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Transanal endoscopic microsurgery for advanced polyps and early cancers in the rectum—Long-term outcome
A STROBE compliant observational study
Issam al-Najami, MD\textsuperscript{a,b,}\textsuperscript{*}, Carl Philip Rancinger, MD\textsuperscript{b}, Morten Kobaek Larsen, PhD\textsuperscript{a,b}, Niels Thomassen, MD\textsuperscript{c}, Niels Buch, MD\textsuperscript{b}, Gunnar Baatrup, DMSc\textsuperscript{a,b}

Abstract
Transanal endoscopic microsurgery (TEM) allows for the resection of large adenomas and early stage cancers in the rectum. The rate of complications and recurrence for malignant tumors compared with benign tumors has been questioned.

The objective of our study was to analyze the outcome after TEM procedures for adenomas and cancers with focus on local recurrence and complications.

All 280 patients who had a TEM procedure between January 2008 and September 2015 were enrolled in a prospective cohort study. Outcome was described for benign and malignant tumors. Mortality, recurrence, and complications were recorded.

Two hundred eighty tumors were treated with TEM, 176 (63\%) were benign and 104 (37\%) were malignant. Complication rates were significantly different in the 2 groups, 10.8\% (n = 19) in the benign and 24.0\% (n = 25) in the malignant group (P = 0.003). A significant difference in perforation/penetration to the peritoneal cavity was noted (P = 0.034). There were no significant difference in the recurrence rate of 8.3\% (n = 13) in the benign and 9.0\% (n = 7) in the malignant groups. Thirty days mortality rates were 1.1\% in the benign group versus 1.9\% in the malignant. Other complications were noted in 2.8\% and 3.8\% in the benign and malignant group, respectively.

TEM seems to be a safe and viable procedure for removing both benign and malignant lesions from the rectum. TEM offers low mortality and complication rates also recurrence after resection of malignant tumors.

Abbreviations: ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists, CT = computed tomography, EMR = endoscopic mucosa resection, ERUS = endorectal ultrasound, ESD = endoscopic submucosal dissection, MDT = multidisciplinary team, OPEN = Odense Patient Explorative Data Network, SD = standard deviation, STROBE = Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology, TEM = transanal endoscopic microsurgery, TME = total mesorectal excision.
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1. Introduction
International experiences have verified an increase in the amount of TNM stage T1+T2 cancers from 17\% to 40\%\textsuperscript{[1]} after the introduction of screening.

The indications for endoscopic mucosa resection (EMR), endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), transanal endoscopic microsurgery (TEM), and total mesorectal excision (TME) in rectal cancer are still debatable. In our institution EMR and ESD are used for the tumors which, on preoperative endorectal ultrasound (ERUS), are staged as T0 and TEM is used when malignancy cannot be excluded with certainty or a T1 stage is diagnosed. The adenomas treated with TEM in this series are the large rectal ones as determined by ERUS and macroscopic morphology.

TME is the standard procedure for the treatment of rectal cancers more advanced than T1sm2 due to its good oncological outcome in respect to recurrence and cure,\textsuperscript{[2]} but the rate of major complications, and procedure related mortality has motivated a careful selection of patients to TME.\textsuperscript{[3,4]}

One well-established alternative surgical procedure to remove adenomas and early stage cancer in the rectum is TEM. The TEM procedure has existed for more than 30 years.\textsuperscript{[5]} The procedure is a minimally invasive surgical procedure, performed transanally with a rigid proctoscope and a stereomicroscope which enables precise endoluminal resection. One major limitation is the lack of lymph node harvesting from the mesorectum, although locoregional excision including parts of the mesorectum has been described.\textsuperscript{[6]} For cancers our indications for TEM, with the intention to cure, are tumors less than 5 cm in diameter and not more advanced than T1sm2.\textsuperscript{[7,8]} Preoperative T- and N-staging
of early cancers are best assessed by ERUS, but preoperative sm substaging is not possible, which may result in TEM specimens with a higher T stage than anticipated. If pathology reports do not show radical resection, or reveals a more advanced T stage or substaging is not possible, which may result in TEM specimens with a higher T stage than anticipated. A completion TME is performed.\[10,11\]

The correct selection of patients for a TEM procedure, or a major bowel resection like a TME, relies therefore on a correct preoperative staging and early completion surgery in case of preoperative understaging.

The objectives of this study are to ensure the quality of the TEM procedure, by assessing the surgical outcomes for patients treated with TEM in terms of radical surgery, local recurrence, mortality, and complications.

2. Methods

To improve the reporting of our observational research, we followed a checklist of items that should be addressed: the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE).

This is a STROBE compliant observational descriptive cohort study, data are used to assess the quality of TEM.

The local database was hosted by OPEN (Odense Patient Explorative Data Network)\[12\] with general approval by the Danish Data Protection Agency, and approved by the local ethics committee of Southern Denmark (registration number: s-20140075/2008-58-0035). Informed consent was given from the patients before data were entered in the database. Data were prospectively collected in the period of January 2008 to September 2015. All the TEM procedures, performed at the surgical unit of Odense University Hospital and Aarhus University Hospital were registered. Data were entered into a dedicated database and, in cases of missing data, they were crosschecked with the patient’s file. The data collected during the in-hospital period were: Demographical data and American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA)-score determined by the anesthesiologist, size, and location of the neoplasm and the intention of treatment as cure, compromise, or palliation. Histopathological data on the completeness of the excision and on T stage were entered into the database at the first follow-up appointment. Data on complications, recurrence, and mortality were collected at all follow-up appointments. The follow-up program for benign tumors included a rectoscopy after 3 and 12 months if the tumor was excised by a piecemeal resection. Otherwise a follow-up program for TEM with the intention to cure. Tumor recurrence is defined as a new tumor in the rectum following the TEM procedure.

All statistical analyses were conducted with STATA (version 13.1). Data were analyzed using exact methods for binomial data. A Fisher exact test was used to assess the hypothesis of no difference in risk for the outcomes of benign and malignant tumors, with an assumption of categorical data. P values <0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Demographics

A total of 280 patients underwent a TEM procedure. They were stratified into benign (n = 176) and malignant (n = 104) disease (see Table 1 for further demographics). Mean ASA score for benign and malignant groups were 1.8 (SD = 0.8) and 2.1 (SD = 0.8), respectively (P = 0.02).

3.2. Complications

Per-operative complications were observed in 4.5% (n = 8) and 5.6% (n = 6), respectively (P = 0.78) (see Table 2). Unintended penetration to the peritoneal cavity occurred in 2.4% (n = 4) and 1.1% (n = 1) (P = 0.41) while bleeding was present in 0.6% (n = 1) and 2.9% (n = 3) (P = 0.11) of the cases.

The total postoperative complication rates were 10.8% (n = 19) versus 24.0% (n = 25) (P = 0.03) in benign and malignant cases (see Table 3). These included perforation 2.2% (n = 4) versus 7.7% (n = 8) (P = 0.05). Bleeding occurring before hospital discharge was 2.8% (n = 5) and 7.7% (n = 8) (P = 0.08) and bleeding after discharge as 2.8% (n = 5) and 4.8% (n = 5) (P = 0.50). One patient with bleeding was treated with blood transfusion, and 2 patients treated with a reendoscopy and a hemostatic procedure. Forty-two percent (n = 5/12) of the perforations were treated with open surgery: Hartmann's surgery, which was performed in 2 patients. There was a hospital mortality of 1.1% (n = 3). Early stage carcinoma in the rectum, not more advanced than T1 N0 M0, was demonstrated by ERUS. TEM was also used as an alternative to radical surgery in some patients with more advanced T stage and comorbidity, and/or high age, as a compromise or for palliation, after being discussed in a multidisciplinary team (MDT) panel. Some patients refused further treatment after TEM. All TEM procedures were performed by 1 of 5 certified and formally trained consultants.
Of the tumors that recurred was 26.5 mm excised by a piecemeal technique. In the malignant cases the size/C2 38.5 mm 6). In the benign cases the size of the tumors that recurred was 20% (n 78), TEM for palliation 50% (n 3/ 6). In the benign cases the size of the tumors that recurred was 38.5 mm × 26.3 mm in largest diameter (SD: 31.0 mm × 23.0 mm). Four tumors had pathological-free margins, 10 were excised by a piecemeal technique. In the malignant cases the size of the tumors that recurred was 26.5 mm × 19.1 mm (SD: 21.2 mm × 12.8 mm). Six tumors had pathological-free margins, 7 were excised by a piecemeal technique.

Mean time to recurrence was 14 months (SD: 10.0) and 9.5 months (SD: 8.2, P = 0.14), respectively.

Ten patients received preoperative radiation therapy and 3 of them had a recurrence. Time to recurrence was 5.7 months (SD: 3.5). All of them were treated for compromise, because of comorbidity making them not eligible for TME and underwent TEM following radiotherapy instead of standard TME. Four deaths were reported; 2 in the benign and 2 in the malignant group (1.1% vs 1.9%).

One of them was related to the surgical procedure, 3 of them died of an advanced cancer disease. One patient with benign disease died from an advanced, but unrelated malignancy. All of them had TEMs for palliation or compromise.

### 3.3. Radical resection

Radical resection was determined by the surgeon, and the pathologist. A radical resection as assessed by the pathologist was achieved in 77% (n = 136) in benign cases and 55% (n = 57) in malignant cases (P < 0.05). According to the surgeon 99% (n = 166) had a macro radical excision in the benign group. There were missing data in 8 cases in that group. Ninety percent (n = 84) were registered as macroradically excised malignant tumors by the surgeon. Seven cases had missing data. All the resections determined not complete resection by the pathologist, were piecemeal resections. Radical resections were noted in 193 cases according to the pathologist compared to 250 according to the surgeons. All cases of pathological nonradical resections were piecemeal resections.

### 3.4. Recurrence

Eighty-three percent (n = 146) of the benign cases and 85% (n = 88) of the malignant cases (P = 0.76) were enrolled in the follow-up protocol as described above. The mean follow-up was 16.4 months (SD: 15.2) in the benign group and 15.2 months (SD: 12.8) in the malignant group. Recurrence were noted in 8.3% (n = 13) and 13.5% (n = 14) (P = 0.72) in the benign and malignant group, respectively (see Table 4). TEM procedures for cure had a recurrence rate of 9% (n = 77/8), TEM for compromise 20% (n = 4/20), and TEM for palliation 50% (n = 3/ 6). In the benign cases the size of the tumors that recurred was 38.5 mm × 26.3 mm in largest diameter (SD: 31.0 mm × 23.0 mm). Four tumors had pathological-free margins, 10 were excised by a piecemeal technique. In the malignant cases the size of the tumors that recurred was 26.5 mm × 19.1 mm (SD: 21.2 mm × 12.8 mm). Six tumors had pathological-free margins, 7 were excised by a piecemeal technique.

Mean time to recurrence was 14 months (SD: 10.0) and 9.5 months (SD: 8.2, P = 0.14), respectively.

Ten patients received preoperative radiation therapy and 3 of them had a recurrence. Time to recurrence was 5.7 months (SD: 3.5). All of them were treated for compromise, because of comorbidity making them not eligible for TME and underwent TEM following radiotherapy instead of standard TME. Four deaths were reported; 2 in the benign and 2 in the malignant group (1.1% vs 1.9%).

One of them was related to the surgical procedure, 3 of them died of an advanced cancer disease. One patient with benign disease died from an advanced, but unrelated malignancy. All of them had TEMs for palliation or compromise.

### 4. Discussion

Postoperative complications were more frequent after cancer resections than after resection of adenomas. The overall complication rates were higher in cancer surgery. In particular the rates of unintended perforations to the peritoneum were more frequent during cancer resections. Our data show no significant difference in perioperative bleeding in the benign and malignant TEMs. The complication rates were low, and comparable to existing results from similar studies.[14–16] They show infrequent occurrence of bleeding during surgery for both benign and malignant TEM, and a low risk of perforation.

Other complications were described, most notably anal incontinence and stenosis. However, these were too infrequent to be of statistical significance. It should be noted that preoperative anal function was not assessed.

![Figure 1. Other complications included incontinence, strictures, infections, and pain.](image-url)
Bleeding was not significantly different in the 2 groups, neither before nor after hospital discharge. However, there is a nonsignificant tendency toward more frequent bleeding in the malignant group. Restivo et al.\(^{[14]}\) found cancer to be the only risk factor for bleeding when performing TEM, but in our clinic TEM is performed only when cancer is expected or likely. Few patients were treated for a rectal bleeding indicating that bleeding is a self-limiting minor complication and most often manageable conservatively.

The higher occurrence of perforation in the malignant group was expected due to the full thickness approach and intended wider lateral margins when dealing with a cancer. Intrapерitoneal perforation is often described as a major complication in TEM surgery and may require rescue surgery. In our data 5 patients were treated for a perforation with open surgery. The remaining cases were managed by suturing during the TEM procedure. Perhaps the latter cases should not be considered as a complication, but as part of the procedure. Our rate of complications would then be overestimated.

It is evident that early stage T1 cancers of the rectum are well suited for excision by TEM.\(^{[15–18]}\) More advanced cancers may show a higher risk of complications during TEM surgery.

Different studies demonstrate different complication rates; our results are comparable to other studies despite a complication rate of 10.8% and 24.0% in the benign and the malignant group, respectively, because most of them are minor. The overall mortality rate was considered low and there were no significant difference between the 2 groups. Furthermore the mortality rates were based on mortality to unrelated conditions than the TEM procedure itself, when correlated to that the mortality rate is 0. Our data showed low rates of complications during surgery, both with regards to bleeding and perforation. This supports the general impression that TEM surgery is safe, also when dealing with malignant lesions of the rectum. The ASA score was higher in the malignant group, which may contribute to the higher frequency of complications.

No significant difference in recurrence rates between the 2 groups was found. Our results do show a high overall rate of tumor recurrence of 13.4% in the malignant group. The recurrence rate after intention to cure was 9% and comparable to the results presented by others.\(^{[16,19]}\)

The mean time to recurrence from benign tumors was 14 and from malignant 9.5 months. The mean time to recurrence after irradiated cancers was 14.7 months. This emphasizes the need for the close follow-up regime, during the first year, but also the second and third year as seen in the standard deviations (SDs). The close follow-up should be longer after irradiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benign, n=176</th>
<th>Malignant, n=104</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radical resection by pathologist, n (%)</td>
<td>136 (77.3)</td>
<td>57 (64.8)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radical resection by surgeon, n (%)</td>
<td>166 (94.3)</td>
<td>84 (80.7)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients receiving follow-up, n (%)</td>
<td>146 (82.9)</td>
<td>88 (84.6)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follow-up, mo (SD)</td>
<td>16.4 (15.2)</td>
<td>15.2 (12.8)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor recurrence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM for cure, n=78 (%)</td>
<td>13 (7.3)</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM for compromise, n=20 (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM for palliation, n=6 (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation, TEM = transanal endoscopic microsurgery.

Forty-six patients either declined further follow-up, or no further follow-up was indicated, because the intention of their treatment was either palliative or a compromise. There is discrepancy between the evaluation of complete resection as judged by the surgeon and the complete resection assessed by the pathologist. The histological assessment of the complete resections remains the gold standard and clinical decisions based on the surgeon’s judgment of the grade of complete resections should be questioned. In the cases of piecemeal resections, however, one could argue that the surgeon’s judgment may be more informative. A recurrence occurred in 2 of 20 cases judged to have a nonradical resection by the surgeon, both cases were piecemeal resections. The length of in-hospital stay after benign and malignant resections is not different.

5. Conclusion

TEM is a safe and viable treatment for rectal tumors, both for benign and early malignant tumors. TEM is followed by low mortality and complications rates, and a short hospital stay.

There is a significantly higher risk of unintended perforation when operating for malignant lesions. It may be questioned if bleeding and perforations managed transanally is truly a complication. Further studies are needed to address risk factors with regards to bleeding and perforation.
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