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Nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) is a climate relevant trace gas, and its production in the ocean generally increases under suboxic conditions. The Atlantic Ocean is well ventilated, and unlike the major oxygen minimum zones (OMZ) of the Pacific and Indian Oceans, dissolved oxygen and N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations in the Atlantic OMZ are relatively high and low, respectively. This study, however, demonstrates that recently discovered low oxygen eddies in the eastern tropical North Atlantic (ETNA) can produce N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations much higher (up to 115 nmol L\textsuperscript{−1}) than those previously reported for the Atlantic Ocean, and which are within the range of the highest concentrations found in the open-ocean OMZs of the Pacific and Indian Oceans. N\textsubscript{2}O isotope and isotopomer signatures, as well as molecular genetic results, also point towards a major shift in the N\textsubscript{2}O cycling pathway in the core of the low oxygen eddy discussed here, and we report the first evidence for potential N\textsubscript{2}O cycling via the denitrification pathway in the open Atlantic Ocean. Finally, we consider the implications of low oxygen eddies for bulk, upper water column N\textsubscript{2}O at the regional scale, and point out the possible need for a reevaluation of how we view N\textsubscript{2}O cycling in the ETNA.
N₂O cycling. Until recently, however, these potential implications have not been studied, as observations have been opportunistic and most have originated from moored and glider based sensors at the Cape Verde Ocean Observatory (CVOO; Fig. 1). In early 2014, however, a dedicated multi-disciplinary shipboard survey of one of these eddies (hereinafter referred to as ‘suboxic eddy’) was conducted. This survey allowed us to investigate how N₂O cycling may be impacted by low oxygen eddies in the ETNA (sampling parameters and stations are outlined in the Methods section). The results from this work not only demonstrate the potential importance of low oxygen eddies as a source of N₂O, they also provide insights into how N₂O cycling in the ETNA may respond to future DO decreases.

Results and Discussion

Dissolved oxygen and nitrous oxide concentrations. This study was part of a multi-disciplinary investigation of the suboxic eddy, and here we focus on the implications of the low DO concentrations inside the suboxic eddy for N₂O processes. The physical characteristics of the eddy and other biological and biogeochemical processes are discussed elsewhere16-21. Sampling was conducted at two stations inside the eddy (eddy station 1 and 2; ES1 and ES2) and at an out-of-eddy reference station (OES; Fig. 1). Results show that the suboxic eddy was characterized by DO concentrations that were much lower than in the surrounding waters. For example, the lowest DO concentration we observed at OES was 72 µmol L⁻¹ (Fig. 2a), and while this is somewhat higher than the lowest DO concentrations found in the ETNA (~40 µmol kg⁻¹), it is within the range of the lowest DO concentrations often found in the region of the CVOO time-series station22. In contrast, the lowest DO concentrations at ES1 and ES2 were 10 and 5 µmol L⁻¹, respectively, at 100 m (Fig. 2a). Glider surveys of the suboxic eddy also found lows of ~5 µmol O₂ L⁻¹ at 100 m depth (Fig. 3). The suboxic eddy sampled during this study was an ACME, and our observations of a shallow OMZ, with DO concentrations much lower than the ‘typical’ background conditions, are consistent with previous observations of low oxygen ACMEs and CEs which have transited through the CVOO time-series region15. The low oxygen conditions inside of these eddies likely result from increased remineralization below the mixed layer, resulting from high primary production and subsequent particulate matter export from the euphotic zone15,16. The high primary production is thought to be driven by enhanced upward vertical nutrient fluxes17. Indeed, in the suboxic eddy discussed here, mixed layer nutrient concentrations were higher inside vs. outside the eddy17, and primary production21 and particulate organic carbon fluxes20 were estimated to be up to three times higher inside the eddy compared to the surrounding waters.
Similar to the DO results, we observed large perturbations to the N\textsubscript{2}O conditions inside vs. outside of the suboxic eddy. The highest N\textsubscript{2}O concentration at OES (34.2 nmol L\textsuperscript{–1}; Fig. 2b) was within the range of the highest concentrations previously reported for the North Atlantic\textsuperscript{14, 23}, but somewhat lower than the highest concentration found in the eastern tropical South Atlantic (49 nmol L\textsuperscript{–1})\textsuperscript{24}. Corresponding to the vertical depth range of low DO, N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations much higher than those previously reported for the North Atlantic were found inside the suboxic eddy, with values as high as 115 nmol L\textsuperscript{–1} within the ES1 OMZ (100 m depth; Fig. 2b). The high N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations we observed in the core of the suboxic eddy are within the range of many of the highest values reported for the eastern tropical Pacific\textsuperscript{25–29} and open Arabian Sea\textsuperscript{30, 31}, although concentrations as high as up to ~500 nmol N\textsubscript{2}O L\textsuperscript{–1} have been reported for the coastal regions of the eastern tropical South Pacific off of Chile\textsuperscript{32}. The observations reported here demonstrate that N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations within ETNA suboxic eddies can reach levels comparable to those from regions that are characterized by well defined OMZs where DO concentrations

Figure 2. Vertical distributions of dissolved oxygen and N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations at the out-of-eddy station (OES), and at eddy stations 1 and 2 (ES1 and ES2, respectively). (a) Discrete depth dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations measured with the CTD-DO sensor at each of our sampling depths. The error bars represent the average propagation of error associated with our DO measurements (see Methods section). (b) Discrete depth N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations. The error bars represent the standard deviation of duplicate N\textsubscript{2}O concentration measurements. Due to the loss of duplicated samples, standard deviations are not reported for 10 m depth at ES1, and 250 m depth at OES.

Figure 3. Dissolved oxygen concentrations as measured during the IFM13 glider survey on April 7\textsuperscript{th}, 2014.
are permanently low (e.g. eastern tropical Pacific and Arabian Sea), and which are considered to be major sources of oceanic N₂O. To this end, low oxygen eddies in the ETNA may prove to be an important but previously unrecognized source of N₂O.

A plot of all N₂O and DO concentration data showed these two variables to be inversely correlated down to a DO concentration of 10 µmol L⁻¹ (Fig. 4a). Between 10 and 5 µmol O₂ L⁻¹, however, this trend appears that it may have begun to reverse as the N₂O concentration decreased from 115 to 92.7 nmol L⁻¹ (Fig. 4a). It is important to note that the observation of a decrease in N₂O concentration between 10 and 5 µmol O₂ L⁻¹ was based on sampling conducted almost two weeks apart, and, as such, the decrease may have been due to N₂O diffusing out of the DO minimum/N₂O maximum in the period between our two sampling events. If N₂O was diffusing across a high to low concentration gradient, then DO would have also likely been diffusing from high to low concentrations (i.e. into the DO minimum), and this would have started to erode the extremely low DO concentrations we observed. A glider survey of the eddy on April 7th 2014 (i.e. three weeks after our ES2 sampling date) showed that the low DO eddy core was still stable and intact (Fig. 3). To this end, it seems unlikely that diffusion was a major contributor to the decrease in N₂O we observed between 10 and 5 µmol O₂ L⁻¹. It is important to note, however, that the observation of a switch from net N₂O production to net N₂O consumption should be treated with caution given that it is based on a single observation of N₂O decreasing between 10 and 5 µmol O₂ L⁻¹. Still, it is not an unreasonable proposition as previous results have also shown evidence for a transition from net production to net consumption below 10 µmol O₂ L⁻¹.

At DO concentrations >10 µmol L⁻¹, a plot of ∆N₂O ([N₂Omeasured] – [N₂O saturation]) vs. AOU (apparent oxygen utilization; [O₂ measured] – [O₂ saturation]) shows two distinct linear relationships (Fig. 4b). Linear relationship 1 (LR1) and 2 (LR2) correspond to DO concentration ranges of 240 to 22 µmol L⁻¹ and 18 to 10 µmol L⁻¹, respectively. The slope of LR1 (Fig. 4b) indicates that ~8500 mol of O₂ were consumed for every mol of N₂O produced, and this is similar to previous estimates from the open tropical Atlantic Ocean, including the Mauritanian upwelling region; it is also similar to estimates from global oxygenated oceanic water masses. A shift from net N₂O production to net N₂O consumption is another possible explanation for the decrease in N₂O concentrations between 10 and 5 µmol O₂ L⁻¹, respec-tively. The slope of LR1 (Fig. 4b) indicates that ~8500 mol of O₂ were consumed for every mol of N₂O produced, and this is similar to previous estimates from the open tropical Atlantic Ocean, including the Mauritanian upwelling region; it is also similar to estimates from global oxygenated oceanic water masses. In contrast, the slope of LR2 implies that only 170 mol of O₂ were consumed for every mol of N₂O produced, and this points towards a 50-fold increase in the amount of N₂O produced vs. the amount of DO consumed below 20 µmol O₂ L⁻¹. This result agrees with Codispoti et al. and Nevison et al. who also showed that N₂O production starts to increase substantially below ~20 µmol O₂ L⁻¹. Our estimate of a 50-fold increase in N₂O production vs. DO consumption is, however, higher than results from earlier work which have shown that nitrification-N₂O yields can increase 20-fold and 40-fold under low DO concentrations. One possible explanation for our observation of higher N₂O production vs. DO consumption could be the presence of N₂O production via reductive pathways (i.e. sources of N₂O production which do not also consume DO), and evidence for this is discussed below under ‘Nitrous oxide cycling pathways’.

Finally, simple linear regression analyses were used to quantify the N₂O vs. DO relationships shown in Fig. 4a at DO concentrations between 250 and 20 µmol L⁻¹, <20 and 10 µmol L⁻¹, and <10 µmol L⁻¹ (Table 1). These DO ranges were selected based on the observed N₂O vs. DO shifts shown in Fig. 4a. The N₂O vs. DO relationships for each of these DO ranges were then applied to the DO concentrations observed during the high resolution IFM13 glider survey in order to estimate the bulk amount of N₂O inside the suboxic eddy core. Based on the DO concentrations measured during the glider survey (Fig. 3), the diameter of the suboxic eddy core was defined as 50 km and extended from the surface to 250 m depth, and the bulk amount of N₂O within this volume was estimated to have been 1.8 × 10⁷ mol N₂O or an average of 9.200 mol N₂O km⁻². In comparison, the N₂O over the same depth range at OES was 5.000 mol N₂O km⁻², or almost half that within the suboxic eddy core. This

Figure 4. Relationship between nitrous oxide and dissolved oxygen (DO) parameters. (a) Nitrous oxide vs. DO concentrations from ES1 and ES2 (red circles) and from OES (black circles). The x-axis error bars represent the average propagation of error associated with our DO measurements (see Methods section) and the y-axis error bars represent the standard deviation of duplicate N₂O concentration measurements. (b) ∆N₂O vs. apparent oxygen utilization (all data pooled; note: data point from the DO minimum at ES2 where the N₂O concentration decreased is omitted). The solid lines are linear regressions and the results from the linear regression analyses are included.
again highlights that low oxygen eddies have the potential to be important but previously unrecognized sources of marine N₂O. Quantifying the overall importance of low oxygen eddies is not trivial, however, and it would depend, for example, on factors such as the frequency of their occurrence, their size, and how long they last. A recent analysis of a 1.1 × 10⁶ km² area of the ETNA suggests that at any one time ~20% of this area is covered by suboxic eddy cores. Assuming all of these suboxic eddy cores are similar to the one described here, which showed an almost 100% increase in N₂O concentrations inside vs. outside of the eddy, this could require bulk upper water column (in this case upper 250 m) N₂O estimates to be increased by up to 20%. This is a first order estimate, however, and much more shipboard work is necessary to accurately determine the DO and N₂O conditions within a range of ETNA eddies, and covering their full lifecycles, so that more robust statistical analyses of their potential importance as a source of marine N₂O can be calculated. Furthermore, if the prevalence of suboxic eddies are also found to be high outside of the ETNA, these types of low oxygen events may be found to be important at the global scale, rather than just the regional scale.

Nitrous oxide cycling pathways. Results from isotope and isotopomer (i.e. the intramolecular distribution of ¹⁵N within the linear NNO molecule; δ¹⁵N- N₂O, δ¹⁸O-N₂O) and molecular genetic analyses, point towards shifts in the N₂O cycling pathways in the core of the suboxic eddy, relative to the more oxygenated waters inside and outside of the eddy. This complete suite of isotope, isotopomer (¹⁵N site-preference; SP) and molecular genetic sampling was not conducted at ES2, so our discussion focuses on ES1 with comparisons to OES. At OES, vertical profiles of δ¹⁵N-N₂O, δ¹⁸O-N₂O and SP (Fig. 5) were characteristic of those from regions of the tropical South Atlantic, indicating that N₂O was produced by a combination of AO and nitrifier-denitrification. The δ¹⁵N-N₂O:SP ratios were also within the range of those reported for N₂O produced via nitrification processes. Furthermore, gene copy numbers and transcripts, which provide an indication of gene abundance and expression, respectively, of amoA and nirS genes can also provide insight into the potential N₂O cycling pathways. The amoA gene is the classical functional marker gene encoding for a subunit of the ammonia monoxygenase enzyme which catalyzes AO, and a correlation between N₂O formation by AO and amoA gene expression has been previously demonstrated. To this end, we consider it reasonable to connect at least the potential for N₂O formation to amoA abundance and expression. In contrast, the nirS gene encodes for the enzyme involved in NO₃⁻ reduction via the denitrification pathway, and recent results have shown a positive relationship between the abundance of nirS genes and N₂O production by denitrification. At OES,

Table 1. Results from simple linear regression analyses of N₂O vs. DO at different DO concentration ranges. The results shown here were obtained using data shown in Fig. 2a. The regression equations were used in conjunction with the DO concentrations measured during the IFM13 glider survey of the eddy (see Methods section) in order to estimate the bulk amount of N₂O inside the suboxic eddy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dissolved O₂ Concentration Range</th>
<th>N₂O (nmol L⁻¹) vs. O₂ (µmol L⁻¹) Linear Regression Equation</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>R²-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250–&gt;20 µmol L⁻¹</td>
<td>N₂O_conc. = -0.162× O₂_conc. + 45.48</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20–10 µmol L⁻¹</td>
<td>N₂O_conc. = -6.67× O₂_conc. + 179.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–5 µmol L⁻¹</td>
<td>N₂O_conc. = 4.30× O₂_conc. + 71.28</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Vertical distributions of (a) δ¹⁵N-N₂O, (b) δ¹⁸O-N₂O and (c) ¹⁵N site preference signatures at ES1 (red circles) and OES (black circles). Error bars represent the standard deviation of duplicate N₂O isotope measurements. Due to the loss of duplicated samples, standard deviations are not reported for 20 and 450 m depth at OES, and 90 m depth at ES1.
amoA gene abundance and expression (Fig. 6a,b) were considerably higher than that of the nirS gene (Fig. 6c,d). This supports our assertion that nitrification was the major source of N2O within the oxygenated waters of OES, and it is consistent with previous studies in this region 13. Similarly, above and below the ES1 DO minimum, nitrification processes also appear to be the predominant source of N2O, as δ15Nbulk-N2O and SP ratios were again within the range expected for N2O produced by nitrifiers 28, and amoA gene abundance and expression were high. The SP signatures above and below the ES1 DO minimum were, however, in some cases somewhat lower than those found at OES (Fig. 5c). Both nitrifier-denitrification and denitrification yield N2O with an SP ≤0‰, whereas AO yields N2O with an SP >30‰ 4,39,40. The SP observations therefore indicate that a reductive pathway (i.e. nitrifier-denitrification or denitrification), rather than an oxidative pathway, was a relatively more important source of N2O at ES1 vs. OES. Given that amoA gene abundance was high above and below the ES1 DO minimum, whereas nirS gene abundance and expression were either undetectable or very low, we suggest that nitrifier-denitrification was the most probable reductive N2O production pathway above and below the ES1 DO minimum. The potential increase in N2O production via nitrifier-denitrification at ES1, when compared to OES,
was likely a result of the lower DO concentrations inside vs. outside of the suboxic eddy, as culture investigations have shown that nitrifier-denitrification increases as DO concentrations decrease.

Differences in isotope and molecular genetic results inside vs. outside of the suboxic eddy were most prominent within the ES1 DO minimum at 100 m, and our results provide insights into how N$_2$O cycling changed as the DO concentration dropped to $\sim$10$\mu$mol L$^{-1}$. One of the most prominent differences was the $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{bulk}}$-N$_2$O value, which decreased to $\sim$1.6‰ within the ES1 OMZ. This is below the lowest value reported for the Arabian Sea$^{31}$, and is one of the lowest values reported for seawater, one exception being the Black Sea where a value of $\sim$10.8‰ was observed$^{41}$. Westley et al.$^{31}$ concluded that this $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{bulk}}$-N$_2$O value of $\sim$10.8‰ was too low to have been caused by a reductive N$_2$O production pathway, and instead they concluded that it must have been caused by N$_2$O produced via AO. Although very low for seawater, the $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{bulk}}$-N$_2$O value we observed within the ES1 DO minimum was not as extreme as that of Westley et al.$^{41}$, and taken alone it cannot be used to narrow down the predominant production pathway. That is, based on the $\delta^{15}$N signatures of dissolved inorganic nitrogen from the eastern tropical Atlantic$^{31}$, and the range of measured isotope effects of nitrification and denitrification (summarized by Bange$^{3}$), the $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{bulk}}$-N$_2$O value from the ES1 DO minimum could have been produced by either oxidative or reductive pathways. Our molecular genetic results, however, provide further insight into the potential predominant N$_2$O production pathway. The abundance and expression of nirS genes increased substantially within the ES1 OMZ (Fig. 6c,d), and these results, particularly the increase in nirS gene abundance, suggest that denitrification was actively occurring at the ES1 DO minimum. In contrast, although amoA gene abundance was still relatively high (Fig. 6a), the expression of amoA genes became undetectable within the ES1 DO minimum (Fig. 6b), thus indicating a substantial reduction in N$_2$O production via AO. Ultimately, our molecular genetic results provide evidence that implies that denitrification became an important source of N$_2$O within the ES1 DO minimum. Our suggestion that denitrification was an important source of N$_2$O concentrations $\sim$10$\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ (i.e. ES1 OMZ) is also supported by a recent modelling study$^{25}$ and by $\delta^{15}$N tracer measurements$^{35}$ which found that denitrification was an important source of N$_2$O at similar DO concentrations.

While it appears that denitrification was an important source of N$_2$O within the ES1 OMZ, two lines of evidence point towards the potential for at least partial N$_2$O consumption by denitrification. Firstly, in comparison to the more oxygenated waters directly above and below it, the $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{bulk}}$-N$_2$O and $\delta^{18}$O-N$_2$O values at the ES1 DO minimum decreased and increased, respectively (Fig. 5a,b). The observation of a concomitant $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{bulk}}$-N$_2$O decrease and $\delta^{18}$O-N$_2$O increase is extremely rare, however, it has been observed in the Black Sea where it was interpreted as indicating simultaneous N$_2$O production and consumption (i.e. a production source which decreases $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{bulk}}$-N$_2$O and a consumption sink which increases $\delta^{18}$O-N$_2$O).$^{41}$ Secondly, a notable SP increase was also observed in the ES1 DO minimum (Fig. 5c). Similar to nitrifier-denitrification, production of N$_2$O by denitrification yields N$_2$O with an SP $\leq$0‰, while AO produces N$_2$O with an SP $\geq$30‰$^{39,40}$. As such, an initial interpretation of the SP result by itself could point towards a larger contribution of N$_2$O via the AO route. Given the extremely reduced expression of amoA genes in the ES1 DO minimum, however, this seems unlikely. Instead, reduction of N$_2$O to N$_2$ can also result in an SP increase, albeit a highly variable one$^{44,45}$, and results have shown that the reduction of N$_2$O can cause SP to increase even when there are net N$_2$O gains$^{45}$. Based on the simultaneous $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{bulk}}$-N$_2$O decrease and $\delta^{18}$O-N$_2$O increase, and the increased SP signature, we therefore propose that some of the N$_2$O produced within the ES1 DO minimum was subsequently reduced to N$_2$. This decrease in N$_2$O concentrations at any one time; as such, if denitrification is also cycling N$_2$O in these other low oxygen eddies, we may need to change our classical view that N$_2$O cycling in the ETNA is restricted to nitrification. It is, however, important to point out that while we have suggested that denitrification played a role in cycling N$_2$O within the suboxic eddy investigated during this study, it only appears to be important at the nanomolar scale (i.e. the scale at which we measure N$_2$O), as at the micromolar scale there was no evidence for N$_2$O$^{-}$ reduction$^{26}$ or biogenic N$_2$O production (Altabet and Grundle, unpublished data) inside the suboxic eddy. Still, some of the low oxygen eddies which have been observed in the ETNA with moored and glider based instruments have been characterized by DO concentrations lower than those reported here$^{15}$, and to this end, it is possible that some of the low oxygen eddies in the ETNA may also be sites of fixed N losses at the micromolar scale.

Summary

The present study has demonstrated for the first time that low DO eddies in the eastern tropical North Atlantic can cause significant shifts in the N$_2$O cycling dynamics which are typically found in this region. Furthermore, this work has shown that low DO eddies can serve as ideal ‘natural laboratories’ for investigating the impact of decreasing DO concentrations for marine N$_2$O conditions. In the case of this study, our results showed that at DO concentrations $<\sim$20$\mu$mol L$^{-1}$, N$_2$O production increased substantially, resulting in concentrations which were within the range of the highest N$_2$O concentrations reported for major OMZ regions such as the open Arabian Sea and eastern tropical Pacific. This result has demonstrated the magnitude by which N$_2$O production could increase if open ocean DO concentrations decrease in the tropical Atlantic. Isotope and molecular genetic results also provided evidence for a major shift in the N$_2$O cycling pathways at $\sim$10$\mu$mol O$_2$ L$^{-1}$, such that it appeared that denitrification not only started to produce N$_2$O, it also started to partially consume some of the N$_2$O. Finally, as DO concentrations decreased to $\sim$5$\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ the N$_2$O concentration also decreased, possibly indicating a switch from net N$_2$O production to net N$_2$O consumption. Ultimately, given that this study has shown the capacity of low DO eddies to be N$_2$O ‘hotspots’, and because N$_2$O cycling pathways (i.e. denitrification) not previously thought to occur in the Atlantic were observed, a reevaluation of N$_2$O budgets and cycling in the tropical Atlantic Ocean may be necessary.
Methods

Glider Surveys. Two Slocum gliders (IFM12 and IFM13) manufactured by Teledyne Webb Research were used in this study to observe the temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen and current fields north of the Cape Verde archipelago. Between January and April 2014, these gliders were able to confirm the presence of an anti-cyclonic mode-water eddy, which had formed off the coast of Mauritania and then propagated westward toward the Cape Verde Ocean Observatory (CVOO; Fig. 1). IFM12 was deployed on January 10th, 2014 from the Cape Verde archipelago. Between January and April 2014, the samples were collected on the CVOO, the site where IFM12 was deployed on January 10th, 2014. The data collected by the gliders underwent post-processing routines that included a glider-speed dependent thermal lag correction of the conductivity cell, and a mixed lab/in-situ calibration of the Aanderaa Optode oxygen sensor. Finally, the locations of the eddy during the IFM12 and IFM13 deployments, and the locations of the glider sections are shown in Fig. 1, and DO concentrations from the IFM13 section through the eddy are shown in Fig. 3.

Ship-Based Sampling. Between March 6th and 7th, 2014, the RV Islandia was used to conduct sampling for a suite of biological, chemical and physical parameters at a station inside the suboxic eddy (eddy station 1; Fig. 1). In order to allow for comparisons between measurements made inside the eddy with conditions outside the eddy, the same suite of samples collected at eddy station 1 (ES1) were also collected at an outside eddy station (OES) during the RV Islandia cruise on February 14th, 2014 (Fig. 1). Here we outline the sampling and measurements of parameters that relate to N2O cycling.

Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations were measured using a Seabird SBE43 DO sensor that was attached to our conductivity, temperature and depth (CTD) profiler. The DO sensor was calibrated using DO measurements by Winkler titration on duplicated samples collected across the entire range of DO concentrations observed. The detection limit of these measurements was 3 μmol O2 L\(^{-1}\), and the average standard deviation of the duplicate measurements was ±0.028 μmol O2 L\(^{-1}\). It is important to note, however, that in order to preserve Niskin bottles for our N2O concentration and isotope samples, bottle samples for DO measurements by Winkler titration were not collected on our N2O vertical sampling casts. Samples for DO measurements by Winkler titration, for the purpose of calibrating the DO sensor, were instead collected on CTD casts immediately before and after our N2O sampling casts. The average standard deviation between our discrete Winkler DO measurements and our calibrated CTD-DO sensor measurements was ±1.31 μmol O2 L\(^{-1}\). Considering the errors involved in both our duplicated Winkler DO measurements (±0.28 μmol O2 L\(^{-1}\)) and our CTD-DO measurements (±1.31 μmol O2 L\(^{-1}\)), the average propagation of error associated with the DO concentrations we report for eddy station 1 is ±1.33 μmol O2 L\(^{-1}\). Discrete depth water samples were also collected from the surface to 450 m depth for the purpose of measuring N2O concentrations, isotope and isotopomer signatures of N2O, and for quantifying the abundance and transcripts of ammonia- monoxygenase genes of nitrifying bacteria and archaea, and nitrite reductase genes of denitrifying bacteria (amoA and nirS, respectively; all protocols described below).

On March 18th, 2014, we also conducted a CTD-DO survey and collected water samples for N2O concentration measurements at an additional inside eddy station (eddy station 2; Fig. 1) on the RV Meteor cruise M105. The CTD-DO sensor was calibrated following the same protocols outlined above, and the average standard deviation of duplicate DO measurements by Winkler titration was ±0.35 μmol L\(^{-1}\), while the average standard deviation between our discrete Winkler DO measurements and our CTD-DO sensor measurements was ±1.23 μmol L\(^{-1}\). To this end, the average propagation of error associated with the DO concentrations we report for eddy station 2 is ±1.28 μmol L\(^{-1}\).

N\(_2\)O concentration, and isotope/isotopomer measurements. Water samples for N\(_2\)O concentration, and isotope and isotopomer measurements were collected in duplicate 60 ml and 120 ml serum bottles, respectively, following standard dissolved gas sampling protocols. Immediately following collection, the samples were poisoned with 100 μl of a saturated HgCl\(_2\) solution and then stored until analysis ashore.

N\(_2\)O concentration samples were stored for ~2 months prior to being measured on a gas chromatograph with an attached electron capture detector using the headspace equilibration method described by Grundle et al. Final dissolved N\(_2\)O concentrations were calculated using corresponding measurements of in situ temperature and salinity, corrected for temperature and pressure during the headspace equilibration following the solubility tables of Weiss and Price. The average standard deviation of our duplicate N\(_2\)O concentration measurements was ±0.8 mmol L\(^{-1}\).

Isotope (δ\(^{15}\)N\(_{\text{bulk}}\)-N\(_2\)O vs. AIR and δ\(^{18}\)O-N\(_2\)O vs. VSMOW) and isotopomer (δ\(^{15}\)N\(_{\text{NIR}}\)-N\(_2\)O and δ\(^{15}\)N\(_{\text{NIR}}\)-N\(_2\)O vs. AIR) analysis began with continuous helium (He) gas stripping of dissolved N\(_2\)O out of samples as described in Charoenpong et al. Briefly, sample water was pumped in and out of a gas extractor (14 ml min\(^{-1}\)) until which He was constantly bubbled (90 ml min\(^{-1}\)). Quantitative yield was verified by comparison of N\(_2\)O recovery from seawater with known N\(_2\)O concentration (established by atmospheric equilibration) and with standard gas injected directly into the He gas flow. Following extraction, the method of McIlvin and Casciotti was followed in which a purge/trap system was used to purify and concentrate extracted N\(_2\)O. This included two-step cryogenic trapping, which He was constantly bubbled (90 ml min\(^{-1}\)), and it first entered the eddy reported here on January 23rd, 2014. A first section through the eddy was completed by IFM12 on February 3rd, 2014, and results confirmed that it was a low DO eddy. IFM13 was deployed from RV Meteor on March 17th, 2014 and completed a section through the eddy core on April 7th, 2014. The data collected by the gliders underwent post-processing routines that included a glider-speed dependent thermal lag correction of the conductivity cell, and a mixed lab/in-situ calibration of the Aanderaa Optode oxygen sensor. Finally, the locations of the eddy during the IFM12 and IFM13 deployments, and the locations of the glider sections are shown in Fig. 1, and DO concentrations from the IFM13 section through the eddy are shown in Fig. 3.
accomplished using 4 certified standard gases (supplied by Joachim Mohn) that ranged widely in these values and encompassed those reported here. Calibration for N₂O site-specific isotopomer composition also needs to account for instrument specific ‘scrambling’ in the mass spectrometer ion source between ¹⁵N₂O and ¹⁴N₂O. The magnitude is on the order of 10% and is manifested as changes in the 30/44 ratio from the value expected in the absence of scrambling. In order to account for this, we took advantage of new standard materials that vary widely in isotopomer composition to perform an empirical curve-fitting calibration. Finally, based on measurements of duplicate samples from each sampling depth, the errors associated with our isotope measurements were ±0.07, 0.17, 0.36 and 0.18‰ for δ¹⁵Nbulk-N₂O, δ¹³N-N₂O, δ¹⁵N-H⁻N₂O and δ¹⁸O-N₂O, respectively.

**Molecular genetic analyses.** Water samples (~2 L) were filtered through 0.2 µm polyethersulfone membrane filters, which were immediately stored at −80 °C until nucleic acid purification was performed following Löscher et al. RNA was treated with Dnase to remove any residual DNA, and RNA purity was verified by non-template quantitative-PCRs for amoA (ammonia monoxygenase) and nirS (nitrite reductase) genes. Reverse transcription was performed following Löscher et al. Quantitative-PCRs of bacterial and archaeal amoA were performed in technical duplicates with standards obtained from *Nitrosococcus oceani* NC1 and from an environmental clone for archaeal amoA, while the same was achieved for nirS using a standard obtained from Paracoccus denitrificans (Pd 1222). All reactions were performed in a volume of 12.5 µl using a ViiA 7 quantitative-PCR system following the protocols and PCR conditions outlined by Löscher et al. and Lam et al.
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