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Corrigendum


Anne Skakkebæk, Claus Højbjerg Gravholm, Peter Mondrup Rasmussen, Anders Bojesen, Jens Søndergaard Jensen, Jens Fedder, Peter Laurberg, Jens Michael Hertz, John Rosendahl Østergaard, Anders Degn Pedersen, Mikkel Wallentin

In the paper entitled “Neuroanatomical correlates of Klinefelter syndrome studied in relation to the neuropsychological profile” by Anne Skakkebæk, Claus Højbjerg Gravholm, Peter Mondrup Rasmussen, Anders Bojesen, Jens Søndergaard Jensen, Jens Fedder, Peter Laurberg, Jens Michael Hertz, John Rosendahl Østergaard, Anders Degn Pedersen and Mikkel Wallentin, published in Neuroimage:Clinical, Volume 4, 2014, pp. 1–9 we have become aware, that the reported p-value for the comparison of Klinefelter Syndrome participants and control participants on the Stroop Color Word Test variable “SCWT diff” in Table 2 is incorrect. The correct value for the p-value is 0.27, and there is therefore no significance between KS and controls on the Stroop Color Word Test inhibition difference score. The reported SCWT diff mean scores for the two Groups in table 2 are correct. Based on our error we wrote in section 3.2. that KS patients have poorer response inhibition compared to controls. This is then also not correct as there is no difference in response inhibition between KS and controls. We have re-analyzed all other results and this is the only result that is not correct.

The incorrect p-value does not affect any of the other results or analyzes in the article, and does not affect the discussion and conclusion of the article.

The authors would like to apologize for any inconvenience this has caused to the reviewers of this article and readers of the journal.