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Abstract
Otitis media is a frequent problem in preschool children and one of the most common reasons for treatment with antibiotics in children. The exact diagnosis is important for proper management. The diagnosis of otitis media is often difficult. Pneumatic otoscopy, otomicroscopy, and tympanometry can improve the diagnostic quality by indicating fluid or no fluid in the middle ear and thus improve the quality of treatment. The aim of this review is to explain why and how tympanometry can improve the diagnostic quality in otitis media, and to identify some barriers and difficulties in using tympanometry in daily practice.
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Core tip: The aim of this review is to explain why and how tympanometry can improve the diagnostic quality in otitis media, and to identify some barriers and difficulties in using tympanometry in daily practice.


INTRODUCTION
Otitis media (OM) is a common disease in children. More than 80% of children have had OM before the age of two years[1]. The presentation of otitis media can have two different forms: either acute otitis media (AOM) or otitis media with effusion (OME), also called secretory otitis media. The two forms are closely related. We often see a development from OME to AOM and from AOM to OME, as well as stages in between often challenging the physicians.

AOM is an acute inflammatory disease in the middle ear with acute symptoms like ear pain, ear tugging, vomiting, sleeping problems and often fever,
the tympanic membrane is changed in structure (opaque) and color (erythema) and often bulging out, and the middle ear cavity contains fluid (purulent)\[^2\]. The tympanic membrane has in children with AOM no or impaired mobility. When culturing, more than \(\frac{3}{4}\) are found to have bacteria in the middle ear fluid. In Denmark, before the era of antibiotics AOM was a serious disease among children with a mortality of about 6%\[^3\]. Even today 127 relevant papers on complications and sequelae have been published between January 2007 and June 2011\[^4\].

OME often has no or minor symptoms like a small hearing loss, some ear discomfort or pain and sleeping problems\[^6\]. The tympanic membrane can be transparent and amber colored or sometimes grey with visible fluid levels or air bubbles behind the tympanic membrane, and the mobility is impaired\[^6,7\]. Or the tympanic membrane can be opaque (not transparent), dull or sometimes edematous. In OME the fluid normally is without living bacteria.

### WHY THE DIAGNOSIS IS IMPORTANT IN CHILDREN WITH EAR COMPLAINTS?

In otitis media a correct diagnosis is fundamental to proper management. AOM must be differentiated from OME to avoid unnecessary antimicrobial use\[^6,7\]. Diagnosing AOM requires a history of acute onset in sign and symptoms, and presence of middle ear effusion, and signs of middle ear inflammation\[^2\], whereas OME just is fluid in the middle ear without signs or symptoms of acute ear infection\[^7\].

The diagnosis of OM can be difficult in a crying child with fever in the out-of-hours service in the late evening. Also the differentiation between AOM and OME can be difficult with a normal otoscope, especially in children, because of a narrow and angled ear canal with hair and cerumen. Is the tympanic membrane normal (gray, translucent, with light reflex)? Is the tympanic membrane translucent or with changed color (erythema or amber)? Is it possible to see fluid level or air bubbles behind the tympanic membrane? etc.

### HOW TO IMPROVE OUR CLINICAL EXAMINATION?

Three important tools can improve our clinical examination. Pneumatic otoscopy improves the diagnostic quality by giving information of the mobility of the tympanic membrane, thus providing an indication about presence or absence of fluid in the middle ear and thereby improving the quality of treatment. Pneumatic otoscopy is recommended in the United States guidelines on OME with the best balanced sensitivity 94% (95\%CI: 91\%-96\%) and specificity of 80% (75\%-86\%)\[^7\]. The equipment for doing pneumatic otoscopy is not expensive, but the problem is that it is difficult to learn and is therefore seldom used in general practice\[^8\].

Another tool is otomicroscope with better light and bioccular magnification. It can easily be combined with pneumatic examination. A sensitivity of up to 94\% and specificity of 94\% have been found\[^9,10\]. The otomicroscope requires a lot of space in the surgery, is difficult to move, and is expensive. Therefore it is not recommended for use in normal general practice clinics.

A third technique to improve the diagnostic quality in ear patients is tympanometry (electroacoustic impedance audiometry). Tympanometry is in the guidelines recommended as an alternative or supplement to pneumatic otoscopy\[^7\]. Tympanometry measures the “stiffness” of the tympanic membrane (and middle ear and ear canal). The use can be learned in a few hours course, including understanding of the test results displayed on the screen\[^11\]. The tympanometer is still relatively expensive, but more demand will hopefully reduce the price.

### MORE TECHNICAL DETAILS ON TYMPANOMETRY - WHAT IS IT?

As mentioned, tympanometry is an electroacoustic impedance measurement of the tympanic membrane and related structures\[^12\]. A generated sound of 220 Hz is sent into the ear canal, and a microphone measures the reflection of sound energy from the tympanic membrane during a pressure change in the ear canal from +200 to -400 daPa (dekapascal nearly equal to mm water pressure). That means the tympanic membrane will be pressed inwards in the beginning, then gradually become more relaxed, when the pressure in the ear canal is the same as in the middle ear and finally more tense or stiff when the negative pressure in the ear canal sucks the tympanic membrane outwards. During this pressure change the impedance (or actually the admittance) is recorded and displayed on the screen of the tympanometer (low - > high - > low) - normally as a bell-shaped curve called a tympanogram, with a top between +50 and -99 daPa. This curve is called type A tympanogram according to Jerger/Fiellau-Nikolajensen’s modification\[^13,14\]. In ears with negative pressure the curve has the same shape, but is displaced to the left, the negative side of the X-axis. When the pressure is between -100 and -199 daPa it is called a type C1 curve and when between -200 and -400 a type C2 curve. In middle ears filled with fluid the tympanic membrane will act more stiffly, i.e., more sound is reflected (less admittance) and the curve will be very low or flat (a type B tympanogram) (Figure 1). Type B tympanogram has a high predictive value for fluid in the middle ear (97\%-93\%), and a type A tympanogram signifies a middle ear without fluid\[^15,16\]. The C tympanograms are in a stage between normal and not normal. Often C1 is classified as normal, and often C2 means negative pressure with a mix of fluid and air in the middle ear\[^15\].
WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF USING TYMPANOMETRY IN GENERAL PRACTICE?

The effect of using tympanometry was studied in a group of 40 GPs in the Region Southern Denmark\textsuperscript{[17]}. They performed otoscopy and made a diagnosis on the basis of history and examination. Subsequently they performed tympanometry successfully in 88% of the children, and in 26% the diagnosis was changed. Their findings indicated that tympanometry and training of the GPs resulted in more relevant cases being referred for treatment by ENT\textsuperscript{[17]}.

In another Danish study 20 GPs were randomized to use tympanometry and 20 to usual management. Children under 16 years of age were included when the GP found indication for otoscopy\textsuperscript{[18]}. They found 8.4% with acute otitis in the control group and 2.6% in the tympanometry group. OME was found in 14.2% in the control group and in 25% in the tympanometry group. Antibiotics were prescribed in 7.6% in the control group and in 4.1% in the tympanometry group\textsuperscript{[18]}. [Figure 1](#fig1)

WHAT ARE THE PROBLEMS IN USING TYMPANOMETRY?

We asked a group of GPs and practice nurses attending courses on otitis media and tympanometry what problems they had\textsuperscript{[11]}. Of the 197 participants 142 completed the questionnaire (72%), 48 (34%) had not used tympanometry before, and 94 (62%; 95%CI: 52-71) of the participants with experience in tympanometry had frequently one or more problems when performing tympanometry (Table 1). The total number of frequent problems was 172. None of the 94 experienced participants were without problems when performing tympanometry.

The problems were often technical: how to get airtight sealing, or a reliable written curve, and how to handle the tympanometer. The other half of the problems was how to understand the curves and the written figures on the display and how to use the information in the clinical situation\textsuperscript{[11]}. Table 2 gives more detailed answers to these questions. After the course, the number of frequent problems was reduced significantly (Table 1).

The problems in using tympanometry is reflected in a surprisingly skewed use, with many not using at all, a majority using it seldom, and a very few using it more than once daily\textsuperscript{[11,19]} (Figure 2).

WHAT IS RELATED TO THE USE OF TYMPANOMETRY?

Clinic type does not matter. Single-handed GPs in smaller clinics have the same use (mean 24.4, 95%CI: 20.2-28.8) of tympanometry per year as GP in clinics with several GPs (mean 26.3, 95%CI: 22.3-30.4), (ANOVA, F = 0.4, P = 0.53)\textsuperscript{[19]}. We found no relation to sex and age in the use of tympanometry. We found a difference in use between three regions, representing about half of Danish population, with about 900 clinics and more than 1700 GPs. A total of about 60% (95%CI: 57-63) used tympanometry, even since 2006 it has been reimbursed with about 14 € per examination, and the increase was slow from 56% in 2007 to 60% in 2009. Tympanometry was significantly more used in the Region of Southern Denmark (68%) (64 to 73), than in the North Denmark Region (61%) (54 to 68) and Region Zealand (48%) (42 to 53). Maybe the explanation for this difference is related to early research using tympanometry in both the Region of Southern Denmark\textsuperscript{[17,18]} and the North Denmark Region\textsuperscript{[20]} with participation of several trendsetting GPs in the regions.

WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE NUMBER OF TYMPANOMETRY TESTS PER GP PER YEAR?

Nobody knows. In the clinics doing tympanometry the
order to do that, a tympanometer within arm’s reach is required in the clinic.

**WIDEBAND ACOUSTIC IMMITTANCE (MULTIFREQUENCY TYMPANOMETRY)**

Multi-frequency and multicomponent tympanometry added useful extensions to simple low-frequency tympanometry. They permitted the clinician to use probe tones that were higher in frequency than 226 Hz. This addition to the simple tympanometry proved useful for neonates and for any patient who did not produce obvious low-frequency tympanograms. Only a few tympanometers used in general practice in Denmark can change probe tone to a higher frequency.21

The next technical step is wideband tympanometry measurements provide a view of the acoustic response properties of the middle ear over a broad range of frequencies and ear-canal pressures. More research...
is need before the clinical implication of this technic can be outlined\textsuperscript{23}. The price of the wideband acoustic immittance equipment of about 15000 € is a barrier for use in general practice.

**PERSPECTIVE**

An increased use of tympanometry in general practice will improve the diagnostic quality in children with middle ear problems and also improve the use of antibiotics, resulting in more appropriate antibiotic prescribing. More demand for tympanometry will hopefully reduce the price of the tympanometer, making it more accessible to GPs. That means that the use of tympanometry will be nearly as common as the use of otoscopy.
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