I. INTRODUCTION

It is quite probable that if dark matter (DM) exists in the form of particles, it might experience non-negligible self-interactions. This is highly motivated both theoretically and observationally. From a theoretical point of view, if the dark sector is embedded in a unification scheme in a theory beyond the Standard Model, it is hard to imagine DM particles that do not interact among themselves via some gauge bosons. In addition, DM self-interactions might be a desirable feature due to the fact that the collisionless cold dark matter (CCDM) paradigm seems to be currently at odds with observations. There are three main challenges that CCDM faces today. The first one is related to the flatness of the DM density profile at the core of dwarf galaxies [1,2]. The latter are dominated by DM and, although numerical simulations of CCDM [3] predict a cuspy profile for the DM density at the core of these galaxies, measurements of the rotation curves suggest that the density profile is flat. A second issue is that numerical simulations of CCDM also predict a larger number of satellite galaxies in the Milky Way than what has been observed so far [4–6]. Finally a third serious problem for CCDM is the “too big to fail” [7]; i.e. CCDM numerical simulations predict massive dwarf galaxies that are too big to not form visible and observable stars. These discrepancies between numerical simulations of CCDM and observations could be alleviated by taking into account DM-baryon interactions [8–11]. In addition, the satellite discrepancy could be attributed to Milky Way being a statistical fluctuation [12–14], thus deviating from what numerical simulations predict. Apart from these explanations, another possible solution is the existence of substantial DM self-interactions, which can solve all three aforementioned problems [15–18]. It is not hard, for example, to see that DM self-interactions would lead to increased rates of self-scattering in high DM density regions, thus flattening out dense dwarf galaxy cores.

In this picture, DM self-interactions have been thoroughly studied in the literature in different contexts [16–35]. Although depending on the type of DM self-interactions, the general consensus is that DM interactions falling within the range $0.1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g} < \sigma_{XX}/m_X < 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ ($\sigma_{XX}$ and $m_X$ being the DM self-interaction cross section and DM particle mass, respectively) are sufficient to resolve the CCDM problems. If DM is made of one species, DM self-interactions cannot be arbitrarily strong because in this case they could destroy the ellipticity of spiral galaxies [36,37], dissociate the bullet cluster [38], or destroy old neutron stars (NSs) by accelerating the collapse of captured DM at the core of the stars leading to formation of black holes that could eat up the star (thus imposing constraints due to observation of old NSs) [39].

Apart from the associated problems of CCDM, there is another orthogonal scenario where DM self-interactions might be needed. The supermassive black hole at the center of the Milky Way seems to be too big to have grown within the lifetime of the galaxy from collapsed baryonic stars. One possible solution is to envision a strongly self-interacting subdominant component of DM that collapses via a gravothermal process, providing the seeds for the black hole to grow to today’s mass within the lifetime of the Milky Way [40].
As a desirable feature of DM, self-interactions may assist DM clumping together and forming compact objects, if they are dissipative or they speed up gravothermal evolution [41]. There could be two different possibilities here: (i) DM with a substantial amount of annihilations and (ii) DM with a negligible amount of annihilations. The weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP) paradigm belongs to the first category. In this case, the DM relic density in the Universe is determined by the DM annihilations. DM is in thermal equilibrium with the primordial plasma until the rate of DM annihilations becomes smaller than the expansion of the Universe. In this WIMP paradigm, particle and antiparticle populations of DM come with equal numbers. Gravitational collapse of such a type of DM could create dark stars that oppose further gravitational collapse by radiation pressure [42–44]. However, these types of stars cannot exist anymore, as DM annihilations would have already led to the depletion of the DM population and therefore to the extinction of these dark stars a long time ago.

On the contrary, asymmetric DM can lead to the formation of compact starlike objects that can be stable today. The asymmetric DM scenario is a well-motivated alternative to the WIMP paradigm [45–64]. In this case, there is a conserved quantum number, as, e.g., the baryon number. A mechanism similar to the one responsible for the baryon asymmetry in the Universe could also create a particle-antiparticle asymmetry in the DM sector. DM annihilations deplete the species with the smaller population, leaving at the end only the species in excess, to account for the DM relic density. One can easily see that, e.g., a DM particle of mass $\sim 5$ GeV could account for the DM abundance, provided that a common asymmetry mechanism that creates simultaneously a baryon and a DM unit is in place. Obviously, in such an asymmetric DM scenario, there is no substantial amount of annihilations today due to the lack of DM antiparticles. Therefore, provided that DM self-interactions can facilitate the collapse, asymmetric DM can form compact objects that can be stable, thus possibly detectable today via, e.g., gravitational wave (GW) emission in binary systems.

The possibility of asymmetric DM forming compactlike objects has been studied in the cases of both fermionic [65,66] and bosonic [67] DM. In both of these papers, the mass-radius relations, density profiles, and maximum “Chandrasekhar” mass limits were established for a wide range of DM particle masses and DM self-coupling, for both attractive and repulsive interactions. Bosonic DM forming compact objects has also been studied in other than asymmetric DM contexts, i.e., in the case DM is ultralight, e.g., axions [68–82] or other theoretically motivated bosonic candidates [83].

These proposed dark stars, if in binary systems, can produce GW signals that could potentially distinguish them from corresponding signals of black hole binaries, as it was suggested in [84,85]. Other probes of bosonic DM stars via GWs have been proposed in [86]. We remark that objects inconsistent with either black holes or NSs may suggest the existence of new particle physics. Therefore, compact binary mergers could become a search strategy for beyond-standard-model physics which is completely orthogonal to the LHC and (in-)direct DM searches. The interesting scenario of compact objects made of asymmetric DM with a substantial baryonic component has also been studied [87–90].

One should mention that there are several scenarios of how these dark stars can form in the first place. Gravothermal collapse is one option [91]. In this case, DM self-interactions facilitate the eviction of DM particles that acquire excessive energy from DM-DM collisions, thus leading to a lower energy DM cloud that shrinks gradually forming a dark star. Another possibility is by DM accretion in supermassive stars. Once the star collapses, DM is not necessarily carried by the supernova shock wave, leaving a highly compact DM population at the core [92]. Moreover, if DM interactions are dissipative, DM can clump via direct cooling [93].

It is crucial to determine the most important features which characterize the bulk properties of dark compact objects and form a set of suitable observables to be potentially constrained by gravitational and electromagnetic surveys. In this paper, we investigate the structure of slowly rotating and tidally deformed stars, modeled with a DM equation of state (EoS), based on fermionic and bosonic DM particles. As far as rotation is concerned, we follow the approach developed in [94,95], in which spin corrections are described as a small perturbation of a static, spherically symmetric spacetime. At the background level, the star structure is determined by solving the usual Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations (TOV). Rotational terms are included up to second order in the angular momentum $J$, which allow one to compute the moment of inertia $I$ and the quadrupole moment $Q$ of the star. Similarly, we model tidal effects through the relativistic perturbative formalism described in [96]. At leading order, this approach leads to the Love number $k_2$ or, equivalently, the tidal deformability $\lambda = 2/3k_2R^3$, which encodes all the properties of the star’s quadrupolar deformations. We refer the reader to the references cited above for a detailed description of the equations needed to compute these quantities.

The plan of the paper is the following: In Sec. II we describe the main properties of the two classes of the dark EoS considered. In Secs. III and IV we analyze the bulk properties of the stellar models, such as masses and radii, which can be potentially constrained through
electromagnetic and GW observations. An explicit example of such constraints is discussed in Sec. IV A. In Sec. V we investigate universal relations for dark stars. Finally, in Sec. VI we summarize our results.

Throughout the paper (with the exception of Sec. II and Appendix) we use geometrized units, in which $G = c = 1$.

II. THE DARK MATTER EQUATION OF STATE

In this section we describe the most important features of the dark EoS used in this paper to model fermion and boson stars. Moreover, in our analysis we will also consider two standard EoS, $\alpha x$ [97] and $\alpha x$ [98], which represent two extreme examples of soft and stiff nuclear matter, and will allow one to make a direct comparison between the macroscopic features of baryonic and dark objects.

A. Fermion star

We consider a fermionic particle interacting via a repulsive Yukawa potential (e.g., due to a massive dark photon),

$$V = \frac{\alpha_X}{r} \exp \left( -\frac{\hbar m_{\phi} r}{c} \right),$$

where $\alpha_X$ is the dark fine structure constant and $m_{\phi}$ is the mass of the mediator. The mass of the DM fermion is denoted as $m_X$. Models that interact through a Yukawa potential are useful in the context of self-interacting DM, because the scattering cross section is suppressed at large relative velocities [27]. As a result, the success of collisionless cold DM is left untouched at supergalactic scales, while the subgalactic structure is flattened. In the context of self-interacting DM, both attractive and repulsive interactions flatten structures. However, attractive interactions in a compact object will soften the EoS. Since we are interested in dense objects, we only consider repulsive interactions.

Pressure in fermion stars has two contributions: one from Fermi repulsion and one due to the Yukawa interactions.

We calculate the energy density and pressure due to Fermi repulsion and one due to the Yukawa interactions. In dense objects, we only consider repulsive interactions. However, attractive interactions in a compact object will soften the EoS. Since we are interested in dense objects, we only consider repulsive interactions.

Pressure in fermion stars has two contributions: one from Fermi repulsion and one due to the Yukawa interactions. We calculate the energy density and pressure due to Fermi repulsion and one due to the Yukawa interactions in the mean field approximation; in this case the EoS is given by two implicitly related equations (see [66] for further details),

$$\rho = \frac{m_X^4 \beta}{9 \hbar^3} \left[ \xi(x) + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{\alpha_X m_X^2}{\hbar c} \chi^2 \right],$$

$$P = \frac{m_X^4 \beta}{9 \hbar^3 c^2} \left[ \chi(x) + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{\alpha_X m_X^2}{\hbar c} \chi^2 \right] ,$$

where $x = p_F/(m_X c)$ is a dimensionless quantity that measures the Fermi momentum compared to the DM mass (note that the density is defined such that $\rho c^2$ is the total energy density). The functions $\xi$ and $\chi$ are the contributions from Fermi repulsion [99], given by

$$\xi(x) = \frac{1}{8 \pi^2} \left[ x \sqrt{1 + x^2 (2x^2 + 1)} - \ln \left( x + \sqrt{1 + x^2} \right) \right],$$

$$\chi(x) = \frac{1}{8 \pi^2} \left[ x \sqrt{1 + x^2 (2x^2/3 + 1)} + \ln \left( x + \sqrt{1 + x^2} \right) \right].$$

Both pressure and density are smooth monotonic functions of the parameters $x$. At low density the EoS becomes an approximate polytrope $P = K \rho^\gamma$ with index $\gamma = 5/3$, whereas at large density the index changes to $\gamma = 1$. At large density the proportionality constant is $K = c^2/3$ or $K = c^2$, depending on whether the Fermi repulsion or the Yukawa interaction dominates, respectively.

B. Boson star

Boson stars are naturally much smaller than their fermionic counterparts, because they lack Fermi pressure to balance their self-gravity [100,101]. In the absence of self-interactions, bosons stars are stabilized by a quantum mechanical pressure due to the uncertainty principle. Unless the bosons are extraordinarily light, this pressure is inherently tiny and can only balance small lumps of matter. If the field self-interacts, the boson star would naturally be similar to a fermion star in size [102].

A wide variety of boson stars have been investigated in the literature (see [103] for a comprehensive review). The most studied examples include a complex scalar field with a $U(1)$ symmetry and an associated Noether charge. Other solutions include real scalar field oscillatons [104], Proca stars [105], and axion stars [106]. In this work, we consider a complex scalar field coupled to gravity with the action

$$S_{BS} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{c^4 R}{16\pi G} - |\partial_\mu \phi|^2 - \frac{m_X^4 \beta}{\hbar^2} |\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta}{\hbar c} |\phi|^4 \right],$$

where $m_X$ is the boson mass and $\beta$ is a dimensionless coupling constant. The energy-momentum tensor is not automatically isotropic for a boson star. As such, the TOV formalism does not always apply. However, if we choose a spherically symmetric ansatz for the metric and the field $[\phi = \phi(r) e^{i\omega t}]$, the energy-momentum tensor becomes approximately isotropic.\footnote{This is true only when $\beta/(4\pi \hbar c) \approx G m_X^4$, in which case spatial derivatives of the field can be dropped such that the Klein-Gordon equation becomes algebraic.} The EoS for this boson star model was first derived in [102], and it is given by

$$P = \frac{c^5}{9 \hbar^3} \frac{m_X^4}{\beta} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{3\hbar^3 \beta \rho}{c^3 m_X^4}} - 1 \right)^2.$$
This EoS behaves as a $\gamma = 2$ polytrope at low density and smoothly softens to $\gamma = 1$ at high density.

III. MASS-RADIUS PROFILES

Masses and radii are the macroscopic quantities which immediately characterize astrophysical compact objects, and they represent the primary target of both gravitational and electromagnetic surveys. X-ray and radio observations of astrophysical binaries are expected to provide precise measurements of the mass components, as they develop multiple relativistic effects which can be used to independently constrain the stellar structure [107,108]. However, an accurate estimate of the radius still represents a challenging task, mostly relied upon the observation of signals coming from the interaction of the star with its surrounding environment, which strongly depends on the assumption employed to model the process. Coalescences of binary NSs are also among the most powerful sources of GWs for ground-based interferometers, such as LIGO [109] and Virgo [110], as the large number of cycles before the merger will allow one to extract the mass of the objects with good accuracy [111]. Moreover, unlike the EM bandwidth, GW observatories have access to another quantity, the tidal deformability [96,112], which offers complementary information about the stellar radius (see Sec. IV).

These considerations point out that it is crucial to understand how the values of $M$ and $R$ change according to the extra parameters which specify the DM sector, and to which extent they differ from ordinary NSs. The first section of our analysis will therefore be devoted to investigate these features.

A. Fermion stars

The fermion stars described in this paper are fully specified by three parameters: the coupling constant $\alpha_X$, the dark particle mass $m_X$, and the mediator mass $m_\varphi$. Hereafter, we will fix $\alpha_X/hc = \alpha = 10^{-3}$, varying the other two coefficients $m_\varphi = (8, 10, 12)\ MeV$ and $m_X = (1, 2)\ GeV$. These values lead to mass-radius profiles comparable with those computed for $\omega \pi X$ and $m_{\pi 1}$, and therefore will allow for a direct and clearer comparison with NSs. All our models, identified by the label $m_\varphi X m_X$, are presented in Fig. 1. Each point of the plot is obtained, for a chosen EoS, by varying the star’s central pressure.

The left panel of the plot shows how the dark sector parameters affect the stellar configurations. We note first that, for a fixed radius, larger values of $m_X$ rapidly decrease the mass, therefore leading to less compact objects. This is more evident from the center panel in which we draw the compactness $C = M/R$ for all of our models. The mediator mass also provides large changes, still in the same direction as $m_X$, as fewer compact stars are obtained passing from $m_\varphi = 8\ MeV$ to $m_\varphi = 12\ MeV$. It is interesting to note that the two baryonic EoS considered are characterized by steeper slopes, which lead to larger mass/radius variations. Both left and center plots also show that overlapping regions do exist between fermion stars and baryonic matter profiles which yield the same configurations. This is particularly relevant from the experimental point of view, as mass and radius measurements lead to degeneracies which may prevent a clear identification of the nature of the compact object.

Mass-radius profiles are extremely useful, since they can exploit astrophysical observations to constrain the space of nuclear EoS. As an example, in the left plot of Fig. 1 we show two shaded regions corresponding to constant surface redshift

$$Z = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)^{-1/2} - 1,$$

with two reference values, namely $z = 0.35$ and $z = 0.5$. The first one matches the data obtained for EXO 0748–676, a NS showing repeated x-ray bursts [113]. The width of the bands represents a 10% accuracy in the measurements. It is clear that, in the first case ($z = 0.35$), the observed value is already inconsistent with all the stable branches of the models considered in this section. However, a potential observation of a surface redshift $z = 0.5$ would set a tighter bound, ruling out the possibility that the source is a fermion star with one of the EoS we have used here. Using multiple observables, such as the Eddington flux and the ratio between the thermal flux and the color temperature, would further reduce the parameter space of allowed configurations.

The center panel of Fig. 1 shows another interesting property of fermion stars. The EoS considered cover large changes in the mass–radius space, but the corresponding compactness, for stable configurations, never exceeds a threshold $C \approx 0.22$, contrary to $\omega \pi X$ and $m_{\pi 1}$, which can achieve values higher than 0.3. Although significantly different from white dwarfs and main-sequence stars (for which $C \lesssim 10^{-6}$), these values are below the well known maximum theoretical bound for stationary and static NS, $C \lesssim 9/35 \approx 0.44$. This result indicates that fermion stars cannot act as black hole mimickers, i.e., compact objects with a compactness approaching the limit $C \rightarrow 0.5$ [85,114] (with $C = 0.5$ being the actual value for a nonrotating Schwarzschild black hole).

As a final remark, for each model it is useful to investigate the maximum rotation rate allowed by the stellar structure. This quantity is of particular interest for astrophysical observations, as spinning frequencies of isolated and binary NSs are measured with exquisite precision and they can be used to constrain the underlying EoS [108]. The right panel
of Fig. 1 shows the minimum rotational period for fermion stars, derived from the Keplerian limit
\[ T = \frac{2\pi}{\Omega_K}, \]
where \( \Omega_K \approx \sqrt{M/R^3} \) (mass-shedding limit). Although this is a Newtonian approximation, it gives a good estimate of the order of magnitude of this quantity and provides an absolute upper limit on the spin. As a benchmark, we also draw (horizontal black line) the value corresponding to the maximum frequency observed for a spinning NS, \( f = 716 \) Hz, i.e., \( T \approx 1.4 \) ms [115]. This constraint alone cannot rule out any theoretical model that we have used to describe both dark stars and regular NSs. Assuming a future dark star observation, a bound potentially able to exclude configurations with \( m_X \lesssim 1 \text{ GeV} \) would require a much faster rotating object, with \( f \approx 1800 \text{ Hz} \) or, equivalently, \( T \approx 0.55 \text{ ms} \).

B. Boson stars

The mass-radius profiles for boson stars are shown in the left panel of Fig. 2. We consider three values of the coupling parameter\(^4\) \( \beta = (0.5, 1, 1.5)\pi \) and two values of the boson mass \( m_X = (300, 400) \text{ MeV} \). As the fermion case, these configurations are chosen to provide the stellar models closest to the standard NSs built with \( \text{apr} \) and \( \text{ms} \). We label the boson EoS as \( \beta X m_X \).

We first note that, for a given mass, stronger couplings stiffen the EoS, leading to larger radii and, therefore, to less compact objects. At the same time, \( \beta \) modifies the maximum mass of each model, shifting the value to the top end of the parameter space. The same trend, although with a major impact, occurs if we consider lighter dark particles. Even for boson stars, the slope of the curves is smoother than that obtained for standard nuclear matter. This produces more pronounced changes in the radius distribution, as the central pressure of the star varies. The right panel of Fig. 2 also shows the stellar compactness \( M/R \).

\(^4\)The values of \( \beta \) are chosen to be less than \( 2\pi \), such that the interactions can be treated perturbatively [67].
As expected, for fermion stars and a fixed stellar mass, for DM and baryonic EoS. Our results are shown in Fig. 3. We shall compare the values of the moment of inertia computed for light particles, with $m_X < 300$ MeV, and larger values of space, as the maximum value observed so far leaves the EoS essentially unbound. Larger values of $\beta \gtrsim \pi$. We also note that for fermion stars and a fixed mass $m_X$, the spread within the configurations given by the mediator $\phi$, typically larger than the gap between $m_\phi$ and $m_\chi$, which cover a rather wide range of standard EoS currently known. This is particularly relevant for future space observations, as measurements of the spin-orbit effect (previously described) would provide errors smaller than or equal to $\Delta I$, and therefore would be able to set (at least) an upper bound on $m_\phi$. Similar considerations also apply to the boson sector, if we consider the deviations produced by the coupling parameter $\beta$.

Extracting information on the internal structure of compact objects is also a primary goal of current and future GW interferometers. The imprint of the EoS within the signals emitted during binary coalescences is mostly determined by adiabatic tidal interactions, characterized in terms of a set of coefficients, the Love numbers, which are computed assuming that tidal effects are produced by an external, time-independent gravitational field [96,119,120]. The dominant contribution $k_2$, associated with a quadrupolar deformation, is defined by the relation

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{2}{3} k_2 R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ij} = \lambda \mathcal{E}_{ij},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{ij}$ is the external tidal tensor and $Q_{ij}$ is the (tidally deformed) star’s quadrupole tensor.\(^5\) The Love number $k_2$ or, equivalently, the tidal deformability $\lambda$, depends solely on the star’s EoS. The inclusion of the Love number into semianalytical templates for GW searches and its detectability\(^6\) by current and future detectors have been deeply investigated in the literature [112,121–134]. As an example, fully relativistic numerical simulations have shown that, for stiff EoS, the radius of a standard NS can be constrained within $\sim 10\%$ of accuracy by advanced detectors, with the measurability rapidly getting worse for softer matter, i.e., for stellar configurations with larger compactness [135]. More recently, the effect of dynamic tides has been taken into account, proving that they also provide a significant contribution to the GW emission [136,137].

To this end, it is crucial to analyze how $\lambda$ behaves for dark stars, as they may lead to large signatures, potentially

\[\text{IV. MOMENTS OF INERTIA, TIDAL LOVE NUMBERS, AND QUADRUPOLE MOMENTS}\]

The moment of inertia represents another global feature of compact objects, potentially observable by electromagnetic surveys, which depends more on the compactness $I$ rather than on the microphysical details of the EoS. The moment of inertia is found to be correlated through semi-analytical relations with different stellar parameters, scaling approximately as $R^2$. Therefore, any constraint on the stellar radius naturally provides a bound for $I$ [116,117]. Moreover, this quantity affects different astrophysical processes, such as pulsar glitches, characterized by sudden increases of the stellar rotational frequency (of the order of $10^{-6}$). The relativistic spin-orbit coupling in compact binary systems also depends on the moment of inertia. In the near future, high precision pulsar timing could determine the periastron advance of such systems in order to provide an estimate of $I$ (and therefore of $R$) with an accuracy of $10\%$ [118].

Motivated by these considerations, in this section we shall compare the values of the moment of inertia computed for DM and baryonic EoS. Our results are shown in Fig. 3. As expected, for fermion stars and a fixed stellar mass, $I$ increases for smaller values of $m_\phi$ and $m_X$, with the latter leading to the largest variations, as it mainly affects the stellar compactness. For the boson case, the largest moment of inertia results from light particles, with $m_X < 300$ MeV, and stronger repulsive interactions $\beta \gtrsim \pi$. Similar considerations also apply to the boson sector, if we consider the deviations produced by the coupling parameter $\beta$.

\[\text{FIG. 3. Moment of inertia for fermion (left) and boson (right) stars, for the various EoS considered in Figs. 1–2. The yellow solid and green dashed curves correspond to the apx and m\s1 EoS, respectively.}\]
detectable by GW interferometers, to be used together with measurements of $I$ and $Q$ for multimessenger constraints. Figure 4 shows the tidal deformability as a function of the stellar mass, for fermion and boson stars. For the former, different values of $m_X$ and $m_\phi$ yield large variations of $\lambda$ within the parameter space. Such differences are mainly related to the strong dependence of the tidal deformability on the stellar radius, $\lambda \propto k_2 R^5$, which amplifies the discrepancies between the models. We also note that for boson stars a universal relation between $k_2$ and the compactness $C = M/R$ exists, which is independent of the specific choice of $m_X$ and $\beta$.

It is worthwhile to remark that these features, which ultimately reflect the stellar compactness, may be a crucial ingredient for future GW detections, as $\lambda$ is the actual parameter entering the waveform. In this regard, dark stars with a lighter mediator $\phi$ would experience large deformations, improving our ability to constrain the tidal Love number. On the other hand, EoS with $m_\phi \gg 1$ GeV would provide smaller $\lambda$, leading to weaker effects within the signal and, hence, to looser bounds on the star’s structure.

Similar considerations hold as far as boson interactions are taken into account. For a chosen mass, both larger couplings and lighter particles lead to larger values of $\lambda$. For a canonical 1.4 $M_\odot$ star, even a very stiff EoS like $m_s \leq 1$ would provide a tidal deformability more than 2 orders of magnitude smaller than those computed for $\beta \gtrsim \pi$ and $m_X \lesssim 300$ MeV. Such an enhancement would strongly improve the measurability of $\lambda$ from GW signals.

As a final remark, in Fig. 5 we show the spin-induced quadrupole moment for fermion and boson stars. Following [94,95], the spacetime describing a spinning compact object can be obtained perturbing a spherical nonrotating metric, as a power series of the dimensionless spin variable $\chi = J/M^2$, $J$ being the star’s intrinsic angular momentum. The quadrupole moment affects the perturbed metric at the second order in $\chi$. In our analysis we consider rotational frequencies $f = (10, 100)$ Hz, such that $\chi \ll 1$, i.e., requiring that spin effects represent a small perturbation of the static, spherically symmetric background. Looking at the figure we immediately note that, for a fixed mass, the values of $Q$ for dark and neutron stars yield large differences, which can potentially be tested both by GW and electromagnetic observations. Indeed, the quadrupole moment modifies the gravitational waveform produced by binary coalescences, leading to signatures detectable by terrestrial interferometers [138]. Moreover, $Q$ is expected to affect the location of the innermost stable circular orbit, and therefore to influence the geodesic motion around the star [139]. The latter plays a crucial role in several astrophysical phenomena related to accretion processes, which produce characteristic signals (quasiperiodic oscillations), that have been proven to be a powerful diagnostic tool of the nature of gravity in the strong-field regime.

A. Constraining the bulk properties: A practical example

Before further discussing the basic properties of boson and fermion stars, it is useful to provide an explicit example of how future observations will constrain the bulk properties described in the previous sections. For the sake of simplicity, we shall consider one particular quantity, the tidal deformability $\lambda$, which affects the GW signals emitted by binary systems. We consider indeed the coalescence of two non-spinning dark stars with masses $m_1$, $m_2$ and the same EoS. The emitted sky-averaged waveform in the frequency domain

$$\tilde{h}(f) = A e^{i\psi(f)}$$

is specified by the overall amplitude $A$ and the phase $\psi(f)$, which depends on the GW frequency $f$ and the physical
parameters $\mathbf{\theta} = (A, \ln M, \ln \nu, r, \phi, \Lambda)$, where $M = (m_1 + m_2)\nu^{3/5}$ and $\nu = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2)^2$ are the chirp mass and the symmetric mass ratio, while $(r, \phi)$ are the time and phase at the coalescence. The parameter $\Lambda$ is an average tidal deformability,

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2\nu} [(1 + 12/q)\lambda_1 + (1 + 12q)\lambda_2],$$

where $q = m_1 / m_2 \geq 1$, related to the $\lambda_{1,2}$ of the single objects. In our analysis we assume that the GW template is described by the post-Newtonian (PN) Taylor-F2 approximant in the frequency domain, which is 3.5 and 2.5 PN accurate in the point-particle and tidal phases, respectively. We also consider the amplitude $A$ at the leading Newtonian order. We refer the reader to [140] and [123,129] for the complete analytical expressions of the gravitational waveform. Equal-mass binaries, with $q = 1$, yield $\Lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

For strong signals, with a large signal-to-noise ratio, the errors on the parameters $\sigma_{\mathbf{\theta}}$ can be estimated using a Fisher matrix approach (see [141] and references therein). In this framework, the covariance matrix of $\mathbf{\theta}$, $\Sigma_{ij}$, is given by the inverse of the Fisher matrix

$$\Sigma_{ij} = (\Gamma_{ij})^{-1}, \quad \Gamma_{ij} = \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_j} \right)_{\theta = \hat{\theta}},$$

which contains the derivatives with respect to the binary parameters computed around the true values $\theta$, and we have defined the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between two waveforms

$$\langle h_1 | h_2 \rangle = 2 \int_{f_{\text{min}}}^{f_{\text{max}}} df \frac{\tilde{h}_1(f) \tilde{h}_2^*(f)}{S_n(f)},$$

weighted with the detector noise spectral density $S_n(f)$. In the following, we consider one single interferometer, Advanced LIGO, with the sensitivity curve provided in [142], numerically compute Eqs. (9) between $f_{\text{min}} = 20$ Hz and $f_{\text{max}} = f_{\text{ISCO}}$, the latter being the frequency at the innermost stable circular orbit for the Schwarzschild spacetime, i.e., $f_{\text{ISCO}} = (\pi / \Lambda)^{1/2} (m_1 + m_2)^{-1}$. We also assume sources at distance $d = 100$ Mpc, with masses $m_{1,2} = (1.2, 1.4) M_\odot$ and $q = 1$.

Table I shows the relative percentage errors $\sigma_{\Lambda}/\Lambda$ for the binary systems considered, and different EoS, together with the values of $\Lambda$. The third and fifth columns immediately show how, for a fixed mass, the uncertainties change among all the models. As described in the previous sections, for fermion stars, small values of the mediator mass $\phi$ lead to larger tidal deformations, which drastically improve the errors on $\Lambda$, around 1%. These numbers have to be compared against the results for standard nuclear matter, which provide much looser bounds. The same trend is observed for boson EoS with $\beta \gtrsim \pi$ and $m_\chi \lesssim 300$ MeV.

These data can be combined with other information, coming from different experiments and/or bandwidths to further constrain the stellar EoS. A more detailed analysis on this topic, focused on how to join the results from both

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{EoS} & $\ln \Lambda_{1.4}$ & $\sigma_{\Lambda}/\Lambda_{1.4}$ & $\ln \Lambda_{1.2}$ & $\sigma_{\Lambda}/\Lambda_{1.2}$ \\
\hline
msl & 10.88 & 20.55 & 10.93 & 19.41 \\
apr & 9.16 & 117.6 & 9.321 & 99.93 \\
$\phi$8 MeV,$X_1$ GeV & 14.98 & 0.6746 & 15.22 & 0.7248 \\
$\phi$10 MeV,$X_1$ GeV & 13.73 & 0.7228 & 14.06 & 0.5555 \\
$\phi$12 MeV,$X_1$ GeV & 12.63 & 2.986 & 13.08 & 1.683 \\
$\phi$8 MeV,$X_2$ GeV & \ldots & \ldots & 9.272 & 105 \\
$\phi$0.5,$X_{300}$ MeV & \ldots & \ldots & 11.05 & 17.17 \\
$\phi$1.0,$X_{300}$ MeV & 13.49 & 0.9701 & 13.85 & 0.6402 \\
$\phi$1.5,$X_{300}$ MeV & 14.95 & 0.6677 & 15.18 & 0.717 \\
$\phi$1.5,$X_{400}$ MeV & \ldots & \ldots & 10.77 & 22.92 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Average tidal deformability $\Lambda$ and corresponding relative percentage errors, computed for boson and fermion dark star binaries, with $m_{1,2} = (1.4, 1.2) M_\odot$ (second and third columns) and $m_{1,2} = (2, 1.4) M_\odot$ (fourth and fifth columns). We assume nonspinning sources at a distance $d = 100$ Mpc. For some EoS no model with $1.4 M_\odot$ exists.}
\end{table}

FIG. 6. $I$-Love-$Q$ relations for fermion stars with a fixed coupling constant $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ and different values for $m_\chi$ and $m_{\psi}$. The bottom panels show the relative percentage errors between the numerical data and the universal relation (11) (dashed black curve).
V. UNIVERSAL RELATIONS

Astrophysical observations of compact objects in both the electromagnetic and the gravitational bandwidths are limited by our ignorance on their internal structure. As discussed in the previous sections, macroscopic quantities, such as masses and radii, strictly depend on the underlying EoS, and their measurement is strongly affected by the behavior of matter at extreme densities. This lack of information can be mitigated by exploiting the recently discovered $I$-Love-$Q$ universal relations [143,144], which relate the moment of inertia, the tidal Love number, and the spin-induced quadrupole moment of slowly rotating compact objects through semianalytical relations, which are almost insensitive to the stellar composition and are accurate within 1%. The $I$-Love-$Q$ have several applications, as they can be used to break degeneracies between astrophysical parameters and make redundancy tests of general relativity (GR) [145,146]. These relations have been extensively investigated in the literature so far, extending their domain of validity to binary coalescence [147], fast-rotating bodies [148–151], magnetars [152], and proto-NS [153]. This analysis also led to the discovery of new universal relations, both in GR [150,151,154–158] and in alternative theories of gravity [159–162].

The $I$-Love-$Q$ relations are described by semianalytic fits of the following form:

$$\ln y = a + b \ln x + c(\ln x)^2 + d(\ln x)^3 + e(\ln x)^4,$$

(11)

where $(a, b, c, d, e)$ are numerical coefficients (provided in [144]), while $(y, x)$ correspond to the trio $(I, \lambda, \tilde{Q})$, normalized such that

$$I = \frac{I}{M^3}, \quad \tilde{Q} = -\frac{Q}{M^3\chi^2}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\lambda}{M^5},$$

(12)

where $M$ is the mass of the nonrotating configuration. Although the reason of the universality is not completely clear, several works have already provided interesting proofs to support the discovery, which can be classified into three main arguments: (i) an approximate version of the no-hair theorem which holds for isolated black holes in GR [163]; (ii) the assumption that NS are modeled by isodensity contours which are self-similar ellipsoids, with large variations of the eccentricity being able to destroy the universality [153,164–166]; and (iii) the stationarity of $I$-Love-$Q$ under perturbations of the EoS around the incompressible limit, suggesting that EoS independence could be related to the proximity of NSs to incompressible objects [167,168].

In this regard, it is extremely interesting to analyze the validity of the $I$-Love-$Q$ relations for nonordinary NSs. The next sections will be devoted to test our results for fermion and boson stars against the original relations derived in [144].

A. Fermion stars

Figure 6 shows the universal relations among the $(\tilde{I}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{Q})$ trio for the fermion stars considered in this paper. Colored dots represent our results, obtained by solving the TOV equations for different values of $m_\phi$ and $m_\chi$, while the dashed black curve refers to the semianalytical fit (11). The bottom panel of each plot also shows the relative errors between the latter and the numerical values. We note that, in all the three cases, the original universal relations seem to accurately describe the data for $\tilde{\lambda} \lesssim 10^5$ and $\tilde{Q} \lesssim 50$, with errors less than 10%. Although these values are larger compared with those obtained for standard NSs, which are of order 1%, it is still notable that the $I$-Love-$Q$ relations are able to describe such exotic objects with reasonable accuracy. However, larger values of the tidal deformability and quadrupole moment, corresponding to less compact stars, rapidly deteriorate the agreement. Nevertheless, Fig. 6 also shows that it is still possible to interpolate the points with one single curve, which better approximates the data. Indeed, fitting our results with the same functional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>$e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{I}$</td>
<td>$\tilde{\lambda}$</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.0946</td>
<td>0.0184</td>
<td>$-0.000514$</td>
<td>$5.51 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{I}$</td>
<td>$\tilde{Q}$</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>$-0.0118$</td>
<td>0.0383</td>
<td>$-0.0031$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{Q}$</td>
<td>$\tilde{\lambda}$</td>
<td>0.00796</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.00526</td>
<td>$-0.00046$</td>
<td>$8.53 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Best-fit coefficients of the $I$-Love-$Q$ relations for fermion stars, with the same functional form of Eqs. (11).
form of Eq. (11), we find new universal relations, which reproduce the numerical values with accuracy better than 10% within the entire spectrum of models. The fitting coefficients of these relations are listed in Table II.

### B. Boson stars

Universal relations between $I$, $\hat{Q}$, and $\hat{\lambda}$ also exist for boson stars. As a first comparison, it is useful to analyze the agreement between our numerical results for the dark EoS and the original fits (11). Figure 7 shows the agreement between our numerical results for the dark EoS and the original fits (11). Figure 7 shows the agreement holds for the order of 1% (or even less). For the accurate as for standard NSs, with both quadrupole moment and of the tidal deformability. More precisely, for $100 \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 10^4$, the $I$-Love-$Q$ relations are as accurate as for standard NSs, with both $\Delta I$ and $\Delta \hat{Q}$ being of the order of 1% (or even less). For the $I - \hat{Q}$ pair a reasonable agreement holds for $2 \lesssim Q \lesssim 30$, with relative errors smaller than 10%. Outside these ranges, the discrepancies increase monotonically with $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{Q}$, up to 100%.

However, as described in the previous section, it is still possible to fit all the data to obtain new universal relations with improved accuracy, which reproduce the numerical results of our boson stars with relative errors smaller than 1% for $\hat{\lambda} \gtrsim 10^2$ and $\hat{Q} \gtrsim 5$. The coefficients of these relations are listed in Table III.

### VI. CONCLUSIONS

Self-interacting DM particles represent a well-motivated theoretical and observational scenario, potentially able to solve a number of important problems, currently unresolved by the CCDM paradigm. In this picture, asymmetric DM may cluster to form stable astrophysical objects, compact enough to mimic regular NSs. If such objects form in nature, they offer the unique chance to explore the dark sector in extreme physical conditions, characterized by the strong-gravity regime. Since the only dark matter property which is known with certainty is that it gravitates, the existence of dark stars could reveal particle properties of DM without any nongravitational coupling to the Standard Model.

In this paper we have investigated compact stars modeled with fermionic and scalar $\phi^4$ DM EoS, as viable candidates to be tested with future electromagnetic and GW observations. By solving the stellar structure equations for slowly rotating and tidally deformed bodies, we have derived the most important bulk properties of such dark stars, namely, their moment of inertia, tidal deformability, and quadrupole moment. Together with the mass and the radius, these quantities specify (at leading order) the shape of the compact object and its external gravitational field, and therefore they affect the orbital motion and the astrophysical phenomena in its close surroundings. We have compared these results with two extreme cases of soft and stiff standard nuclear EoS, showing that dark objects may cover large portions of the parameter space close to standard NSs. Moreover, as an explicit example, we have computed the constraints that current GW interferometers may already be able to set from signals emitted by binary systems composed of two dark stars.

Our results also show that universal relations for both the fermion and the boson case do exist, which connect the $(I, \lambda, Q)$ trio regardless of the specific EoS. These relations could be extremely useful in the near future to combine multiple observations and perform redundancy tests of the stellar model. The validity of the $I$-Love-$Q$ relations for dark stars seems to also confirm that besides the particle content, the universality may be related to the ellipsoidal isodensity contours used to model the spinning and tidally deformed stars.

Finally, a simple analysis of the mass-radius profiles shows that the stellar compactness of all the considered models never exceeds the threshold $C \approx 0.24$. Interestingly, we find that this is actually a more general result, holding for all fermionic and bosonic EoS for which a self-similar symmetry exists, such that the mass and the radius scale independently. We prove this statement analytically in Appendix. As a consequence, bosonic EoS lead to stellar configurations with a maximum compactness $C_{\text{max}} \approx 0.16$, independently from the coupling. In the fermionic case, self-similarity can be proven for noninteracting ($\alpha_X = 0$) or strong-interacting particles, leading to $C_{\text{max}} \approx 0.15$ and $C_{\text{max}} \approx 0.22$, respectively. We note that in both cases, our analysis applies to stable configurations, and that larger values may be obtained, as far as the unstable branches are considered. This result clearly indicates that dark stars are not compact enough to act as black hole mimickers.
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Appendix: Self-Similarity

The solutions to the TOV equations in this paper exhibit self-similar symmetries, i.e., the shape (not the scale) of the mass-radius relation is independent of the EoS parameters (such as the particle mass or the interaction strength). In this appendix we discuss the features of the EoS which lead to self-similarity, so that general statements about our models can be made, without scanning the entire space of parameters.

We first define a dimensionless mass and radius,

\[ M_\ast \equiv \frac{GM}{c^2 \ell}, \quad r_\ast \equiv \frac{r}{\ell}, \]  

where \( \ell \) is a length scale. Note that \( C = M_\ast/r_\ast \) independent of \( \ell \). If we further use the scaling \( \ell \) to define a dimensionless density \( \rho_\ast \) and pressure \( P_\ast \), the TOV equations can be cast in a dimensionless form, again independent of the choice of \( \ell \). The dimensionless density and pressure are

\[ \rho_\ast \equiv \frac{\ell^2 G}{c^2} \rho, \quad P_\ast \equiv \frac{\ell^2 G}{c^2} P. \]  

Using these variables, the TOV equations read

\[ \frac{dP_\ast}{dr_\ast} = -\frac{M_\ast \rho_\ast}{r_\ast^2} \left( 1 + \frac{P_\ast}{\rho_\ast} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{4\pi r_\ast^2 P_\ast}{M_\ast} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M_\ast}{r_\ast} \right)^{-1}, \]

\[ \frac{dM_\ast}{dr_\ast} = 4\pi r_\ast^2 \rho_\ast. \]  

To solve these equations, we must specify the central density \( \rho_\ast(0) \) and the EoS \( P_\ast = P_\ast(\rho_\ast) \). The mass-radius relation follows from scanning over all values of \( \rho_\ast(0) \). The parameters of the model can affect the differential equations only if they enter through the EoS. Therefore, if we can choose the scaling parameter \( \ell \), such that the EoS is independent of model parameters (when written in dimensionless variables), then the solution will be self-similar.

For fermionic EoS, it is in general not possible to rescale pressure and density such that the EoS [Eq. (2)] is independent of the model parameters \( m_X, \alpha_X, \) and \( m_p \). However, a scaling does exist if the interactions vanish \( (\alpha_X = 0) \), and we may choose

\[ \ell_{FS} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^3}{Gc m_X^2}}. \]  

In this case, the dimensionless EoS is \( P_\ast = \chi^{-1}(\rho_\ast) \) and the maximum compactness is \( C = 0.15 \). If the interaction term in Eq. (2) is so large that Fermi repulsion is negligible, the EoS can also be rescaled to dimensionless form with \( P_\ast = \rho_\ast \). However, this limit cannot be satisfied everywhere in the star, since interactions are always subdominant near the surface.\( ^7 \) Still, in the regime of large interactions \( (\alpha_X \hbar c m_X^2/m_p^2 \ll 1) \) we find that the mass-radius relations become approximately self-similar, with a maximum compactness around \( C \approx 0.22 \). Whereas, in the range of intermediate interaction strength, \( (\alpha_X \hbar c m_X^2/m_p^2 \sim 1) \), the solutions are not self-similar.

Unlike the fermion star EoS with nonzero \( \alpha_X \), the boson star EoS with self-interactions in Eq. (4) produces exactly self-similar mass-radius relations. The relevant rescaling is

\[ \ell_{BS} = \sqrt{\frac{3\hbar^3}{Gc m_X^2}}, \]  

and the dimensionless EoS is given by

\[ P_\ast = \frac{1}{3} (\sqrt{1 + \rho_\ast} - 1)^2. \]  

We find the maximum compactness with this EoS to be \( C \approx 0.16 \).

\( ^7 \) Because \( x \to 0 \) and \( \chi^6 \) vanishes faster than the functions \( \chi(x) \) and \( \xi(x) \).
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