [24, 34, 45, 46]. Caution is warranted when analyzing older trials, because SP localization was generally only determined via conventional radiography and BM cytology. Therefore, many patients were likely understaged in these trials.

The evidence for the effectiveness of radiation therapy (Additional file 2: Table S2) is mainly based on retrospective studies as no prospective clinical trials in SP comparing radiotherapy to best supportive care or to chemotherapy have been reported. Ozsahin et al. retrospectively assessed the outcome in 206 patients with SBP and 52 patients with EMP treated with radiotherapy...
alone (214 patients), radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy (34 patients), or surgery alone (8 patients) [5]. The 5-year OS, disease-free survival (DFS), and local control rates were 74, 50, and 86% respectively in the entire patient population. Radiotherapy was a favorable prognostic factor for DFS and local control. The relapse rate for patients receiving a radiation dose of 40–50 Gy was 12% compared to 60% for patients who received no radiotherapy. The local response rate to radiotherapy exceeds 80–90% and appeared to be highest in tumors < 5 cm in diameter. One study reported the use of lower doses of radiotherapy and could not withhold a dose-response relationship for doses > 35 Gy, even for larger tumors [47]. However, some studies did report a relationship between the initial tumor size and local control rate. For example, Tsang et al. reported a 100% local control rate for SBP with a diameter < 5 cm compared to only 38% for SBP with a diameter > 5 cm. Whether large SBP require a higher radiation dose or combined treatment for effective local control, as these results suggest, remains to be addressed in future clinical trials. Of note, the possible relation between the administrated radiation dose and tumor size and the local control of plasmacytoma remains controversial as other retrospective studies did not confirm these findings [37, 48].

Based on the available clinical data, the recommended treatment for most patients with SP is localized, fractionated radiotherapy given at a dose of 40–50 Gy over approximately 4 weeks [49]. Therapy is generally given daily at a rate of 1.8–2.0 Gy per fraction. The treatment field should include all the involved tissues identified by imaging as well as a margin of healthy tissue (at least 2 cm). This margin is needed because the risk of tumor extension outside the initial radiation portal can enhance disease recurrence. In the case of SBP affecting vertebrae, the margin should include at least one uninvolved vertebra on either side.

Surgery
In many instances, patients have had surgery, with complete or partial tumor removal, as part of the diagnostic procedure. Apart from the diagnostic approach, the indication of surgery are fixation of fractures, decompressive laminectomy, or spine stabilization. The introduction of modern spinal fixation and stabilization methods, such as vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty, allows for a surgical solution for patients who develop vertebral fractures, vertebral instability, neurological complications, or a combination of these. Radiotherapy can be delayed until after surgery but it is still required because tumor excision without subsequent radiotherapy results in a very high rate of local recurrence [46].

Chemotherapy
The role of adjuvant chemotherapy after radiation therapy in the treatment of SP remains controversial precluding a definite recommendation. In a study on 32 SP patients, Holland et al. observed that adjuvant chemotherapy did not affect the incidence of progression to MM (53% for SBP and 36% for EMP) but did delay progression from 29 to 59 months [43]. Similarly, Aviles et al. reported improved outcome in a small randomized prospective clinical trial on SBP when patients received adjuvant melphalan and prednisolone for 3 years [50]. After a median follow-up of 8.9 years, OS was 88% for patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy, compared to 46% in the control group. Of note, BM infiltration in these patients was assessed by morphology alone, which does not have the sensitivity required to detect minimal infiltration. Conversely, other studies indicate that adjuvant chemotherapy for SP has no benefit [51, 52]. Also, autologous stem cell transplantation has been evaluated in high-risk SP patients but, although the initial results were promising, the small number of patients in this study precludes drawing definitive conclusions [53]. Some of the authors of these guidelines propose the use of adjuvant chemotherapy for bulky SP (> 5 cm), but this approach is not supported by previous studies. Similar to other plasma cell precursor entities (MGUS and SMM), bisphosphonates are recommended for those patients with confirmed osteoporosis on dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scan in doses used for patients with osteoporosis [54]. Systemic use of bisphosphonates has not been studied in the context of SP but does not delay tumor progression in the SMM setting [55]. They can be considered for patients with a threatening fracture due to a SBP.

Recommendations: Radiation therapy is the standard treatment for SBP and EMP (Grade 1A). A total fractionated dose of 40–50 Gy should be given, and a margin of at least 2 cm should be employed (Grade 1A). For patients with SBP, surgery should be reserved for the treatment of pathological fractures, neurological complications, or lesions with a high chance for fracture or instability. For patients with EMP, surgery might be able to resect large and well-defined masses but should be followed by radiotherapy. Due to the limited available data, adjuvant chemotherapy is not recommended for patients with SP. Adjuvant chemotherapy can be considered for patients with persistent disease, based on PET/CT, after initial radiotherapy (Grade 2C).

Response assessment
There are currently no guidelines for the assessment of treatment responses in SP. We suggest that the definitions that are currently applied in MM can be used in the setting of SBP, while RECIST criteria can be used for
assessing treatment responses in EMP [56, 57] (Table 4). For patients who have detectable serum monoclonal protein at diagnosis, the response can be assessed similarly to the uniform IMWG response criteria for MM [58]. Serum and urine electrophoresis and immunofixation as well as serum free light chain analysis should be regularly performed during follow-up. As discussed, serum monoclonal protein can remain stable for several months before declining. In case of increasing serum monoclonal protein levels or the appearance of myeloma-associated end-organ damage, a new bone marrow sample should be taken in order to quantify the PC infiltration and to detect genetic abnormalities. The sensitivity of PET/CT for the evaluation of treatment responses is higher than that of MRI. A persistent high uptake on 18F-FDG PET/CT indicates the presence of residual disease. Low tracer uptake at irradiated sites can be due to bone remodeling and is not always associated with a poor prognosis. Preferentially, the same imaging technique should be used for diagnosis and for the evaluation of treatment responses.

**Recommendations:** Treatment response should be assessed according to the IMWG criteria for patients with measurable serum/urine parameters (Grade 1B), although serum monoclonal protein can remain stable for several months. For soft tissue lesions, these criteria should be combined with the RECIST criteria (Grade 2C). During further follow-up of the disease, the same imaging (preferentially a whole body technique) method should be used, but one should be aware that the sensitivity of PET/CT is higher than that of MRI.

**Future direction for clinical research**

The current guidelines in SP are based on small-scale studies because prospective clinical trials are hampered by the low incidence of SP. Still, larger studies are needed to further establish and refine the current diagnosis and treatment guidelines. Large prospective clinical trials to evaluate addition of systemic treatment (including novel agents) to radiation therapy are needed to define the optimal treatment approach of patients presenting poor prognostic factors such as tumor size and the presence of clonal BMPCs. Moreover, different imaging techniques should be compared for SP diagnosis and follow-up. While low-dose WB-CT is used in MM, studies investigating this technique in SP are still lacking. At this time, PET/CT is considered the imaging technique of choice, but the introduction of diffuse weighted MRI could improve the ability of MRI to discriminate between active and inactive lesions. A multicenter SWOG trial (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00109889) comparing PET/CT with MRI in SP was closed in 2007 but its results are still unknown. In 2017, there is no scientific evidence that patients with an initial BM infiltration require additional therapy, although they are at high risk of progression, particularly those with aberrant phenotype. Accordingly, in smoldering MM (SMM) a high-risk group of patients with > 70% risk of progression to MM in 2 years could be identified by immunophenotyping of aberrant PCs. It has been shown that early treatment prevents progression to MM in these high-risk SMM patients [59]. Mateos et al. demonstrated not only a superior PFS, but also OS in patients treated with lenalidomide-dexamethasone, as compared to observation [60].

Although it is currently unknown, whether such a paradigm is applicable to SBP, the rate of progression to MM in SBP patients with occult BM infiltration is comparable to that observed in high-risk SMM. Therefore, a randomized trial with similar design comparing treatment for a limited period vs. observation seems justified. A regular follow-up by blood and urine testing is required for these patients and a new BM aspiration and biopsy should be performed when a progression is suspected.

Advanced and sensitive techniques for the detection of monoclonal PCs are being developed and are already used in daily practice to detect MRD in the follow-up of MM. However, prospective trials with these new techniques in the diagnosis and follow-up of SP are lacking. Multiparametric flow cytometry uses a standard set of monoclonal PC-associated markers, is quantitative, includes an internal quality control of the sample

**Table 4** Definition of treatment responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete response (CR)</td>
<td>Complete disappearance of all previously observed abnormalities on radiographic imaging. For patients with a secretory plasmacytoma, a disappearance of monoclonal protein from serum and/or urine. For SBP, the initial radiological abnormalities on MRI or CT should regress or stabilize during an observation time of at least 12 months to fulfill the requirements for a CR. For EMP, the disappearance of soft tissue mass is required for the definition of CR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good partial response</td>
<td>A CR with regard to clinical and radiological signs, but with a positive immunofixation or ≥ 90% reduction in serum monoclonal protein plus urine monoclonal protein level &lt; 100 mg/24 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial response (PR)</td>
<td>A ≥ 50% decrease in serum and/or urine monoclonal protein. For non-secretory SP, radiological features (MRI/CT) or local assessment is needed. In EMP patients, a ≥30% decrease in the diameter of target lesions should be observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable disease (SD)</td>
<td>Insufficient shrinkage to qualify for PR nor sufficient increase to qualify for PD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive disease (PD)</td>
<td>The development of new lesions or an increase of at least 20% in the size of existing lesions, the apparition of a myeloma defining event, and finally an increase of ≥25% from lowest response value in serum and/or urine monoclonal protein.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cellularity and hemodilution, and is applicable for virtually every patient. Also, PCR-based techniques such as quantitative allele-specific oligonucleotide PCR (ASO-qPCR) and next-generation sequencing of VDJ sequences remain unexplored in SP.

While FISH studies have confirmed that SP is part of the spectrum of plasma cell disorders, they could not identify prognostic subgroups as in MM. Future comparisons between monoclonal plasma cells obtained from SP and BM (if a minimal infiltration is present) would allow identification of additional, secondary genetic aberrations that drive tumor progression. More advanced techniques such as single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) or gene expression arrays will also contribute to a better understanding of the tumor biology. Inclusion of more patients in these studies will facilitate prognostic stratification and identification of patients with a high risk of progression that could benefit from a systemic treatment to postpone disease progression.

As for prognostic scoring methods, only minimal BM aberrant plasmacytosis has been extensively validated as a prognostic factor in SBP for progression to MM. The identification and validation of additional risk factors, such as those used in SMM, is of interest to better identify those patients that might benefit from adjuvant therapy or to delay progression to MM.

Finally, additional clinical trials to address the role of adjuvant chemotherapy for SP are needed. Of note, the treatment approaches in all reported studies included conventional chemotherapy. Studies with novel potent MM agents, such as proteasome inhibitors (bortezomib, ixazomib, and carfilzomib), immunomodulatory agents (thalidomide, lenalidomide, and pomalidomide) or monoclonal antibodies (elotuzumab and daratumumab) are currently lacking. Focus on those patients who are at high risk for progression to MM (i.e., the detection of BM clonal PC cells by flow cytometry, more than one hypermetabolic lesion at PET/CT plus abnormal FLC ratio, residual paraprotein after radiation therapy, and bulky tumor mass > 5 cm) is warranted.

**Conclusion**

Solitary plasmacytoma belongs to a spectrum of plasma cell disorders and is defined by a single mass of monoclonal plasma cells that can be found in the bones or in the extramedullary regions. We updated the recommendations on the diagnosis and management and proposed a new definition of response assessment. While radiotherapy remains the recommended treatment, several risk factors for early progression to multiple myeloma have been identified. Further studies evaluating adjuvant treatment regimens (based on novel agents) are urgently needed for these high-risk patients.
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