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ABSTRACT: Pseudoisocytidine (ΨC) is a synthetic cytidine analogue that can target DNA duplex to form parallel triplex at neutral pH. Pseudoisocytidine has mainly two tautomers, of which only one is favorable for triplex formation. In this study, we investigated the effect of sequence on ΨC tautomerization using λ-dynamics simulation, which takes into account transitions between states. We also performed in vitro binding experiments with sequences containing ΨC and furthermore characterized the structure of the formed triplex using molecular dynamics simulation. We found that the neighboring methylated or protonated cytidine promotes the formation of the favorable tautomer, whereas the neighboring thymine or locked nucleic acid has a poor effect, and consecutive ΨC has a negative influence. The deleterious effect of consecutive ΨC in a triplex formation was confirmed using in vitro binding experiments. Our findings contribute to improving the design of ΨC-containing triplex-forming oligonucleotides directed to target G-rich DNA sequences.

INTRODUCTION

The formation of DNA tripeptide plays a key role in cellular processes such as regulation of replication and transcription, chromosome folding, stabilization of telomeres, and recombination. Triplex-forming oligonucleotides (TFOs) have been used in many biotechnological and biomedical applications that make use of their ability to target the major groove of a DNA duplex. Examples are isolation of specific DNA sequences (triplex affinity capture), detection and capture of polymerase chain reaction products, detection of DNA mutation, and site-directed mutagenesis. Triplexes can form in different ways: with purine (antiparallel orientation) or pyrimidine (parallel orientation) motifs. In a parallel triplex, T•A−T and C•G−C base triads are formed (A− refers to a Watson−Crick base pair and G− refers to a Hoogsteen base pair). Triplex-helix target sites in the human genome are abundant, especially in promoter regions. TFOs targeting G-rich sequences are of biological importance because such regions are frequently present in promoters, which are potential targets for regulating transcription as an antigene strategy. However, the formation of parallel triplexes is not favorable at physiological pH because it requires the protonation of cytosine (pKₐ, 4.1). This limits the therapeutic application of TFOs as antigen strategy to regulate transcription, specifically when targeting G-rich sequences.

Pseudoisocytidine (ΨC) is an artificial pyrimidine analogue that is derived from pseudouridine. It has at least two relevant tautomers, ΨC(H1) and ΨC(H3), corresponding to the presence of a proton at N1 and N3, respectively (Figure 1). Tautomer ΨC(H1) has the hydrogen bond donor/acceptor set for the Watson−Crick hydrogen bonding scheme to guanine, whereas tautomer ΨC(H3) has the same set of hydrogen bond donors/acceptors as a protonated cytidine, which is favorable for Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding to guanine. Tautomer ΨC(H3) is, thus, desirable in a TFO as a substitute for C to target G-rich sequences forming a pyrimidine-motif triplex. The substitution of cytidine by analogues such as ΨC is one strategy to target G-rich sequences at physiological pH.
In this study, we aim to understand the ways of optimizing the design of intermolecular TFOs targeting G-rich sequences by using $^6$C as a C analogue. To achieve this, we combined molecular dynamics (MD) simulations with in vitro binding experiments. In particular, we want to understand the effect of the environment (flanking nucleotides and bound/unbound states) on the tautomization of $^6$C. Experimental investigation of tautomization is challenging because of the structural similarity, fast interconversion, and ambient aqueous condition.$^{26}$ Alternatively, molecular simulation methods, primarily $\lambda$-dynamics,$^{27}$ can be used to describe the change between tautomeric states. MD simulations have previously been successfully used to investigate DNA triple helices both in a parallel and an antiparallel fashion.$^{28-30}$ These studies show that the DNA double-helix overslides in the negative direction to increase the major groove and to accommodate the third strand, and the resultant triple helical conformation is somewhere between A- and B-types, with base pairs remaining almost perpendicular to the helical axis.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to detect in vitro binding of TFOs containing $^6$C under intranuclear conditions. The triplex intercalator, benzoquinonoxaline (BQQ), was used to probe for triplex formation.$^{31,32}$ Pseudoisocytidines were incorporated both consecutively and nonconsecutively in the TFO sequence and used bisLNAs, which contain a C-rich TFO part and were found to be a poor target at neutral pH.$^{35}$

First, we discuss the result from $\lambda$-dynamics simulations for short single-stranded and triplex DNA (trimers and 7-mers) containing $^6$C in various positions and sequence contexts. Then, we compare the observed sequence effect with the result from in vitro binding experiments of six 17-mer TFOs containing $^6$C. Finally, we characterize the structure of the observed triplexes using classical MD.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pyrimidine motif triplexes are unstable at physiological pH because of the need for protonation of C in the third strand. A way to solve this problem is to use C analogues such as $^6$C. Because the aim of this study is to identify rules on how to incorporate $^6$C in LNA containing oligonucleotides (ONs) for optimal hybridization under intracellular conditions, we started by performing simulation studies, specifically investigating how the surrounding bases might influence the tautomization of $^6$C.

#### Nucleoside Tautomerization: Reference State.

The exact tautomeric ratio of $^6$C in an aqueous solution is not known. In the crystal structure, the tautomeric ratio $^6$C-(H1)/$^6$C-(H3) of the isocytosine base is exactly 1:1.$^{36}$ $^1$H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra did not show separate signals for the two tautomers in the aqueous solution, but enzymatic incorporation experiments confirmed the existence of both tautomers in the solution for the deoxyribonucleoside $^6$C.$^{37}$ The measured $pK_a$ and $pK_{as}$ at the two protonation sites corresponding to the two tautomeric states are highly similar ($pK_{as}$ 3.79 and 3.69 and $pK_a$ 9.36 and 9.42, for tautomers $^6$C-(H1) and $^6$C-(H3), respectively), and in the same study, ab initio calculation (Hartree–Fock) for the methylated $^6$C base indicates that tautomer $^6$C-(H1) is favored over tautomer $^6$C-(H1) in a vacuum, and less so when the solvent effect is accounted for using the polarizable continuum model.$^{38}$

Taking these into account, we decided to set the tautomeric ratio of the model system, deoxyribonucleoside $^6$C, to be 1:1 as the reference, where only the physical end states were considered. All variations observed in the tautomeric ratio should be interpreted as relative to the reference and not as absolute values. Henceforth, we will call this quantity tautomeric propensity to reflect on this point.

To set the tautomeric ratio to 1:1 in the $\lambda$-dynamics formulation, we supply a biasing potential exactly equal to the calculated free energy, $\Delta G_{H1-H3}$ for the model system in water, 28.9 $\pm$ 0.1 kcal/mol. This results in an average population ratio of 1:1 for deoxyribonucleoside $^6$C. To guarantee an optimal transition between the two tautomeric states, we calibrate $k_{bias}$ value to yield a high fraction of physical end states in the trajectory and a high frequency of transitions between the two tautomeric states. By calibrating with a set of 1 ns $\lambda$-dynamics runs of the model system at various $k_{bias}$ values, we found $k_{bias} = 19.5$ kcal/mol to result in >80% physical states and >60 transitions/ns, which we judged to be sufficient to ensure good sampling in simulations of length 1–10 ns (Figure 2). In practice, when this $k_{bias}$ value is applied to other systems containing $^6$C, the transition rate is 40–50 ns$^{-1}$, and more than 80% of the population is physical when there is only one $^6$C. For two $^6$Cs, a fraction of 60–70% is physical, whereas for three $^6$Cs, 50–60% is physical. For an illustration of the

---

**Figure 1.** Cytidine and pseudoisocytidine. (A) Structures of protonated cytidine (C$^+$), pseudoisocytidine tautomers, $^6$C(H1) and $^6$C(H3), with the corresponding atom numbers. R corresponds to the sugar position. (B) Base triad configurations, C$^+$•G–C and $^6$C(H3)•G–C, with Watson–Crick (black) and Hoogsteen (red) hydrogen bonds.
fluctuation of $\lambda$ values at this transition rate and the fraction of physical state, see Figure 3.

Five independent $\lambda$-dynamics simulations were performed for nucleoside $^3$C (reference compound) and nucleotide $^3$C. The addition of a $S'$ monophosphate group slightly shifts the tautomeric propensity to favor tautomer $^3$C(H1) [from 52 to 41% $^3$C(H3)].

**Effect of the Neighboring Bases on the Tautomeration of Pseudocytidine.** We performed $\lambda$-dynamics simulations in single-stranded DNA trimers and 7-mers with varying sequences to investigate the effect of neighboring bases on the tautomeration of $^3$C in a single strand. Besides DNA bases thymine (T) and cytidine (C) as neighboring residues, we also included protonated and/or 5-methylated C ($^m$C) and restricted sugar moiety (LNA, denoted by underline).

Summarizing Figure 4, we observe that $^3$C is 100% $^3$C(H3) in the triplex structures, and in the single-stranded ONs, we found the following $^3$C(H3) propensities (for the $^3$C indicated in bold):

- $>75\%$, $^3$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C
- $60–75\%$, $^3$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C
- $40–59\%$, $^3$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C
- $25–39\%$, $^3$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C, $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C

- $<25\%$, TT$^3$C, $^3$C$^m$C$^m$C, TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$C, TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$C, TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$C

With a T or C on either side, the propensity slightly shifts to favor tautomer $^3$C(H3). Methylated or protonated C neighbors also shift the propensity to favor tautomer $^3$C(H3), but less so when they are both methylated and protonated. Analysis of the base–base interaction energies revealed that $^3$C(H3) has favorable electrostatic interactions with a methylated or protonated C neighbor on its 3'-side (Figure S1).

Protonation of an unmethylated LNA-C ($^m$C) neighbor offers little to no improvement in $^3$C(H3) propensity, and protonation of $^m$C disfavors tautomer $^3$C(H3). We would like to reiterate here that the normal commercial version of LNA-C is always methylated ($^m$C), and we include unmethylated LNA-C in our computational study to delineate the contributions of methylation and sugar locking.

The 7-mer TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$C$^m$CTT, which contains two $^m$Cs next to $^m$C$^m$C, disfavors $^3$C(H3) compared with TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT, but notably the position trend is reversed: the $S'$ $^m$C in TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT favors $^3$C(H3) more, as generally observed in other systems (vide infra), but not in TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT where the $3'$ $^m$C favors $^3$C(H3). However, by itself, locked sugars in the neighboring LNA residues have a modest to no effect on the tautomeric propensity. There is a modest improvement going from T$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT to TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT but little to none between $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C$^m$C and $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C or $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C and $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C.

When there is more than a single $^3$C residue in the system, their tautomeric states do not appear to strongly correlate with each other. In the trimer $^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT, when the first $^3$C is the $^3$C(H1) tautomer, the second $^3$C has similar tendencies to be $^3$C(H1) or $^3$C(H3); and the same is observed when the first $^3$C is $^3$C(H3) (Table 1). However, when there are more residues in between, the $^3$C nearer to the 3'-end always favors tautomer $^3$C(H1), as observed in 7-mers TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT, TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT, and TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT. In $^m$C$^m$C$^m$C and TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT, when the first $^3$C is $^3$C(H3), both the second and third $^3$Cs tend to be $^3$C(H1).

The position of $^3$C in the sequence has a large effect on the tautomeric propensity. When $^3$C is at the 5'-end in CTT, the tautomeric propensity is 63% $^3$C(H3), whereas when $^3$C is at the 3'-end in TT$^3$C, it is 24%. This position effect can also be clearly observed in 7-mer TT$^3$C$^m$C$^m$CTT, where both $^m$Cs are flanked by T, but their propensities are vastly different [63 and 28% $^3$C(H3), respectively]. We observed that when $^3$C is positioned toward the 3'-end, it often forms intramolecular...
hydrogen bonds with the preceding residues. Notably, the hydrogen bonding analyses of trimers and 7-mers show that H1 is much more frequently involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding compared with N1, N3, and H3, and it is, to a large extent, correlated with the appearance of tautomer $^\Psi$C(H1). We select two examples from one run of trimers T$^\Psi$CCT and TT$^\Psi$C to show such correlation (Figure 5). The position effect can thus be explained in terms of intramolecular hydrogen bonds: when $^\Psi$C is positioned toward the 3'-end, it has more available hydrogen bonding partners for H1, favoring the formation of associated tautomer $^\Psi$C(H1).

More detailed analyses were undertaken for 7-mer TT$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$CTT, which is a fragment of 17-mer TFO5-DNALNA$^\Psi$C used in the triplex formation experiments. For this 7-mer sequence, we performed another set of conventional MD simulations, fixing the tautomeric states to be the most populated one (combination 311: 59%, Table 1) to exclude artifacts from the dual topology on hydrogen bond and solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) analyses.

The two protonation sites associated with the two tautomers are in similar chemical environments, except for O2 near N3/H3. In 7-mer TT$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$CTT (311), residues $^\Psi$C4 and $^\Psi$C5 have some intramolecular hydrogen bonds involving H1, whereas H3 in $^\Psi$C3 has no such hydrogen bonds (Table 2). Notably, the position effect can be observed here: on average, $^\Psi$C5 nearer to the 3'-end has its H1 involved in more intramolecular hydrogen bonds than $^\Psi$C4, which is nearer to the 5'-end (Table 2).

The SASA for the N1 atom of $^\Psi$ in 7-mer TT$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$CTT (311) is lower for $^\Psi$4 and $^\Psi$5 than for $^\Psi$3, even when considering the presence of H1 in $^\Psi$4 and $^\Psi$5, whereas for the N3 atom, the SASA is very similar for $^\Psi$3 and $^\Psi$4 even though $^\Psi$3 has H3 present and $^\Psi$4 does not (Figure S2). This is consistent with the observation that $^\Psi$(H1) tends to form intramolecular hydrogen bonds; thus, it tends to be less exposed to the solvent.

Consecutive $^\Psi$C lowers $^\Psi$C(H3) propensities, except for the first residue at the 5'-end. In $^\Psi$C$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$CTT, the first $^\Psi$C has a moderate propensity for $^\Psi$C(H3) [65% $^\Psi$C(H3)], but the second favors $^\Psi$C(H1) instead [42% $^\Psi$C(H3)]. The third

**Figure 4.** Tautomeric propensity [given in terms of % tautomer $^\Psi$C(H3)] of pseudoisocytidine in different systems. $^\Psi$C is pseudoisocytidine; $^\text{5mC}$ is 5-methylcytosine; * indicates protonation; underline denotes residues with locked sugar (LNA). When there are multiple $^\Psi$Cs, data for the $^\Psi$C at the nearest 5'-end are presented first. The error bar is the standard error of mean of five independent runs.

---

**Table 1.** Protonation states and tautomeric propensities in different systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Tautomer $^\Psi$C(H3)</th>
<th>Tautomer $^\Psi$C(H1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>311 (311)</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 (5)</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 (3)</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 (1)</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 (4)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 (0)</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 (2)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2.** Intramolecular hydrogen bond data for 7-mer TT$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$CTT (311).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>Hydrogen Bond Partner</th>
<th>Intramolecular Hydrogen Bonds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^\Psi$C4</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^\Psi$C5</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^\Psi$C3</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^\Psi$C2</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Likewise, in 7-mer TTΨ when the 7-mer is in a triplex, the all-Ψ propensity to favor bonding in the triplex is strong enough to shift the tautomeric combination [all-Ψ] is extremely low. In the triplex all-Ψ population becomes 100%. When the middle ΨC in the triplex TTΨCΨCΨCT is substituted with T so that the ΨCs are no longer consecutive, as in the triplex TTΨΨCΨCT, or substituted with meC+ and introducing LNA neighbors, as in triplex TTΨCmeCΨCΨCT, the all-ΨC(H3) population is, as expected, 100%. This suggests that Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding in the triplex is strong enough to shift the tautomeric propensity to favor ΨC(H3) and confer thermodynamic stability. The low all-ΨC(H3) population in single-stranded systems is of concern because there is only a small amount of the “correct” population, that is, with all-ΨC(H3), that can bind to the duplex, which may result in slow kinetics of binding.

To characterize the behavior of ΨC(H1) in the triplex environment, we performed classical MD simulations of triplex TTΨCΨCΨCT tautomer combinations 133 and 311. Although ΨC(H3) forms Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds with G, ΨC(H1) partially flips out and interacts with N7 or S’ phosphate of G instead (Figure 6). The residue ΨC(H1) is not observed to completely flip out, and the triplexes stay mostly stable during 100 ns simulations (Watson–Crick and Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds during the simulations are shown in Figure S3). The

**Table 1. Average Population (in %) of Tautomer Combinations with Standard Error of Mean in Five Independent Runs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tautomer combination</th>
<th>ΨCΨCCT</th>
<th>ΨCΨCCT</th>
<th>TTΨCCTΨCT</th>
<th>TTΨCCTΨCT</th>
<th>TTΨCCTΨCΨCT</th>
<th>TTΨCCTΨCΨCT</th>
<th>TTΨCCTΨCΨCT</th>
<th>TTΨCCTΨCΨCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>18 (2)</td>
<td>19 (1)</td>
<td>51 (9)</td>
<td>43 (7)</td>
<td>26 (4)</td>
<td>45 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>15 (3)</td>
<td>14 (3)</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>10 (3)</td>
<td>36 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>39 (7)</td>
<td>48 (5)</td>
<td>33 (9)</td>
<td>43 (6)</td>
<td>46 (6)</td>
<td>12 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>27 (5)</td>
<td>18 (4)</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
<td>18 (7)</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
<td>100 (0)</td>
<td>100 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Intramolecular Hydrogen Bond Occupancies of 7-mer TTΨΨCΨCΨCT Fixed Tautomer 311**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hydrogen bond</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 N1-X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 N3-X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 N3-H3-X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 N1-X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 N1-H1-X</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 N3-X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 N1-X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 N1-H1-X</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 N3-X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only the hydrogen bonds involving N1, H1, N3, and H3 of the three ΨC residues are shown. The row label is hydrogen bond pairs; for example, (3 N1-X) refers to intramolecular hydrogen bond involving N1 of residue index 3; X is any intramolecular hydrogen acceptor or donor. The column label is the run index of five independent runs. Blank refers to zero hydrogen bond occupancy.

A low propensity for ΨC(H3), but the population of favorable tautomer combinations [all-ΨC(H3)] is extremely low. In the trimer ΨCΨCΨC, the all-ΨC(H3) (333) population is only 4%; and in 7-mer TTΨΨCΨCΨCT, it is 0% (Table 1). However, when the 7-mer is in a triplex, the all-ΨC(H3) population becomes 100%. When the middle ΨC in the triplex TTΨΨCΨCΨCT is substituted with T so that the ΨCs are no longer consecutive, as in the triplex TTΨΨΨCΨCT, or substituted with meC+ and introducing LNA neighbors, as in triplex TTΨCmeČΨCΨCT, the all-ΨC(H3) population is, as expected, 100%. This suggests that Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding in the triplex is strong enough to shift the tautomeric propensity to favor ΨC(H3) and confer thermodynamic stability. The low all-ΨC(H3) population in single-stranded systems is of concern because there is only a small amount of the “correct” population, that is, with all-ΨC(H3), that can bind to the duplex, which may result in slow kinetics of binding.

To characterize the behavior of ΨC(H1) in the triplex environment, we performed classical MD simulations of triplex TTΨΨCΨCΨCT tautomer combinations 133 and 331. Although ΨC(H3) forms Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds with G, ΨC(H1) partially flips out and interacts with N7 or S’ phosphate of G instead (Figure 6). The residue ΨC(H1) is not observed to completely flip out, and the triplexes stay mostly stable during 100 ns simulations (Watson–Crick and Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds during the simulations are shown in Figure S3). The
average structures show helical distortions around $^\Psi$C(H1) (Figure 7).

In summary, from our simulations, we have found that the neighboring residues have different effects on $^\Psi$C tautomerization. Methylated or protonated C shifts the tautomeric propensity to favor $^\Psi$C(H3); T or LNA neighbors do not affect the tautomerization equilibrium directly; $^\Psi$C itself as a neighbor affects the tautomeric propensity to disfavor $^\Psi$C(H3), which is not desirable in the context of TFO binding in triplex formation.

**Verifying the Effect of Consecutive and Nonconsecutive $^\Psi$C in TFOs for in Vitro Binding.** Getting a stable TFO formation in vitro requires longer TFO sequences than the 7-mer TFO used in the simulations. To verify the effect of consecutive $^\Psi$C-residues, we thus designed TFOs as 17-mers, targeting a region in the human TFF gene close to an ERE. This target is a good candidate for in vitro studies of the influence of $^\Psi$C in 17-mer TFOs because it contains a majority of Gs, including stretches of consecutive Gs 5'-AGGGG-GAAGGGAAGGAG-3'. We decided to evaluate TFOs with this size because previous in vitro studies performed with 13-mer TFOs containing $^\Psi$C bases did not show any TFO binding (unpublished experiments). Each TFO was hybridized with the double-stranded (DS) target for a period of up to 72 h at pH 7.4, and the triplex formation was analyzed using EMSA.

Pseudoisocytidines were located in a consecutive or nonconsecutive manner in the TFOs. Three different stretches of two, three, and five consecutive $^\Psi$Cs were present in the sequences of TFO1-DNAfull$^\Psi$C, TFO2-DNAfull$^\Psi$C-TINA, TFO3-DNALNAfull$^\Psi$C, and TFO4-DNALNAfull$^\Psi$C-TINA, where TINA denotes twisted intercalating nucleic acid. One or two thymines (DNA or LNA) were spaced between them, and all of these sequences contained a $^\Psi$C at the 3'-end ultimate position.

Initially, the DNA containing TFO1-DNAfull$^\Psi$C was evaluated. In this sequence, all Cs were substituted by $^\Psi$C. After 72 h of incubation, no triplex formation was detected, even in the presence of the triplex-stabilizing BQQ compound.

**Figure 6.** Observed configurations when $^\Psi$C is in TFO. (A) Canonical configuration when $^\Psi$C(H3) is in TFO with Watson–Crick (black) and Hoogsteen (red) hydrogen bonds. (B,C) $^\Psi$C(H1) partially flips out and interacts with N7 or 5' phosphate of G (purple).

**Figure 7.** Classical MD simulations of triplexes TT$^\Psi$C$^\Psi$CCTT tautomer combinations 331, 133, and 333. Average structures from the last 50 ns of the 100 ns simulation are shown in side and top views (duplex in green and TFO in orange).

**Figure 8.** TFO binding of 17-mer TFO sequences containing consecutive $^\Psi$C: (a) DS51 and electrophoretic mobility shift profile of DS51 in the presence of (b) TFO1-DNAfull$^\Psi$C and (c) TFO3-DNALNAfull$^\Psi$C, both with 11/17 nucleotides being $^\Psi$C and in TFO3 4/6 Ts being LNA Ts. Hybridization with TFO, in the absence of and (as indicated only at the highest ratio) in the presence of BQQ, was carried out for 72 h. Triplex structures are detected as slower migrating bands. DNA duplex and triplex complexes are indicated as DS and TS, respectively.
These results confirm our simulations, where we show that \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C itself as a neighbor affects the triplex formation because of the tautomeric propensity to disfavor \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C(H3), the desirable tautomer for triplex formation.

Aiming to improve the triplex formation, LNA was included in the TFOs. LNA containing ONs have been shown to improve TFO binding and enhance triplex stability. Thus, a TFO with a similar \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C distribution as in TFO1-DNAfull\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C, but including four insertions of LNA T (TFO3-DNALNA-full\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C), was also evaluated. The presence of LNA combined with \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C improved the TFO binding but could only be visualized in the presence of BQQ. Moreover, a triplex was only detected at the highest DS/TFO ratio of 1:800, and 100% of triplex formation was never achieved (Figure 8).

To further enhance the TFO binding, a TINA was included at the penultimate 3′-end position of the TFOs. TINA is an intercalator inserted covalently into the TFO and is able to increase the thermal stability of parallel triplexes. The presence of a TINA in the 3′-end of T-rich TFOs has previously been shown to strongly promote the triplex formation at low TFO/DS ratios (Pabon, et al. unpublished result). Thus, TINA was included in the sequence for TFO1 and TFO3 to create TFO2-DNAfull\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C-TINA and TFO4-DNALNAfull\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C-TINA, respectively. However, none of these new TFOs showed any improvement compared to the sequences without TINA (Figure S4).

To examine the effect of several consecutive \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Cs on TFO binding, we designed two ONs with six nonconsecutive \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Cs. TFO7-DNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C contains three different combinations with \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Cs: \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)CCC\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C, \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)CC\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C, and \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)CC. Triplex formation was evaluated after 72 h of binding. Our results show that TFO7-DNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C was not able to form a triplex even at the highest concentration of TFO and in the presence of BQQ (Figure S5). The other TFO lacking consecutive \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Cs, TFO5-DNALNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C, contains the combinations \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C, \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C, and \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)CC and has eight LNA substitutions (three \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Ts and five \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Cs). At pH 7.4 and at a DS/TFO ratio of 1:400, a shifted band was visible, and at the highest ratio of 1:800, approximately 90% of the triplex formation was achieved (Figure 9). TFO5-DNALNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C was also evaluated at a lower pH (6.0) in a 2-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer containing the same salt conditions as that of the intranuclear buffer. In comparison with the results at pH 7.4, a shifted band was observed at the DS/TFO ratio of 1:100 in the absence of BQQ. In the presence of BQQ, triplex formation was observed at the DS/TFO ratio of 1:25 (Figure S6).

Aiming to improve the stability of parallel triplexes, 40 the presence of a TINA in the 3′-end of TFOs was also evaluated at a lower pH (6.0) in a 2-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer containing the same salt conditions as that of the intranuclear buffer. In comparison with the results at pH 7.4, a shifted band was observed at the DS/TFO ratio of 1:100 in the absence of BQQ. In the presence of BQQ, triplex formation was observed at the DS/TFO ratio of 1:25 (Figure S6). Thus, TFO5-DNALNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C was the only ON-containing triplex formation at pH 7.4 under intranuclear salt conditions at a DS/TFO ratio of 1:400 and in the absence of BQQ. This result shows again that LNA improves triplex formation, but it also confirms the conclusion from the simulation experiments that nonconsecutive \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Cs are the best option to include \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C in the TFO sequence.

Pyrimidine triplexes formed by the base triplet C•G–C are pH-dependent. TFOs containing C form stable triplexes under acidic conditions but are in contrast to G- and T-containing TFOs and are less active at physiological pH. Several C analogues have been designed to overcome the requirement of acidic pH; one of them is \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C. Our TFOs with different combinations of \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C and another C analogue (\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C) and including LNAs address the possibility to target highly C-rich TFOs against sites with several runs of consecutive Gs. Methylated C (\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C) has been used to improve pyrimidine TFO binding at neutral pH, forming triplex structures. Here, we also evaluate a TFO6-DNALNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C that contains \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C instead of \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C to compare with TFO5-DNALNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C. TFO6-DNALNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C did not show any triplex formation even at the highest concentration of DS/TFO ratio (1:800) and in the presence of BQQ (Figure 7). Collectively, this shows an enhanced TFO binding when combining LNA with nonconsecutive \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Cs, also for a TFO targeting a G-rich site.

The observation that TFO6-DNALNA\(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C did not show any triplex formation agrees with previous studies where triplex formation is disfavored with consecutive C•G–C triplets because of repulsion between the positive charges from the protonation at N3 of the Hoogsteen C44 and the competition effect between the Cs in the adjacent C•G–C.45

Pseudoisocytidine has previously been reported to reduce the pH sensitivity in TFOs. Shahid et al. tested different pyrimidine DNA-TFOs against a 21-base target with only a single 4-base C-run, demonstrating that at pH 7.2 and in the presence of 5 mM MgCl\(_2\), alternating \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C with \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C gave the highest triplex stability as determined by the melting experiment and by gel-shift assays at a ratio of 1:500. An 8-mer DNA-TFO containing two \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)Cs was also shown to form a triplex at pH 7.0, whereas the corresponding all-DNA ON containing C or \(^{\text{\textregistered}}\)C at the same two positions does not. Still, at pH 7.0, the proton concentration is 2.5 times lower than what is found inside of the cell. In intramolecular triplexes, Chin et al. observed...
stabilization as measured by the melting temperature when three Cs were substituted with \( \Psi \)C and \( 2'\-\text{O}-\text{methyl}\-\Psi \)C.44−46 Also, it has been shown that \( \Psi \)C combined with peptide nucleic acid (PNA) at the 3′-end of the TFO in a nonconsecutive manner with every second position containing a T47,48 can reduce the pH sensitivity. On the basis of NMR experiments, Leitner et al. have demonstrated that for intramolecular TFO-DNA, protonation is disfavored for adjacent C or for C at the end of the triplex,49 also arguing in favor of our in silico and in vitro results. All of these reports are in line with our conclusion that \( \Psi \)C in a nonconsecutive manner is the best option for designing DNA/LNA mixmer TFOs containing \( \Psi \)C. The TFO was able to target the G-rich region under intranuclear conditions when \( \Psi \)C is flanked by \( \text{T} \) or \( \text{C} \), in agreement with simulation results (Table 3). To our knowledge, this is the first time that this is shown in vitro for TFO DNA/LNA containing \( \Psi \)C.

Two different intercalators have been used in this work: BQQ and TINA. BQQ is a triplex helix-intercalating compound that can bind specifically to and stabilize the triplex structures of purine and pyrimidine motifs.50 We have also previously used BQQ to confirm and probe for triplex formation using different TFOs (Pabon et al. unpublished result). There we demonstrated that BQQ could also stabilize triplexes formed by LNA-containing TFOs. Here, we can confirm that this is also valid for C-rich TFOs with consecutive \( \Psi \)C. Also, this is the first study showing that BQQ can stabilize \( \Psi \)C-containing TFO DNA/LNA. The influence of TINA positioning is not discussed in this work, but we have chosen to locate TINA at the 3′-end position based on previous work (Pabon et al. unpublished result). Surprisingly, the presence of TINA in our \( \Psi \)C-containing TFOs seems not to increase the rate of triplex formation under the experimental conditions used here.

**Structural Characterization of Triplex-Containing TFO5-DNALNA\( ^{\Psi} \)C.** To characterize the 3D structure of the triplex containing TFO5-DNALNA\( ^{\Psi} \)C, we performed simulations with classical MD at fixed tautomeric states. We fixed the tautomerization state of \( \Psi \)C to be \( \Psi \)C(H3); based on the result in the smaller triplexes, all-\( \Psi \)C(H3) is favored in the triplex environment. We found that the triplex is stable during the course of the simulation, preserving most of the Watson−Crick and Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds (Figure 10). Out of four independent runs, one has a loss of Hoogsteen hydrogen bond towards the 3′-end, but the other three are similar, where all Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds are preserved most of the time (one such run is shown in Figure S7). The average structure shows no obvious distortion in the triplex structure that might contribute to instability (Figure 10). Upon binding of TFO5, the DNA duplex overslides in the negative direction to accommodate the third strand. The resultant helical structure has slide and twist parameters similar to A-type duplex DNA, but an \( x \)-displacement value is between those of A- and B-types (Figure 10). Replacing LNA with DNA in the bound TFO does not affect the structural feature of the triplex (Figure S8), supporting that what promotes LNA-containing TFO binding to the DNA duplex is that the TFO is indeed preorganized for major groove binding (Pabon et al. unpublished data). In conclusion, upon binding of \( \Psi \)C-containing TFO, the triple helical conformation is between A- and B-types with base pairs remaining almost perpendicular to the helical axis, in agreement with what was observed in other DNA duplexes involved in triplex formation.28

---

**Table 3. TFO Sequence and Triplex Formation under Intranuclear Conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Evidence of triplex formation in EMSA assay (−BQQ)</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TFO1-DNAfull( ^{\Psi} )C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>( 5' - T \ T \ C \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C - 3' )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO3-DNALNAfull( ^{\Psi} )C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>( 5' - T \ T \ C \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C - 3' )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO5-DNALNA( ^{\Psi} )C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>( 5' - T \ T \ C \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C - 3' )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO6-DNALNA( ^{\Psi} )C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>( 5' - T \ T \ C \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C - 3' )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO7-DNA( ^{\Psi} )C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>( 5' - T \ T \ C \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C \ T \ T \ C - 3' )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Triplet sequence in which \( \Psi \)C gives >75% \( \Psi \)C(H3) tautomeric propensity in the \( \lambda \)-dynamics simulations are shaded.”

---

**Figure 10.** Simulations for triplex-containing TFO5-DNALNA\( ^{\Psi} \)C with classical MD. (A) Average structure from one run (duplex in green and TFO in orange), side and top views. (B) Triplex base pair parameter distributions (excluding 2 residues at either TFO end), from the last 50 ns of four independent 100 ns runs for the triplex containing TFO5. The dashed and dotted lines represent the average values for A- and B-form DNAs, respectively.51
CONCLUSIONS

We have performed λ-dynamics simulations and binding experiments under intranuclear conditions to investigate the ability of pseudoisocytidine to efficiently target the major groove of a DNA duplex and form a triplex structure. In particular, we have investigated the tautomization of ΨC in different short single-stranded and triplex DNAs. In single strands, we have observed a clear influence of sequence on the tautomeric propensity of ΨC. The predisposition for tautomer ΨC(H3) is higher when the neighboring residues are cytidines (even higher when cytidine is 5-methylated) compared with when they are thymine. When the neighboring residues are ΨC, the propensity of tautomer ΨC(H3) (located between two ΨCs) is low. Furthermore, the sugar modification LNA on the neighboring residues does not affect the ΨC tautomization equilibrium directly.

Once the single strand is bound to the targeted duplex, forming a triplex, nearly all ΨCs are ΨC(H3) tautomers, allowing hydrogen bonding with the Hoogsteen site of the guanine of the double strand, even if the propensity of ΨC(H3) in free, unbound TFOs was very low, as in the case of consecutive runs of ΨCs. This suggests that Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding in the triplex is strong enough to shift the tautomeric predisposition to favor ΨC(H3) and confer thermodynamic stability.

The in vitro experiment shows that the TFOs having three or more consecutive ΨCs, such as TFO-DNAfullΨC and TFO-DNALNAfullΨC, were unable to form triplexes under intranuclear salt conditions at pH 7.4 (also when ligands promoting triplex formation such as BQQ and TINA were included). Only when nonconsecutive ΨCs were included in combination with alternating DNA/LNA residues (LNA residues are 4-mC and T), the 17-mer TFO was able to form a triplex. In the formed triplex, the pseudoisocytidine targeted the Hoogsteen site of the guanine with two hydrogen bonds, and the duplex structure goes under conformation rearrangement with slide and twist parameters similar to A-type, but the x-displacement is between those of A- and B-forms.

We conclude, based on the combination of in silico and in vitro studies, that the inclusion of alternating ΨC and the combination with alternating LNA enhances the formation of the evaluated C-rich intermolecular triplexes. Therefore, based on our results, we suggest that when designing DNA/LNA mixmer TFOs containing ΨC, incorporation of ΨC and LNA in a nonconsecutive manner is preferable.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Simulations. The tautomeric equilibria are influenced by chemical and physical factors, including solvent, ion concentration, and biomolecular environment. An accurate prediction should account for the small energy differences that cause shifts in the tautomeric equilibrium and the need to sample different conformational states accessible to the biomolecule. Methods based on a macroscopic description of the biomolecule and the solvent do not explicitly account for dielectric heterogeneity and response to conformational rearrangement, nor do they take into account the conformational rearrangement.55 We choose the λ-dynamics approach with an explicit description of the solvent55 because it addresses these issues by enabling the direct coupling between tautomerization processes and conformational dynamics. Moreover, the accuracy of the method can be improved through a fine calibration of the force field.

Theory. Multisite λ-dynamics55 is set up for the ΨC residue such that the two tautomeric states ΨC(H1) and ΨC(H3) are described and propagated by continuous variables λH1 and λH3, respectively. The potential energy function is given by

\[ U_{\text{bias}}(\lambda, \{x\}, \{\lambda\}) = U_{\text{bias}}(\lambda) + \lambda_{H1} U(X, x_{H1}) - \Delta G_{H1-H3}(\text{model}) + \lambda_{H2} U(X, x_{H2}) + F_{\text{bias}}(\lambda_{H1}) + F_{\text{bias}}(\lambda_{H3}) \]

where X is the coordinates of the environment atoms, xH1 and xH2 are the coordinates of atoms in 4ΨC, corresponding to the tautomers ΨC(H1) and ΨC(H3), respectively, and

\[ F_{\text{bias}}(\lambda_{Hi}) = \begin{cases} k_{\text{bias}}(\lambda_{Hi} - 0.8)^2 & \text{if } \lambda_{Hi} < 0.8 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

where Hi refers to the tautomeric state ΨC(H1) or ΨC(H3). \( \lambda_{Hi} \) scales the potential energy of the corresponding tautomer with the constraints

\[ 0 \leq \lambda_{Hi} \leq 1 \text{ and } \lambda_{H1} + \lambda_{H3} = 1 \]

\( \Delta G_{H1-H3} \) (model) is the free energy for transforming tautomer ΨC(H1) into ΨC(H3) of the pseudoisocytidine model compound in aqueous solution. This term is included to flatten the potential energy surface such that the two tautomeric states of the nucleoside in solution are equipopulated as the free energy between the two states becomes zero. The model compound structure used in the free energy calculation is the reference state at which the tautomeric ratio 4ΨC(H1)/4ΨC(H3) is 1:1. In the investigated systems, the deviation from this tautomeric ratio would come from the contribution of the environment.

Two harmonic biasing potentials \( F_{\text{bias}}(\lambda_{H1}) \) and \( F_{\text{bias}}(\lambda_{H3}) \) are included to bias the sampling toward the physical end states. In this formulation, 0.8 ≤ \( \lambda_{Hi} \) ≤ 1 is considered to be a physical end state. \( k_{\text{bias}} \) is the force constant of the harmonic potentials. The force constant is equal for both tautomeric states.

Calculation of Free Energy. The free energy, \( \Delta G_{H1-H3}(\text{model}) \), was calculated using the Bennett acceptance ratio (BAR) method.55 The pseudoisocytidine hybrid model compound used in the free energy perturbation calculation is constructed with deoxyribose sugar and 5′ and 3′ hydroxyls and two pyrimidine bases corresponding to the two tautomeric states (CHARMM dual topology); the two bases are maintained within the same volume of space by distance restraints between all pairs of common atoms in the two tautomeric states. The residue is solvated with TIP3P water molecules55 in a cubic box with 20 Å side length. The CHARMM BLOCK module56 is used to partition the system into three blocks: (I) environment, (II) tautomer 4ΨC(H1) base, and (III) tautomer 4ΨC(H3) base. Interactions between blocks II and III are set to null; interactions between blocks I and II and within block II are scaled with \( \lambda_{i} \); interactions between blocks I and III and within block III are scaled with 1 − \( \lambda_{i} \). Scaling with \( \lambda \) and 1 − \( \lambda \) is not applied to the bond, angle, and dihedral energy terms. Eight \( \lambda \) values corresponding to alchemical intermediate/end states were used (\( \lambda = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 0.9, \) and 1.0), and each window simulation length is 1 ns, with only the last 900 ps used for the BAR.
calculation. The CHARMM REPD module\textsuperscript{56} is used to run eight alchemical intermediate/end states in parallel and attempt to exchange energies every 1000 steps (Hamiltonian replica exchange). The calculated free energy is 28.9 ± 0.1 kcal/mol.

Calibration of the Biasing Potential Force Constant. The value of $k_{\text{bias}}$ is calibrated by performing 1 ns runs of $\lambda$-dynamics at various $k_{\text{bias}}$ values and observing the fraction of physical end states in the trajectory (FPL, fraction physical ligand) and the frequency of transitions between the two tautomeric states (transition rate, ns\textsuperscript{-1}). An optimal value of $k_{\text{bias}}$ was chosen so as to yield a high transition rate and simultaneously maintain a high FPL (above 0.8).\textsuperscript{53} The initial $k_{\text{bias}}$ values are 15, 20, 25, and 30 kcal/mol. Additional runs are added as needed to determine the optimal value. The value of the optimized $k_{\text{bias}}$ is 19.5 kcal/mol.

Simulation Settings. Simulations were performed with CHARMM (version 41a2)\textsuperscript{56} with CHARMM36 force field for DNA,\textsuperscript{57} TIP3P water,\textsuperscript{55} ions,\textsuperscript{68} and modified nucleic acids\textsuperscript{59,60} (for TFO). Updated LNA parameters were used (Xu; Nilsson; Villa unpublished result). Initial 7-mer and 17-mer triplex structures were taken from a parallel DNA triplex fiber model from the 3DNA Web server.\textsuperscript{61} For both 7-mer and 17-mer triplexes, the duplex is longer than the TFO by 2 residues at either side. The third strand of the triplex is used as the initial structure for the single-strand trimers and 7-mers.

For single-strand monomers, trimers, 7-mers, and 7-mer triplexes, $\lambda$-dynamics was used to allow interconversion between the two WC tautomers. Several selected systems were also run with the standard MD (fixed tautomer state) to aid analysis—these are run with the same cutoff, settings, and lengths as the $\lambda$-dynamics run, but with single topology without $\lambda$ scaling. For the 17-mer triplex, conventional MD simulation is used and the tautomeric state of WC is fixed to tautomer WC(H3), the tautomers involved in Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding. For all $\lambda$-dynamics simulations, five independent runs were performed. Simulation lengths were chosen so that the standard errors of the mean of the tautomeric propensities from five runs do not exceed 10%. For monomers and trimers, these are 6 ns; 7-mers, 8 ns; and 7-mer triplexes, 40 ns. For MD simulation of the 17-mer triplex, four independent 100 ns runs were performed. Simulation systems and lengths are summarized in Table S1.

The structures were minimized with the steepest descent and adopted-basis Newton–Raphson methods with large position restraints on the heavy atoms. For triplexes, additional distance restraints are added for Watson–Crick and Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds. The systems were solvated in boxes of TIP3P water molecules, with dimensions of $20 \times 20 \times 20$ Å\textsuperscript{3} for monomers, $50 \times 50 \times 50$ Å\textsuperscript{3} for trimers and 7-mers, $65 \times 45 \times 45$ Å\textsuperscript{3} for 7-mer triplexes, and $88 \times 42 \times 42$ Å\textsuperscript{3} for 17-mer triplexes. After the addition of sodium ions to neutralize the system, additional sodium and chloride ions are included to reach an ionic concentration of approximately 0.1 M.

$\lambda$-dynamics performance within the CHARMM BLOCK module\textsuperscript{62} using the multistate $\lambda$-dynamics framework (MSLD).\textsuperscript{62} The functional form of $\lambda$ is expressed in the CHARMM BLOCK module. $\lambda$ is defined from $0$ to $1$ and is assigned a fictitious mass of 12 amu Å\textsuperscript{-2} (amu = atomic mass unit). The temperature was maintained at 298 K by coupling to Langevin heat bath with a collision frequency of 10 ps\textsuperscript{-1}. $\lambda$ is saved every 10 steps. The bond, angle, and dihedral energy terms are excluded from scaling by $\lambda$ so that only geometrically relevant states are sampled. A sampling bias was applied for each tautomeric state with a force constant of 19.5 kcal/mol. A nonbonded list cutoff of 15 Å was used with the electrostatic force switch and van der Waals switch functions between 10 and 12 Å. Simulations were performed in the NVT ensemble with Langevin dynamics with a collision frequency of 10 ps\textsuperscript{-1}. For 7-mer triplexes, distance restraints were applied to Watson–Crick and Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds (distance 2.9 Å and force constant 10 kcal/mol Å\textsuperscript{-2}) for the base pairs at 5’- and 3’-end positions.

MD simulations for 17-mer triplexes were performed on graphics processing units (GPUs) with CHARMM and the CHARMM/OpenMM$^\text{TM}$ interface in the NVT ensemble with Langevin dynamics with a collision frequency of 5 ps\textsuperscript{-1}. A van der Waals force switching function was used between 8 and 9 Å. Particle mesh Ewald was used to treat electrostatic interactions with a nonbonded cutoff of 8 Å and a grid point spacing of 1.0 Å. The distance cutoff in generating the list of pairwise interactions was 17 Å. The temperature was maintained at 298 K by coupling to a Langevin heat bath with a frictional coefficient of 10 ps\textsuperscript{-1}. Distance restraints were applied to Watson–Crick hydrogen bonds (distance 2.9 Å and force constant 10 kcal/mol Å\textsuperscript{-2}) for the base pair in the 5’- and 3’-ends and all Hoogsteen N7-N3 hydrogen bonds (same distance and force constant). After minimization, the system was equilibrated for 2 ns. During production, the distance restraints on the Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds were released.

In all simulations, the SHAKE algorithm was used to constrain bonds involving hydrogen.\textsuperscript{65} A lookup table was used for interactions between water molecules,\textsuperscript{66} except for GPU simulations. The leapfrog integrator was used with an integration time-step of 2 fs. The hydrogen bond, interaction energy, and SASA analyses were performed within CHARMM. Triplex base pair step parameter analyses were performed with Curves$^+$.\textsuperscript{67}

### Table 4. ON Sequences\textsuperscript{xy}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Length (nt)</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TFO1-DNAaTTC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5’-T6C6C6C6C6C6C6CTT6C6C6C6CTTT6C6C6C6CT6C6C6C6C3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO2-DNAGTTTTC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5’-T6C6C6C6C6C6C6CTT6C6C6C6CTTT6C6C6C6CT6C6C6C6CT’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO3-DNALAAGTTT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5’-T6C6C6C6C6C6C6CTT6C6C6C6CTTT6C6C6C6CT6C6C6C6CT’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO4-DNALAATTC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5’-T6C6C6C6C6C6C6CTT6C6C6C6CTTT6C6C6C6CT6C6C6C6CT’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO5-DNALAAGTT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5’-T6C6C6C6C6C6C6CTT6C6C6C6CTTT6C6C6C6CT6C6C6C6CT’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO6-DNALAATTC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5’-T6C6C6C6C6C6C6CTT6C6C6C6CTTT6C6C6C6CT6C6C6C6CT’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFO7-DNAAGTT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5’-T6C6C6C6C6C6C6CTT6C6C6C6CTTT6C6C6C6CT6C6C6C6CT’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{xy}DNA is indicated in capital letters and LNA is indicated in underlined capital letters; P, p-TINA; meC, 5-methyl-C; and 9C, pseudoisocytidine. The commercial version of LNA C is always methylated.
analologues and TINA. TFO5 and TFO6 were synthesized at the Nucleic Acid Center at the University of Southern Denmark in Jesper Wengel Laboratory. Mixmer LNA/DNA ONs were synthesized using solid-phase phosphoramidite chemistry on an automated DNA synthesizer on a 1.0 mmol synthesis scale. Purification to at least 85% purity of all modified ONs was performed using reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) or ion-exchange HPLC (IE-HPLC), and the composition of all synthesized ONs was verified using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) analysis recorded using 3-hydroxyypicolinic acid as a matrix. TFO1-TFO4 and TFO7 were provided by Anapa Biotech A/S Company from Denmark. DNA target sequences were ordered from Sigma. The ONs and target sequences used here are presented in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. The ON concentrations of stock solutions were confirmed using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

**ON Hybridization.** The double-stranded (DS) target (5.0 nM) was incubated with ONs at different concentrations (0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 μM, corresponding to TFO versus DS target ratios of 100, 200, 400, and 800, respectively). Many cytosine- and uracil-rich ONs can potentially form an intramolecular i-motif and to avoid that, the TFOs were heated before hybridization for 5 min at 65 °C, followed by cooling on ice. Hybridization was performed in an intranuclear buffer (Tris-acetate 50 mM, pH 7.4, 120 mM KCl, 5 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM Mg(OAc)) and in a total volume of 10 μL at 37 °C for up to 72 h in the absence or presence of BQQ (1 μM).

**Preparation of [32P]-Labeled dsDNA Target.** The pyrimidine strand of the target sequence was labeled using [γ-32P] ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase (Fermentas) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and then purified using a QIAquick Nucleotide Removal Kit (Qiagen). The pyrimidine strand labeled ON was annealed with the unlabeled complementary strand at a 1:1 ratio. The annealing was performed by heating for 5 min at 95 °C, followed by decreasing the temperature to 40 °C at a rate of 1 grade per minute using a thermocycler.

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA).** DNA complexes were analyzed using non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis 10% (29:1) in Tris-acetate—ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (TAE) buffer (1X, pH 7.4 supplemented with 0.5 mM Mg(OAc) and 5 mM NaCl). The gels were run at 150 V and 200 mA for 5 h with circulation water cooling and analyzed using a Molecular Imager FX system. The intensity of the gel bands was quantified using the Quantity One software (Bio-Rad). All experiments were repeated three times.

**Table 5. Target Sequences Used for Experiments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Length (nt)</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5'-GCCACCGTGAGCTGCAAGGGGAAAGGAGCTCATGAGCTTCTGAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3'-CGGTGGACTGGAAGCTCCCTCTCTCCTCAGTACTCTGAAAGCTC-5'*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5'-GGCCACCGTGACCTGGCAAGGGGAAAGGAGCTCATGAGCTTCTGAGA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3'-CCGGTGGACCTGGAAGCTCCCTCTCTCCTCAGTACTCTGAAAGCTC-5'*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DNA is indicated in capital letters. DS, double-stranded target sequences: DS48 and DS51. The TFO binding site is shown in a gray box with letters in bold. The star (*) indicates the strand which was radiolabelled using [γ-32P] ATP.
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